ON QUASI-FROBENIUSEAN AND ARTINIAN RINGS

Roger Yue Chi Ming

Abstract. Left p-injective rings, which extend left self injective rings, have been considered in several papers (cf. for example, [10] - [14]). The following generalizations of left p-injective rings are here introduced: (1) A is called a left min-injective ring if, for any minimal left ideal U of A (if it exists), any left A-homomorphism $g: U \to A$, there exists $y \in A$ such that g(b) = by for all $b \in U$; (2) A is left np-injective if, for any non-nilpotent element c of A, any left A-homomorphism $g: Ac \to A$, there exists $y \in A$ such that g(ac) = acy for all $a \in A$. New characteristic properties of quasi-Frobeniusean rings are given. It is proved that A is quasi-Frobeniusean iff A is a left Artinian, left and right min-injective ring. If A is left av-injective, then (a) every left or right av-module is divisible and (b) any reduced principal left ideal of av is generated by an idempotent. Further properties of left av-rings (introduced in av-ring av-

Introduction. This note contains new characteristic properties of quasi-Frobeniusean rings in terms of min-injective Iings (defined below). It is proved that A is quasi-Frobeniusean in A is a left Artinian, left and right min-injective ring. Left CM-rings (introduced in [14]) are further studied. In particular, it is proved that if U is a minimal left ideal of a left CM-ring A, then AU is injective iff it is projective iff it is p-injective. Certain results on CM-rings (14) are improved. A generalization of left p-injective rings, called np-injective rings, is also considered and various properties are derived. Characteristic properties of division rings and semi-simple Artinian rings are given.

Throughout, A refers to an associative ring with identity and A-modules are unitary. Z, J, S will denote respectively the left singular ideal, the Jacobson radical and the left socle of A. A is called left non-singular (resp. semi-simple) iff Z=0 (resp. J=0). Recall that a left A-module M is p-injective (resp. f-injective) iff for any principal (resp. finitely generated) left ideal I of A, any left A-bomomorphism $g:I\to M$, there exists $y\in M$ such that g(b)=by for all $b\in I$. Then A is

AMS Subject Classification (1980): 16A30, 16A35, 16A36, 16A40, 16A52.

Key words and phrases: quasi-Frobeniusean, Artinian, von Neumann regular, min-injective, np-injective, CM-ring.

von Neumann regular iff every left A-module is p-injective (f-injective). It is well-known that A is regular iff every left A-module is flat. If I is a p-injective left ideal of A, then A/I is a flat left A-module.

As usual, a left (right) ideal of A is called reduced iff it contains no non-zero nilpotent element. An ideal of A will always mean a two-sided ideal. In [14], the following generalization of semi-simple Artinian, left uniform and left duo rings is introduced: A is called a left CM-ring iff, for any maximal essential left ideal M of A (if it exists), every complement left subideal is an ideal of M. Left CMrings also extend left PCI-rings [4] and the domains constructed by Cozzens [3]. Regular left CM-rings are left and right V-rings but left CM, left or right V-rings need not be regular. Recall that (1) A is left pseudo-coherent iff l(F) is a finitely generated left ideal for any finitely generated right ideal F of A; (2) A is ELT(resp. MELT) iff every essential (resp. maximal essential, if it exists) left ideal is an ideal of A. Rings whose ideals are left annihilators are called TLA-rings. We know that if A is a semi-prime TLA-ring, then every ideal of A is generated by a central idempotent and hence A is a biregular, fully left and right idempotent ring. Note that semi-prime rings whose left ideals are left annihilators must be semi-simple Artinian. It is well-known that in a quasi-Frobeniusean ring, every one-sided ideal is an annihilator.

H. Tominaga (Math. Reviews 81i#16014) pointed out that [12, Theorem 8] depended on a unproved result of R. P. Kurshan [8, Proposition 3.4] (cf. [6]). By going through the proof of [8, Theorem 3.3 and Proposition 3.4] (keeping in view Ginn's remark [6, p. 105]), [12, Lemma 5] yields.

Lemma 1. Let A be a TLA-ring whose essential left ideals are left annihilators satisfying the maximum condition on ideals rtnd essential left ideals such that l(Z) is a finitely generated left ideal and A/Z is semi-simple Artinian. Then A is left Artinian.

Applying [14, Proposition 2.8], [2, p. 69)] and Lemma 1, we get

COROLLARY 1.1. The following conditions are equivalent for an ELT, TLA-ring satisfying the maximum condition on left anihilators: (1) A is left Artinian; (2) Both A and A/Z have left finite Goldie dimension; (3) A/Z is left or right self-injective and l(Z) is a finitely generated left ideal; (4) ${}_{A}Z$ is finitely generated.

We now introduce the following generalization of left p-injective and semi-prime rings.

Definition. A is called a left min-injective ring if, for any minimal left ideal U of A (if it exists), any left A-homomorphism $g: U \to A$, there exists $y \in A$ such that g(b) = by for all $b \in U$.

[12, Theorem 8(iii)] is proved in the next result (this extends a result of C .Faith [5, p. 209]).

Theorem 2. The following conditions are equivalent:

(1) A is quasi-Frobeniusean;

- (2) A is a right p-injective, left min-injective, left pseudocoherent TLA-ring with maximum condition on right annihilators:
- (3) A is a left Noetherian, left p-injective, right min-injective ring;
- (4) A is a left Artinian, Ieft and right min-injective ring;
- (5) A is a right f injective ring with maximum condition on left annihilators.

Proof. Obviously, (1) implies (2) and (3).

Assume (2). If I is an ideal of A, T = l(B) for some right ideal B of A. Since A satisfies the maximum condition on right annihilators, then T = l(F), where F is a finitely generated right subideal of B and since A is left pseudo-coherent, AT is finitely generated. In particular, AJ is finitely generated. Since A is right p-injective with minimum condition on left annihilators, then A is right perfect by a theorem of M. Ikeda – T. Nakayama which yields that A is left Artinian [2, p. 69]. Thus (2) implies (4).

Assume (3). Since A is left p-injective with the minimum condition on right annihilators, then A is left perfect which, together with A left Noetherian, implies A left Artinian. Therefore (3) implies (4).

Assume (4). Let U be a minimal left ideal of A, $0 \neq u \in U$. Since A is both left and right perfect, uA contains a minimal right ideal V = vA, $v \in A$. Then $l(u) \subseteq l(v)$ and if $f: Au \to Av$ is the left A-homomorphism defined by f(au) = av for all $a \in A$, since U = Au is a minimal left ideal, then f is an isomorphism and if $g: Av \to Au$ is the inverse isomorphism, $i: Au \to A$ the natural injection, then there exists $w \in A$ such that u = ig(v) = vw. Therefore uA = vA is a minimal right ideal and if $0 \neq b \in l(r(u))$, then $r(u) = r(l(r(u))) \subseteq r(b)$ and if $h: uA \to bA$ is the right A-homomorphism defined by h(ua) = ba for all $a \in A$, $j: bA \to A$ the natural injection, then there exists $c \in A$ such that $b = jh(u) = cu \in Au$. Thus l(r(Au)) = l(r(u)) = Au = U. Similarly, any minimal right ideal of A is a right annihilator and by [9, Proposition 1], (4) implies (5).

Assume (5). Then A is a left Noetherian ring whose left ideals are left annihilators (cf. [12, p. 134]). Since A/J is a semi-prime ring whose left ideals are left annihilators, then A/J is semi-simple Artinian (this is the crucial property mentioned by Ginn [6]). By [12, Lemma 5] and Lemma 1, A is left Artinian (indeed, the proof of [12, Lemma 5] shows that Z = J = l(S) and S = l(Z) is an essential left ideal). Since A satisfies the maximum condition on right annihilators, then (5) implies (1) by [2, Theorem 4.1].

In general, for an arbitrary ring A, a simple projective left A-module needs not be injective. However, we prove

Proposition 3. Let A be a left CM-ring. Then any simple projective left A-module is injective.

Proof. Let W be a simple projective left A-module. Then $W \approx A/K$, where K is a maximal left ideal of A and since ${}_AA/K$ is projective, then $A=K\oplus U$, where $U=Ae,\ e=e^2\in A$, is a minimal left ideal of A. If L is a proper essential left ideal of $A,\ f:L\to U$ a non-zero left A-homomorphism, then $L/N\approx U$, where

 $N=\ker f$ is a maximal left subideal of L. Now $L=N\oplus V$, where $V(\approx U)$ is a minimal left ideal of A. If $g:V\to U$ is an isomorphism, then g(v)=e for some non-zero $v\in V$ and V=Av. Since $g(ev)=eg(v)=e^2=e$, then ev=v. If $U\cap V\neq 0$, then U=V. If $U\cap V=0$, let I be a complement left ideal such that $E=(U\oplus V)\oplus I$ is an essential left ideal of A. If $E\neq A$, since E is contained in some maximal (essential) left ideal and A is left CM, $v=ev\in U\cap V=0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore E=A and V=Aw, $w=w^2\in A$ in any case. If M is a maximal left ideal containing L, by Zorn's Lemma, there exists a maximal left subideal C of M containing N with $C\cap V=0$. Then C is a complement left subideal of M which implies $CM\subseteq C$, whence $NV\subseteq CM\cap V\subseteq C\cap V=0$. Now for any $y\in L$, y=d+aw, $d\in N$, $a\in A$ and since $dw\in NV=0$; f(y)=f(aw)=f(dw)+f(aw)=(d+aw) f(w)=yf(w) which proves that AU is injective, whence A_W is injective.

Since a finitely generated p-injective left ideal of A is a direct summand of ${}_{A}A$, the next corollary then follows.

Corollary 3.1. The following conditions are equivalent for any minimal left ideal U of a left CM ring A: (a) $_AU$ is projective; (b) $_AU$ is injective; (c) $_AU$ is p-injective.

Applying [14, Remark 5(2)], we get

COROLLARY 3.2. If A is a MELT, left CM-ring, then a simple left A-module is injective iff it is p-injective.

COROLLARY 3.3. If A is left CM, left Noetherian, then $_{A}S$ is injective iff it is projective.

Corollary 3.4. If A is a left CM ring with $_{A}S$ finitely generated projective, then A is the ring direct sum of a semisimple Artinian ring and a ring with zero socle.

Remark 1. A left CM-ring with projective left socle is left min-injective.

Left CM-rings lead us to consider the following class of rings: A is called a left CAM-ring if, for any maximal essential left ideal M of A (if it exists), for any left subideal I of M which is either a complement left subideal of M or a left annihilator ideal in A, I is an ideal of M.

Left CAM-rings generalize semi-simple Artinian, left duo, left PCI-rings and left Ore domains. Note that left CAM (left and right) V-rings need not be regular.

Proposition 4. If A is a semi prime left CAM-ring, then A is either semi-simple Artinian or reduced.

Proof. Suppose there exists $0 \neq z \in Z$ such that $z^2 = 0$. Let M be a maximal left ideal of A containing l(z). Then $l(z)M \subseteq l(z)$ implies $(Mz)^2 \le (Az)Mz) \le l(z)Mz = 0$, whence M = l(z). Therefore $Az (\approx A/M)$ is a minimal left ideal which is a direct summand of A contradicting the fact that Z contains no nonzero idempotent. By [11, Lemma 2.1], Z = 0. Suppose that A is not Artinian. Then there exists a maximal essential left ideal E of A. E is reduced by [14, Lemma

1.6(1)], which implies that A is reduced (being an essential extension of ${}_{A}E$). This proves the proposition.

The next corollary improves [14, Theorem 1.9].

COROLLARY 4.1. If A is a semi prime right self-injective left CAM-ring, then A is either semi-simple Artinian or left self injective strongly regular.

COROLLARY 4.2. A semi prime left CAM-ring with maximum or minimum condition on left annihilators is a left and right Goldie ring.

The next two corollaries give sufficient conditions for rings to be regular and self injective regular with non-zero socle.

Applying [10, Theorem 1] to Propositions 3 and 4, we get

COROLLARY 4.3. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) A is either semi-simple Artinian or strongly regular with non-zero socle;
- (2) A is a semi prime left CAM-ring containing a finitelly generated p-injective maximal left ideal;
- (3) A is a semi-simple left CM-ring containing a finitely generated p-injective maximal left ideal.

COROLLARY 4.4. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) A is either semi-simple Artinian or left and right self injective strongly regular with non-zero socle;
- (2) A is a semi prime left CAM ring containing an injective maximal left ideal.

Following [13], A is called a right WP-ring (weak p-injective) if every right ideal not isomorphic to A_A is p-injective. As usual, A is called left uniform iff every non-zero left ideal is an essential left ideal of A. Since a left uniform right semi-hereditary ring is a left Ore domain, then [13, Lemma 1.1] yields the next remark.

Remark 2. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) A is either simple Artinian or a left Ore right principal ideal domain;
- (2) A is a prime left CM, right WP-ring.

Remark 3. If A is a left CAM, right WP-ring, then A is either semi-simple Artinian or strongly regular or a right principal ideal domain. (cf. [13, Corollary 1.6].)

Remark 4. If A is a left CAM-ring whose essential left ideals are idempotent, then A is fully left and right idempotent.

We now consider another generalization of left p-injective rings: Call A a left np-injective ring if, for any non-nilpotent element c of A, any left A-homomorphism $g:Ac \to A$, there exists $b \in A$ such g(ac) = acb for all $a \in A$. Following [5], an element a of A is called left regular iff l(a) = 0. [10, Theorem 1] is improved in the next proposition.

PROPOSITION 5. Let A be a left np-injective ring. Then

- (1) Any left regular element of A is right invertible;
- (2) $Z \subseteq J$;
- (3) Every left or right A-module is divisible;
- (4) If P is a reduced principal left ideal of A, then P = Ae, where $e = e^2 \in A$ and A(1-e) is an ideal of A.
- *Proof.* (1) Let $c \in A$ such that l(c) = 0. For any $u \in A = r(l(c))$, $l(c) = l(r(l(c))) \subseteq l(u)$ and if $g : Ac \to A$ is the left A-homomorphism defined by g(ac) = au for all $a \in A$, since A is left np-injective, there exists $b \in A$ such that $u = g(c) = cb \in cA$. Therefore A = cA which proves (1).
- (2) If $z \in Z$, $a \in A$, then l(1-za)=0 implies (1-za)u=1 for some $u \in A$ by (1). This proves that $z \in J$.
- (3) If c is a non-zero-divisor in A, then cd = 1 for some $d \in A$ by (1). Now r(c) = 0 implies dc = 1 and for any right A-module M, $M = Mdc \subseteq Mc \subseteq M$ implies M = Mc. Similarly, any left A-module is divisible.
- (4) Let $P=Ab, b\in A$, be a non-zero reduced principal left ideal. Then $r(b)\subseteq l(b)=l(b^2)$ and the proof of (1) shows that r(l(bA))=bA which yields $bA=r(l(b^2))=r(l(b^2A))=b^2A$ (since b^2 is non-nilpotent). Therefore $b=b^2c$, $c\in A$, which implies b=bcb (P being reduced), whence P is generated by the idempotent e=cb. Also, for any $a\in A$, $(ae-eae)^2=0$ implies ae=eae, whence (1-e)Ae=0. Therefore $(1-e)A\subseteq A(1-e)$ which establishes the last part of (4).

Remark 5. [1, Theorem 12] holds for right np-injective rings whose complement right ideals are finitely generated.

We now characterize division rings in terms of the following: A is called a right F-ring if, for any maximal right ideal M of A, any $b \in M$, A/bM_A is flat.

Theorem 6. The following conditions are equivalent for a semi-prime left uniform ring A:

- (1) A is a division ring;
- (2) A is a left self injective Ieft F ring;
- (3) A is a left np-injective left F ring;
- (4) A is a right F ring.

Proof. It is evident that (1) implies (2), which, in turn, implies (3).

Assume (3). If $b \in A$, $b \notin Z$, then l(b) = 0 which implies bc = 1 for some $c \in A$ (Proposition 5(1)). This shows that every maximal right ideal of A is contained in Z, whence A is a local ring with Z = J as the unique maximal right ideal (which is also the only maximal left ideal). Suppose that $Z \neq 0$. Since A is semi-prime, for any $0 \neq z \in J$, $Jz \neq 0$. If $y \in J$ such that $yz \neq 0$, since AA/Jz is flat, yz = yzwz for some $w \in J$. Since (1 - wz)u = 1 for some $u \in A$, then yz(1 - wz) = 0 implies yz = 0, a contradiction. Thus Z = J = 0 which proves that A is a division ring and (3) implies (4).

Assume (4). If $u \in A$, $u \neq Z$, then l(u) = 0. Suppose that $uA \neq A$. If R is a maximal right ideal containing uA, since A/uR_A is flat, $u^2 = uvu^2$ for some $v \in R$. Then (1 - uv)uz = 0 implies uv = 1, which contradicts $uA \neq A$. This proves that A is a local ring with Z = J the only maximal right (and left) ideal of A. The proof of "(3) implies (4)" then shows that J = 0 which proves that (4) implies (1).

COROLLARY 6.1. A is simple Artinian iff A is a prime left CM, right F ring.

Remark 6. A left Noetherian ring is left Artinian iff each of its prime factor rings is a left CM, right F-ring.

[7, Corollary 1.18], [10, Theorem 1], [11, Theorem 1.4], Propositions 4 and 5 yield the next result. (cf. [14, Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 2.4].)

Theorem 7. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) A is either semi-simple Artinian or strongly regular;
- (2) A is a semi prime left CAM-ring whose simple right modules are flat;
- (3) A is a semi-prime left np-injective, left CAM ring.

Note that a ring with finitely generated projective essential left socle need not be semi-prime. We conclude with a few characteristic properties of semi-simple Artinian rings.

Theorem 8. it The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) A is semi-simple Artinian;
- (2) A is a semi-prime TLA, left CM-ring containing a finitely generated p-injective maximal left ideal;
- (3) A is a left CM, left Noetherian ring with projective essential left socle;
- (4) A is a left CM-ring with finitely generated projective essential left socle;
- (5) A is a semi prime left np-injective, left or right Goldie ring.

Proof. Apply Propositions 3 and 4, Corollary 4.4 and Proposition 5.

REFERENCES

- G. F. Birkenmeier, Bear rings and quasi-continuous rings have a MDSN, Pacific J. Math. 97 (1981), 283-292.
- [2] J. E. Björk, Rings satisfying certain chain conditions, J. Reine Angew. Math. 245 (1971), 63-73.
- [3] J. H. Cozzens, Homological properties of the ring of differential polynomials, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1970), 75-79.
- [4] R. F. Damiano, A right PCI ring is right Noetherian, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1979), 11-14.
- [5] C. Faith, Algebra II: Ring Theory, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976.
- [6] S. Ginn, A counter-example to a theorem of Kurshan, J. Algebra 40 (1976), 105–106.

- [7] K. R. Goodearl, Von Neumann regular rings, Monographs and studies in Maths. 4, Pitman, London, 1979.
- [8] R. P. Kurshan, Rings whose cyclic modules have finitely generated socle, J. Algebra 15 (1970), 376–386.
- [9] H. H. Storrer, A note on quasi-Frobenius rings and ring epimorphisms, Canad. Math. Bull. 12 (1969), 287–292.
- [10] R. Yue Chi Ming, On annihilator ideals, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 19 (1976), 51-53.
- [11] R. Yue Chi Ming, On von Neumann regular rings, III, Monatshefte f
 ür Math. 86 (1978), 251–257.
- [12] R. Yue Chi Ming, On annihilator ideals, II, Comment. Math. Univ. Sancti Pauli 28 (1979), 129–136.
- [13] R. Yue Chi Ming, Von Neumann regularity and weak p-injectivity, Yokohama Math. J. 28 (1980), 59-68.
- [14] R. Yue Chi Ming, On regular rings and self injective rings, Monatshefte für Math. 91 (1981), 153–166.

Université Paris VII U.E.R. de Mathématique et Informatique 75251 PARIS CEDEX OS FRANCE (Received 05 04 1982)