

## FIXED POINTS OF GENERALIZED $TAC$ -CONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS IN $b$ -METRIC SPACES

G. V. R. Babu and T. M. Dula

**Abstract.** We introduce generalized  $TAC$ -contractive mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces and we prove some new fixed point results for this class of mappings. We provide examples in support of our results. Our results extend the results of [S. Chandok, K. Tas and A. H. Ansari, Some fixed point results for  $TAC$ -type contractive mappings, J. Function Spaces, Vol. 2016, Article ID 1907676, 6 pages] from the metric space setting to  $b$ -metric spaces and generalize a result of [D. Đorić, Common fixed point for generalized  $(\psi, \varphi)$ -weak contractions, Appl. Math. Lett. 22 (2009) 1896–1900].

### 1. Introduction

Banach contraction principle has been extended by various authors based on the generalization of contraction conditions and/or generalization of ambient space. In 1997, Alber and Guerre-Delabriere [1] introduced weakly contractive maps which are extensions of contraction maps and obtained fixed point results in the setting of Hilbert spaces. Rhoades [14] extended this concept to metric spaces. In 2008, Dutta and Choudhury [8] introduced  $(\psi, \varphi)$ -weakly contractive maps and proved the existence of fixed points in complete metric spaces. In continuation to the extensions of contraction maps, Đorić [7] studied  $(\psi, \varphi)$ -weakly contractive maps and proved the existence of fixed points in complete metric spaces. On the other hand, in the direction of generalizing metric spaces, in 1993, Czerwik [6] introduced the concept of  $b$ -metric spaces and proved the Banach contraction mapping principle in this setting. Afterwards, several research papers appeared on the existence of fixed points for single-valued and multi-valued mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces [4, 13, 15–18].

Very recently, Chandok, Tas and Ansari [5] introduced the concept of  $TAC$ -contractive mappings and proved some fixed point results in the setting of complete metric spaces.

---

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 47H10, 54H25

*Keywords and phrases:*  $b$ -metric space; cyclic  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -admissible mapping; generalized  $TAC$ -contractive mapping; fixed point.

DEFINITION 1.1. [5] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $\alpha, \beta : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be two given mappings. We say that  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is a *TAC*-contractive mapping if

$$x, y \in X \text{ with } \alpha(x)\beta(y) \geq 1 \implies \psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq f(\psi(d(x, y)), \phi(d(x, y))),$$

where:

- (i)  $\psi$  is continuous and nondecreasing function with  $\psi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t_n) = 0 \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$ ; and
- (iii)  $f : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous,  $f(s, t) \leq s$  and  $f(s, t) = s$  implies that either  $s = 0$  or  $t = 0$ , for all  $s, t \in [0, \infty)$ .

THEOREM 1.2. [5] Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $\alpha, \beta : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be two mappings and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a cyclic  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -admissible mapping. Assume that  $T$  is a *TAC*-contractive mapping. Suppose that there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0) \geq 1$  and  $\beta(x_0) \geq 1$  and either of the following conditions hold:

- (a)  $T$  is continuous;
- (b) If  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow z$  and  $\beta(x_n) \geq 1$  for all  $n$ , then  $\beta(z) \geq 1$ .

Then  $T$  has a fixed point. Moreover, if  $\alpha(x) \geq 1$  and  $\beta(y) \geq 1$  for all  $x, y \in \text{Fix}(T)$  where  $\text{Fix}(T)$  is the set of all fixed points of  $T$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

Motivated by this work, we introduce generalized *TAC*-contractive mappings in *b*-metric spaces and extend Theorem 1.2 to *b*-metric spaces. In Section 2, we present preliminaries. In Section 3, we prove our main results in which we study the existence of fixed points of generalized *TAC*-contractive mappings in *b*-metric spaces. We provide corollaries and examples in support of our results in Section 4.

## 2. Preliminaries

DEFINITION 2.1. [11] A function  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called an altering distance function if the following properties hold:

- (i)  $\psi$  is continuous and nondecreasing function,
- (ii)  $\psi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ .

We denote the set of all altering distance functions by  $\Psi$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. [6] Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. A function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to be a *b*-metric if the following conditions are satisfied;

- (i)  $0 \leq d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- (ii)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (iii) there exists  $s \geq 1$  such that  $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

In this case, the pair  $(X, d)$  is called a *b*-metric space with coefficient  $s$ .

Every metric space is a  $b$ -metric space with  $s = 1$ . In general, not every  $b$ -metric space is a metric space. Throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{R}$  denotes the real line, and  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of all natural numbers.

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , and let the mapping  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be defined by  $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $(X, d)$  is a  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $s = 2$ , but it is not a metric space.

DEFINITION 2.4. [4] Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space.

- (i) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is called  $b$ -convergent if there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In this case, we write  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ .
- (ii) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is called  $b$ -Cauchy if  $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (iii) The  $b$ -metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to be  $b$ -complete if every  $b$ -Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is  $b$ -convergent.
- (iv) A set  $B \subset X$  is said to be  $b$ -closed if for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $B$  such that  $\{x_n\}$  is  $b$ -convergent to  $z \in X$ , it is  $z \in B$ .

REMARK 2.5. A  $b$ -metric need not be a continuous function. For more details, we refer to [10].

LEMMA 2.6. [9] Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space with  $s \geq 1$ .

- (i) If a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  is  $b$ -convergent, then it admits a unique limit.
- (ii) Every  $b$ -convergent sequence in  $X$  is  $b$ -Cauchy.

DEFINITION 2.7. Let  $(X, d)$  and  $(M, d')$  be two  $b$ -metric spaces. A function  $f : X \rightarrow M$  is  $b$ -continuous at  $x \in X$  if it is  $b$ -sequentially continuous at  $X$ . That is, whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is  $b$ -convergent to  $x$ ,  $\{fx_n\}$  is  $b$ -convergent to  $fx$ .

DEFINITION 2.8. [11] Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty subsets of  $X$ . A mapping  $f : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  is said to be cyclic if  $f(A) \subset B$  and  $f(B) \subset A$ .

DEFINITION 2.9. [2] Let  $X$  be a nonempty set,  $f$  be a selfmap on  $X$  and  $\alpha, \beta : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be two mappings. We say that  $f$  is a cyclic  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -admissible mapping if

- (i) for any  $x \in X$  with  $\alpha(x) \geq 1 \implies \beta(fx) \geq 1$ , and
- (ii) for any  $y \in X$  with  $\beta(y) \geq 1 \implies \alpha(fy) \geq 1$ .

We denote:

$\Phi = \{\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t_n) = 0 \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0\}$ , and

$\mathcal{C} = \{f : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid$  (i)  $f$  is continuous, (ii)  $f(a, t) \leq a$ , (iii)  $f(a, t) = a \implies$  either  $a = 0$  or  $t = 0$  and (iv)  $f(a, t) \leq f(b, t)$  whenever  $a \leq b\}$ .

We observe that:

- (i) if  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  then  $f(0, 0) = 0$ ;
- (ii) if  $\phi \in \Phi$  then  $\phi(t) = 0 \implies t = 0$ .
- (iii) if  $\phi \in \Phi$  then  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t_n) = 0 \implies \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$ .

EXAMPLE 2.10. The following functions  $f : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are elements of  $\mathcal{C}$ : (i)  $f(a, t) = a - t$ , (ii)  $f(a, t) = \frac{a-t}{1+t}$ , (iii)  $f(a, t) = \frac{a}{1+t}$ , and (iv)  $f(a, t) = \frac{a}{1+t+a}$ , for  $a, t \in [0, \infty)$ .

We denote  $\Phi_1 = \{\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \mid \varphi \text{ is lower semicontinuous with } \varphi(t) = 0 \text{ if and only if } t = 0\}$ . We observe that  $\Phi_1 \subset \Phi$ .

Dorić proved the following theorem by using  $\varphi \in \Phi_1$  in complete metric spaces.

THEOREM 2.11. [7] *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a selfmap of  $X$ . If there exist  $\psi \in \Psi$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi_1$  such that*

$$\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $M(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2}\}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

The following lemma is useful in proving our main results.

LEMMA 2.12. [3] *Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $s$  and  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $\{x_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence then there exist an  $\epsilon > 0$  and sequences of positive integers  $\{m_k\}$  and  $\{n_k\}$  with  $n_k > m_k \geq k$  such that  $d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) \geq \epsilon$ ,  $d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k-1}) < \epsilon$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad \epsilon &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) \leq s\epsilon & \text{(iii)} \quad \frac{\epsilon}{s} &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_k+1}, x_{n_k}) \leq s^2\epsilon \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \frac{\epsilon}{s} &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k+1}) \leq s^2\epsilon & \text{(iv)} \quad \frac{\epsilon}{s^2} &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_k+1}, x_{n_k+1}) \leq s^3\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Main results

In this section, we introduce the notion of a generalized  $TAC$ -contractive map in  $b$ -metric spaces and prove fixed point results for such mapping in  $b$ -complete metric spaces.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space and let  $\alpha, \beta : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be two given mappings. Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a selfmap of  $X$ . If there exist  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi$  and  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  such that

$$\text{for all } x, y \in X, \quad \alpha(x)\beta(y) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \psi(s^3 d(Tx, Ty)) \leq f(\psi(M_s(x, y)), \phi(M_s(x, y))), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $M_s(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s}\}$ , then we say that  $T$  is a generalized  $TAC$ -contractive map in  $b$ -metric spaces.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -complete metric space with coefficient  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a selfmap of  $X$ . Assume that there exist two mappings  $\alpha, \beta : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi$  and  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $T$  is a generalized  $TAC$ -contractive mapping. Further, suppose that there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that*

$\alpha(x_0) \geq 1$  and  $\beta(x_0) \geq 1$ ,  $T$  is a cyclic  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -admissible mapping and either of the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $T$  is continuous,
- (ii) if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow z$  and  $\beta(x_n) \geq 1$  for all  $n$ , then  $\beta(z) \geq 1$ .

Then  $T$  has a fixed point.

*Proof.* By the hypotheses we have  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0) \geq 1$  and  $\beta(x_0) \geq 1$ . Now, we define an iterative sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . If  $x_{n_0+1} = x_{n_0}$  for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we have  $Tx_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1} = x_{n_0}$ , so that  $x_{n_0}$  is a fixed point of  $T$  and we are through.

Hence, without loss of generality, we assume that  $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Since  $\alpha(x_0) \geq 1$  and  $T$  is a cyclic  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -admissible mapping, we have  $\beta(x_1) = \beta(Tx_0) \geq 1$ , and this implies that  $\alpha(x_2) = \alpha(Tx_1) \geq 1$ . On continuing this process, we obtain

$$\alpha(x_{2k}) \geq 1 \text{ and } \beta(x_{2k+1}) \geq 1 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Since  $\beta(x_0) \geq 1$  and  $T$  is a cyclic  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -admissible mapping, we have  $\alpha(x_1) = \alpha(Tx_0) \geq 1$  and this implies that  $\beta(x_2) = \beta(Tx_1) \geq 1$ . In general, on continuing this process, we obtain

$$\beta(x_{2k}) \geq 1 \text{ and } \alpha(x_{2k+1}) \geq 1 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore from (3.2) and (3.3) we have  $\alpha(x_n) \geq 1$  and  $\beta(x_n) \geq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

First we show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$ . Since  $\alpha(x_n)\beta(x_{n+1}) \geq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , from (3.1), we have

$$\psi(s^3 d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})) \leq f(\psi(M_s(x_n, x_{n+1})), \phi(M_s(x_n, x_{n+1}))) \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & M_s(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &= \max \left\{ d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}), \frac{d(x_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx_n)}{2s} \right\} \\ &= \max \{ d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , it follows from (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) &= \psi(d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})) \leq \psi(s^3 d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})) \\ &\leq f(\psi(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})), \phi(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}))) \leq \psi(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})), \end{aligned}$$

so that  $f(\psi(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})), \phi(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}))) = \psi(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}))$ . Hence by (ii) of the definition of  $f$ , we have either  $\psi(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) = 0$  or  $\phi(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) = 0$ , a contradiction since  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ .

Hence  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Therefore, the sequence  $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$  is decreasing and bounded from below. Thus there exists  $r \geq 0$  such

that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = r$ . Suppose that  $r > 0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) &= \psi(d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})) \leq \psi(s^3 d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})) \\ &\leq f(\psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})), \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))) \leq \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

On letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.5) and using the continuity of  $\psi$  and  $f$ , we have  $\psi(r) \leq f(\psi(r), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))) \leq \psi(r)$ , so that  $f(\psi(r), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))) = \psi(r)$ . Hence, either  $\psi(r) = 0$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) = 0$ . In any case it is a contradiction. Hence,  $r = 0$ , i.e.,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$ .

We now prove that  $\{x_n\}$  is a  $b$ -Cauchy sequence. If  $\{x_n\}$  is not  $b$ -Cauchy, then by Lemma 2.12, there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and sequences of positive integers  $\{n_k\}$  and  $\{m_k\}$  with  $n_k > m_k > k$  such that  $d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) \geq \epsilon$ ,  $d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k-1}) < \epsilon$  and (i)–(iv) of Lemma 2.12 hold. Since  $\alpha(x_{m_k}) \geq 1$  and  $\beta(x_{n_k}) \geq 1$  we have that  $\alpha(x_{m_k})\beta(x_{n_k}) \geq 1$ .

Now, from (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x_{m_k+1}, x_{n_k+1})) &= \psi(d(Tx_{m_k}, Tx_{n_k})) \leq \psi(s^3 d(Tx_{m_k}, Tx_{n_k})) \\ &\leq f(\psi(M_s(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k})), \phi(M_s(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}))), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) &= \max \left\{ d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}), d(x_{m_k}, x_{m_k+1}), d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k+1}), \frac{d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{m_k+1}, x_{n_k+1})}{2s} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.7) and using (i)–(iv) of Lemma 2.12, we have

$$\epsilon \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_s(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) \leq \max\{s\epsilon, 0, \frac{s^2\epsilon + s^2\epsilon}{2s}\} = s\epsilon. \quad (3.8)$$

Now, from (3.6) and using (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(s\epsilon) &= \psi(s^3 \frac{\epsilon}{s^2}) \leq \psi(s^3 \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (d(x_{m_k+1}, x_{n_k+1}))) = \psi(s^3 \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(Tx_{m_k}, Tx_{n_k})) \\ &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi(s^3 d(Tx_{m_k}, Tx_{n_k})) \\ &\leq f(\psi(\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_s(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k})), \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi(M_s(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}))) \\ &\leq f(\psi(s\epsilon), \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi(M_s(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}))) \leq \psi(s\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $f(\psi(s\epsilon), \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi(M_s(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}))) = \psi(s\epsilon)$ . Hence, by the property (ii) of  $f$ , we have either  $\psi(s\epsilon) = 0$  or  $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi(M_s(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k})) = 0$ , in either case it is a contradiction. So we conclude that  $\{x_n\}$  is a  $b$ -Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d)$ . Since  $(X, d)$  is  $b$ -complete, it follows that there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$ .

First, we assume that  $T$  is continuous. Then we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = Tz$ , so that  $Tz = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = z$ .

Now we assume that (ii) holds, that is  $\beta(x_n) \geq 1$  for all  $n$ . Then we have  $\beta(z) \geq 1$ . We assume that  $Tz \neq z$ . From the triangular inequality, we have  $d(z, Tz) \leq s[d(z, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, Tz)]$ . On taking the upper limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{s}d(z, Tz) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Tx_n, Tz). \quad (3.9)$$

Also we have  $d(Tx_n, Tz) \leq s[d(Tx_n, z) + d(z, Tz)]$ . On taking the upper limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Tx_n, Tz) \leq sd(z, Tz). \quad (3.10)$$

From (3.9) and (3.10), we have

$$\frac{1}{s}d(z, Tz) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Tx_n, Tz) \leq sd(z, Tz). \quad (3.11)$$

Since  $\alpha(x_n)\beta(z) \geq 1$ , from (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(z, Tz)) &\leq \psi(s^2d(z, Tz)) = \psi\left(s^3\left[\frac{1}{s}d(z, Tz)\right]\right) \leq \psi\left(s^3\left[\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Tx_n, Tz)\right]\right) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi\left(s^3[d(Tx_n, Tz)]\right) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\psi(M_s(x_n, z)), \phi(M_s(x_n, z))) \\ &\leq f\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(M_s(x_n, z)), \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(M_s(x_n, z))\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where  $M_s(x_n, z) = \max\{d(x_n, z), d(x_n, Tx_n), d(z, Tz), \frac{d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, Tx_n)}{2s}\}$ .

On taking the upper limit and using (3.11), we have  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_s(x_n, z) = \max\{0, d(z, Tz), \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d(x_n, Tz)}{2s}\} = d(z, Tz)$ . Now, from (3.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(z, Tz)) &\leq f\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(M_s(x_n, z)), \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(M_s(x_n, z))\right) \\ &\leq f\left(\psi(d(z, Tz)), \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(M_s(x_n, z))\right) \leq \psi(d(z, Tz)), \end{aligned}$$

so that  $f(\psi(d(z, Tz)), \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(M_s(x_n, z))) = \psi(d(z, Tz))$ . Hence, either  $\psi(d(z, Tz)) = 0$  or  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(M_s(x_n, z)) = 0$ . In either case it is a contradiction. Hence  $Tz = z$ . ■

**THEOREM 3.3.** *In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2, suppose that  $\alpha(u) \geq 1$  and  $\beta(v) \geq 1$  whenever  $Tu = u$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

*Proof.* Let  $u$  and  $v$  be fixed points of  $T$ ; by hypothesis  $\alpha(u) \geq 1$  and  $\beta(v) \geq 1$ . Hence, from (3.1) we have

$$\psi(d(u, v)) = \psi(d(Tu, Tv)) \leq \psi(s^3d(Tu, Tv)) \leq f(\psi(M_s(u, v)), \phi(M_s(u, v))), \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(u, v) &= \max\left\{d(u, v), d(u, Tu), d(v, Tv), \frac{d(u, Tv) + d(v, Tu)}{2s}\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{d(u, v), 0, \frac{d(u, v)}{s}\right\} = d(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

By using inequality (3.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(d(u, v)) &= \psi(d(Tu, Tv)) \leq \psi(s^3 d(Tu, Tv)) \leq f(\psi(M_s(u, v)), \phi(M_s(u, v))) \\ &= f(\psi(d(u, v)), \phi(d(u, v))) \leq \psi(d(u, v)),\end{aligned}$$

so that  $f(\psi(M_s(u, v)), \phi(M_s(u, v))) = \psi(d(u, v))$ . Hence, either  $\psi(d(u, v)) = 0$  or  $\phi(d(u, v)) = 0$ . In any case it implies that  $d(u, v) = 0$ . Thus,  $u = v$ . Therefore  $f$  has a unique fixed point. ■

REMARK 3.4. Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 extend Theorem 1.2 to  $b$ -metric spaces.

DEFINITION 3.5. Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $s \geq 1$ , and  $A$  and  $B$  be two closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  be a cyclic mapping. If there exist  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi$  and  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  such that

$$\psi(s^3 d(Tx, Ty)) \leq f(\psi(M_s(x, y)), \phi(M_s(x, y))), \quad (3.14)$$

for all  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ . Then we say that  $T$  is a generalized  $TAC$ -cyclic contractive mapping.

THEOREM 3.6. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two nonempty closed subsets of a  $b$ -complete  $b$ -metric space  $(X, d)$  such that  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  be a cyclic mapping. If  $T$  is a generalized  $TAC$ -cyclic contractive mapping, then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $A \cap B$ .

*Proof.* We define  $\alpha, \beta : A \cup B \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in B \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any  $x, y \in A \cup B$  with  $\alpha(x)\beta(y) \geq 1$ , we have  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ . Hence, by the hypotheses, the inequality (3.14) holds, which in turn means that the inequality (3.1) holds. Therefore  $T$  is a generalized  $TAC$ -contractive mapping on  $A \cup B$ .

Since  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $x_0 \in A \cap B$  and hence  $\alpha(x_0) \geq 1$  and  $\beta(x_0) \geq 1$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\beta(x_n) \geq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  so that  $x_n \in B$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $B$  is  $b$ -closed we have  $x \in B$  and hence  $\beta(x) \geq 1$ . Therefore all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 hold and hence  $T$  has a fixed point.

Let  $u$  (say) be a fixed point of  $T$ . If  $u \in A$ , then  $u = Tu \in B$ . Similarly, if  $u \in B$ , then  $u = Tu \in A$ , hence  $u \in A \cap B$ . This implies that  $\alpha(u) \geq 1$  and  $\beta(u) \geq 1$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3.3,  $T$  has a unique fixed point. ■

#### 4. Corollaries and examples

COROLLARY 4.1. Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -complete metric space with coefficient  $s \geq 1$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a selfmap of  $X$ . If there exist  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi$  and  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  such that

$$\psi(s^3 d(Tx, Ty)) \leq f(\psi(M_s(x, y)), \phi(M_s(x, y))) \text{ for all } x, y \in X, \quad (4.1)$$

then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.



*Proof.* By choosing  $\alpha(x) = \beta(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in X$ , clearly the inequality (4.1) implies the inequality (3.1) and hence by Theorem 3.3, the conclusion of corollary follows. ■

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a selfmap of  $X$ . Assume that there exist two mappings  $\alpha, \beta : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi$  and  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\alpha(x)\beta(y) \geq 1$  implies  $\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq f(\psi(M(x, y)), \phi(M(x, y)))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $M(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2}\}$ . Further, suppose that there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0) \geq 1$  and  $\beta(x_0) \geq 1$ ,  $T$  is a cyclic  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -admissible mapping and either of the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  $T$  is continuous,
- (ii) if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow z$  and  $\beta(x_n) \geq 1$  for all  $n$ , then  $\beta(z) \geq 1$ .

Then  $T$  has a fixed point.

*Proof.* The result follows from Theorem 3.2 by taking  $s = 1$ . ■

From Theorem 3.3 by taking  $s = 1$  and  $\alpha(x) = \beta(x) = 1$  we deduce the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 4.3.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a selfmap of  $X$ . If there exist  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi$  and  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq f(\psi(M(x, y)), \phi(M(x, y)))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $M(x, y)$  is defined as in Corollary 4.2. Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

**COROLLARY 4.4.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -complete metric space with coefficient  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a selfmap of  $X$ . Assume that there exist two mappings  $\alpha, \beta : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi$  such that  $\alpha(x)\beta(y) \geq 1$  implies  $\psi(s^3d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(M_s(x, y)) - \phi(M_s(x, y))$ . Further, suppose that there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0) \geq 1$  and  $\beta(x_0) \geq 1$ ,  $T$  is a cyclic  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -admissible mapping and either of the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  $T$  is continuous,
- (ii) if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow z$  and  $\beta(x_n) \geq 1$  for all  $n$ , then  $\beta(z) \geq 1$ .

Then  $T$  has a fixed point.

*Proof.* Follows from Theorem 3.2 by taking  $f(a, t) = a - t$ . ■

**REMARK 4.5.** Theorem 2.11 follows as a corollary to Corollary 4.4 by taking  $s = 1$  and  $\alpha(x) = \beta(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in X$ , since  $\Phi_1 \subset \Phi$ .

**COROLLARY 4.6.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two nonempty closed subsets of a  $b$ -complete metric space  $(X, d)$  such that  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  be a cyclic mapping. If there exist  $\psi \in \Psi$  and  $\phi \in \Phi$  such that  $\psi(s^3d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(M_s(x, y)) - \phi(M_s(x, y))$ , for all  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $A \cap B$ .*

*Proof.* The result follows from Theorem 3.6 by taking  $f(a, t) = a - t$ . ■

The following is an example in support of Theorem 3.2.

EXAMPLE 4.7. Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  and let  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be defined by

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = y \\ \frac{7}{2} + x + y, & \text{if } x, y \in [0, 1), x \neq y \\ 5 + \frac{1}{x+y}, & \text{if } x, y \in (1, \infty), x \neq y \\ \frac{5}{2}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $(X, d)$  is a  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $s = \frac{11}{10}$ . Define  $T : X \rightarrow X$  by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} 2 - x, & \text{if } x \in [0, 2] \\ x, & \text{if } x \in (2, \infty) \end{cases} \text{ and } \alpha, \beta : X \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ by}$$

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in [1, 2] \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1) \cup (2, \infty), \end{cases} \text{ and } \beta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in (1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Since for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\alpha(x) \geq 1 \Leftrightarrow x \in [1, 2]$ , where  $Tx = 2 - x \in [0, 1]$ , hence  $\beta(Tx) \geq 1$ . Also for  $x \in X$ ,  $\beta(x) \geq 1 \Leftrightarrow x \in [0, 1]$ , where  $Tx = 2 - x \in [1, 2]$ , hence  $\alpha(Tx) \geq 1$ . Therefore  $T$  is a cyclic  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -admissible mapping.

Next we show that  $T$  is a generalized  $TAC$ -contractive mapping. For any  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $y \in [1, 2]$  we have  $\alpha(x)\beta(y) \geq 1$ ; also  $Tx \in [1, 2]$  and  $Ty \in [0, 1]$ . Hence  $d(Tx, Ty) = \frac{5}{2}$ . Now, we choose  $\psi(t) = t$ ,  $\phi(t) = \frac{4295}{110000}t$  and  $f(a, t) = a - t$ . For  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $y \in [1, 2]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(x, y) &= \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5 + \frac{1}{y+2-x} + \frac{7}{2} + x + y - 2}{2(\frac{11}{10})} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\frac{3875}{1100} \leq M_s(x, y) \leq 5$ . Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(s^3 d(Tx, Ty)) &= \psi \left( \left( \frac{11}{10} \right)^3 \left( \frac{5}{2} \right) \right) = \psi \left( \frac{33275}{10000} \right) = \frac{33275}{10000} \\ &= \frac{3875}{1100} - \frac{21475}{110000} = \psi \left( \frac{3875}{1100} \right) - \phi(5) \\ &\leq \psi(M_s(x, y)) - \phi(M_s(x, y)) = f(\psi(M_s(x, y)), \phi(M_s(x, y))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $T$  is a generalized  $TAC$ -contractive mapping. Clearly condition (ii) of Theorem 3.2 holds. Hence  $T$  satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 and  $x = 1$  and every element of  $(2, \infty)$  are fixed points of  $T$ . So  $T$  has more than one fixed point in  $X$ .

Here we observe that in the usual metric sense, for any  $\alpha, \beta : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $T$  is a cyclic  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -admissible mapping, we can easily verify that

$$\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \not\leq f(\psi(d(x, y)), \phi(d(x, y))),$$

for any  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi$  and  $f$  defined as in Definition 1.1, and for any  $x \neq y$  with  $\alpha(x)\beta(y) \geq 1$ . Hence  $T$  is not a  $TAC$ -contractive mapping. Therefore Theorem 1.2 is not applicable.

One more example in support of Theorem 3.2 is the following:

EXAMPLE 4.8. Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  and let  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be defined by  $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then clearly  $(X, d)$  is a  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $s = 2$ . Let us define  $T : X \rightarrow X$  by  $T(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{x}{4}, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \\ x, & \text{if } x \in (1, \infty) \end{cases}$  and  $\alpha, \beta : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x) = \beta(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{x+1}, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in (1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Since for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\alpha(x) \geq 1 \Leftrightarrow x \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $\beta(Tx) = \frac{2}{Tx+1} = \frac{2}{2-\frac{x}{4}} \geq 1$ . Since  $\alpha(x) = \beta(x)$ , clearly  $T$  is a cyclic  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -admissible mapping.

Next we show that  $T$  is generalized  $TAC$ -contractive mapping. We assume that  $\alpha(x)\beta(y) \geq 1$ . This implies that  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  and hence  $Tx = 1 - \frac{x}{4}$  and  $Ty = 1 - \frac{y}{4}$ . We choose

$$\psi(t) = t, \quad f(a, t) = \frac{a}{1+t} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3}, & \text{if } x \in [0, 2] \\ 1, & \text{if } x \in (2, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(x, y) &= \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ |x - y|^2, |x - 1 - \frac{x}{4}|^2, |y - 1 - \frac{y}{4}|^2, \frac{|x - 1 - \frac{y}{4}|^2 + |x - 1 - \frac{x}{4}|^2}{4} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(s^3 d(Tx, Ty)) &= \psi(8|\frac{x}{4} - \frac{y}{4}|^2) = \psi(\frac{1}{2}|x - y|^2) = |x - y|^2 \\ &\leq M_s(x, y) = \frac{2M_s(x, y)}{1+1} \leq \frac{2M_s(x, y)}{1+\frac{2}{3}} \\ &= \frac{\psi(M_s(x, y))}{1+\phi(M_s(x, y))} = f(\psi(M_s(x, y)), \phi(M_s(x, y))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $T$  is generalized  $TAC$ -contractive mapping. For a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $\alpha(x_n) \geq 1$  for all  $n$ , this implies that  $\{x_n\} \subseteq [0, 1]$ . Since  $[0, 1]$  is a closed subset of  $X$  then  $x \in [0, 1]$ , therefore  $\beta(x) \geq 1$ . Hence  $T$  satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 and  $x = \frac{4}{5}$  and also every element of the interval  $(1, \infty)$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

Here we observe that with the usual metric on  $[0, \infty)$ , the inequality (2.1) fails to hold: for any  $x, y \in (1, \infty)$  with  $x \neq y$ , we have  $d(x, y) = M(x, y)$ , and hence

$\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) = \psi(d(x, y)) \not\leq \psi(d(x, y)) - \varphi(d(x, y)) = \psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y))$ , for any  $\psi \in \Psi$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi$ . Hence, Theorem 2.11 is not applicable.

EXAMPLE 4.9. Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and let  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be defined by

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = y \\ \frac{5}{2} + |x| + |y|, & \text{if } x, y \in (-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}), x \neq y \\ 5 + \frac{1}{|x|+|y|}, & \text{if } x, y \in (-\infty, -\frac{3}{2}] \cup (\frac{3}{2}, \infty), x \neq y \\ \frac{5}{2}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $d$  is a  $b$ -metric with coefficient  $s = \frac{1}{10}$ . We define  $T : X \rightarrow X$  by  $Tx = 3 - x$  and  $\alpha, \beta : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{3}{2}] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(x) = \begin{cases} e, & \text{if } x \in [\frac{3}{2}, 3] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\alpha(x) \geq 1 \Leftrightarrow x \in [0, \frac{3}{2}]$ , where  $Tx = 3 - x \in [\frac{3}{2}, 3]$ , hence  $\beta(Tx) \geq 1$ . Also for  $x \in X$ ,  $\beta(x) \geq 1 \Leftrightarrow x \in [\frac{3}{2}, 3]$ , where  $Tx = 3 - x \in [0, \frac{3}{2}]$ , hence  $\alpha(Tx) \geq 1$ . Therefore  $T$  is a cyclic  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -admissible mapping.

We now show that  $T$  is a generalized  $TAC$ -contractive mapping. For any  $x \in [0, \frac{3}{2}]$  and  $y \in [\frac{3}{2}, 3]$  we have  $\alpha(x)\beta(y) \geq 1$ ; also  $Tx \in [\frac{3}{2}, 3]$  and  $Ty \in [0, \frac{3}{2}]$ . Hence  $d(Tx, Ty) = \frac{5}{2}$ . Now, for  $t, s \geq 0$  we choose

$$\psi(t) = t, \quad f(a, t) = \frac{a}{1+t} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(t) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } t \in [0, \frac{3}{2}] \\ \frac{2077}{43923}, & \text{if } t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, \frac{3}{2}]. \end{cases}$$

Then, for  $x \in [0, \frac{3}{2}]$  and  $y \in [\frac{3}{2}, 3]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(x, y) &= \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5 + \frac{1}{|y|+|3-x|} + \frac{5}{2} + |x| + |3-y|}{2(\frac{11}{10})} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\frac{230}{66} \leq M_s(x, y) \leq \frac{325}{66}$ .

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(s^3 d(Tx, Ty)) &= \psi \left( \left( \frac{11}{10} \right)^3 \left( \frac{5}{2} \right) \right) = \psi \left( \frac{33275}{10000} \right) = \frac{33275}{10000} \\ &= \frac{\frac{115}{33}}{1 + \frac{2077}{43923}} \leq \frac{M_s(x, y)}{1 + \frac{2077}{43923}} = \frac{\psi(M_s(x, y))}{1 + \phi(M_s(x, y))} \\ &= f(\psi(M_s(x, y)), \phi(M_s(x, y))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $T$  is a generalized  $TAC$ -contractive mapping. Thus,  $T$  satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.3 and  $x = \frac{3}{2}$  is the (unique) fixed point of  $T$ .

Here we observe that in the usual metric sense, for any  $\alpha, \beta : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $T$  is a cyclic  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -admissible mapping, we can easily verify that

$$\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \not\leq f(\psi(d(x, y)), \phi(d(x, y))),$$

for any  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi$  and  $f$  defined as in Definition 1.1, and for any  $x \neq y$  with  $\alpha(x)\beta(y) \geq 1$ , so that  $T$  is not a  $TAC$ -contractive mapping. Hence Theorem 1.2 is not applicable.

**EXAMPLE 4.10.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and let  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be defined by  $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$ . Then  $(X, d)$  is a  $b$ -metric space with  $s = 2$ . Let  $A = [0, \frac{7}{24}]$  and  $B = [\frac{1}{8}, 1]$ , and define  $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  by  $T(x) = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{x}{3}$ . Hence, we have  $TA = [\frac{17}{72}, \frac{1}{3}] \subset B$  and  $TB = [0, \frac{7}{24}] = A$  which implies that  $T$  is cyclic.

We now show that  $T$  is a generalized  $TAC$ -cyclic contractive mapping. We choose  $\psi(t) = t$ ,  $\phi(t) = \frac{1}{8}$ ,  $t \geq 0$  and  $f(a, t) = \frac{a}{1+t}$ . For  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_s(x, y) &= \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2s} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ |x - y|^2, \left| \frac{4x}{3} - \frac{1}{3} \right|^2, \left| \frac{4y}{3} - \frac{1}{3} \right|^2, \frac{|x - \frac{y}{3} + \frac{1}{3}|^2 + |y - \frac{x}{3} + \frac{1}{3}|^2}{4} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

Now, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(s^3 d(Tx, Ty)) &= \psi(2^3 d(\frac{x}{3}, \frac{y}{3})) = \psi((8|\frac{x}{3} - \frac{y}{3}|^2) \leq \psi((\frac{8}{9}|x - y|^2)) \\ &= \frac{8}{9}|x - y|^2 \leq \frac{8}{9}M_s(x, y) = \frac{M_s(x, y)}{1 + \frac{1}{8}} \\ &= \frac{\psi(M_s(x, y))}{1 + \phi(M_s(x, y))} = f(\psi(M_s(x, y)), \phi(M_s(x, y))). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $T$  is a generalized  $TAC$ -cyclic contractive mapping. Hence  $T$  satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.6 and  $x = \frac{1}{4}$  is the fixed point of  $T$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors express their gratitude to the referee(s) for their useful comments and suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Ya. I. Alber, S. Guerre-Delabriere, *Principle of weakly contractive maps in Hilbert spaces*, New Results in Operator Theory and Its Applications, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., **98**, Birkhäuser, Basel, (1997), 7–22.
- [2] S. Alizadeh, F. Moradlou, P. Salimi, *Some fixed point results for  $(\alpha, \beta)$ - $(\psi, \phi)$ -contractive mappings*, Filomat, **28** (3) (2014), 635–647.
- [3] G. V. R. Babu, T. M. Dula, *Fixed points of almost generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$  -  $(\psi, \varphi)$ -contractive mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces*, (communicated).
- [4] M. Boriceanu, M. Bota, A. Petrusel, *Multivalued fractals in  $b$ -metric spaces*, Cent. Eur. J. Math, **8** (2010), 367–377.
- [5] S. Chandok, K. Tas, A. H. Ansari, *Some fixed point results for  $TAC$ -type contractive mappings*, J. Function Spaces, **2016**, Article ID 1907676, 6 pages.
- [6] S. Czerwik, *Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces*, Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostraviensis, **1** (1993), 5–11.
- [7] D. Đorić, *Common fixed point for generalized  $(\psi, \varphi)$ -weak contractions*, Appl. Math. Lett. **22** (2009) 1896–1900.
- [8] P. N. Dutta, B. S. Choudhury, *A generalization of contraction principle in metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2008**, Article ID 406368, 8 pages.
- [9] N. Hussain, D. Đorić, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, *Suzuki-type fixed point results in metric type spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2012**:126 (2012).
- [10] N. Hussain, V. Parvaneh, J.R. Roshan, Z. Kadelburg, *Fixed points of cyclic  $(\psi, \varphi, L, A, B)$ -contractive mappings in ordered  $b$ -metric spaces with applications*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2013**:256 (2013).
- [11] M. S. Khan, M. Swaleh, S. Sessa, *Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., **30** (1984), 1–9.
- [12] W. A. Kirk, P. S. Srinivasan, P. Veeramani, *Fixed points for mappings satisfying cyclical contractive conditions*, Fixed Point Theory, **4** (2003), 79–89.

- [13] P. K. Mishra, Sh. Sachdeva, S. K. Banerjee, *Some fixed point theorems in b-metric space*, Turkish J. Anal. Number Theory, **2** (2014), 19–22.
- [14] B. E. Rhoades, *Some theorems on weakly contractive maps*, Nonlinear Anal. **47** (2001), 2683–2693.
- [15] J. R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, Z. Kadelburg, *Common fixed point theorems for weakly isotone increasing mappings in ordered b-metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **7** (2014), 229–245.
- [16] S. Shukla, *Partial b-metric spaces and fixed point theorems*, Mediterr. J. Math. **11** (2014), 703–711.
- [17] O. Yamaod, W. Sintunavarat, *Fixed point theorems for  $(\alpha, \beta) - (\psi, \phi)$ -contractive mappings in b-metric spaces with some numerical results and applications*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **9** (2016), 22–33.
- [18] Z. Yang, H. Sadati, Sh. Sedghi, N. Shobe, *Common fixed point theorems for non-compatible self-maps in b-metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **8** (2015), 1022–1031.

(received 09.04.2016; in revised form 10.01.2017; available online 26.01.2017)

G.V.R.B.: Department of Mathematics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam-530 003, India.

*E-mail:* gvr\_babu@hotmail.com

T.M.D.: Present address: Department of Mathematics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam-530 003, India

Permanent address: Department of Mathematics, Wollega University, Nekemte-395, Ethiopia

*E-mail:* dulamosissa@gmail.com