

L. KOKILASHVILI

ON A NONLINEAR TWO-POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR HIGHER ORDER ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

(Reported on September 27, 1999)

The present paper deals with the problem of existence of solution of the n -th order nonlinear nonautonomous ordinary differential equation

$$u^{(n)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_k(t)u^{(k)} = f(t, u, u', \dots, u^{(n-1)}) \quad (1)$$

satisfying the nonlinear two-point boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(i)}(a) &= \varphi_{1i}(u(a), u'(a), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(a)) \quad (i = 0, \dots, n_0 - 1), \\ u^{(j)}(b) &= \varphi_{2j}(u(b), u'(b), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(b)) \quad (j = 0, \dots, n - n_0 - 1), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $n \geq 2$, $0 < a < b < +\infty$, n_0 is the entire part of $\frac{n}{2}$, each of the functions $p_k : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ is absolutely continuous together with its derivatives up to the order $k-1$ inclusive, the function $f : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the local Carathéodory conditions and the functions $\varphi_{1i} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 0, \dots, n_0 - 1$) and $\varphi_{2j} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} |\varphi_{1i}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})| &\leq c_1 \left(1 + \sum_{k=n_0}^{n-1} |x_k|\right)^{-\vartheta_1}, \\ \sum_{j=0}^{n-n_0-1} |\varphi_{2j}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})| &\leq c_2 \left(1 + \sum_{k=n-n_0}^{n-1} |x_k|\right)^{-\vartheta_2} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

on \mathbb{R}^n , where $c_i \geq 0$ and $\vartheta_i \in [0, 1]$ ($i = 1, 2$).

The above-given theorems on the existence and uniqueness of a solution of the problem (1), (2) supplement the results of the works [1–3, 6].

Everywhere in the sequel we will assume that $\mathbb{R} =]-\infty, +\infty[$, $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty[$ and \mathbb{R}^n is an n dimensional real Euclidean space.

Following [4], by μ_i^k ($k = 1, 2, \dots; k = 2i, 2i+1, \dots$) we denote real constants defined by the recurrence relation

$$\mu_0^{i+1} = 1/2, \quad \mu_i^{2i} = 1, \quad \mu_{i+1}^k = \mu_{i+1}^{k-1} + \mu_i^{k-2} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots; k = 2i+3, \dots).$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34B15.

Key words and phrases. Higher order ordinary differential equation, linear two-point boundary value problem, solvability, uniqueness.

Below in Theorems 1 and 2 it will be assumed that the function f satisfies the inequalities

$$(-1)^{n-n_0-1} f(t, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) s_0 \geq - \sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} \alpha_i(t) |x_i|, -\alpha(t), \quad (4)$$

$$|f(t, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})| \leq h(t, |x_0|, |x_1|, \dots, |x_{n_0-1}|) \quad (5)$$

on $[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, where the functions $\alpha_0 : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha_i : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ ($i = 1, \dots, n_0 - 1$) are summable and $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is square summable, while the function $h : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}_+^{n_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is summable in the first argument, nondecreasing in the last n_0 arguments and for any $\rho_0 > 0$ satisfies the conditions

$$\limsup_{\substack{t \rightarrow a_k \\ \rho \rightarrow +\infty}} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(\int_{a_k}^t h(\tau, \rho_0, \rho, \dots, \rho) d\tau \right)^{1-\lambda_k} < +\infty \quad (k = 1, 2), \quad (6)$$

where $\lambda_k \in [0, 1]$, $a_1 = a$ and $a_2 = b$.

Theorem 1. *Let there exist constants $\gamma_i \leq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n_0 - 1$), $\eta > 0$, and $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\mu_{n_0}^n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{i\gamma_i}{n_0} \eta^{i-n_0} \delta \quad (7)$$

and the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2i}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-n_0+k-i-1} \mu_i^k [t^{n-2n_0} p_k(t)]^{(k-2i)} + \\ & \quad + t^{n-2n_0} \alpha_i(t) \leq \gamma_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n_0 - 1), \\ & \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-n_0+k} \mu_0^k [t^{n-2n_0} |p(t)]^{(k)} - t^{n-2n_0} \sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} \alpha_i(t) \geq \\ & \quad \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n_0-1} \frac{(n_0-i)\gamma_i}{n_0} \eta^i + \delta \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

hold on $[a, b]$. Then the problem (1), (2) is solvable.

In the case where n is odd, we complement Theorem 1 with

Theorem 2. *Let $n = 2n_0 + 1$ and there exist a constant $\delta > 0$ such that the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n-1}(t) \leq -\delta, \quad & \sum_{k=2i}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-n_0+k-i} \mu_i^k [p_k(t)]^{(k-2i)} \leq 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n_0 - 1), \\ & \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n_0+k-1} \mu_0^k [p_k(t)]^{(k)} - \sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} \alpha_i(t) \geq \delta \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

hold on $[a, b]$. Then the problem (1), (2) is solvable.

In the special case where

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) &\equiv f(t, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n_0-1}), \\ \varphi_{1i}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) &\equiv 0 \quad (i = 0, \dots, n_0 - 1), \\ \varphi_{2j}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) &\equiv 0 \quad (j = 0, \dots, n - n_0 - 1), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., when the problem (1), (2) is of the form

$$u^{(n)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_k(t)u^{(k)} = f(t, u, u', \dots, u^{(n_0-1)}), \quad (1_0)$$

$$u^{(i)}(a) = 0 \quad (i = 0, \dots, n_0 - 1), \quad u^{(j)}(b) = 0 \quad (j = 0, \dots, n - n_0 - 1), \quad (2_0)$$

we have the following results on the unique solvability of the problem (1₀), (2₀).

Theorem 3. *Let the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{n-n_0-1} \left[f(t, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n_0-1}) - f(t, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n_0-1}) \right] \geq - \\ - \sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} \alpha_i(t) |x_i - y_i| \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

hold on $[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_0}$, where the functions $\alpha_0[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha_i : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ ($i = 1, \dots, n_0 - 1$) are summable. Moreover, assume that the condition

$$\int_a^b |f(t, 0, 0, \dots, 0)|^2 dt < +\infty \quad (11)$$

is fulfilled and there exist constants $\gamma_i \geq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n_0 - 1$), $\eta > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ satisfying (7) and such that the inequalities (8) hold on $[a, b]$. Then the problem (1₀), (2₀) is uniquely solvable.

Theorem 4. *Let $n = 2n_0 + 1$, the inequality (10) hold on $[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_0}$ and the condition (11) be fulfilled. Moreover, assume that there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that the inequalities (3) are satisfied on $[a, b]$. Then the problem (1₀), (2₀) is uniquely solvable.*

For proving the above-formulated theorems the use was made of the method of a priori estimates and the principle of a priori boundedness proven in [5].

REFERENCES

1. G. T. GEGELIA, On boundary value problems of periodic type for ordinary odd order differential equations. *Arch. Math.* **20**(1984), No. 4, 195–204.
2. G. T. GEGELIA, On boundary value problems of periodic type for ordinary differential equations. (Russian) *Proc. I. N. Vekua Inst. Appl. Math.* **17**(1986), 60–93.
3. G. T. GEGELIA, On bounded and periodic solutions of nonlinear ordinary differential equations. (Russian) *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **22**(1986), No. 3, 390–396.
4. I. T. KIGURADZE, On bounded and periodic solutions of linear higher order differential equations. (Russian) *Mat. Zametki* **37**(1985), No. 1, 48–62.
5. I. KIGURADZE AND B. PŮŽA, On the solvability of boundary value problems for systems of nonlinear differential equations with deviating arguments. *Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys.* **10**(1997), 157–161.

6. I. KIGURADZE AND G. TSKHOVREBADZE, On the two-point boundary value problems for systems of higher order ordinary differential equations with singularities. *Georgian Math. J. I.* **1**(1994), No. 1, 31–45.

Author's address:
Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics
I. Javakishvili Tbilisi State University
2, University St., Tbilisi 380043
Georgia