



[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 1 of 14

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

INTEGRABILITY CONDITIONS PERTAINING TO ORLICZ SPACE

L. LEINDLER

University of Szeged, Bolyai Institute
Aradi vértanúk tere 1,
6720 Szeged, Hungary
EMail: leindler@math.u-szeged.hu

Received: 04 September, 2006

Accepted: 01 June, 2007

Communicated by: **S.S. Dragomir**

2000 AMS Sub. Class.: 42A32, 46E30.

Key words: Trigonometric series, Integrability, Orlicz space.

Abstract: Recently S. Tikhonov proved two theorems on the integrability of sine and cosine series with coefficients from the $R_0^+ BVS$ class. These results are extended such that the $R_0^+ BVS$ class is replaced by the $MRBVS$ class.

Acknowledgements: The author was partially supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research under Grant # T042462.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	New Result	5
3	Notions and Notations	6
4	Lemmas	8
5	Proof of Theorem 2.1	11



Integrability Conditions

L. Leindler

vol. 8, iss. 2, art. 38, 2007

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 2 of 14

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756



1. Introduction

There are many known and classical theorems pertaining to the integrability of formal sine and cosine series

$$(1.1) \quad g(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \sin nx,$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad f(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \cos nx.$$

We do not recall such theorems because a nice short survey of these results with references can be found in a recent paper of S. Tikhonov [3], where he proved two theorems providing sufficient conditions of belonging of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ to Orlicz spaces. In his theorems the sequence of the coefficients λ_n belongs to the class of sequences of rest bounded variation. For notions and notations, please, consult the third section.

In the present paper we shall verify analogous results assuming only that the sequence $\lambda := \{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of mean rest bounded variation. We emphasize that the latter sequences may have many zero terms, while the previous ones have no zero term.

Tikhonov's theorems read as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Phi(x) \in \Delta(p, 0)$ ($0 \leq p$). If $\{\lambda_n\} \in R_0^+ BVS$, and the sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ is such that $\{\gamma_n n^{-1+\varepsilon}\}$ is almost decreasing for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then*

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_n}{n^2} \Phi(n \lambda_n) < \infty \Rightarrow \psi(x) \in L(\Phi, \gamma),$$

where a function $\psi(x)$ is either a sine or cosine series.

Theorem 1.2. Let $\Phi(x) \in \Delta(p, q)$ ($0 \leq q \leq p$). If $\{\lambda_n\} \in R_0^+ BVS$, and the sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ is such that $\{\gamma_n n^{-(1+q)+\varepsilon}\}$ is almost decreasing for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_n}{n^{2+q}} \Phi(n^2 \lambda_n) < \infty \Rightarrow g(x) \in L(\Phi, \gamma).$$



Integrability Conditions

L. Leindler

vol. 8, iss. 2, art. 38, 2007

Title Page

Contents



Page 4 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756



2. New Result

Now, we formulate our result in a terse form.

Theorem 2.1. *Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 can be improved when the condition $\{\lambda_n\} \in R_0^+ BVS$ is replaced by the assumption $\{\lambda_n\} \in MRBVS$. Furthermore the conditions of (1.3) and (1.4) may be modified as follows:*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_n}{n^2} \Phi \left(\sum_{\nu=n}^{2n-1} \lambda_{\nu} \right) < \infty \Rightarrow \psi(x) \in L(\Phi, \gamma),$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_n}{n^{2+q}} \Phi \left(n \sum_{\nu=n}^{2n-1} \lambda_{\nu} \right) < \infty \Rightarrow g(x) \in L(\Phi, \gamma),$$

respectively.

Remark 1. It is easy to see that if $\{\lambda_n\} \in R_0^+ BVS$ also holds, then

$$\sum_{\nu=n}^{2n-1} \lambda_{\nu} \ll n \lambda_n,$$

that is, our assumptions are not worse than (1.3) and (1.4).

3. Notions and Notations

A null-sequence $\mathbf{c} := \{c_n\}$ ($c_n \rightarrow 0$) of *positive* numbers satisfying the inequalities

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |\Delta c_n| \leq K(\mathbf{c})c_m, \quad (\Delta c_n := c_n - c_{n+1}), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

with a constant $K(\mathbf{c}) > 0$ is said to be a *sequence of rest bounded variation*, in brief, $\mathbf{c} \in R_0^+ BVS$.

A null-sequence \mathbf{c} of *nonnegative* numbers possessing the property

$$\sum_{n=2m}^{\infty} |\Delta c_n| \leq K(\mathbf{c})m^{-1} \sum_{\nu=m}^{2m-1} c_\nu$$

is called a *sequence of mean rest bounded variation*, in symbols, $\mathbf{c} \in MRBVS$.

It is clear that the class *MRBVS* includes the class $R_0^+ BVS$.

The author is grateful to the referee for calling his attention to an inaccuracy in the previous definition of the class *MRBVS* and to some typos.

A sequence γ of positive terms will be called almost increasing (decreasing) if

$$K(\gamma)\gamma_n \geq \gamma_m \quad (\gamma_n \leq K(\gamma)\gamma_m)$$

holds for any $n \geq m$.

Denote by $\Delta(p, q)$ ($0 \leq q \leq p$) the set of all nonnegative functions $\Phi(x)$ defined on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Phi(x)/x^p$ is nonincreasing and $\Phi(x)/x^q$ is nondecreasing.

In this paper a sequence $\gamma := \{\gamma_n\}$ is associated to a function $\gamma(x)$ being defined in the following way: $\gamma\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) := \gamma_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $K_1(\gamma)\gamma_{n+1} \leq \gamma(x) \leq K_2(\gamma)\gamma_n$ holds for all $x \in \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}, \frac{\pi}{n}\right)$.



Integrability Conditions

L. Leindler

vol. 8, iss. 2, art. 38, 2007

Title Page

Contents



Page 6 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756



Title Page

Contents



Page 7 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

A locally integrable almost everywhere positive function $\gamma(x) : [0, \pi] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a weight function.

Let $\Phi(t)$ be a nondecreasing continuous function defined on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t) = +\infty$. For a weight function $\gamma(x)$ the weighted Orlicz space $L(\Phi, \gamma)$ is defined by

$$L(\Phi, \gamma) := \left\{ h : \int_0^\pi \gamma(x) \Phi(\varepsilon |h(x)|) dx < \infty \text{ for some } \varepsilon > 0 \right\}.$$

Later on $D_k(x)$ and $\tilde{D}_k(x)$ shall denote the Dirichlet and the conjugate Dirichlet kernels. It is known that, if $x > 0$, $|D_k(x)| = O(x^{-1})$ and $|\tilde{D}_k(x)| = O(x^{-1})$ hold.

We shall also use the notation $L \ll R$ if there exists a positive constant K such that $L \leq KR$.

[Title Page](#)[Contents](#)[◀◀](#) [▶▶](#)[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 8 of 14

[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)

4. Lemmas

Lemma 4.1 ([1]). *If $a_n \geq 0$, $\rho_n > 0$, and if $p \geq 1$, then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_n \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^n a_{\nu} \right)^p \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_n^{1-p} a_n^p \left(\sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \rho_{\nu} \right)^p.$$

Lemma 4.2 ([2]). *Let $\Phi \in \Delta(p, q)$ ($0 \leq q \leq p$) and $t_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$1. \quad Q^p \Phi(t) \leq \Phi(Qt) \leq Q^q \Phi(t), \quad 0 \leq Q \leq 1, \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$2. \quad \Phi \left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_j \right) \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \Phi^{1/p^*}(t_j) \right)^{p^*}, \quad p^* := \max(1, p).$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\Phi \in \Delta(p, q)$ ($0 \leq q \leq p$). If $\rho_n > 0$, $a_n \geq 0$, and if*

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{\nu=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1} a_{\nu} \ll \sum_{\nu=1}^{2^m-1} a_{\nu}$$

holds for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k \Phi \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^k a_{\nu} \right) \ll \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi \left(\sum_{\nu=k}^{2k-1} a_{\nu} \right) \rho_k \left(\frac{1}{k \rho_k} \sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} \rho_{\nu} \right)^{p^*},$$

where $p^ := \max(1, p)$.*



[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 9 of 14

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

Proof. Denote by $A_n := n^{-1} \sum_{\nu=n}^{2n-1} a_\nu$. Let ξ be an integer such that $2^\xi \leq k < 2^{\xi+1}$. Then

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^k a_\nu \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\xi} \sum_{\nu=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1} a_\nu = \sum_{m=0}^{\xi} 2^m A_{2^m}.$$

Utilizing the properties of Φ , furthermore (4.1), (4.2) and Lemma 4.2, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^k a_\nu \right) &\ll \Phi \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\xi} 2^m A_{2^m} \right) \\ &\ll \Phi \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\xi-1} 2^m A_{2^m} \right) \\ &\ll \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\xi-1} \Phi^{1/p^*} (2^m A_{2^m}) \right)^{p^*} \ll \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^k \nu^{-1} \Phi^{1/p^*} (\nu A_\nu) \right)^{p^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k \Phi \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^k a_\nu \right) &\ll \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^k \nu^{-1} \Phi^{1/p^*} (\nu A_\nu) \right)^{p^*} \\ &\ll \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k^{1-p^*} (k^{-1} \Phi^{1/p^*} (k A_k))^{p^*} \left(\sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} \rho_\nu \right)^{p^*} \\ &\ll \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k \Phi(k A_k) \left((k \rho_k)^{-1} \sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} \rho_\nu \right)^{p^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Herewith the proof is complete. □

Lemma 4.4. *If $\lambda := \{\lambda_n\} \in MRBVS$ and $\Lambda_n := n^{-1} \sum_{\nu=n}^{2n-1} \lambda_\nu$, then*

$$\Lambda_k \ll \Lambda_\ell$$

holds for all $k \geq 2\ell$.

Proof. It is clear that if $m \geq 2\ell$, then

$$\ell^{-1} \sum_{\nu=\ell}^{2\ell-1} \lambda_\nu \gg \sum_{\nu=2\ell}^{\infty} |\Delta \lambda_\nu| \geq \sum_{\nu=m}^{\infty} |\Delta \lambda_\nu| \geq \lambda_m,$$

whence

$$\Lambda_\ell = \ell^{-1} \sum_{\nu=\ell}^{2\ell-1} \lambda_\nu \gg k^{-1} \sum_{m=k}^{2k-1} \lambda_m = \Lambda_k$$

obviously follows. □



Integrability Conditions

L. Leindler

vol. 8, iss. 2, art. 38, 2007

Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 10 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756



5. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $x \in \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}, \frac{\pi}{n}\right)$. Using Abel's rearrangement, the known estimate of $D_k(x)$ and the fact that $\lambda \in MRBVS$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k + \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \cos kx \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |\Delta \lambda_k D_k(x)| + \lambda_n |D_n(x)| \\ &\ll \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k + \sum_{k \geq n/2}^n \lambda_k + n \lambda_n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\lambda \in MRBVS$, and we obtain that

$$|f(x)| \ll \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k$$

also holds.

A similar argument yields

$$|g(x)| \ll \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k,$$

thus we have

$$(5.1) \quad |\psi(x)| \ll \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k,$$



[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)

[◀◀](#) [▶▶](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 12 of 14

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

where $\psi(x)$ is either $f(x)$ or $g(x)$.

By Lemma 4.4, the condition (4.1) with λ_ν in place of a_ν is satisfied, thus we can apply Lemma 4.3, consequently (5.1) and some elementary calculations give that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^\pi \gamma(x) \Phi(|\psi(x)|) dx &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \right) \int_{\pi/(n+1)}^{\pi/n} \gamma(x) dx \\
 &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n n^{-2} \Phi \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \right) \\
 (5.2) \quad &\ll \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi \left(\sum_{\nu=k}^{2k-1} \lambda_\nu \right) \gamma_k k^{-2} \left(k \gamma_k^{-1} \sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} \gamma_\nu \nu^{-2} \right)^{p^*}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the sequence $\{\gamma_n n^{-1+\varepsilon}\}$ is almost decreasing, then

$$k \gamma_k^{-1} \sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} \gamma_\nu \nu^{-2} \ll 1,$$

therefore (5.2) proves (2.1).

To prove (2.2) we follow a similar procedure as above. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |g(x)| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n kx \lambda_k + \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \sin kx \right| \\
 &\ll x \sum_{k=1}^n k \lambda_k + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |\Delta \lambda_k \tilde{D}_k(x)| + \lambda_n |\tilde{D}_n(x)| \\
 (5.3) \quad &\ll n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n k \lambda_k + \sum_{k \geq n/2}^n \lambda_k + n \lambda_n \ll n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n k \lambda_k.
 \end{aligned}$$



Title Page

Contents



Page 13 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Using Lemmas 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 and the estimate (5.3), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\pi \gamma(x) \Phi(|g(x)|) dx &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi \left(n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n k \lambda_k \right) \int_{\pi/(n+1)}^{\pi/n} \gamma(x) dx \\ &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n n^{-2-q} \Phi \left(\sum_{k=1}^n k \lambda_k \right) \\ (5.4) \quad &\ll \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi \left(k \sum_{\nu=k}^{2k-1} \lambda_\nu \right) \gamma_k k^{-2-q} \left(k^{1+q} \gamma_k^{-1} \sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} \gamma_\nu \nu^{-2-q} \right)^{p^*}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption on $\{\gamma_n\}$,

$$k^{1+q} \gamma_k^{-1} \sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} \gamma_\nu \nu^{-2-q} \ll 1,$$

and thus (5.4) yields that

$$\int_0^\pi \gamma(x) \Phi(|g(x)|) dx \ll \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k k^{-2-q} \Phi \left(k \sum_{\nu=k}^{2k-1} \lambda_\nu \right)$$

holds, which proves (2.2).

Herewith the proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete. \square

References

- [1] L. LEINDLER, Generalization of inequalities of Hardy and Littlewood, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **31** (1970), 279–285.
- [2] M. MATELJEVIC AND PAVLOVIC, L^p -behavior of power series with positive coefficients and Hardy spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **87** (1983), 309–316.
- [3] S. TIKHONOV, On belonging of trigonometric series of Orlicz space, *J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **5**(2) (2004), Art. 22. [ONLINE: <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=395>].



Integrability Conditions

L. Leindler

vol. 8, iss. 2, art. 38, 2007

Title Page

Contents



Page 14 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756