



## ON CHAOTIC ORDER OF INDEFINITE TYPE

TAKASHI SANO

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
FACULTY OF SCIENCE, YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY  
YAMAGATA 990-8560, JAPAN  
sano@sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp

Received 02 June, 2006; accepted 27 April, 2007

Communicated by F. Hansen

---

ABSTRACT. Let  $A, B$  be  $J$ -selfadjoint matrices with positive eigenvalues and  $I \geq^J A, I \geq^J B$ . Then it is proved as an application of Furuta inequality of indefinite type that

$$\text{Log } A \geq^J \text{Log } B$$

if and only if

$$A^r \geq^J (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{r}{p+r}}$$

for all  $p > 0$  and  $r > 0$ .

---

*Key words and phrases:* Chaotic order; (Indefinite) Inner product space; Furuta inequality of indefinite type.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B50, 47A63.

In [2], T. Ando gave inequalities for matrices on an (indefinite) inner product space; for instance,

**Proposition 1** ([2, Theorem 4]). *Let  $A, B$  be  $J$ -selfadjoint matrices with  $\sigma(A), \sigma(B) \subseteq (\alpha, \beta)$ . Then*

$$A \geq^J B \Rightarrow f(A) \geq^J f(B)$$

for any operator monotone function  $f(t)$  on  $(\alpha, \beta)$ .

Since the principal branch  $\text{Log } x$  of the logarithm is operator monotone, as a corollary, we have

**Corollary 2.** *For  $J$ -selfadjoint matrices  $A, B$  with positive eigenvalues and  $A \geq^J B$ , we have*

$$\text{Log } A \geq^J \text{Log } B.$$

In this note, we give a characterization of this inequality relation, called a chaotic order, for  $J$ -selfadjoint matrices  $A, B$  with positive eigenvalues and  $I \geq^J A, I \geq^J B$ .

Before giving our theorem, we recall basic facts about matrices on an (indefinite) inner product space. We refer the reader to [3].

Let  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be the set of all complex  $n$ -square matrices acting on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the standard inner product on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ;  $\langle x, y \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \overline{y_i}$  for  $x = (x_i), y = (y_i) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . For a selfadjoint involution  $J \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ;  $J = J^*$  and  $J^2 = I$ , we consider the (indefinite) inner product  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  given by

$$[x, y] := \langle Jx, y \rangle \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n).$$

The  $J$ -adjoint matrix  $A^\sharp$  of  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is defined as

$$[Ax, y] = [x, A^\sharp y] \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n).$$

In other words,  $A^\sharp = JA^*J$ . A matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is said to be  $J$ -selfadjoint if  $A^\sharp = A$  or  $JA^*J = A$ . And for  $J$ -selfadjoint matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , the  $J$ -order, denoted as  $A \geq^J B$ , is defined by

$$[Ax, x] \geq [Bx, x] \quad (x \in \mathbb{C}^n).$$

A matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is called  $J$ -positive if  $A \geq^J O$ , or

$$[Ax, x] \geq 0 \quad (x \in \mathbb{C}^n).$$

A matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is said to be a  $J$ -contraction if  $I \geq^J A^\sharp A$  or  $[x, x] \geq [Ax, Ax]$  ( $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ). We remark that  $I \geq^J A$  implies that all eigenvalues of  $A$  are real. Hence, for a  $J$ -contraction  $A$  all eigenvalues of  $A^\sharp A$  are real. In fact, by a result of Potapov-Ginzburg (see [3, Chapter 2, Section 4]), all eigenvalues of  $A^\sharp A$  are non-negative.

We also recall facts in [6]:

**Proposition 3** ([6, Theorem 2.6]). *Let  $A, B$  be  $J$ -selfadjoint matrices with non-negative eigenvalues and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . If*

$$I \geq^J A \geq^J B,$$

*then  $J$ -selfadjoint powers  $A^\alpha, B^\alpha$  are well defined and*

$$I \geq^J A^\alpha \geq^J B^\alpha.$$

**Proposition 4** ([6, Lemma 3.1]). *Let  $A, B$  be  $J$ -selfadjoint matrices with non-negative eigenvalues and  $I \geq^J A, I \geq^J B$ . Then the eigenvalues of  $ABA$  are non-negative and*

$$I \geq^J A^\lambda$$

*for all  $\lambda > 0$ .*

We also have a generalization; Furuta inequality of indefinite type:

**Proposition 5** ([6, Theorem 3.4]). *Let  $A, B$  be  $J$ -selfadjoint matrices with non-negative eigenvalues and  $I \geq^J A \geq^J B$ . For each  $r \geq 0$ ,*

$$\left( A^{\frac{r}{2}} A^p A^{\frac{r}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq^J \left( A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{r}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

*holds for all  $p \geq 0, q \geq 1$  with  $(1+r)q \geq p+r$ .*

**Remark 6.** Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . For  $J$ -selfadjoint matrices  $A, B$  with positive eigenvalues and  $A \geq^J B$ , we have

$$A^\alpha \geq^J B^\alpha,$$

by applying Proposition 1 to the operator monotone function  $x^\alpha$  whose principal branch is considered. Hence,

$$\frac{A^\alpha - I}{\alpha} \geq^J \frac{B^\alpha - I}{\alpha}.$$

We remark that  $A^\alpha$  is given by the Dunford integral and that

$$\frac{A^\alpha - I}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{\zeta^\alpha - 1}{\alpha} (\zeta I - A)^{-1} d\zeta,$$

where  $C$  is a closed rectifiable contour in the domain of  $\zeta^\alpha$  with positive direction surrounding all eigenvalues of  $A$  in its interior. Since

$$\frac{\zeta^\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \rightarrow \text{Log } \zeta \quad (\alpha \rightarrow 0)$$

uniformly for  $\zeta$ , we also have Corollary 2.

Our theorem is as follows:

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $A, B$  be  $J$ -selfadjoint matrices with positive eigenvalues and  $I \geq^J A, I \geq^J B$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{Log } A \geq^J \text{Log } B$ .
- (ii)  $A^r \geq^J (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{r}{p+r}}$  for all  $p > 0$  and  $r > 0$ .

Here, principal branches of the functions are considered.

This theorem, as well as the corresponding result on a Hilbert space ([1, 4, 5, 7]), can be obtained and the similar approach in [7] also works. But careful arguments are necessary, and this is the reason for the present note.

*Proof.* (ii)  $\implies$  (i): Assume that

$$A^r \geq^J (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{r}{p+r}}$$

for all  $p > 0$  and  $r > 0$ . Then by Corollary 2, we have

$$r(p+r)\text{Log } A \geq^J r\text{Log } (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{r}{2}}).$$

Dividing this inequality by  $r > 0$  and taking  $p, r$  as  $p = 1, r \rightarrow 0$ , we have (i).

(i)  $\implies$  (ii): Since

$$I \geq^J A, B,$$

by assumption, it follows from Corollary 2 that

$$O = \text{Log } I \geq^J \text{Log } A, \text{Log } B.$$

Hence, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$I \geq^J I + \frac{1}{n} \text{Log } A =: A_1, \quad I + \frac{1}{n} \text{Log } B =: B_1.$$

For a sufficiently large  $n$ , all eigenvalues of  $A_1, B_1$  are positive. Applying Proposition 5 to  $A_1, B_1$  and  $np, nr, \frac{nr+np}{nr}$  (resp.) as  $p, r, q$  (resp.), we get

$$(\#) \quad A_1^{nr} \geq^J \left( A_1^{\frac{nr}{2}} B_1^{np} A_1^{\frac{nr}{2}} \right)^{\frac{nr}{np+nr}}$$

for all  $p > 0, q > 0$ . Recall that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( I + \frac{A}{n} \right)^n = e^A$$

for any matrix  $A$  and that  $e^{\text{Log } X} = X$  for any matrix  $X$  with all eigenvalues positive. Therefore, taking  $n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the inequality (#), we obtain the conclusion.  $\square$

**REFERENCES**

- [1] T. ANDO, On some operator inequalities, *Math. Ann.*, **279** (1987), 157–159.
- [2] T. ANDO, Löwner inequality of indefinite type, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **385** (2004), 73–80.
- [3] T. Ya. AZIZOV AND I.S. IOKHVIDOV, *Linear Operators in Spaces with an Indefinite Metric*, Nauka, Moscow, 1986, English translation: Wiley, New York, 1989.
- [4] M. FUJII, T. FURUTA AND E. KAMEI, Furuta's inequality and its application to Ando's theorem, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **179** (1993), 161–169.
- [5] T. FURUTA, Applications of order preserving operator inequalities, *Op. Theory Adv. Appl.*, **59** (1992), 180-190.
- [6] T. SANO, Furuta inequality of indefinite type, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **10** (2007), 381–387.
- [7] M. UCHIYAMA, Some exponential operator inequalities, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **2** (1999), 469–471.