



## NOTE ON AN INTEGRAL INEQUALITY APPLICABLE IN PDEs

V. ČULJAK

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
FACULTY OF CIVIL ENGINEERING  
UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB  
KACICEVA 26, 10 000 ZAGREB, CROATIA  
vera@master.grad.hr

*Received 22 April, 2008; accepted 06 May, 2008*

*Communicated by J. Pečarić*

---

ABSTRACT. The article presents and refines the results which were proven in [1]. We give a condition for obtaining the optimal constant of the integral inequality for the numerical analysis of a nonlinear system of PDEs.

---

*Key words and phrases:* Integral inequality, Nonlinear system of PDEs.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26D15, Secondary 26D99.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In [1] the following problem is considered and its application to nonlinear system of PDEs is described.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a < 0$ ,  $b > 0$  and  $f \in C[a, b]$ , such that:  $0 < f \leq 1$  on  $[a, b]$ ,  $f$  is decreasing on  $[a, 0]$  and*

$$\int_a^0 f dx = \int_0^b f dx$$

*then*

(a) *If  $p \geq 2$ , the inequality*

$$(1.1) \quad \int_a^b f^p dx \leq A_p \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} f dx$$

*holds for all  $A_p \geq 2$ .*

(b) *If  $1 \leq p < 2$ , the inequality*

$$(1.2) \quad \int_a^b f^p dx \leq A_p \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} f dx$$

*holds for all  $A_p \geq 4$ .*

In this note we improve the optimal  $A_p$  for the case  $1 < p < 2$ .

## 2. RESULTS

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a < 0, b > 0$  and  $f \in C[a, b]$ , such that  $0 < f \leq 1$  on  $[a, b]$ ,  $f$  is decreasing on  $[a, 0]$  and

$$\int_a^0 f dx = \int_0^b f dx.$$

(i) If  $a + b \geq 0$ , then for  $1 \leq p$ , this inequality holds

$$(2.1) \quad \int_a^b f^p dx \leq 2 \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} f dx.$$

(ii) If  $a + b < 0$  then

(a) If  $p \geq 2$ , the inequality

$$(2.2) \quad \int_a^b f^p dx \leq A_p \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} f dx$$

holds for all  $A_p \geq 2$ .

(b) If  $1 < p < 2$ , the inequality

$$(2.3) \quad \int_a^b f^p dx \leq A_p \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} f dx$$

holds for all  $A_p \geq 2 \frac{1+x_{\max}^{p-1}}{1+x_{\max}}$ , where  $0 < x_{\max} \leq 1$  is the solution of

$$(2.4) \quad x^{p-1}(p-2) + x^{p-2}(p-1) - 1 = 0.$$

(c) For  $p = 1$  the inequality

$$(2.5) \quad \int_a^b f dx \leq 4 \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} f dx$$

holds.

*Proof.* As in the proof in [1], we consider two cases: (i)  $a + b \geq 0$  and (ii)  $a + b < 0$ .

(i) First, we suppose that  $a + b \geq 0$ . Using the properties of the function  $f$ , we conclude, for  $p \geq 1$ , that:

$$\int_a^b f^p dx \leq \int_a^b f dx = 2 \int_a^0 f dx \leq 2 \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} f dx.$$

The constant  $A_p = 2$  is the best possible. To prove sharpness, we choose  $f = 1$ .

(ii) Now we suppose that  $a + b < 0$ . Let  $\varphi : [a, 0] \rightarrow [0, b]$  be a function with the property

$$\int_x^0 f dt = \int_0^{\varphi(x)} f dt.$$

So,  $\varphi(x)$  is differentiable and  $\varphi(a) = b, \varphi(0) = 0$ .

For arbitrary  $x \in [a, 0]$ , such that  $x + \varphi(x) \geq 0$ , according to case (i) for  $p \geq 1$ , we obtain the inequality

$$\int_x^{\varphi(x)} f^p dt \leq 2 \int_x^{\frac{x+\varphi(x)}{2}} f dt.$$

In particular, for  $x = a$ ,

$$\int_a^b f^p dt \leq 2 \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} f dt.$$

If we suppose that  $x + \varphi(x) < 0$  for arbitrary  $x \in [a, 0]$ , then we define a new function

$\psi : [a, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\psi(x) = A_p \int_x^{\frac{x+\varphi(x)}{2}} f dt - \int_x^{\varphi(x)} f^p dt.$$

The function  $\psi$  is differentiable and

$$\psi'(x) = \frac{1}{2}A_p(1 + \varphi'(x))f\left(\frac{x + \varphi(x)}{2}\right) - A_p f(x) - f^p(\varphi(x))\varphi'(x) + f^p(x)$$

and  $\psi(0) = 0$ .

If we prove that  $\psi'(x) \leq 0$  then the inequality

$$\int_x^{\varphi(x)} f^p dt \leq A_p \int_x^{\frac{x+\varphi(x)}{2}} f dt$$

holds.

Using the properties of the functions  $f$ ,  $\varphi$  and the fact that  $f(\varphi(x))\varphi'(x) = -f(x)$ , we consider  $f(\varphi(x))\psi'(x)$  and try to conclude that  $f(\varphi(x))\psi'(x) \leq 0$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\varphi(x))\psi'(x) \\ &= f(\varphi(x)) \left[ \frac{1}{2}A_p(1 + \varphi'(x))f\left(\frac{x + \varphi(x)}{2}\right) - A_p f(x) - f^p(\varphi(x))\varphi'(x) + f^p(x) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}A_p f(\varphi(x))f\left(\frac{x + \varphi(x)}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}A_p f(\varphi(x))\varphi'(x)f\left(\frac{x + \varphi(x)}{2}\right) - A_p f(x)f(\varphi(x)) \\ &\quad - f^p(\varphi(x))\varphi'(x)f(\varphi(x)) + f^p(x)f(\varphi(x)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}A_p f(\varphi(x))f\left(\frac{x + \varphi(x)}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}A_p f(x)f\left(\frac{x + \varphi(x)}{2}\right) - A_p f(x)f(\varphi(x)) \\ &\quad + f^p(\varphi(x))f(x) + f^p(x)f(\varphi(x)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}A_p [f(\varphi(x)) - f(x)]f\left(\frac{x + \varphi(x)}{2}\right) - A_p f(x)f(\varphi(x)) \\ &\quad + f^p(\varphi(x))f(x) + f^p(x)f(\varphi(x)). \end{aligned}$$

For  $p \geq 1$ , if  $[f(\varphi(x)) - f(x)] \leq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\varphi(x))\psi'(x) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}A_p [f(\varphi(x)) - f(x)]f\left(\frac{x + \varphi(x)}{2}\right) - A_p f(x)f(\varphi(x)) \\ &\quad + [f(\varphi(x))f(x) + f(x)f(\varphi(x))] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}A_p [f(\varphi(x)) - f(x)]f\left(\frac{x + \varphi(x)}{2}\right) - (A_p - 2)f(x)f(\varphi(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Then, obviously,  $\psi'(x) \leq 0$  for  $A_p - 2 \geq 0$ .

If we suppose that  $[f(\varphi(x)) - f(x)] > 0$  then using the properties of  $\varphi$ , we can conclude that  $f\left(\frac{x+\varphi(x)}{2}\right) \leq f(x)$  and we estimate  $f(\varphi(x))\psi'(x)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\varphi(x))\psi'(x) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}A_p [f(\varphi(x)) - f(x)]f(x) - A_p f(x)f(\varphi(x)) + f^p(\varphi(x))f(x) + f^p(x)f(\varphi(x)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}A_p [f(\varphi(x)) - f(x)]f(x) - A_p f(x)f(\varphi(x)) + f(\varphi(x))f(x) + f(x)f(\varphi(x)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{2}A_p f^2(x) + \left(2 - \frac{1}{2}A_p\right) f(\varphi(x))f(x) \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{2}A_p f^2(x) + \left(2 - \frac{1}{2}A_p\right) f^2(\varphi(x)) \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{2}(A_p - 4)f^2(\varphi(x)).
\end{aligned}$$

So,  $\psi'(x) \leq 0$  for  $A_p - 4 \geq 0$ .

Now, we will consider the sign of  $f(\varphi(x))\psi'(x)$  for  $p = 1$ ,  $p \geq 2$ , and  $1 < p < 2$ .

(a) For  $p \geq 2$ , we try to improve the constant  $A_p \geq 4$  for the case  $a + b < 0$  and  $[f(\varphi(x)) - f(x)] > 0$ . We can estimate  $f(\varphi(x))\psi'(x)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&f(\varphi(x))\psi'(x) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}A_p[f(\varphi(x)) - f(x)]f(x) - A_p f(x)f(\varphi(x)) + f^p(\varphi(x))f(x) + f^p(x)f(\varphi(x)) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}A_p[f(\varphi(x)) - f(x)]f(x) - A_p f(x)f(\varphi(x)) + f^2(\varphi(x))f(x) + f^2(x)f(\varphi(x)) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}f(x)[f(x) + f(\varphi(x))][2f(\varphi(x)) - A_p].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\psi'(x) \leq 0$  for  $A_p \geq 2$ .

(b) For  $1 < p < 2$ , we can improve the constant  $A_p \geq 4$  for the case  $a + b < 0$  and  $[f(\varphi(x)) - f(x)] > 0$ . We can estimate  $f(\varphi(x))\psi'(x)$  (for  $0 < f(x) = y < f(\varphi(x)) = z \leq 1$ ), as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&f(\varphi(x))\psi'(x) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}A_p[f(\varphi(x)) - f(x)]f(x) - A_p f(x)f(\varphi(x)) + f^p(\varphi(x))f(x) + f^p(x)f(\varphi(x)) \\
&\leq y \left[ -\frac{1}{2}A_p z - \frac{1}{2}A_p y + z^p + y^{p-1}z \right] \\
&= y \left[ -\frac{1}{2}A_p z \left(1 + \frac{y}{z}\right) + z^p \left(1 + \left(\frac{y}{z}\right)^{p-1}\right) \right] \\
&\leq yz \left[ -\frac{1}{2}A_p \left(1 + \frac{y}{z}\right) + 1 + \left(\frac{y}{z}\right)^{p-1} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

So, we conclude that  $\psi'(x) \leq 0$  if

$$\left[ -\frac{1}{2}A_p(1+t) + 1 + t^{p-1} \right] < 0,$$

for  $0 < t = \frac{y}{z} \leq 1$ .

Therefore, for  $1 < p < 2$  the constant  $A_p \geq 2 \max_{0 < t \leq 1} \frac{1+t^{p-1}}{1+t}$ .

The function  $\frac{1+t^{p-1}}{1+t}$  is concave on  $(0, 1]$  and the point  $t_{\max}$  where the maximum is achieved is a root of the equation

$$t^{p-1}(p-2) + t^{p-2}(p-1) - 1 = 0.$$

Numerically we get the following values of  $A_p$  :

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{for } p = 1.01, && \text{the constant } A_p \geq 3.8774, \\
&\text{for } p = 1.99, && \text{the constant } A_p \geq 2.0056, \\
&\text{for } p = 1.9999, && \text{the constant } A_p \geq 2.0001.
\end{aligned}$$

If we consider the sequence  $p_n = 2 - \frac{1}{n}$ , then the  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1+t^{p_n-1}}{1+t} = 1$ , but we find that the point  $t_{\max}$  where the function  $\frac{1+t^{p_n-1}}{1+t}$  achieves the maximum is a fixed point of the function  $g(x) = (1 - \frac{1+x}{n})^n$ .

We use fixed point iteration to find the fixed point for the function  $g(x) = (1 - \frac{1+x}{100})^{100}$ , by starting with  $t_0 = 0.2$  and iterating  $t_k = g(t_{k-1})$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 7$ :

$$\begin{aligned} t_0 &= 0.2000000000000000, \\ t_1 &= 0.299016021496423, \\ t_2 &= 0.270488141422931, \\ t_3 &= 0.278419068898826, \\ t_4 &= 0.276191402436672, \\ t_5 &= 0.276815328895026, \\ t_6 &= 0.276640438571483, \\ t_7 &= 0.276689450339917. \end{aligned}$$

When  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e.  $p_n \rightarrow 2$ , the point  $t_{\max}$  where the function  $\frac{1+t^{p_n-1}}{1+t}$  achieves the maximum is a fixed point of the function  $g(x) = e^{-(1+x)}$ .

We use fixed point iteration to find the fixed point for the function  $g(x) = e^{-(1+x)}$ , by starting with  $t_0 = 0.2$  and iterating  $t_k = g(t_{k-1})$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 7$ :

$$\begin{aligned} t_0 &= 0.2000000000000000, \\ t_1 &= 0.301194211912202, \\ t_2 &= 0.272206526577512, \\ t_3 &= 0.280212642489384, \\ t_4 &= 0.277978184195021, \\ t_5 &= 0.278600009316777, \\ t_6 &= 0.278426822683543, \\ t_7 &= 0.278475046663319 \end{aligned}$$

If we consider the sequence  $p_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1+t^{p_n-1}}{1+t} = \frac{2}{1+t}$ , and  $\sup_{t \in (0,1]} \frac{2}{1+t} = 2$  for  $t \rightarrow 0 +$ .

(c) For  $p = 1$ ,

- if  $[f(\varphi(x)) - f(x)] \leq 0$  then  $\psi'(x) \leq 0$  for  $A_1 - 2 \geq 0$ ;
- if  $[f(\varphi(x)) - f(x)] > 0$  then  $\psi'(x) \leq 0$  for  $A_1 - 4 \geq 0$ ,

so, the best constant is  $A_1 = 4$ . □

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. JOVANOVIĆ, On an inequality in nonlinear thermoelasticity, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **8**(4) (2007), Art. 105. [ONLINE: <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=916>].