

# Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics


## ON CERTAIN INEQUALITIES RELATED TO THE SEITZ INEQUALITY

LIANG-CHENG WANG AND JIA-GUI LUO

Department of Mathematics  
Daxian Teacher's College  
Dazhou 635000, Sichuan Province  
The People's Republic of China.  
*E*Mail: [wangliangcheng@163.com](mailto:wangliangcheng@163.com)

Department of Mathematics  
Zhongshan University  
Guangzhou 510275  
People's Republic of China.

©2000 Victoria University  
ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756  
112-03



---

volume 5, issue 2, article 39,  
2004.

*Received 18 August, 2003;  
accepted 11 April, 2004.*

*Communicated by: F. Qi*

---

Abstract

Contents



Home Page

Go Back

Close

Quit

## Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the monotonicity of difference results from the G. Seitz inequality. An application is given, with some resulting inequalities.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 26D15

*Key words:* G. Seitz inequality, Convex function, Difference, Monotonicity, Exponential convex.

The first author is partially supported by the Key Research Foundation of the Daxian Teacher's College under Grant 2003–81.

## Contents

1	Introduction .....	3
2	Proof of Theorem 1.1 .....	7
3	Applications .....	14
	References	



---

### On Certain Inequalities Related to the Seitz Inequality

Liang-Cheng Wang and Jia-Gui Luo

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 2 of 19

# 1. Introduction

For a given positive integer  $n \geq 2$ , let  $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ ,  $U = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$  and  $Z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  be known sequences of real numbers, and let  $t_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),  $T_j = \sum_{i=1}^j t_i$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) and  $a_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) be known real numbers. Define the functions  $A, J, C, W$  and  $G$  by

$$A(n) \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad (x_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

$$J(n) \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^n t_i f(v_i) - T_n f \left( \frac{1}{T_n} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i v_i \right),$$


where  $f$  is convex function on the interval  $I$  and  $v_i \in I$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),

$$C(n) \triangleq \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i,$$

$$W(n) \triangleq T_n \sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i z_i - \left( \sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n t_i z_i \right),$$

and

$$G(n) \triangleq \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i z_j \right) \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} y_i u_j \right) - \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i u_j \right) \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} y_i z_j \right).$$



On Certain Inequalities Related to the Seitz Inequality

Liang-Cheng Wang and Jia-Gui Luo

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 3 of 19

Rade investigated the monotonicity of difference for  $A - G$  mean inequality, and obtained the following inequality [2]

$$(1.1) \quad A(n) \geq A(n - 1).$$

P. M. Vasić and J. E. Pečarić generalized inequality (1.1) to convex functions, and obtained the following inequality [4, 6]

$$(1.2) \quad J(n) \geq J(n - 1).$$

Recently the first author and Xu Zhang studied inequality (1.2) in depth, and obtained some inequalities. L.-C. Wang also obtained some applications, one of them is the following inequality [8]

$$(1.3) \quad C(n) \geq C(n - 1).$$


Inequality (1.3) resulted from the Cauchy inequality

$$(1.4) \quad \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \right) \geq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \right)^2.$$

In [7], L.-C. Wang proved the following inequality

$$(1.5) \quad W(n) \geq W(n - 1),$$

with  $X$  and  $Z$  both increasing or both decreasing. If one of  $X$  or  $Z$  is increasing and the other decreasing, then the inequality (1.5) reverses.



On Certain Inequalities Related to the Seitz Inequality

Liang-Cheng Wang and Jia-Gui Luo

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 4 of 19

Inequality (1.5) resulted from the following Chebyshev inequality

$$(1.6) \quad T_n \sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i z_i \geq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n t_i z_i \right),$$

with  $X$  and  $Z$  both increasing or both decreasing. If one of  $X$  or  $Z$  is increasing and the other decreasing, then the inequality (1.6) reverses.

Assume that  $i, j, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  and  $1 \leq r < s \leq n$ , we have

$$(1.7) \quad \left| \begin{array}{cc} x_i & x_j \\ y_i & y_j \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{cc} z_r & z_s \\ u_r & u_s \end{array} \right| \geq 0$$

and


$$(1.8) \quad \left| \begin{array}{cc} a_{ir} & a_{is} \\ a_{jr} & a_{js} \end{array} \right| \geq 0.$$

When both (1.7) and (1.8) are true, the following inequality by G. Seitz [1] holds:

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i z_j}{\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i u_j} \geq \frac{\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} y_i z_j}{\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} y_i u_j}.$$

If

$$(1.10) \quad X = Z, \quad Y = U \quad \text{and} \quad a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases} \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$



On Certain Inequalities Related to the Seitz Inequality

Liang-Cheng Wang and Jia-Gui Luo

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 5 of 19

then inequality (1.9) changes into (1.4). If

$$(1.11) \quad Y = U = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad a_{ij} = \begin{cases} t_i & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases} \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$


then inequality (1.9) changes into (1.6).

In this paper, we investigate inequality (1.9) in depth, obtaining the following main result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *If both inequalities (1.7) and (1.8) are true, then we have*

$$(1.12) \quad G(n) \geq G(n-1).$$

**Remark 1.1.** *If we put (1.10) and (1.11) into (1.12), then (1.12) becomes (1.3) and (1.5), respectively. Hence, (1.12) is an extension of (1.3) and (1.5).*



---

On Certain Inequalities Related  
to the Seitz Inequality

Liang-Cheng Wang and Jia-Gui  
Luo

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 6 of 19

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1


Using

$$\left(a_{ij}x_i z_j\right)\left(a_{in}y_i u_n\right)=\left(a_{ij}y_i z_j\right)\left(a_{in}x_i u_n\right) \quad (i, j=1, 2, \dots, n-1),$$

$$\left(a_{ij}y_i u_j\right)\left(a_{in}x_i z_n\right)=\left(a_{ij}x_i u_j\right)\left(a_{in}y_i z_n\right) \quad (i, j=1, 2, \dots, n-1),$$

and (1.7) – (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad & \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}x_i z_j \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{in}y_i u_n - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}y_i z_j \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{in}x_i u_n \\ & + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}y_i u_j \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{in}x_i z_n - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}x_i u_j \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{in}y_i z_n \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}x_i z_j \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{kn}y_k u_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}y_i z_j \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{kn}x_k u_n \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}y_i u_j \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{kn}x_k z_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}x_i u_j \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{kn}y_k z_n \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^{n-1} a_{ij}a_{kn} \\ & \times \left(x_i z_j y_k u_n + x_k z_n y_i u_j - y_i z_j x_k u_n - x_i u_j y_k z_n\right) \end{aligned}$$



On Certain Inequalities Related to the Seitz Inequality

Liang-Cheng Wang and Jia-Gui Luo

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit


Page 7 of 19

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \sum_{k=2, i < k}^{n-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1, i > k}^{n-2} \right) a_{ij} a_{kn} \\
&\quad \times \left( x_i z_j y_k u_n + x_k z_n y_i u_j - y_i z_j x_k u_n - x_i u_j y_k z_n \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \sum_{k=2, i < k}^{n-1} a_{ij} a_{kn} \left( x_i z_j y_k u_n + x_k z_n y_i u_j - y_i z_j x_k u_n - x_i u_j y_k z_n \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1, k > i}^{n-2} a_{kj} a_{in} \left( x_k z_j y_i u_n + x_i z_n y_k u_j - y_k z_j x_i u_n - x_k u_j y_i z_n \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < k < n} \left( a_{ij} a_{kn} - a_{kj} a_{in} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left( x_i z_j y_k u_n + x_k z_n y_i u_j - y_i z_j x_k u_n - x_i u_j y_k z_n \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < k < n} \begin{vmatrix} a_{ij} & a_{in} \\ a_{kj} & a_{kn} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} x_i & x_k \\ y_i & y_k \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} z_j & z_n \\ u_j & u_n \end{vmatrix} \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\left( a_{ij} x_i z_j \right) \left( a_{nj} y_n u_j \right) = \left( a_{ij} x_i u_j \right) \left( a_{nj} y_n z_j \right) \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1),$$

$$\left( a_{ij} y_i u_j \right) \left( a_{nj} x_n z_j \right) = \left( a_{ij} y_i z_j \right) \left( a_{nj} x_n u_j \right) \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1),$$



**On Certain Inequalities Related to the Seitz Inequality**

Liang-Cheng Wang and Jia-Gui Luo

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 8 of 19



(1.7) – (1.8) and the same method as in the proof of (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.2) \quad & \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}x_i z_j \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj}y_n u_j - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}x_i u_j \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj}y_n z_j \\
 & + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}y_i u_j \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj}x_n z_j - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}y_i z_j \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj}x_n u_j \\
 & = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^{n-1} a_{ij} a_{nk} \\
 & \quad \times \left( x_i z_j y_n u_k + y_i u_j x_n z_k - x_i u_j y_n z_k - y_i z_j x_n u_k \right) \\
 & = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq j < k < n} \begin{vmatrix} a_{ij} & a_{ik} \\ a_{nj} & a_{nk} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} x_i & x_n \\ y_i & y_n \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} z_j & z_k \\ u_j & u_k \end{vmatrix} \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using


$$\left( a_{in} y_i u_n \right) \left( a_{jn} x_j z_n \right) = \left( a_{in} y_i z_n \right) \left( a_{jn} x_j u_n \right) \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

and

$$\left( a_{ni} y_n u_i \right) \left( a_{nj} x_n z_j \right) = \left( a_{ni} y_n z_i \right) \left( a_{nj} x_n u_j \right) \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1),$$

we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in} y_i u_n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{jn} x_j z_n - \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in} y_i z_n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{jn} x_j u_n = 0$$



On Certain Inequalities Related to the Seitz Inequality

Liang-Cheng Wang and Jia-Gui Luo

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 9 of 19

and

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{ni} y_n u_i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} x_n z_j - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{ni} y_n z_i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} x_n u_j = 0,$$

respectively.


Using

$$\left( a_{nn} y_n u_n \right) \left( a_{nj} x_n z_j \right) = \left( a_{nj} y_n z_j \right) \left( a_{nn} x_n u_n \right) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1),$$

$$\left( a_{nj} y_n u_j \right) \left( a_{nn} x_n z_n \right) = \left( a_{nn} y_n z_n \right) \left( a_{nj} x_n u_j \right) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1),$$

and (1.7) – (1.8), we have

$$(2.5) \quad \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in} y_i u_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} x_n z_j - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} y_n z_j \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in} x_i u_n \right) \\ + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} y_n u_j \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in} x_i z_n - \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in} y_i z_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} x_n u_j \right) \\ + \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} a_{nn} x_i z_j y_n u_n - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} a_{nn} y_i z_j x_n u_n \right) \\ + \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} a_{nn} y_i u_j x_n z_n - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} a_{nn} x_i u_j y_n z_n \right)$$



**On Certain Inequalities Related to the Seitz Inequality**

Liang-Cheng Wang and Jia-Gui Luo

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 10 of 19



**On Certain Inequalities Related to the Seitz Inequality**

Liang-Cheng Wang and Jia-Gui Luo

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close


Quit

Page 11 of 19

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{in} y_i u_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} x_n z_j - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} y_n z_j \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{in} x_i u_n \right) \\
 &\quad + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} y_n u_j \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{in} x_i z_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{in} y_i z_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} x_n u_j \right) \\
 &\quad + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} a_{nn} x_i z_j y_n u_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} a_{nn} y_i z_j x_n u_n \right) \\
 &\quad + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} a_{nn} y_i u_j x_n z_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} a_{nn} x_i u_j y_n z_n \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{in} a_{nj} \left( y_i u_n x_n z_j + x_i z_n y_n u_j - x_i u_n y_n z_j - y_i z_n x_n u_j \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} a_{nn} \left( x_i z_j y_n u_n + x_n z_n y_i u_j - y_i z_j x_n u_n - x_i u_j y_n z_n \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( a_{ij} a_{nn} - a_{in} a_{nj} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left( x_i z_j y_n u_n + x_n z_n y_i u_j - y_i z_j x_n u_n - x_i u_j y_n z_n \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \begin{vmatrix} a_{ij} & a_{in} \\ a_{nj} & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} x_i & x_n \\ y_i & y_n \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} z_j & z_n \\ u_j & u_n \end{vmatrix} \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (2.1)-(2.5) and definition of  $G(n)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(n) - G(n-1) &= \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}x_i z_j + \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in}x_i z_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj}x_n z_j \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}y_i u_j + \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in}y_i u_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj}y_n u_j \right) \\
 &\quad - \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}x_i u_j + \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in}x_i u_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj}x_n u_j \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}y_i z_j + \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in}y_i z_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj}y_n z_j \right) \\
 &\quad - \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}x_i z_j \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}y_i u_j - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}x_i u_j \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}y_i z_j \right) \\
 &= \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}x_i z_j \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{in}y_i u_n - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}y_i z_j \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{in}x_i u_n \right) \\
 &\quad + \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}a_{nn}x_i z_j y_n u_n - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}a_{nn}y_i z_j x_n u_n \right) \\
 &\quad + \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}y_i u_j \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{in}x_i z_n - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}x_i u_j \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{in}y_i z_n \right)
 \end{aligned}$$



On Certain Inequalities Related to the Seitz Inequality

Liang-Cheng Wang and Jia-Gui Luo

Title Page

Contents



Go Back


Close

Quit

Page 12 of 19

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} a_{nn} y_i u_j x_n z_n - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} a_{nn} x_i u_j y_n z_n \right) \\
& + \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} x_i z_j \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} y_n u_j - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} x_i u_j \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} y_n z_j \right) \\
& + \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} y_i u_j \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} x_n z_j - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} y_i z_j \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} x_n u_j \right) \\
& + \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in} y_i u_n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{jn} x_j z_n - \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in} y_i z_n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{jn} x_j u_n \right) \\
& + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{ni} y_n u_i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} x_n z_j - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{ni} y_n z_i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} x_n u_j \right) \\
& + \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in} y_i u_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} x_n z_j - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} y_n z_j \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in} x_i u_n \right) \\
& + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} y_n u_j \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in} x_i z_n - \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in} y_i z_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{nj} x_n u_j \right) \\
& \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e., inequality (1.12) is true. This completes the proof of theorem .



**On Certain Inequalities Related to the Seitz Inequality**

Liang-Cheng Wang and Jia-Gui Luo

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 13 of 19

### 3. Applications

Let  $E$  be a convex subset of an arbitrary real linear space  $\mathbb{K}$ , and let  $f : E \mapsto (0, +\infty)$ .  $f$  is an exponential convex function on  $E$ , if and only if

$$(3.1) \quad f(tu + (1-t)v) \leq f^t(u)f^{1-t}(v)$$

for any  $u, v \in E$  and any  $t \in [0, 1]$ .  $f$  is an exponential concave function on  $E$ , if and only if the inequality (3.1) reverses (see [4]).

For any  $u, v \in E (u \neq v)$  and  $\alpha_{ki}, \beta_{ki} \in [0, 1]$ , we let  $x_{ki} = \alpha_{ki}u + (1 - \alpha_{ki})v$  and  $y_{ki} = \beta_{ki}u + (1 - \beta_{ki})v$  ( $k = 1, 2; i = 1, 2, \dots, n; n > 2$ ). Define a function  $L$  by


$$L(n) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}f(x_{1i})f(x_{2j}) \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}f(y_{1i})f(y_{2j}) - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}f(x_{1i})f(y_{2j}) \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}f(y_{1i})f(x_{2j}).$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $f$  be an exponential convex (or concave) function on  $E$  and inequality (1.8) be true. For  $k = 1, 2$  and every pair of positive integers  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , if*

$$(3.2) \quad \alpha_{ki} \leq \beta_{ki} \leq \alpha_{kj} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{kj} - \alpha_{ki} = \beta_{kj} - \beta_{ki},$$

then we have

$$(3.3) \quad L(n) \geq L(n-1).$$



On Certain Inequalities Related to the Seitz Inequality

Liang-Cheng Wang and Jia-Gui Luo

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 14 of 19

*Proof.* 1. Suppose  $f$  is an exponential convex function on  $E$ . For  $k = 1, 2$  and  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , from (3.2), we have  $\beta_{kj} = \alpha_{kj} + \beta_{ki} - \alpha_{ki} \geq \alpha_{kj}$ .

**Case 1.** When  $\alpha_{ki} < \beta_{ki} \leq \alpha_{kj} < \beta_{kj}$ , we take  $t = \frac{\beta_{ki} - \alpha_{ki}}{\beta_{kj} - \alpha_{ki}}$ , then  $1 - t = \frac{\beta_{kj} - \beta_{ki}}{\beta_{kj} - \alpha_{ki}}$ . Hence, we have

$$(3.4) \quad ty_{kj} + (1 - t)x_{ki} = \beta_{ki}u + (1 - \beta_{ki})v = y_{ki}.$$

From (3.1) and (3.4), we have

$$(3.5) \quad f(y_{ki}) \leq f^t(y_{kj})f^{1-t}(x_{ki}).$$

From (3.2), we get the other form of  $t$  and  $1 - t$ :  $t = \frac{\beta_{kj} - \alpha_{kj}}{\beta_{kj} - \alpha_{ki}}$  and  $1 - t = \frac{\alpha_{kj} - \alpha_{ki}}{\beta_{kj} - \alpha_{ki}}$ . Then we have

$$(3.6) \quad (1 - t)y_{kj} + tx_{ki} = \alpha_{kj}u + (1 - \alpha_{kj})v = x_{kj}.$$


From (3.1) and (3.6), we have

$$(3.7) \quad f(x_{kj}) \leq f^{1-t}(y_{kj})f^t(x_{ki}).$$

From (3.5) and (3.7), we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad \left| \begin{array}{cc} f(x_{ki}) & f(x_{kj}) \\ f(y_{ki}) & f(y_{kj}) \end{array} \right| \geq 0.$$

**Case 2.** When  $\alpha_{ki} = \beta_{ki}$  (or  $\alpha_{kj} = \beta_{kj}$ ), by (3.2), then we have  $\alpha_{kj} = \beta_{kj}$  (or  $\alpha_{ki} = \beta_{ki}$ ). Hence, the equality of (3.8) holds.



On Certain Inequalities Related to the Seitz Inequality

Liang-Cheng Wang and Jia-Gui Luo

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 15 of 19



For any  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  and any  $1 \leq r < s \leq n$ , by (3.8), we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad \left| \begin{array}{cc} f(x_{1i}) & f(x_{1j}) \\ f(y_{1i}) & f(y_{1j}) \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{cc} f(x_{2r}) & f(x_{2s}) \\ f(y_{2r}) & f(y_{2s}) \end{array} \right| \geq 0.$$

2. Let  $f$  be an exponential concave function on  $E$ . Then (3.5), (3.7) and (3.8) reverse. Hence, (3.9) is still valid.

Replacing  $x_i, y_i, z_i$  and  $u_i$  in Theorem 1.1 with  $f(x_{1i}), f(y_{1i}), f(x_{2i})$  and  $f(y_{2i})$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), respectively, we obtain (3.3). This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** In Proposition 3.1, when  $E$  is a real interval  $I$ , we only need

$$x_{ki} \leq y_{ki} \leq x_{kj} \quad \text{and} \quad x_{kj} - x_{ki} = y_{kj} - y_{ki},$$

where  $k = 1, 2$ ,  $i, j$  are every pair of positive integers such that  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ ,  $x_{ki}, x_{kj}, y_{ki}, y_{kj} \in I$ .

In order to verify Proposition 3.3, the following lemma is necessary.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $c, d : [a, b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  ( $b > a$ ) be the monotonic functions, both increasing or both decreasing. Furthermore, let  $q : [a, b] \mapsto (0, +\infty)$  be an integrable function. Then

$$(3.10) \quad \int_a^b q(x)c(x)dx \int_a^b q(x)d(x)dx \leq \int_a^b q(x)dx \int_a^b q(x)c(x)d(x)dx.$$

If one of the functions of  $c$  or  $d$  is increasing and the other decreasing, then the inequality (3.10) reverses. (see [2, 3]).



Let  $p : [a, b] \mapsto (0, +\infty)$  be continuous,  $g : [a, b] \mapsto (1, +\infty)$  be monotonic continuous. Define a function  $M$  by

$$M(n) = \sum_{i,j}^n a_{ij} h^{(k+i)}(x) h^{(m+j)}(x) \sum_{i,j}^n a_{ij} h^{(l+i)}(x) h^{(w+j)}(x) - \sum_{i,j}^n a_{ij} h^{(k+i)}(x) h^{(w+j)}(x) \sum_{i,j}^n a_{ij} h^{(l+i)}(x) h^{(m+j)}(x),$$

where  $k, l, m, w \in \mathbb{N}, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and

$$(3.11) \quad h : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+, \quad h(x) = \int_a^b p(t) (g(t))^x dt \quad (\text{see [5]}).$$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let the inequalities in (1.8) hold. If  $k < l, m < w$  or  $k > l, m > w$ , then we have*


$$(3.12) \quad M(n) \geq M(n-1).$$

*Proof.* For (3.11), by continuity of  $p$  and  $g$ , we may change the order of derivation and integration. By direct computation, we get

$$(3.13) \quad h^{(n)}(x) = \int_a^b p(t) (g(t))^x (\ln g(t))^n dt.$$

For every pair of integers  $i, j$  such that  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , when  $k < l$ , replace  $q, c$  and  $d$  in Lemma 3.2 by  $p(t) (g(t))^x (\ln g(t))^{k+i}, (\ln g(t))^{j-i}$  and  $(\ln g(t))^{l-k}$ , respectively. Using (3.13), we get

$$(3.14) \quad h^{(k+i)}(x) h^{(l+j)}(x) \geq h^{(k+j)}(x) h^{(l+i)}(x).$$



On Certain Inequalities Related to the Seitz Inequality

Liang-Cheng Wang and Jia-Gui Luo

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 17 of 19

By (3.14), we have

$$(3.15) \quad \left| \begin{array}{cc} h^{(k+i)}(x) & h^{(k+j)}(x) \\ h^{(l+i)}(x) & h^{(l+j)}(x) \end{array} \right| \geq 0.$$

Similarly we obtain


$$(3.16) \quad \left| \begin{array}{cc} h^{(m+r)}(x) & h^{(m+s)}(x) \\ h^{(w+r)}(x) & h^{(w+s)}(x) \end{array} \right| \geq 0,$$

where  $r, s$  are pair of integer such that  $1 \leq r < s \leq n$  and  $m < w$ .

Replacing  $x_i, y_i, z_i$  and  $u_i$  in Theorem 1.1 by  $h^{(k+i)}(x), h^{(l+i)}(x), h^{(m+i)}(x)$  and  $h^{(w+i)}(x) (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ , respectively, we obtain (3.12).

By Lemma 3.2, when  $k > l$  and  $m > w$ , both (3.15) and (3.16) reverse. Hence, the product on the left for both (3.15) and (3.16) is still nonnegative, hence, by Theorem 1.1, (3.12) is also satisfied.

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.3.  $\square$



On Certain Inequalities Related to the Seitz Inequality

Liang-Cheng Wang and Jia-Gui Luo

Title Page

Contents



Go Back


Close

Quit

Page 18 of 19

## References

- [1] G. SEITZ, Une remarque aux inégalités, *Aktuarské Vědy*, **6** (1936), 167–171.
- [2] D.S. MITRINOVIĆ, *Analytic Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1970.
- [3] BAI-NI GUO AND FENG QI, Inequalities for generalized weighted mean values of convex function, *Math. Ineq. Appl.*, **4**(2) (2001), 195–202.
- [4] CHUNG-LIE WANG, Inequalities of the Rado-Popoviciu type for functions and their applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **100** (1984), 436–446.
- [5] FENG QI, Generalized weighted mean values with two parameters , *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A.*, **454** (1998), 2723–2732.
- [6] P.M. VASIĆ AND J.E. PEČARIĆ, On the Jensen inequality, *Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Math. Fiz.*, **634–677** (1979), 50–54.
- [7] L.-C. WANG, On the monotonicity of difference generated by the inequality of Chebyshev type, *J. Sichuan University (Natural Science Edition)*, **39** (2002), 338–403.
- [8] L.-C. WANG, *Convex Functions and Their Inequalities*, Sichuan University Press, Chengdu, China, 2001. (In Chinese).



---

On Certain Inequalities Related  
to the Seitz Inequality

Liang-Cheng Wang and Jia-Gui  
Luo

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 19 of 19