

Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

NON-AUTONOMOUS DIFFERENTIAL SUBORDINATIONS RELATED TO A SECTOR

SUKHJIT SINGH AND SUSHMA GUPTA

Sant Longowal Institute of Engineering and Technology,
Longowal-148106 (Punjab), India.

EMail: sushmagupta1@yahoo.com



volume 4, issue 4, article 72,
2003.

*Received 02 April, 2003;
accepted 11 September, 2003.*

Communicated by: H.M. Srivastava

Abstract

Contents




Home Page

Go Back

Close

Quit



Abstract

Let $\lambda(z)$ be a complex valued function defined in the unit disc E and let $p(z)$ be a function analytic in E with $p(0) = 1$ and $p(z) \neq 0$ in E . In this article, we determine the largest constants $\gamma_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and conditions on $\lambda(z)$ such that for given α, β and δ , the non-autonomous differential subordination

$$(p(z))^\beta \left[1 + \lambda(z) \frac{zp'(z)}{p^k(z)} \right]^\alpha \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^{\gamma_k}, \quad z \in E,$$

implies

$$p(z) \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\delta$$

in E . Here the symbol ' \prec ' stands for subordination. Almost all the previously known results on differential subordination concerning a sector follow as particular cases of our results.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C45, Secondary 30C50.

Key words: Univalent function, Starlike function, Subordination, Differential subordination.

The authors wish to thank Prof. S. Ponnusamy and Prof. A. Lecko for their help during this work. They are also grateful to the referee for useful suggestions and comments.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Proofs of Main Theorems	6
3	Special Cases	13
4	Applications to Univalent Functions	16
	References	

Non-autonomous Differential Subordinations Related to a Sector

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 2 of 23

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions f which are analytic in the unit disc $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and satisfy $f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1$. Denote by \mathcal{A}' , the class of functions p analytic in E for which $p(0) = 1$ and $p(z) \neq 0$ in E .

If f and g are analytic in E , we say that f is subordinate to g in E , written as $f(z) \prec g(z)$ in E , if g is univalent in E , $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(E) \subset g(E)$.

Let h be a univalent function in E and let $\psi : C^2 \rightarrow C$, where C is the complex plane. If an analytic function p satisfies the differential subordination

$$(1.1) \quad \psi(p(z), zp'(z)) \prec h(z), h(0) = \psi(p(0), 0), \quad z \in E,$$

then a univalent function q is said to be the dominant of the differential subordination (1.1), if $p(0) = q(0)$ and $p \prec q$ for all p satisfying (1.1). Differential subordination (1.1) is said to be non-autonomous if a function of z is allowed to be present on the left hand side, in addition to the terms $p(z)$ and $zp'(z)$.

Since 1981, when a formal study of differential subordination started with a remarkable paper of Miller and Mocanu [5], several results concerning differential subordination in a sector have been proved (e.g. see [6], [9] and [3]).


In the present paper, we establish the following two theorems.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ be fixed and let $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$, where δ_0 is the solution of the equation*

$$\beta\delta\pi = 2\pi - \alpha \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \arctan\eta \right)$$

for $\beta \geq 0$ and for a suitable fixed $\eta > 0$ such that $\lambda(z) : E \rightarrow C$ satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\delta \operatorname{Re} \lambda(z)}{1 + \delta |\operatorname{Im} \lambda(z)|} \geq \eta, \quad z \in E.$$



Non-autonomous Differential
Subordinations Related to a
Sector

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 3 of 23

If $p \in \mathcal{A}'$ satisfies the non-autonomous differential subordination

$$(1.3) \quad (p(z))^\beta \left[1 + \lambda(z) \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right]^\alpha \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^{\gamma_1}, \quad z \in E,$$

then,

$$p(z) \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\delta \text{ in } E,$$

where,

$$(1.4) \quad \gamma_1 = \beta\delta + \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \arctan \eta, \quad 0 < \delta \leq \delta_0.$$

Theorem 1.2. Let $k = 2, 3, 4, \dots, \alpha \in [0, 1], \delta \in (0, \frac{1}{k-1})$ be fixed. Also let $\beta \geq 0$ be such that $0 \leq \beta\delta \leq 2$. For a suitable fixed $\eta > 0$, let $\lambda(z) : E \rightarrow C$ be a function satisfying


$$(1.5) \quad \frac{\delta |\lambda(z)| \cos \psi}{x + \delta |\lambda(z)| |\sin \psi|} \geq \eta, \quad z \in E,$$

where,

$$(1.6) \quad |\psi - \text{Arg} \lambda(z)| = (k-1) \frac{\delta \pi}{2}$$

and,

$$(1.7) \quad x = (1 - (k-1)\delta)^{\frac{1-(k-1)\delta}{2}} (1 + (k-1)\delta)^{\frac{1+(k-1)\delta}{2}}.$$



Non-autonomous Differential Subordinations Related to a Sector

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 4 of 23

If $p \in \mathcal{A}'$ satisfies the non-autonomous differential subordination

$$(1.8) \quad (p(z))^\beta \left[1 + \lambda(z) \frac{zp'(z)}{p^k(z)} \right]^\alpha \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^{\gamma_k}, \quad z \in E,$$

then,

$$p(z) \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\delta \quad \text{in } E,$$

where,

$$(1.9) \quad \gamma_k = \beta\delta + \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \arctan \eta.$$


We claim that our results unify most of the previously known results related to differential subordinations in a sector. Some special cases of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 have been discussed in Section 3. In Section 4, we give some applications of our results to the univalent functions.

We shall need the following lemma to prove our results.

Lemma 1.3. *Let F be analytic in E and let G be analytic and univalent in \overline{E} except for points ζ such that $\lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} F(z) = \infty$, with $F(0) = G(0)$. If $F \not\prec G$ in E , then there exist points $z_0 \in E, \zeta_0 \in \partial E$ (boundary of E) and an $m \geq 1$ for which*

- (a) $F(|z| < |z_0|) \subset G(E)$,
- (b) $F(z_0) = G(\zeta_0)$ and
- (c) $z_0 F'(z_0) = m \zeta_0 G'(\zeta_0)$.

Lemma 1.3 is due to Miller and Mocanu [5].



Non-autonomous Differential Subordinations Related to a Sector

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 5 of 23

2. Proofs of Main Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $q(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\delta$. Then we need to prove that (1.3) implies $p(z) \prec q(z)$ in E . Suppose, on the contrary, that $p \not\prec q$ in E . Then, by Lemma 1.3, there exist points $z_0 \in E, \zeta_0 \in \partial E$ and an $m \geq 1$ such that $p(z_0) = q(\zeta_0)$ and $z_0 p'(z_0) = m \zeta_0 q'(\zeta_0)$. Since $p(z_0) = q(\zeta_0) \neq 0$, it follows that $\zeta_0 \neq \pm 1$. Thus $\frac{1+\zeta_0}{1-\zeta_0} = ri$ for $r \neq 0$. Writing $\lambda(z_0) = Re^{i\phi}, |\phi| < \pi/2$, a simple calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} (p(z_0))^\beta \left[1 + \lambda(z_0) \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)} \right]^\alpha &= (q(\zeta_0))^\beta \left[1 + \lambda(z_0) \frac{m \zeta_0 q'(\zeta_0)}{q(\zeta_0)} \right]^\alpha \\ &= (ri)^{\beta\delta} \left[1 + \frac{im\delta R e^{i\phi}}{2} \left(\frac{r^2 + 1}{r} \right) \right]^\alpha \\ &= \Psi_0 \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

Then,


$$(2.1) \quad \text{Arg} \Psi_0 = \pm \frac{\beta\delta\pi}{2} + \alpha \arctan \left[\frac{m\delta R \cos\phi}{\frac{2r}{r^2+1} - m\delta R \sin\phi} \right].$$

Here a positive sign corresponds to $r > 0$ and a negative sign to $r < 0$.

Let $A = A(m, r) = \frac{m\delta R \cos\phi}{x(r) - m\delta R \sin\phi}$, where $x(r) = \frac{2r}{r^2+1}$. Then, $\text{Max}|x(r)| = 1$ for all values of r whether positive or negative.

If we define,

$$B = B(m, r) = \frac{m\delta R \cos\phi}{|x(r)| + m\delta R |\sin\phi|},$$



Non-autonomous Differential Subordinations Related to a Sector

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 6 of 23

then B is an increasing function of m for each fixed r , and therefore, for $m \geq 1$, we have


$$B \geq \frac{\delta R \cos \phi}{|x(r)| + \delta R |\sin \phi|} \geq \frac{\delta R \cos \phi}{1 + \delta R |\sin \phi|} \geq \eta, \quad (\text{using (1.2)}).$$

Now, It is easy to check the following six cases:

- (i) When $r > 0$ and $\sin \phi \leq 0$, we have $A = B$.
- (ii) When $r > 0$ and $x(r) > m \delta R \sin \phi > 0$, we get $A > B$.
- (iii) When $r > 0$ and $x(r) < m \delta R \sin \phi$, we get $A < -B$.
- (iv) When $r < 0$ and $\sin \phi \geq 0$, we have $A = -B$.
- (v) When $r < 0$ and $m \delta R \sin \phi < -|x(r)|$, we have $A > B$.
- (vi) When $r < 0$ and $0 > m \delta R \sin \phi > -|x(r)|$, we get $A < -B$.

Since \arctan is an increasing function of its argument, therefore, in view of cases (i) and (ii), we get from (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Arg} \Psi_0 &\geq \frac{\beta \delta \pi}{2} + \alpha \arctan B \\ &\geq \frac{\beta \delta \pi}{2} + \alpha \arctan \eta \\ &= \frac{\gamma_1 \pi}{2}. \end{aligned}$$



Non-autonomous Differential Subordinations Related to a Sector

Sukhjith Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 7 of 23

For the case (iii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Arg}\Psi_0 &< \frac{\beta\delta\pi}{2} + \alpha \arctan(-B) \\ &\leq \frac{\beta\delta\pi}{2} - \alpha \arctan \eta \\ &= \beta\delta\pi - \frac{\gamma_1\pi}{2} \leq 2\pi - \frac{\gamma_1\pi}{2}, \text{ since } 0 \leq \beta\delta \leq \beta\delta_0 < 2. \end{aligned}$$

For cases (iv) and (vi), we get


$$\begin{aligned} \text{Arg}\Psi_0 &\leq -\frac{\beta\delta\pi}{2} + \alpha \arctan(-B) \\ &\leq -\frac{\beta\delta\pi}{2} - \alpha \arctan \eta \\ &= -\frac{\gamma_1\pi}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

In case (v), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Arg}\Psi_0 &> -\frac{\beta\delta\pi}{2} + \alpha \arctan B \\ &\geq -\frac{\beta\delta\pi}{2} + \alpha \arctan \eta \\ &= -\left(\beta\delta\pi - \frac{\gamma_1\pi}{2}\right) \\ &\geq -\left(2\pi - \frac{\gamma_1\pi}{2}\right), \text{ since } 0 \leq \beta\delta \leq \beta\delta_0 < 2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining all the above cases, we obtain

$$\frac{\gamma_1\pi}{2} \leq |\text{Arg}\Psi_0| \leq 2\pi - \frac{\gamma_1\pi}{2},$$



**Non-autonomous Differential
Subordinations Related to a
Sector**

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 8 of 23

which is a contradiction to (1.3). Hence $p(z) \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\delta$. The proof of the theorem is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (p(z_0))^\beta & \left[1 + \lambda(z_0) \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p^k(z_0)} \right]^\alpha \\ & = (ri)^{\beta\delta} \left[1 + \frac{im\delta R}{2} (r^2 + 1) r^{-1-(k-1)\delta} e^{i(\phi-(k-1)\delta\pi/2)} \right]^\alpha \\ & = \Theta_0 \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $\psi - \phi = -(k-1)\frac{\delta\pi}{2}$, then we get


$$(2.2) \quad \text{Arg } \Theta_0 = \frac{\beta\delta\pi}{2} + \alpha \arctan \left[\frac{m\delta R \cos\psi}{\frac{2r^{1+(k-1)\delta}}{r^2+1} - m\delta R \sin\psi} \right].$$

Let $A = A(m, r) = \left[\frac{m\delta R \cos\psi}{x(r) - m\delta R \sin\psi} \right]$, where $x(r) = \frac{2r^{1+(k-1)\delta}}{r^2+1}$. Since $(k-1)\delta < 1$, it is easy to verify that for $r > 0$ (and, of course, also for $r < 0$),

$$\max |x(r)| = (1 - (k-1)\delta)^{\frac{1-(k-1)\delta}{2}} (1 + (k-1)\delta)^{\frac{1+(k-1)\delta}{2}} = x, \text{ using (1.7).}$$

Now, if we define,

$$B = B(m, r) = \frac{m\delta R \cos\psi}{|x(r)| + m\delta R |\sin\psi|},$$



Non-autonomous Differential Subordinations Related to a Sector

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 9 of 23

then, B is an increasing function of m and, therefore, for $m \geq 1$, we have

$$B \geq \frac{\delta R \cos \psi}{|x(r)| + \delta R |\sin \psi|} \geq \frac{\delta R \cos \psi}{x + \delta R |\sin \psi|} \geq \eta, \text{ using (1.5).}$$

Now, one can easily verify the following three cases:

Case (i). $A = B$, when $\sin \psi \leq 0$.

Case(ii). $A > B$, when $\sin \psi > 0$ and $x(r) > m \delta R \sin \psi$.


Case (iii). $A < -B$, when $\sin \psi > 0$ and $x(r) < m \delta R \sin \psi$.

Since \arctan is an increasing function of its argument, therefore, in view of cases (i) and (ii), we get from (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Arg} \Theta_0 &\geq \frac{\beta \delta \pi}{2} + \alpha \arctan \eta \\ &= \frac{\gamma_k \pi}{2}, \text{ using (1.9).} \end{aligned}$$

For the case (iii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Arg} \Theta_0 &< \frac{\beta \delta \pi}{2} + \alpha \arctan(-B) \\ &\leq \frac{\beta \delta \pi}{2} - \alpha \arctan \eta \\ &\leq \beta \delta \pi - \frac{\gamma_k \pi}{2} \\ &\leq 2\pi - \frac{\gamma_k \pi}{2}, \text{ since } \beta \delta \leq 2. \end{aligned}$$



Non-autonomous Differential Subordinations Related to a Sector

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 10 of 23

Now, consider the case when $r < 0$. Writing $r = -a$, $a > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (p(z_0))^\beta \left[1 + \lambda(z_0) \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p^k(z_0)} \right]^\alpha &= a^{\beta\delta} e^{\frac{-i\beta\delta\pi}{2}} \left[1 - \frac{im\delta R}{2} \frac{(a^2 + 1)e^{i(\phi+(k-1)\delta\pi/2)}}{a^{1+(k-1)\delta}} \right]^\alpha \\ &= \Phi_0 \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

If $\psi - \phi = (k - 1) \frac{\delta\pi}{2}$, then

$$\text{Arg}\Phi_0 = \frac{-\beta\delta\pi}{2} + \alpha \arctan C,$$

where $C = C(m, r) = \frac{m\delta R \cos\psi}{-|x(r)| - m\delta R \sin\psi}$.

It is now elementary to check the following three cases:


Case (a). When $\sin\psi \geq 0$, we have $C = -B$.

Case (b). When $\sin\psi < 0$ and $m\delta R \sin\psi < -|x(r)|$, we obtain $C > B$.

Case (c). For $\sin\psi < 0$ and $m\delta R \sin\psi > -|x(r)|$, we have $C < -B$.

For cases (a) and (c), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Arg}\Phi_0 &\leq \frac{-\beta\delta\pi}{2} + \alpha \arctan(-B) \\ &\leq \frac{-\beta\delta\pi}{2} - \alpha \arctan \eta \\ &= -\frac{\gamma_k\pi}{2}. \end{aligned}$$



Non-autonomous Differential Subordinations Related to a Sector

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 11 of 23


In case (b), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Arg}\Phi_0 &> \frac{-\beta\delta\pi}{2} + \alpha \arctan B \\
 &\geq \frac{-\beta\delta\pi}{2} + \alpha \arctan \eta \\
 &= -\left(\beta\delta\pi - \frac{\gamma_k\pi}{2}\right) \\
 &\geq -\left(2\pi - \frac{\gamma_k\pi}{2}\right), \text{ since } \beta\delta \leq 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above six cases, three for $r > 0$ and three for $r < 0$, we have

$$\frac{\gamma_k\pi}{2} \leq \left| \text{Arg} \left((p(z_0))^\beta \left[1 + \lambda(z_0) \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p^k(z_0)} \right]^\alpha \right) \right| \leq 2\pi - \frac{\gamma_k\pi}{2},$$

which is a contradiction to (1.8). Hence $p(z) \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\delta$. This completes the proof. \square



Non-autonomous Differential Subordinations Related to a Sector

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 12 of 23

3. Special Cases

- (i) Taking $\alpha = \beta = 1$ in Theorem 1.1, we get the result of S. Ponnusamy [9, p. 399, Lemma 1].
- (ii) Setting $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and $\lambda(z) = \lambda$, a positive real number, in Theorem 1.1, we get Theorem 5 of Miller and Mocanu [6, p. 532].
- (iii) Putting $\beta = 1$ and $\lambda(z) = 1$ in Theorem 1.1, we get the result of A. Lecko et.al. [4, p. 198, Theorem 2.1].
- (iv) In Theorem 1.1, taking $\alpha = 1$, we get the following result:

Let $\beta \geq 0$ and let δ_0 be the solution of the equation

$$\beta\delta\pi = \frac{3\pi}{2} - \arctan \eta,$$

for a suitable fixed $\eta > 0$ such that $\lambda(z) : E \rightarrow C$ is a function satisfying


$$\frac{\delta \operatorname{Re} \lambda(z)}{1 + \delta |\operatorname{Im} \lambda(z)|} \geq \eta, \quad z \in E.$$

If $p \in \mathcal{A}'$ satisfies the non-autonomous differential subordination

$$p^\beta(z) + \lambda(z)p^{\beta-1}(z)zp'(z) \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\gamma, \quad z \in E,$$

then,

$$p(z) \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\delta \quad \text{in } E,$$



**Non-autonomous Differential
Subordinations Related to a
Sector**

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 13 of 23



Non-autonomous Differential Subordinations Related to a Sector

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 14 of 23

where,

$$\gamma = \beta\delta + \frac{2}{\pi}\arctan \eta, \quad 0 < \delta \leq \delta_0.$$

(v) In Theorem 1.2, taking $\lambda(z) = 1$ and $\beta = 1$, we get the result of A. Lecko [3, p. 344, Theorem 2.1].

(vi) Putting $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and $k = 2$ in Theorem 1.2, we obtain the following result:

For $0 < \delta < 1$ and $\eta > 0$, let $\lambda(z) : E \rightarrow C$ be a function satisfying

$$\frac{\delta|\lambda(z)|\cos\psi}{x + \delta|\lambda(z)||\sin\psi|} \geq \eta, \quad z \in E,$$

where $|\psi - \text{Arg}\lambda(z)| = \frac{\delta\pi}{2}$ and $x = (1 + \delta)^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}}(1 - \delta)^{\frac{1-\delta}{2}}$.

If $p \in \mathcal{A}'$ satisfies,

$$p(z) + \lambda(z)\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\gamma_2}$$

in E , then,

$$p(z) \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\delta$$

in E , where $\gamma_2 = \delta + \frac{2}{\pi}\arctan \eta$.

Taking $\lambda(z) = \lambda$, a positive real number in the above result, we get the well-known differential subordination (see [7, p. 268, 5.1-40]).

(vii) Taking $\beta + \alpha$ in place of β , $\lambda(z) = 1$, $k = 2$ and $p(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$, where $f \in \mathcal{A}$, in Theorem 1.2, we get the following (Also see Darus and Thomas [2, p. 1050, Theorem 1]):

Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $\beta \geq 0$ be such that $0 \leq (\beta + \alpha)\delta \leq 2$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^\beta \left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right]^\alpha \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\gamma_2},$$


then,

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\delta \text{ in } E,$$

where,

$$\gamma_2 = \beta\delta + \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \arctan \left[\tan \frac{\delta\pi}{2} + \frac{\delta}{(1+\delta)^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}}(1-\delta)^{\frac{1-\delta}{2}} \cos \frac{\delta\pi}{2}} \right].$$

(viii) Writing $\beta = 1$, $\alpha = 1$, $\lambda(z) = 1$, $k = 2$ and $p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z)$, where $f \in \mathcal{A}$, in Theorem 1.2, we get well-known result of Nunokawa and Thomas [8, p. 364, Theorem1].



Non-autonomous Differential Subordinations Related to a Sector

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 15 of 23

4. Applications to Univalent Functions

In this section, we give some applications of our results to univalent functions and obtain some new conditions for univalence, starlikeness and strongly starlikeness.

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be strongly starlike of order α , $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, if

$$\left| \arg \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \text{ in } E.$$

We denote the set of all such functions by $S^*(\alpha)$. The class $S^*(\alpha)$ was introduced and studied independently by Brannan and Kirwan [1] and Stankiewicz [10]. Note that $S^*(1)$ is the usual class of starlike functions f in \mathcal{A} satisfying

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right] > 0, \quad z \in E.$$

We denote this class by St .


First of all, we note that if $f \in \mathcal{A}$, then the functionals $\frac{f(z)}{z}$, $f'(z)$ and $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$ are all members of the class \mathcal{A}' .

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and let $\delta_0 = 0.6165\dots$ be the unique root of the equation*

$$(4.1) \quad 2 \arctan(1 - \delta) + \pi(1 - 2\delta) = 0.$$

Further, let $\beta \geq 0$ be such that $\beta\delta \leq \beta\delta_0 \leq 2$ for $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$. If a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $f'(z) \neq 0$, $z \in E$, satisfies

$$(4.2) \quad (f'(z))^\beta \left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right]^\alpha \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\gamma, \quad z \in E,$$



Non-autonomous Differential
Subordinations Related to a
Sector

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 16 of 23

then $f \in St$ where $\gamma = \beta\delta + \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \arctan \delta$.

Proof. In Theorem 1.1, taking $\lambda(z) = 1$ and $p(z) = f'(z)$, the subordination (4.2) implies

$$(4.3) \quad f'(z) \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\delta, \quad z \in E,$$

where $\gamma = \beta\delta + \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \arctan \delta$. Again using Theorem 1.1 with $\alpha = \beta = \lambda(z) = 1$ and $p(z) = \frac{f(z)}{z}$, we get from (4.3),

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\mu, \quad z \in E,$$

where $\delta = \mu + \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \mu$ which is equivalent to (4.1) with $\mu = 1 - \delta$. Now


$$\begin{aligned} \left| \arg \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| &\leq |\arg f'(z)| + \left| \arg \frac{f(z)}{z} \right| \\ &\leq (\delta + \mu) \frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{\pi}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

and the desired result follows. \square

Writing $p(z) = \frac{f(z)}{z}$ and $\lambda(z) = 1$ in Theorem 1.1, we get:

Lemma 4.2. Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ be fixed and let $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$, where δ_0 is the solution of the equation

$$\beta\delta\pi = 2\pi - \alpha \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \arctan \delta \right)$$



Non-autonomous Differential Subordinations Related to a Sector

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 17 of 23

for fixed $\beta \geq 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0$, $z \in E$, satisfies

$$\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta-\alpha} (f'(z))^\alpha \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\gamma \text{ in } E,$$

then,

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\delta, \quad z \in E,$$

where $\gamma = \beta\delta + \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \arctan \delta$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, $\beta \geq 0$ be fixed. Suppose that $\gamma_0, \alpha/2 < \gamma_0 \leq \alpha$, is the unique root of the equation

$$(4.4) \quad \beta = (\alpha - \gamma) \cot \left[\left(\frac{2\gamma - \alpha}{\alpha} \right) \frac{\pi}{2} \right].$$


Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0$, $z \in E$, satisfy

$$(4.5) \quad \left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta-\alpha} (f'(z))^\alpha \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\gamma \text{ in } E.$$

Then $f \in St$.

Proof. In view of (4.5) and Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\delta, \quad z \in E,$$



Non-autonomous Differential Subordinations Related to a Sector

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 18 of 23

where δ is given by the equation

$$(4.6) \quad \gamma = \beta\delta + \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \arctan \delta.$$

We observe that (4.6) is equivalent to (4.4) with $\delta\beta = \alpha - \gamma$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \left| \arg \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| &\leq \left| \arg(f'(z))^\alpha \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\beta-\alpha} \right| + \left| \arg \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^\beta \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma\pi}{2} + \frac{\beta\delta\pi}{2} = \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows. \square

Remark 4.1. Taking $\alpha = 1$ in Theorem 4.3, we get the Theorem 1 of Ponnusamy [9, p. 403].


Taking $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 4.3, we get

Example 4.1. For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, the differential subordination

$$\sqrt{f'(z)} \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\gamma \text{ in } E,$$

implies that f is starlike in E where $\gamma = 0.3082\dots$ is given by $2 \arctan(1 - 2\gamma) + \pi(1 - 4\gamma) = 0$.

Setting $p(z) = f'(z)$ and $\alpha = \lambda(z) = 1$ in Theorem 1.1, we obtain



Non-autonomous Differential
Subordinations Related to a
Sector

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 19 of 23

Corollary 4.4. For $\beta \geq 0$, if an analytic function f in \mathcal{A} satisfies

$$(f'(z))^\beta + (f'(z))^{\beta-1} z f''(z) \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^{\frac{2\beta+1}{2}} \text{ in } E,$$

then

$$f'(z) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z} \text{ in } E,$$

and, hence, f is univalent in E .

Writing $p(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$, $k = 2$ and $(\beta + \alpha)$ in place of β in Theorem 1.2, we get the following result:

Theorem 4.5. Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $\beta \geq 0$ be fixed. Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$ be such that $0 \leq (\beta + \alpha)\delta \leq 2$. For a fixed $\eta > 0$, let $\lambda(z) : E \rightarrow C$ be a function satisfying

$$\frac{\delta |\lambda(z)| \cos \psi}{x + \delta |\lambda(z)| |\sin \psi|} \geq \eta, \quad z \in E,$$

where $|\psi - \text{Arg } \lambda(z)| = \frac{\delta \pi}{2}$ and $x = (1 + \delta)^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}} (1 - \delta)^{\frac{1-\delta}{2}}$.


If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the differential subordination

$$\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\beta \left[(1 - \lambda(z)) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda(z) \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right]^\alpha \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^{\gamma_2}$$

in E , then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\delta$$

in E i.e. $f \in S^*(\delta)$ in E , where $\gamma_2 = (\beta + \alpha)\delta + \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \arctan \eta$.



Non-autonomous Differential Subordinations Related to a Sector

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 20 of 23

Taking $\beta = 0$, $\alpha = 1$, $\lambda(z) = \lambda$, λ real, in Theorem 4.5, we obtain the well-known result [7, p. 266, Cor. 5. 1i. 1].



**Non-autonomous Differential
Subordinations Related to a
Sector**

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents



Go Back


Close

Quit

Page 21 of 23

References

- [1] D.A. BRANNAN AND W.E. KIRWAN, On some classes of bounded univalent functions, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **1**(2) (1969), 431–443.
- [2] M. DARUS AND D.K. THOMAS, α -logarithmically convex functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **29**(10) (1998), 1049–1059.
- [3] A. LECKO, On differential subordinations connected with convex functions related to a sector, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **39** (1999), 343–350.
- [4] A. LECKO, M. LECKO, M. NUNOKAWA AND S. OWA, Differential subordinations and convex functions related to a sector, *Mathematica*, **40**(63)(2) (1998), 197–205.
- [5] S.S. MILLER AND P.T. MOCANU, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.*, **28** (1981), 157–171.
- [6] S.S. MILLER AND P.T. MOCANU, Marx- Strohäcker differential subordination systems, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **99**(3) (1987), 527–534.
- [7] S.S. MILLER AND P.T. MOCANU, *Differential Subordinations-Theory and Applications*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, Basel.
- [8] M. NUNOKAWA AND D.K. THOMAS, On convex and starlike functions in a sector, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (series A)*, **60** (1996), 363–368.
- [9] S. PONNUSAMY, Differential subordinations concerning starlike functions, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)*, **104**(2) (1994), 397–411.



Non-autonomous Differential
Subordinations Related to a
Sector

Sukhjot Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 22 of 23

[10] J. STANKIEWICZ, Some remarks concerning starlike functions, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phy.*, **18** (1970), 143–146.



**Non-autonomous Differential
Subordinations Related to a
Sector**

Sukhjit Singh and Sushma Gupta

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 23 of 23