

# HYERS-ULAM-RASSIAS STABILITY OF THE $K$ -QUADRATIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

MOHAMED AIT SIBAHA, BELAID BOUIKHALENE

University of Ibn Tofail, Faculty of Sciences  
Department of Mathematics  
Kenitra, Morocco  
Email: [mohaait@yahoo.fr](mailto:mohaait@yahoo.fr)      [bbouikhalene@yahoo.fr](mailto:bbouikhalene@yahoo.fr)

ELHOUCIEN ELQORACHI

Laboratory LAMA , Harmonic Analysis and Functional Equations Team  
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences  
University Ibn Zohr, Agadir, Morocco  
Email: [elqorachi@hotmail.com](mailto:elqorachi@hotmail.com)

*Received:* 26 January, 2007

*Accepted:* 08 June, 2007

*Communicated by:* [K. Nikodem](#)

*2000 AMS Sub. Class.:* 39B82, 39B52, 39B32.

*Key words:* Group, Additive equation, Quadratic equation, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability.

*Abstract:* In this paper we obtain the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for the functional equation

$$\frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} f(x + k \cdot y) = f(x) + f(y), \quad x, y \in G,$$

where  $K$  is a finite cyclic transformation group of the abelian group  $(G, +)$ , acting by automorphisms of  $G$ . As a consequence we can derive the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the quadratic and the additive functional equations.



Hyers-Ulam-Rassias  
Stability

M. Ait Sibaha, B. Bouikhalene  
and E. Elqorachi

vol. 8, iss. 3, art. 89, 2007

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)

[◀◀](#)      [▶▶](#)

[◀](#)      [▶](#)

Page 1 of 25

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

journal of **inequalities**  
in pure and applied  
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

# Contents

1	<a href="#">Introduction</a>	3
2	<a href="#">Main Results</a>	8



---

**Hyers-Ulam-Rassias  
Stability**

M. Ait Sibaha, B. Bouikhalene  
and E. Elqorachi

vol. 8, iss. 3, art. 89, 2007

---

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 2 of 25


[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

journal of **inequalities**  
in pure and applied  
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756



Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 3 of 25

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**  
in pure and applied  
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

© 2007 Victoria University. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

In the book, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems* [32], S.M. Ulam posed the question of the stability of the Cauchy functional equation. Ulam asked: if we replace a given functional equation by a functional inequality, when can we assert that the solutions of the inequality lie near to the solutions of the strict equation?

Originally, he had proposed the following more specific question during a lecture given before the University of Wisconsin's Mathematics Club in 1940.

Given a group  $G_1$ , a metric group  $(G_2, d)$ , a number  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a mapping  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  which satisfies the inequality  $d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \varepsilon$  for all  $x, y \in G_1$ , does there exist an homomorphism  $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  and a constant  $k > 0$ , depending only on  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  such that  $d(f(x), h(x)) \leq k\varepsilon$  for all  $x$  in  $G_1$ ?

A partial and significant affirmative answer was given by D.H. Hyers [9] under the condition that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are Banach spaces.

In 1978, Th. M. Rassias [18] provided a generalization of Hyers's stability theorem which allows the Cauchy difference to be unbounded, as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f : V \rightarrow X$  be a mapping between Banach spaces and let  $p < 1$  be fixed. If  $f$  satisfies the inequality*


$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

*for some  $\theta \geq 0$  and for all  $x, y \in V$  ( $x, y \in V \setminus \{0\}$  if  $p < 0$ ), then there exists a unique additive mapping  $T : V \rightarrow X$  such that*

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta}{|2 - 2^p|} \|x\|^p$$


*for all  $x \in V$  ( $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$  if  $p < 0$ ).*

*If, in addition,  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t$  for each fixed  $x$ , then  $T$  is linear.*



Title Page

Contents



Page 4 of 25

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

During the 27th International Symposium on functional equations, Th. M. Rassias asked the question whether such a theorem can also be proved for  $p \geq 1$ . Z. Gajda [7] following the same approach as in [18], gave an affirmative answer to Rassias' question for  $p > 1$ . However, it was showed that a similar result for the case  $p = 1$  does not hold.

In 1994, P. Gavrută [8] provided a generalization of the above theorem by replacing the function  $(x, y) \mapsto \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$  with a mapping  $\varphi(x, y)$  which satisfies the following condition:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y) < \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{y}{2^{n+1}}\right) < \infty$$

for every  $x, y$  in a Banach space  $V$ .

Since then, a number of stability results have been obtained for functional equations of the forms

$$(1.1) \quad f(x + y) = g(x) + h(y), \quad x, y \in G,$$


and

$$(1.2) \quad f(x + y) + f(x - y) = g(x) + h(y), \quad x, y \in G,$$

where  $G$  is an abelian group. In particular, for the classical equations of Cauchy and Jensen, the quadratic and the Pexider equations, the reader can be referred to [4] – [22] for a comprehensive account of the subject.

In the papers [24] – [31], H. Stetkær studied functional equations related to the action by automorphisms on a group  $G$  of a compact transformation group  $K$ . Writing the action of  $k \in K$  on  $x \in G$  as  $k \cdot x$  and letting  $dk$  denote the normalized Haar measure on  $K$ , the functional equations (1.1) and (1.2) have the form

$$(1.3) \quad \int_K f(x + k \cdot y) dk = g(x) + h(y), \quad x, y \in G,$$



[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)

[◀◀](#) [▶▶](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 5 of 25

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

where  $K = \{I\}$  and  $K = \{I, -I\}$ , respectively,  $I$  denoting the identity.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} f(x + k \cdot y) = f(x) + f(y), \quad x, y \in G,$$

where  $K$  is a finite cyclic subgroup of  $Aut(G)$  (the group of automorphisms of  $G$ ),  $|K|$  denotes the order of  $K$ , and  $G$  is an abelian group.

The set up allows us to give a unified treatment of the stability of the additive functional equation

$$(1.5) \quad f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y), \quad x, y \in G,$$

and the quadratic functional equation

$$(1.6) \quad f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y), \quad x, y \in G.$$

In particular, we want to see how the compact subgroup  $K$  enters into the solutions formulas.

The stability problem for the quadratic equation (1.6) was first solved by Skof in [23]. In [4] Cholewa extended Skof's result in the following way, where  $G$  is an abelian group and  $E$  is a Banach space.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\eta > 0$  be a real number and  $f : G \rightarrow E$  satisfies the inequality*

$$(1.7) \quad |f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)| \leq \eta \quad \text{for all } x, y \in G.$$

*Then for every  $x \in G$  the limit  $q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{2n}}$  exists and  $q : G \rightarrow E$  is the unique quadratic function satisfying*

$$(1.8) \quad |f(x) - q(x)| \leq \frac{\eta}{2}, \quad x \in G.$$

[Title Page](#)[Contents](#)[◀◀](#) [▶▶](#)[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 6 of 25

[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)

In [5] Czerwik obtained a generalization of the Skof-Chelewa result.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $p \neq 2$ ,  $\theta > 0$ ,  $\delta > 0$  be real numbers. Suppose that the function  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies the inequality*

$$\|f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)\| \leq \delta + \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in E_1.$$

*Then there exists exactly one quadratic function  $q : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  such that*

$$\|f(x) - q(x)\| \leq c + k\theta\|x\|^p$$

*for all  $x \in E_1$  if  $p \geq 0$  and for all  $x \in E_1 \setminus \{0\}$  if  $p \leq 0$ , where*

- $c = \frac{\|f(0)\|}{3}$ ,  $k = \frac{2}{4-2^p}$  and  $q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{4^n}$ , for  $p < 2$ .
- $c = 0$ ,  $k = \frac{2}{2^p-4}$  and  $q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{4^n}$ , for  $p > 2$ .

Recently, B. Bouikhalene, E. Elqorachi and Th. M. Rassias [1], [2] and [3] proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the functional equation (1.4) with  $K = \{I, \sigma\}$  ( $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $G$  such that  $\sigma \circ \sigma = I$ ).

The results obtained in the present paper encompass results from [2] and [18] given in Corollaries 2.5 and 2.6 below.

**General Set-Up.** Let  $K$  be a compact transformation group of an abelian topological group  $(G, +)$ , acting by automorphisms of  $G$ . We let  $dk$  denote the normalized Haar measure on  $K$ , and the action of  $k \in K$  on  $x \in G$  is denoted by  $k \cdot x$ . We assume that the function  $k \mapsto k \cdot y$  is continuous for all  $y \in G$ .

A continuous mapping  $q : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be  $K$ -quadratical if it satisfies the functional equation


$$(1.9) \quad \int_K q(x + k \cdot y) dk = q(x) + q(y), \quad x, y \in G.$$

When  $K$  is finite, the normalized Haar measure  $dk$  on  $K$  is given by

$$\int_K h(k)dk = \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} h(k)$$

for any  $h : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , where  $|K|$  denotes the order of  $K$ . So equation (1.9) can in this case be written

$$(1.10) \quad \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} q(x + k \cdot y) = q(x) + q(y), \quad x, y \in G.$$



**Hyers-Ulam-Rassias  
Stability**

M. Ait Sibaha, B. Bouikhalene  
and E. Elqorachi

vol. 8, iss. 3, art. 89, 2007

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)

[◀◀](#) [▶▶](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 7 of 25

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

journal of **inequalities**  
in pure and applied  
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756



[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 8 of 25

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

## 2. Main Results

Let  $\varphi : G \times G \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a continuous mapping which satisfies the following condition

$$(2.1) \quad \psi(x, y)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \int_K \int_K \cdots \int_K \varphi \left[ x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}, k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{n-1}\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot x, \right. \\ \left. y + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}, k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{n-1}\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot y \right] dk_1 dk_2 \dots dk_{n-1} < \infty,$$

for all  $p$  such that  $1 \leq p \leq n - 1$ , for all  $x, y \in G$  (uniform convergence). In what follows, we set  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x, x)$  and  $\psi(x) = \psi(x, x)$  for all  $x \in G$ .

The main results of the present paper are based on the following proposition.


**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian group and let  $\varphi : G \times G \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a continuous control mapping which satisfies (2.1). Suppose that  $f : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is continuous and satisfies the inequality*

$$(2.2) \quad \left| \int_K f(x + k \cdot y) dk - f(x) - f(y) \right| \leq \varphi(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Then, the formula  $q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_n(x)}{2^n}$ , with

$$(2.3) \quad f_0(x) = f(x) \text{ and } f_n(x) = \int_K f_{n-1}(x + k \cdot x) dk \text{ for all } n \geq 1,$$





Title Page

Contents

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 9 of 25

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

defines a continuous function which satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad |f(x) - q(x)| \leq \psi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_K q(x + k \cdot x) dk = 2q(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in G.$$

Furthermore, the continuous function  $q$  with the condition (2.4) is unique.

*Proof.* Replacing  $y$  by  $x$  in (2.2) gives

$$(2.5) \quad |f_1(x) - 2f(x)| = \left| \int_K f(x + k \cdot x) dk - 2f(x) \right| \leq \varphi(x)$$


and consequently

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} |f_2(x) - 2f_1(x)| &= \left| \int_K f_1(x + k_1 \cdot x) dk_1 - 2 \int_K f(x + k_1 \cdot x) dk_1 \right| \\ &\leq \int_K |f_1(x + k_1 \cdot x) - 2f(x + k_1 \cdot x)| dk_1 \\ &\leq \int_K \varphi(x + k_1 \cdot x) dk_1. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove that


$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{f_n(x)}{2^n} - \frac{f_{n-1}(x)}{2^{n-1}} \right| \\ &\leq 2^{-n} \int_K \int_K \cdots \int_K \varphi \left( x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}, k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{n-1}\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot x \right) dk_1 dk_2 \cdots dk_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . Clearly (2.7) is true for the case  $n = 1$ , since setting  $n = 1$  in (2.7) gives (2.5). Now, assume that the induction assumption is true for  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ ,



Title Page

Contents



Page 10 of 25

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

and consider


$$(2.8) \quad |f_{n+1}(x) - 2f_n(x)| = \left| \int_K f_n(x + k_n \cdot x) dk_n - 2 \int_K f_{n-1}(x + k_n \cdot x) dk_n \right| \\ \leq \int_K |f_n(x + k_n \cdot x) - 2f_{n-1}(x + k_n \cdot x)| dk_n.$$

Then

$$(2.9) \quad \left| \frac{f_{n+1}(x)}{2^{n+1}} - \frac{f_n(x)}{2^n} \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_K \left| \frac{f_n(x + k_n \cdot x)}{2^n} - \frac{f_{n-1}(x + k_n \cdot x)}{2^{n-1}} \right| dk_n \\ \leq 2^{-(n+1)} \int_K \int_K \cdots \int_K \varphi \left( x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}, k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot x \right) dk_1 dk_2 \dots dk_n,$$



so that the inductive assumption (2.7) is indeed true for all positive integers. Hence, for  $r > s$  we get

$$(2.10) \quad \left| \frac{f_r(x)}{2^r} - \frac{f_s(x)}{2^s} \right| \\ \leq \sum_{n=s}^{r-1} \left| \frac{f_{n+1}(x)}{2^{n+1}} - \frac{f_n(x)}{2^n} \right| \\ \leq \sum_{n=s}^{r-1} 2^{-(n+1)} \int_K \cdots \int_K \varphi \left( x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}, k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_r}) \cdot x \right) dk_1 \dots dk_n,$$



Title Page

Contents



Page 11 of 25

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

which by assumption (2.1) converges to zero (uniformly) as  $r$  and  $s$  tend to infinity. Thus the sequence of complex functions  $\frac{f_n(x)}{2^n}$  is a Cauchy sequence for each fixed  $x \in G$  and then this sequence converges for each fixed  $x \in G$  to some limit in  $\mathbb{C}$ , which is continuous on  $G$ . We call this limit  $q(x)$ . Next, we prove that


$$(2.11) \quad \left| \frac{f_n(x)}{2^n} - f(x) \right| \leq \sum_{l=1}^n 2^{-l} \int_K \cdots \int_K \varphi \left( x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}, k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{l-1}\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot x \right) dk_1 \dots dk_{l-1}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . We get the case of  $n = 1$  by (2.5)

$$(2.12) \quad |f_1(x) - 2f(x)| = \left| \int_K f(x + k \cdot x) dk - 2f(x) \right| \leq \varphi(x),$$

so the induction assumption (2.11) is true for  $n = 1$ . Assume that (2.11) is true for  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . By using (2.9), we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & |f_{n+1}(x) - 2^{n+1}f(x)| \\ & \leq |f_{n+1}(x) - 2f_n(x)| + 2|f_n(x) - 2^n f(x)| \\ & \leq \int_K \cdots \int_K \varphi \left( x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}, k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot x \right) dk_1 dk_2 \dots dk_n \\ & + 2^{n+1} \sum_{l=1}^n 2^{-l} \int_K \cdots \int_K \varphi \left( x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}, k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{l-1}\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot x \right) dk_1 dk_2 \dots dk_{l-1} \end{aligned}$$



Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 12 of 25

Go Back

Full Screen


Close

so (2.11) is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . By letting  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain the first assertion of (2.4). We now shall show that  $q$  satisfies the second assertion of (2.4). By using (2.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.14) \quad & \left| \int_K f_1(x + k_1 \cdot x) dk_1 - f_1(x) - f_1(x) \right| \\
 &= \left| \int_K \int_K f(x + k_1 \cdot x + k_2 \cdot (x + k_1 \cdot x)) dk_1 dk_2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_K f(x + k_1 \cdot x) dk_1 - \int_K f(x + k_1 \cdot x) dk_1 \right| \\
 &\leq \int_K \left| \int_K f(x + k_1 \cdot x + k_2 \cdot (x + k_1 \cdot x)) dk_2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - f(x + k_1 \cdot x) - f(x + k_1 \cdot x) \right| dk_1 \\
 &\leq \int_K \varphi(x + k_1 \cdot x) dk_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Make the induction assumption

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.15) \quad & \left| \int_K f_n(x + k \cdot x) dk - 2f_n(x) \right| \\
 &\leq \int_K \cdots \int_K \varphi \left( x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}, k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot x \right) dk_1 \cdots dk_n,
 \end{aligned}$$



Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 13 of 25

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

which is true for  $n = 1$  by (2.14). For  $n + 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_K f_{n+1}(x + k_{n+1} \cdot x) dk_{n+1} - 2f_{n+1}(x) \right| \\
 &= \left| \int_K \int_K f_n(x + k_{n+1} \cdot x + k \cdot (x + k_{n+1} \cdot x)) dk_{n+1} dk - 2 \int_K f_n(x + k_{n+1} \cdot x) dk_{n+1} \right| \\
 &\leq \int_K \left| \int_K f_n(x + k_{n+1} \cdot x + k \cdot (x + k_{n+1} \cdot x)) dk - 2f_n(x + k_{n+1} \cdot x) \right| dk_{n+1} \\
 &\leq \int_K \left\{ \int_K \cdots \int_K \varphi \left( x \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + k_{n+1} \cdot x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}, k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot (x + k_{n+1} \cdot x) \right) dk_1 \dots dk_n \right\} dk_{n+1} \\
 &= \int_K \cdots \int_K \varphi \left( x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}, k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{n+1}\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot x \right) dk_1 \dots dk_{n+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.15) is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . Now, in view of the condition (2.1),  $q$  satisfies the second assertion of (2.4).



To demonstrate the uniqueness of the mapping  $q$  subject to (2.4), let us assume on the contrary that there is another mapping  $q' : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$|f(x) - q'(x)| \leq \psi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_K q'(x + k \cdot x) dk = 2q'(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in G.$$



Title Page

Contents



Page 14 of 25

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

First, we prove by induction the following relation

$$(2.16) \quad \left| \frac{f_n(x)}{2^n} - q'(x) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \int_K \cdots \int_K \psi \left( x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}, k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot x \right) dk_1 \dots dk_n.$$

For  $n = 1$ , we have

$$(2.17) \quad |f_1(x) - 2q'(x)| = \left| \int_K f(x + k \cdot x) dk - \int_K q'(x + k \cdot x) dk \right| \leq \int_K \psi(x + k \cdot x) dk$$

so (2.16) is true for  $n = 1$ . By using the following


$$(2.18) \quad |f_{n+1}(x) - 2^{n+1}q'(x)| = \left| \int_K f_n(x + k \cdot x) dk - 2^n \int_K q'(x + k \cdot x) dk \right| \leq \int_K |f_n(x + k \cdot x) - 2^n q'(x + k \cdot x)| dk$$

we get the rest of the proof by proving that

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \int_K \cdots \int_K \psi \left( x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}, k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot x \right) dk_1 \dots dk_n$$


converges to zero. In fact by setting

$$X = x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}, k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot x$$



Title Page

Contents



Page 15 of 25

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**  
in pure and applied  
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

it follows that


$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2^n} \int_K \cdots \int_K \psi \left( x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}, k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot x \right) dk_1 \dots dk_n \\
 &= \frac{1}{2^n} \int_K \cdots \int_K \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^{+\infty} 2^{-r} \int_K \cdots \int_K \varphi \left( X + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}, k_{i_j} \in \{k_{n+1}, k_{n+2}, \dots, k_{n+r-1}\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_q}) \cdot X \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. dk_{n+1} \dots dk_{n+r-1} \right\} dk_1 \dots dk_n \\
 &= \sum_{r=1}^{+\infty} 2^{-(n+r)} \int_K \cdots \int_K \varphi \left( x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}, k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{n+r-1}\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_l}) \cdot x \right) dk_1 \dots dk_{n+r-1} \\
 &= \sum_{m=n+1}^{+\infty} 2^{-m} \int_K \cdots \int_K \varphi \left( x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}, k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{m-1}\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_l}) \cdot x \right) dk_1 \dots dk_{m-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.1), this converges to zero, so  $q = q'$ . This ends the proof. □

Our main result reads as follows.



**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $K$  be a finite cyclic subgroup of the group of automorphisms of the abelian group  $(G, +)$ . Let  $\varphi : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a mapping such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.19) \quad & \psi(x, y) \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|K|}{(2|K|)^n} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} \in K} \varphi \left( x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}; k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot x, \right.
 \end{aligned}$$



Title Page

Contents



Page 16 of 25

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

$$y + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}; k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot y \Big) < \infty,$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Suppose that  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfies the inequality

$$(2.20) \quad \left| \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} f(x + k \cdot y) - f(x) - f(y) \right| \leq \varphi(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Then, the limit  $q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_n(x)}{2^n}$ , with

$$(2.21) \quad f_0(x) = f(x) \text{ and } f_n(x) = \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} f_{n-1}(x + k \cdot x) \text{ for all } n \geq 1,$$


exists for all  $x \in G$ , and  $q : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the unique  $K$ -quadratical mapping which satisfies

$$(2.22) \quad |f(x) - q(x)| \leq \psi(x) \text{ for all } x \in G.$$

*Proof.* In this case, the induction relations corresponding to (2.7) and (2.11) can be written as follows

$$(2.23) \quad \left| \frac{f_n(x)}{2^n} - \frac{f_{n-1}(x)}{2^{n-1}} \right| \leq \frac{|K|}{(2|K|)^n} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} \in K} \varphi \left( x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}; k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot x \right)$$





Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 17 of 25

Go Back

Full Screen


Close

for any  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ .

$$(2.24) \quad \left| \frac{f_n(x)}{2^n} - f(x) \right| \leq \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{|K|}{(2|K|)^l} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{l-1} \in K} \varphi \left( x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}; k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, \dots, k_{l-1}\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot x \right),$$


for all integers  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . So, we can easily deduce that  $q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f_n(x)}{2^n}$  exists for all  $x \in G$  and  $q$  satisfies the inequality (2.22). Now, we will show that  $q$  is a  $K$ -quadratical function. For all  $x, y \in G$ , we have

$$(2.25) \quad \left| \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} f_1(x + k \cdot y) - f_1(x) - f_1(y) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k_1 \in K} f((x + k \cdot y) + k_1 \cdot (x + k \cdot y)) - \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k_1 \in K} f(x + k_1 \cdot x) - \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k_1 \in K} f(y + k_1 \cdot y) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k_1 \in K} f((x + k_1 \cdot x) + k \cdot (y + k_1 \cdot y)) - \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k_1 \in K} f(x + k_1 \cdot x) - \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k_1 \in K} f(y + k_1 \cdot y) \right|$$



Title Page

Contents



Page 18 of 25

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k_1 \in K} \left| \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} f((x + k_1 \cdot x) + k \cdot (y + k_1 \cdot y)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - f(x + k_1 \cdot x) - f(y + k_1 \cdot y) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k_1 \in K} \varphi(x + k_1 \cdot x, y + k_1 \cdot y). \end{aligned}$$

Make the induction assumption

$$\begin{aligned} (2.26) \quad &\left| \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} \frac{f_n(x + k \cdot y)}{2^n} - \frac{f_n(x)}{2^n} - \frac{f_n(y)}{2^n} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(2|K|)^n} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \in K} \varphi \left[ x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}; k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, \dots, k_n\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot x, y \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}; k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, \dots, k_n\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot y \right] \end{aligned}$$

which is true for  $n = 1$  by (2.26). By using

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} f_n(x + k \cdot y) - f_n(x) - f_n(y) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k' \in K} \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} f_{n-1}(x + k \cdot y + k' \cdot (x + k \cdot y)) \right. \end{aligned}$$



[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)

[◀◀](#) [▶▶](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 19 of 25

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| -\frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k' \in K} f_{n-1}(x + k' \cdot x) - \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} f_{n-1}(y + k' \cdot y) \right| \\
 = & \left| \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k' \in K} \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} f_{n-1}(x + k' \cdot x + k \cdot (y + k' \cdot y)) \right. \\
 & \left. - \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k' \in K} f_{n-1}(x + k' \cdot x) - \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} f_{n-1}(y + k' \cdot y) \right| \\
 \leq & \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k' \in K} \left| \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} f_{n-1}(x + k' \cdot x + k \cdot (y + k' \cdot y)) \right. \\
 & \left. - f_{n-1}(x + k' \cdot x) - f_{n-1}(y + k' \cdot y) \right|
 \end{aligned}$$


we get the result (2.26) for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . Now, in view of the condition (2.19),  $q$  is a  $K$ -quadratical function. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $K$  be a finite cyclic subgroup of the group of automorphisms of  $G$ , let  $\delta > 0$ . Suppose that  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfies the inequality*

$$(2.27) \quad \left| \sum_{k \in K} f(x + k \cdot y) - |K|f(x) - |K|f(y) \right| \leq \delta$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Then, the limit  $q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_n(x)}{2^n}$ , with

$$(2.28) \quad f_0(x) = f(x) \text{ and } f_n(x) = \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} f_{n-1}(x + k \cdot x) \text{ for } n \geq 1$$



[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 20 of 25

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

exists for all  $x \in G$ , and  $q : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the unique  $K$ -quadratical mapping which satisfies

$$(2.29) \quad |f(x) - q(x)| \leq \frac{\delta}{|K|} \text{ for all } x \in G.$$

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $K$  be a finite cyclic subgroup of the group of automorphisms of the normed space  $(G, \|\cdot\|)$ , let  $\theta \geq 0$  and  $p < 1$ . Suppose that  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfies the inequality

$$(2.30) \quad \left| \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} f(x + k \cdot y) - f(x) - f(y) \right| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Then, the limit  $q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_n(x)}{2^n}$ , with


$$(2.31) \quad f_0(x) = f(x) \text{ and } f_n(x) = \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} f_{n-1}(x + k \cdot x) \text{ for } n \geq 1$$

exists for all  $x \in G$ , and  $q : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the unique  $K$ -quadratical mapping which satisfies

$$(2.32) \quad |f(x) - q(x)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|K|}{(2|K|)^n} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} \in K} 2\theta \left\| x + \sum_{i_j < i_{j+1}; k_{i_j} \in \{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}\}} (k_{i_1} k_{i_2} \cdots k_{i_p}) \cdot x \right\|^p$$

for all  $x \in G$ .

**Corollary 2.5 ([18]).** Let  $K = \{I\}$ ,  $\theta \geq 0$  and  $p < 1$ . Suppose that  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfies the inequality

[Title Page](#)[Contents](#)[◀◀](#) [▶▶](#)[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 21 of 25

[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)

$$(2.33) \quad |f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Then, the limit  $q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_n(x)}{2^n}$ , with

$$(2.34) \quad f_n(x) = f(2^n x) \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$$

exists for all  $x \in G$ , and  $q : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the unique additive mapping which satisfies

$$(2.35) \quad |f(x) - q(x)| \leq \frac{2\theta \|x\|^p}{2 - 2^p} \text{ for all } x \in G.$$

**Corollary 2.6 ([2]).** Let  $K = \{I, \sigma\}$ , where  $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$  is an involution of  $G$ , and let  $\varphi : G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a mapping satisfying the condition

$$(2.36) \quad \psi(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-2n-1} [\varphi(2^n x, 2^n y) + (2^n - 1)\varphi(2^{n-1}x + 2^{n-1}\sigma(x), 2^{n-1}y + 2^{n-1}\sigma(y))] < \infty$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Let  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy

$$(2.37) \quad |f(x+y) + f(x+\sigma(y)) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)| \leq \varphi(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Then, there exists a unique solution  $q : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of the equation

$$(2.38) \quad q(x+y) + q(x+\sigma(y)) = 2q(x) + 2q(y) \quad x, y \in G$$

given by

$$(2.39) \quad q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} 2^{-2n} \{f(2^n x) + (2^n - 1)f(2^{n-1}x + 2^{n-1}\sigma(x))\}$$

which satisfies the inequality

$$(2.40) \quad |f(x) - q(x)| \leq \psi(x, x)$$

for all  $x \in G$ .

**Remark 1.** We can replace in Theorem 2.2 the condition that  $K$  is a finite cyclic subgroup by the condition that  $K$  is a compact commutative subgroup of  $Aut(G)$ .

## References

- [1] B. BOUIKHALENE, E. ELQORACHI AND Th.M. RASSIAS, On the Hyers-Ulam stability of approximately Pexider mappings, *Math. Inequa. and Appl.*, (accepted for publication).
- [2] B. BOUIKHALENE, E. ELQORACHI AND Th.M. RASSIAS, On the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation with a general involution, *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.* (accepted for publication).
- [3] B. BOUIKHALENE AND E. ELQORACHI, Ulam-Gavrută-Rassias stability of the Pexider functional equation, *International J. of Appl. Math. and Stat., IJAMAS*, **7** (2007), 27–39.
- [4] P.W. CHOLEWA, Remarks on the stability of functional equations, *Aequationes Math.*, **27** (1984), 76–86.
- [5] S. CZERWIK, On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, **62** (1992), 59–64.
- [6] G.L. FORTI, Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations in several variables, *Aequationes Math.*, **50** (1995), 143–190.
- [7] Z. GAJDA, On stability of additive mappings, *Internat. J. Math. Sci.*, **14** (1991), 431–434.
- [8] P. GÄVRUTA, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **184** (1994), 431–436.
- [9] D.H. HYERS, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **27** (1941), 222–224.





Hyers-Ulam-Rassias  
Stability

M. Ait Sibaha, B. Bouikhalene  
and E. Elqorachi

vol. 8, iss. 3, art. 89, 2007

Title Page

Contents



Page 22 of 25


Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**  
in pure and applied  
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756



- [10] D.H. HYERS AND Th.M. RASSIAS, Approximate homomorphisms, *Aequationes Math.*, **44** (1992), 125–153.
- [11] D.H. HYERS, G.I. ISAC AND Th.M. RASSIAS, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [12] K.-W. JUN AND Y.-H. LEE, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Jensen's equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **238** (1999), 305–315.
- [13] S.-M. JUNG, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Inc., Palm Harbor, Florida, 2003.
- [14] S.-M. JUNG, Stability of the quadratic equation of Pexider type, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, **70** (2000), 175–190.
- [15] S.-M. JUNG AND P.K. SAHOO, Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic equation of Pexider type, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, **38**(3) (2001), 645–656.
- [16] S.-M. JUNG AND P.K. SAHOO, Stability of a functional equation of Drygas, *Aequationes Math.*, **64**(3) (2002), 263–273.
- [17] C.-G. PARK, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach modules, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **275** (2002), 711–720.
- [18] Th.M. RASSIAS, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [19] Th.M. RASSIAS, The problem of S. M. Ulam for approximately multiplicative mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **246** (2000), 352–378.
- [20] Th.M. RASSIAS, On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam, *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, **62** (2000), 23–130.

Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶


◀ ▶

Page 23 of 25

Go Back

Full Screen

Close



- [21] Th.M. RASSIAS AND P. SEMRL, On the behavior of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **114** (1992), 989–993.
- [22] Th.M. RASSIAS AND J. TABOR, *Stability of Mappings of Hyers-Ulam Type*, Hardronic Press, Inc., Palm Harbor, Florida 1994.
- [23] F. SKOF, Local properties and approximations of operators, *Rend. Sem. Math. Fis. Milano*, **53** (1983), 113–129.
- [24] H. STETKÆR, Functional equations on abelian groups with involution, *Aequationes Math.*, **54** (1997), 144–172.
- [25] H. STETKÆR, D’Alembert’s equation and spherical functions, *Aequationes Math.*, **48** (1994), 164–179.
- [26] H. STETKÆR, On operator-valued spherical functions, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **224** (2005), 338–351.
- [27] H. STETKÆR, Wilson’s functional equation on groups, *Aequationes Math.*, **49** (1995), 252–275.
- [28] H. STETKÆR, Functional equation on abelian groups with involution, *Aequationes Math.*, **54** (1997), 144–172.
- [29] H. STETKÆR, Functional equation on abelian groups with involution, II, *Aequationes Math.*, **55** (1998), 227–240.
- [30] H. STETKÆR, Functional equations and matrix-valued spherical functions, *Aequationes Math.*, **69** (2005), 271–292.
- [31] H. STETKÆR, Trigonometric functional equation of rectangular type, *Aequationes Math.*, **56** (1998), 251–270.

Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 24 of 25


Go Back

Full Screen

Close



[32] S.M. ULAM, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ. New York, 1961. *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Wiley, New York 1964.



---

**Hyers-Ulam-Rassias  
Stability**

M. Ait Sibaha, B. Bouikhalene  
and E. Elqorachi

vol. 8, iss. 3, art. 89, 2007

---

Title Page

Contents



Page 25 of 25

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**  
in pure and applied  
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756