

On the evaluation at (j, j^2) of the Tutte polynomial of a ternary matroid

Emeric Gioan · Michel Las Vergnas

Received: 17 January 2002 / Accepted: 12 April 2006 /
Published online: 9 September 2006
© Springer Science + Business Media, LLC 2007

Abstract F. Jaeger has shown that up to a \pm sign the evaluation at (j, j^2) of the Tutte polynomial of a ternary matroid can be expressed in terms of the dimension of the bicycle space of a representation over $GF(3)$. We give a short algebraic proof of this result, which moreover yields the exact value of \pm , a problem left open in Jaeger's paper. It follows that the computation of $t(j, j^2)$ is of polynomial complexity for a ternary matroid.

Keywords Matroid · Ternary matroid · Tutte polynomial · Graph · Knot theory · Jones polynomial · Computational complexity

In the seminal paper [4] on the complexity of Tutte polynomials, it is shown that the point (j, j^2) and its conjugate (j^2, j) are two out of eight 'easy' special points, where 'easy' is intended from a computational point of view. Each of these eight points have remarkable combinatorial interpretations. A result of F. Jaeger [3] relates $t(j, j^2)$ and $t(j^2, j)$ to ternary matroids. Specifically, let E be a finite set, V be a subspace of the vector space $GF(3)^E$, and $M(V)$ be the matroid on E whose circuits are the inclusion-minimal supports of non-zero vectors of V . Then $t(M(V); j, j^2) = \pm j^{|E| + \dim V} (i\sqrt{3})^{\dim(V \cap V^\perp)}$. Graphs, via graphic matroids, are a special case of ternary matroids. We refer the reader to the introduction of [3] (see also [4] Section 6) for

E. Gioan: C.N.R.S., Montpellier

M. Las Vergnas: C.N.R.S., Paris

E. Gioan (✉)

Université Montpellier 2, LIRMM, 161 rue Ada, 34392 Montpellier cedex 5, France
e-mail: Emeric.Gioan@lirmm.fr

M. Las Vergnas

Université Pierre et Marie Curie (Paris 6), case 189 - Combinatoire & Optimisation, 4 place Jussieu,
75005 Paris, France
e-mail: mlv@math.jussieu.fr

the relevance of these properties to the Jones polynomial in Knot Theory. We also mention the related paper [5], where the problem of the complexity of the computation of $t(M; x, y)$, for x, y algebraic numbers and M vectorial over a given finite field, is addressed in full generality.

The main step of the proof in [3] is to establish that $\sum_{u \in V} j^{|s(u)|} = \pm(i\sqrt{3})^{\dim V + \dim(V \cap V^\perp)}$, where $s(u)$ denotes the support of u . The proof of this last property in Jaeger's paper uses deletion/contraction of elements of E , and is about four pages long. Our purpose in the present note is to provide a short algebraic proof. Moreover, we obtain the exact value of \pm , a question left open in Jaeger's paper. As a consequence $t(M; j, j^2)$ is of polynomial complexity for a ternary matroid M .

Let K be a field and E be a finite set. The *canonical bilinear form* on the space K^E is defined by $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{e \in E} u(e)v(e)$ for $u, v \in K^E$. In K^E , the subspace *orthogonal* to a subspace V is defined by $V^\perp = \{u' \in K^E \mid \langle u, u' \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } u \in V\}$. A vector $u \in K^E$ is *isotropic* if $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$.

We will use two classical results about orthogonal bases. The orthogonalization algorithm of Lemma 1.1, which allows isotropic vectors in the orthogonal basis, is different from the current Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization algorithm valid for real spaces. We include proofs for completeness.

Lemma 1.1. *Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ endowed with a bilinear form. Then V has an orthogonal basis.*

More specifically an orthogonal basis of V can be constructed from any given basis in polynomial time.

Proof: Let $(u_k)_{1 \leq k \leq d}$ be a basis of V . If there is an index $1 \leq \ell \leq d$ such that $\langle u_\ell, u_\ell \rangle \neq 0$, then reindex in such a way that $\ell = 1$ and set $u'_1 = u_1$. Otherwise, if there is an index $2 \leq \ell \leq d$ such that $\langle u_1 + u_\ell, u_1 + u_\ell \rangle \neq 0$, then set $u'_1 = u_1 + u_\ell$. In both cases, update u_k as $u_k - \langle u'_1, u_k \rangle \langle u'_1, u'_1 \rangle^{-1} u'_1$ for $2 \leq k \leq d$. We have $\langle u'_1, u_k \rangle = 0$ for $2 \leq k \leq d$.

Otherwise we have $\langle u_k, u_k \rangle = 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq d$, and $\langle u_1 + u_k, u_1 + u_k \rangle = 0$ for $2 \leq k \leq d$. From $\langle u_1 + u_k, u_1 + u_k \rangle = 0$, we get $\langle u_1, u_1 \rangle + 2 \langle u_1, u_k \rangle + \langle u_k, u_k \rangle = 2 \langle u_1, u_k \rangle = 0$, hence $\langle u_1, u_k \rangle = 0$ in characteristic $\neq 2$. We set $u'_1 = u_1$.

In all three cases, $\{u'_1, u_2, \dots, u_d\}$ is a basis of V such that u'_1 is orthogonal to the space generated by u_k for $2 \leq k \leq d$. Lemma 1.1 follows by induction. \square

Lemma 1.2. *The isotropic vectors of any orthogonal basis of V constitute a basis of $V \cap V^\perp$.*

Proof: Let $(u_k)_{1 \leq k \leq d}$ be an orthogonal basis of V , and $u = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d} a_k u_k \in V \cap V^\perp$. For $1 \leq \ell \leq d$, we have $0 = \langle u, u_\ell \rangle = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d} a_k \langle u_k, u_\ell \rangle = a_\ell \langle u_\ell, u_\ell \rangle$. Hence if $\langle u_\ell, u_\ell \rangle \neq 0$, we have $a_\ell = 0$. It follows that u is generated by the isotropic vectors of the basis. These vectors being independent, they constitute a basis of $V \cap V^\perp$. \square

Our basic result is the following strengthening of Jaeger's proposition.

Proposition 1. *Let E be a finite set, and V be a subspace of $GF(3)^E$. We have*

$$\sum_{u \in V} j^{|s(u)|} = (-1)^{d+d_1} (i\sqrt{3})^{d+d_0}$$

where $d = \dim V$, $d_0 = \dim V \cap V^\perp$ and d_1 is the number of vectors with support of size congruent to 1 modulo 3 in any orthogonal basis of V with respect to the canonical bilinear form.

Proof: We have $GF(3) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, in other words the elements of $GF(3)$ can be assimilated to integer residues modulo 3. We observe that for $u \in GF(3)^E$ we have $|s(u)| \pmod 3 = \langle u, u \rangle$, where $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{e \in E} u(e)v(e)$ is the canonical bilinear form. It follows that $j^{|s(u)|} = j^{\langle u, u \rangle}$.

By Lemma 1.1, there is an orthogonal basis $(u_k)_{1 \leq k \leq d}$ of V . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in V} j^{|s(u)|} &= \sum_{u \in V} j^{\langle u, u \rangle} \\ &= \sum_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d) \in GF(3)^d} j^{\langle \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d} a_k u_k, \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d} a_k u_k \rangle} \\ &= \sum_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d) \in GF(3)^d} j^{\sum_{1 \leq k \leq d} a_k^2 \langle u_k, u_k \rangle} \\ &= \sum_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d) \in GF(3)^d} \prod_{1 \leq k \leq d} j^{a_k^2 \langle u_k, u_k \rangle} \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq k \leq d} \sum_{a_k \in GF(3)} j^{a_k^2 \langle u_k, u_k \rangle} \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq k \leq d} (1 + 2j^{\langle u_k, u_k \rangle}) \\ &= 3^{d_0} (1 + 2j)^{d_1} (1 + 2j^2)^{d_2} \end{aligned}$$

where d_0 resp. d_1, d_2 is the number of vectors u_k $1 \leq k \leq d$ such that $\langle u_k, u_k \rangle = 0$ resp. $= 1 = 2$. We have $1 + 2j = i\sqrt{3}$, $1 + 2j^2 = -i\sqrt{3}$, $d = d_0 + d_1 + d_2$, and $d_0 = \dim V \cap V^\perp$ by Lemma 1.2. Proposition 1 follows. □

It follows from Proposition 1 and Lemma 1.1 that

Corollary 2. *Let E be a finite set, and V be a subspace of $GF(3)^E$.*

The parity of the number of vectors with support of cardinality congruent to 1 resp. 2 modulo 3 in an orthogonal basis of V does not depend on the particular orthogonal basis.

By Corollary 2 the residue modulo 2 of the number of vectors with support of cardinality congruent to 1 resp. 2 modulo 3 in an orthogonal basis of a subspace V of $GF(3)^E$ is a 0-1 invariant of V . We will denote it by $\bar{d}_1(V)$ resp. $\bar{d}_2(V)$. It follows

from Lemma 1.1 that $\bar{d}_1(V)$ can be computed in polynomial time from any given basis of V .

We recall that by a theorem of Greene [2], given a subspace V of $GF(q)^E$, q a prime power, we have $\sum_{u \in V} z^{|\mathcal{S}(u)|} = z^{|E|-d} (1-z)^d t(M; 1/z, 1+qz/(1-z))$, where $d = \dim V$.

Theorem 3. *Let M be a ternary matroid on a finite set E . We have*

$$t(M; j, j^2) = (-1)^{d_2} j^{|E|+d} (i\sqrt{3})^{d_0}$$

where $d = \dim V$, $d_0 = \dim V \cap V^\perp$, and d_2 is the number of vectors with support of cardinality congruent to 2 modulo 3 in any orthogonal basis of a subspace V of $GF(3)^E$ such that $M = M(V)$.

Proof: As in Jaeger's paper, we derive Theorem 3 from Proposition 1 by means of Greene's theorem. Specializing this formula to $z = j$ and $q = 3$, and applying Proposition 1, we get

$$t(M; j^2, j) = (-1)^{d+d_1} j^{-|E|-d} (i\sqrt{3})^{d_0}$$

Since $t(M; j, j^2)$ is the complex conjugate of $t(M; j^2, j)$, Theorem 2 follows. \square

A short proof of Greene's theorem is given in [3] Proposition 7 (see also [1] for another short proof).

Theorem 3 provides the exact value of \pm in Jaeger's formula for $t(M; j, j^2)$ when M is a ternary matroid. This answers the question in [3] p. 25 asking for an interpretation of the parameter $\epsilon(M)$, defined by $t(M; j, j^2) = \epsilon(M) j^{|E|+d} (i\sqrt{3})^{d_0}$. By Corollary 2, $\bar{d}_1 = \bar{d}_1(V) = \bar{d}_1(M)$ is a 0-1 invariant of polynomial complexity of a ternary matroid M . By Theorem 3, we have

$$\epsilon(M) = (-1)^{d+\bar{d}_1} = (-1)^{d_0+\bar{d}_2}$$

As well-known, if V is defined by a basis, the dimension $d_0 = \dim V \cap V^\perp$ is of polynomial complexity (also a corollary of Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2). Hence

Corollary 4. *The evaluation $t(M; j, j^2)$ of the Tutte polynomial of a ternary matroid $M = M(V)$, with V defined by a basis, is of polynomial complexity.*

Corollary 4 strengthens the previously known polynomial complexity of the modulus $|t(M; j, j^2)|$, used in [4, 5].

As noted by Jaeger (see [3] Proposition 9) $\epsilon(M)$ and $\epsilon(M^*)$ are related.

Corollary 5. *Let M be a ternary matroid on a set E . We have $\bar{d}_1(M^*) \equiv \bar{d}_1(M) + d_0(M) + |E|$ modulo 2, where M^* denotes the dual matroid of M .*

Corollary 5 follows from the relation $\epsilon(M) = (-1)^{d+\bar{d}_1}$, combined with [3] Proposition 9.(i). It can also be easily derived directly from Theorem 2.

Finally, we mention that the initial motivation of the present note was the computation of $\sum_{u \in V} j^{|s(w+u)|}$, where w is any vector of $GF(3)^E$.

Corollary 6. *Let $w \in GF(3)^E$.*

- *If $w \in V + V^\perp$, say $w = w' + w''$ with $w' \in V$ and $w'' \in V^\perp$, then, with notation of Proposition 1, we have*

$$\sum_{u \in V} j^{|s(w+u)|} = (-1)^{d+d_1} (i\sqrt{3})^{d+d_0} j^{|s(w'')|}$$

- *If $w \notin V + V^\perp$, we have*

$$\sum_{u \in V} j^{|s(w+u)|} = 0.$$

Proof: If $w = w' + w''$ with $w' \in V$ and $w'' \in V^\perp$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in V} j^{|s(w+u)|} &= \sum_{u \in V} j^{|s(w'+w''+u)|} \\ &= \sum_{u \in V} j^{|s(w''+u)|} = \sum_{u \in V} j^{\langle w''+u, w''+u \rangle} \\ &= \sum_{u \in V} j^{\langle w'', w'' \rangle + \langle u, u \rangle} = j^{\langle w'', w'' \rangle} \sum_{u \in V} j^{\langle u, u \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain Corollary 4 by applying Proposition 1.

If $w \notin V + V^\perp$, then there is $v \in V \cap V^\perp = (V + V^\perp)^\perp$ such that $\langle w, v \rangle \neq 0$. Let V' be a supplement of $\langle v \rangle$ in V . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in V} j^{|s(w+u)|} &= \sum_{u \in V'} \sum_{a \in GF(3)} j^{|s(w+u+av)|} \\ &= \sum_{u \in V'} \sum_{a \in GF(3)} j^{\langle w+u+av, w+u+av \rangle} \\ &= \sum_{u \in V'} \sum_{a \in GF(3)} j^{\langle w+u, w+u \rangle + a \langle w, v \rangle} \\ &= \sum_{u \in V'} j^{\langle w+u, w+u \rangle} \left(\sum_{a \in GF(3)} j^{a \langle w, v \rangle} \right) \\ &= \sum_{u \in V'} j^{\langle w+u, w+u \rangle} (1 + j + j^2) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

References

1. G. Etienne and M. Las Vergnas, “The Tutte polynomial of a morphism of matroids III. Vectorial matroids,” *Adv. Appl. Math.* **32** (2004), 198–211.
2. C. Greene, “Weight enumeration and the geometry of linear codes,” *Stud. Appl. Math.* **55** (1976), 119–128.
3. F. Jaeger, “Tutte polynomials and bicycle dimension of ternary matroids,” *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **107** (1989), 17–25.
4. F. Jaeger, D.L. Vertigan, and D.J.A. Welsh, “On the computational complexity of the Jones and Tutte polynomials,” *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **108** (1990), 35–53.
5. D. Vertigan, “Bicycle dimension and special points of the Tutte Polynomial,” *J. Comb. Theory B* **74** (1998), 378–396.