



**A COMBINATORIAL PROOF OF GUO'S  
MULTI-GENERALIZATION OF MUNARINI'S IDENTITY**

**Dan-Mei Yang<sup>1</sup>**

*Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062,  
People's Republic of China  
plain\_dan2004@126.com*

*Received: 11/29/10, Revised: 4/18/11, Accepted: 6/12/11, Published: 8/7/11*

**Abstract**

We give a combinatorial proof of Guo's multi-generalization of Munarini's identity, answering a question of Guo.

**1. Introduction**

Simons [7] proved a binomial coefficient identity which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{n+k}{k} (-1)^{n-k} (1+x)^k = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{n+k}{k} x^k. \quad (1)$$

Several different proofs of (1) were given in [1, 5, 8]. Using Cauchy's integral formula as in [5], Munarini [4] obtained the following generalization:

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\beta - \alpha + n}{n-k} \binom{\beta + k}{k} (-1)^{n-k} (x+y)^k y^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{n-k} \binom{\beta + k}{k} x^k y^{n-k}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, x$  and  $y$  are indeterminates. It is clear that (2) reduces to (1) when  $\alpha = \beta = n$  and  $y = 1$ . Shattuck [6] and Chen and Pang [2] provided two interesting combinatorial proofs of (2).

Recently, Guo [3] obtained the following multinomial coefficient generalization of

---

<sup>1</sup>This work was partially supported by Shanghai Rising-Star Program (#09QA1401700) and the National Science Foundation of China (#10801054).

(2):

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}=0}^{\mathbf{n}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{n}|-|\mathbf{k}|} \binom{\beta - \alpha + |\mathbf{n}|}{\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{k}} \binom{\beta + |\mathbf{k}|}{\mathbf{k}} (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})^{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}}$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{k}=0}^{\mathbf{n}} \binom{\alpha}{\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{k}} \binom{\beta + |\mathbf{k}|}{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}}, \tag{3}$$

where  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$ ,  $|\mathbf{n}| = n_1 + \dots + n_m$ , the *multinomial coefficient*  $\binom{x}{\mathbf{n}}$  is defined by

$$\binom{x}{\mathbf{n}} = \begin{cases} \frac{x(x-1)\cdots(x-|\mathbf{n}|+1)}{n_1! \cdots n_m!}, & \text{if } \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^m, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots, x_m + y_m)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_m^{a_m}$  for  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$  and  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$ .

In this paper we shall give an involutive proof of (3), answering a question of Guo [3]. Our proof is motivated by Shattuck’s work [6].

**2. The Involutive Proof**

Notice that both sides of (3) are polynomials in  $\alpha, \beta, x_1, \dots, x_m$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_m$ . We may consider only the case of positive integers with  $\beta \geq \alpha$ . We first understand the unsigned quantity in the sum of the left-hand side of (3). Let  $\Gamma = \{a, b_1, \dots, b_m\}$  be an alphabet. We construct the weighted words  $w = w_1 \cdots w_{\beta+|\mathbf{n}|}$  on  $\Gamma$  as follows:

- i) Choose  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$  with  $0 \leq k_i \leq n_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ;
- ii) Let a subword of  $w_1 \cdots w_{\beta-\alpha+|\mathbf{n}|}$  be a permutation of the multiset  $\{b_1^{n_1-k_1}, \dots, b_m^{n_m-k_m}\}$ , with each  $b_i$  weighted  $y_i$  and also circled;
- iii) Let all the other  $w_i$ ’s be a permutation of the multiset  $\{a^\beta, b_1^{k_1}, \dots, b_m^{k_m}\}$ , with each  $b_i$  weighted  $x_i$  or  $y_i$  and each  $a$  weighted 1.

We call such a weighted word  $w$  a *configuration*, and define its weight as the product of the weights of all the  $w_i$ ’s. Here is an example for  $\beta = 4, \alpha = 2, \mathbf{n} = (2, 2)$  and  $\mathbf{k} = (2, 1)$  (the configuration has weight  $x_1 x_2 y_1 y_2$ ):

$$\begin{matrix} a & b_2 & a & b_1 & \textcircled{b_2} & a & b_1 & a \\ 1 & x_2 & 1 & y_1 & y_2 & 1 & x_1 & 1 \end{matrix} .$$

Notice that the circled letters can occur only in the first  $\beta - \alpha + |\mathbf{n}|$  positions, but not in the last  $\alpha$  positions. It is not hard to see that the sum of the weights of the configurations defined above is equal to  $\binom{\beta - \alpha + |\mathbf{n}|}{\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{k}} \binom{\beta + |\mathbf{k}|}{\mathbf{k}} (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})^{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{k}}$  for any  $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^m$ .

Let  $S$  be the set of all configurations just defined and let  $\varphi : S \rightarrow S$  be the involution defined as follows. If the configuration  $w \in S$  contains at least one letter  $w_j$  with weight  $y_i$  in the first  $\beta - \alpha + |\mathbf{n}|$  positions, then let  $\varphi(w)$  be the configuration obtained from  $w$  by choosing the first letter  $w_j$  with weight  $y_i$  and circling it (if it is not circled) or uncircling it (if it is circled). If the configuration  $w \in S$  does not contain letters  $w_j$  with weight  $y_i$  in the first  $\beta - \alpha + |\mathbf{n}|$  positions, then let  $\varphi(w) = w$ . For the above example, we have

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc} a & b_2 & a & b_1 & \textcircled{b_2} & a & b_1 & a & \xleftrightarrow{\varphi} & a & b_2 & a & \textcircled{b_1} & \textcircled{b_2} & a & b_1 & a \\ 1 & x_2 & 1 & y_1 & y_2 & 1 & x_1 & 1 & & 1 & x_2 & 1 & y_1 & y_2 & 1 & x_1 & 1 \end{array} .$$

Let  $\text{Fix}(\varphi) := \{w | \varphi(w) = w, w \in S\}$ . For each  $w \in \text{Fix}(\varphi)$ , notice that every letter  $w_j$  with weight  $y_i$  is in the right  $\alpha$  positions. The total weight of the configurations in  $\text{Fix}(\varphi)$  is equal to the right-hand side of (3). This is because if the subwords with elements weighted  $y_i$  in  $w_{\beta - \alpha + |\mathbf{n}| + 1} \cdots w_{\beta + |\mathbf{n}|}$  is a permutation of the multiset  $\{b_1^{n_1 - k_1}, \dots, b_m^{n_m - k_m}\}$ , then there are  $\binom{\beta + |\mathbf{k}|}{\mathbf{k}}$  possible ways to choose the remaining subwords of  $w$ , where each  $b_i$  is weighted  $x_i$ . This proves (3).

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank the referee for many helpful comments on a previous version of this paper.

**References**

[1] R. Chapman, A curious identity revised, *Math. Gazette* **87** (2003), 139–141.  
 [2] W. Y. C. Chen and S. X. M. Pang, On the combinatorics of the Pfaff identity, *Discrete Math.* **309** (2009), 2190–2196.  
 [3] V. J. W. Guo, Simple proofs of Jensen’s, Chu’s, Mohanty-Handa’s, and Graham-Knuth-Patashnik’s identities, preprint, *arXiv:1005.2745*.  
 [4] E. Munarini, Generalization of a binomial identity of Simons, *Integers* **5** (2005), #A15.  
 [5] H. Prodinger, A curious identity proved by Cauchy’s integral formula, *Math. Gazette* **89** (2005), 266–267.  
 [6] M. Shattuck, Combinatorial proofs of some Simons-type binomial coefficient identities, *Integers* **7** (2007), #A27.  
 [7] S. Simons, A curious identity, *Math. Gazette* **85** (2001), 296–298.  
 [8] X. Wang and Y. Sun, A new proof of a curious identity, *Math. Gazette* **91** (2007), 105–106.