Hindawi Publishing Corporation Journal of Inequalities and Applications Volume 2010, Article ID 486325, 15 pages doi:10.1155/2010/486325

## Research Article

# **Superstability and Stability of the Pexiderized Multiplicative Functional Equation**

## **Young Whan Lee**

Department of Computer and Information Security, Daejeon University, Daejeon 300-716, South Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Young Whan Lee, ywlee@dju.ac.kr

Received 14 August 2009; Accepted 28 December 2009

Academic Editor: Yeol Je Cho

Copyright © 2010 Young Whan Lee. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We obtain the superstability of the Pexiderized multiplicative functional equation f(xy) = g(x)h(y) and investigate the stability of this equation in the following form:  $1/(1 + \psi(x,y)) \le f(xy)/g(x)h(y) \le 1 + \psi(x,y)$ .

#### 1. Introduction

The superstability of the functional equation f(x + y) = f(x)f(y) was studied by Baker et al. [1]. They proved that if f is a functional on a real vector space W satisfying  $|f(x + y) - f(x)f(y)| \le \delta$  for some fixed  $\delta > 0$  and all  $x, y \in W$ , then f is either bounded or else f(xy) = f(x)f(y) for all  $x, y \in W$ . This result was genealized with a simplified proof by Baker [2] as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** (Baker [2]). Let  $\delta > 0$ , S be a semigroup and  $f: S \to C$  satisfying

$$|f(xy) - f(x)f(y)| \le \delta \tag{1.1}$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Put  $\beta := (1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\delta})/2$ . Then  $|f(x)| \le \beta$  for all  $x \in S$  or else f(xy) = f(x)f(y) for all  $x, y \in S$ .

A different generalization of the result of Baker et al. was given by Székelyhidi [3]. It involves an interesting generalization of the class of bounded functions on a group or semigroup and may be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.2** (Székelyhidi [3]). Let G be a commutative group with identity 1 and let f,  $m: S \to C$  be functions such that there exist functions  $M_1, M_2: G \to [0, \infty)$  with

$$|f(xy) - f(x)m(y)| \le \min\{M_1(x), M_2(y)\}\$$
 (1.2)

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Then f is bounded or m is an exponential and f = f(1)m.

In this paper, we prove the superstability of the Pexiderized multiplicative functional equation (PMFE)

$$f(xy) = g(x)h(y). (1.3)$$

That is, we prove that if f, g, h are functional on a semigroup S with identity 1 satisfying g(1) = 1 and

$$|f(xy) - g(x)h(y)| \le \varphi(x,y) \tag{1.4}$$

for all  $x, y \in S$  and for a function  $\varphi : S \times S \to [0, \infty)$  with some coditions, then g is bounded or else g is an exponential and h = h(1)g. This is a generalization of the result of Székelyhidi. Also we investigate the stability of the Pexiderized multiplicative functional equation (1.3) in the sense of Ger [4].

## 2. Superstability of the PMFE

In this section, let  $(S, \cdot)$  be a semigroup with identity 1 and  $\varphi : S \times S \to [0, \infty)$  a function with

$$\Phi_w(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(w, x^{k+2}) + \varphi(wx, x^{k+1})}{2^k} < \infty$$
 (2.1)

for all  $x, w \in S$  and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \varphi(wx, zy^k) \quad \text{exists} \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $x, y, z, w \in S$ .

*Example 2.1.* The following functions satisfy conditions (2.1) and (2.2) above.

- (a)  $\varphi(x, y) = \delta$ , for every  $x, y \in R$  and  $\delta \ge 0$ .
- (b)  $\varphi(x,y) = |t(x)|$ , for every  $x,y \in S$  and t is a functional on S.
- (c)  $\varphi(x, y) = |x| + 1/(1 + |y|)$ , for every  $x, y \in R$ .
- (d)  $\varphi(x, y) = 1/(1 + |x| + |y|)$ , for every  $x, y \in R$ .

Example 2.2. Let  $(S, \cdot) = ([0, \infty), +)$  and also  $g(x) = e^x, h(x) = e^{x+c}$ ,

$$f(x) = e^{x+c} + \frac{1}{1+x}$$
 (2.3)

for all  $x \in S$  and for some  $c \in S$ . Let  $\varphi(x,y) = 1/(1+x+y)$ . Then f, g, h,  $\varphi$  satisfy the conditions (1.4), (2.1), (2.2) and

$$|f(x+y) - g(x)h(y)| = \frac{1}{1+x+y}.$$
 (2.4)

In particular, we know that g(0) = 1, g(x+y) = g(x)g(y), h = h(0)g, and  $f(x+y) \neq f(x)f(y)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $(S, \cdot)$  be a semigroup with identity 1. If  $f, g, h : S \to C$  are functions with  $|g(m)| \ge \max\{2, 2\Phi_1(m)/|h(m)|\}$  for some  $m \in S$  satisfying g(1) = 1 and condition (1.4), that is,

$$|f(xy) - g(x)h(y)| \le \varphi(x,y), \tag{2.5}$$

then

$$g(xy) = g(x)g(y) \tag{2.6}$$

for all  $x, y \in S$  and h = h(1)g.

*Proof.* If we replace x by m and also y by m in (1.4), we get

$$\left| f\left(m^2\right) - g(m)h(m) \right| \le \varphi(m, m). \tag{2.7}$$

Also we replace x by 1 in (1.4), then we have

$$|f(y) - h(y)| \le \varphi(1, y) \tag{2.8}$$

for all  $y \in S$ . An induction argument implies that for all  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$\left| f(m^n) - g(m)^{n-1} h(m) \right| \le \varphi \left( m, m^{n-1} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \left| g(m) \right|^k \left( \varphi \left( 1, m^{n-k} \right) + \varphi \left( m, m^{n-k-1} \right) \right). \tag{2.9}$$

Indeed, if inequality (2.9) holds, using inequality (1.4) and (2.8) we have

$$\left| f\left(m^{n+1}\right) - g(m)^{n}h(m) \right| 
\leq \left| f(mm^{n}) - g(m)h(m^{n}) \right| + \left| g(m) \right| \left| h(m^{n}) - f(m^{n}) \right| 
+ \left| g(m) \right| \left| f(m^{n}) - g(m)^{n-1}h(m) \right| 
\leq \varphi(m, m^{n}) + \left| g(m) \right| \varphi(1, m^{n}) 
+ \left| g(m) \right| \left( \varphi\left(m, m^{n-1}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \left| g(m) \right|^{k} \left( \varphi\left(1, m^{n-k}\right) + \varphi\left(m, m^{n-k-1}\right) \right) \right) 
= \varphi(m, m^{n}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left| g(m) \right|^{k} \left( \varphi\left(1, m^{n+1-k}\right) + \varphi\left(m, m^{n-k}\right) \right)$$
(2.10)

for all  $n \ge 2$ . By (2.9), we have

$$\left| \frac{f(m^{n})}{g(m)^{n-1}h(m)} - 1 \right| \\
\leq \frac{1}{|h(m)||g(m)|} \left( \frac{\varphi(m, m^{n-1})}{|g(m)|^{n-2}} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \frac{1}{g(m)^{n-k-2}} \left( \varphi(1, m^{n-k}) + \varphi(m, m^{n-k-1}) \right) \right) \\
\leq \frac{1}{|h(m)||g(m)|} \left( \left( \varphi(1, m^{2}) + \varphi(m, m) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \varphi(1, m^{3}) + \varphi(m, m^{2}) \right) + \cdots \right. \\
\left. + \frac{1}{2^{n-3}} \left( \varphi(1, m^{n-1}) + \varphi(m, m^{n-2}) \right) + \left( \frac{1}{2^{n-2}} \varphi(m, m^{n-1}) \right) \right) \\
\leq \frac{1}{|h(m)||g(m)|} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} \frac{1}{2^{k}} \left( \varphi(1, m^{k+2}) + \varphi(m, m^{k+1}) \right) + \frac{1}{2^{n-2}} \varphi(m, m^{n-1}) + \varphi(1, m^{n}) \right) \\
\leq \frac{1}{|h(m)||g(m)|} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}} \left( \varphi(1, m^{k+2}) + \varphi(m, m^{k+1}) \right) \\
= \frac{\Phi_{1}(m)}{|h(m)||g(m)|} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.11}$$

Thus we can easily show that  $|f(m^n)| \to \infty$  from  $|g(m)^{n-1}h(m)| \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$  and thus  $|h(m^n)| \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ . By (1.4),

$$\left| \frac{f(xm^n)}{h(m^n)} - g(x) \right| \le \frac{\varphi(x, m^n)}{|h(m^n)|},\tag{2.12}$$

and thus we have

$$g(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(xm^n)}{h(m^n)}$$
 (2.13)

for all  $x \in S$ . Then, by (2.2),

$$|g(xy) - g(x)g(y)| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|h(m^n)|} |f(xym^n) - g(x)f(ym^n)|$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|h(m^n)|} (|f(xym^n) - g(x)h(ym^n)| + |g(x)||h(ym^n) - f(ym^n)|)$$

$$\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|h(m^n)|} (\varphi(x, ym^n) + |g(x)|\varphi(1, ym^n)) = 0,$$
(2.14)

and so

$$g(xy) = g(x)g(y) \tag{2.15}$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Thus we have  $|g(m^n)| = |g(m)^n| \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Since

$$\left| \frac{f(xm^n)}{g(m^n)} - h(x) \right| \le \frac{\varphi(x, m^n)}{|g(m^n)|} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.16}$$

as  $n \to \infty$ , we can define *h* by

$$h(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(xm^n)}{g(m^n)}$$
 (2.17)

for all  $x \in S$ . Then

$$h(1)g(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(m^n)}{g(m^n)} \cdot \frac{f(xm^n)}{h(m^n)} = g(1)h(x) = h(x)$$
 (2.18)

for all 
$$x \in S$$
.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let (S,+) be a semigroup with identity 0 and  $f,g,h:S\to C$  functions satisfying the inequality

$$\left| f(x+y) - g(x)h(y) \right| \le \varphi(x,y) \tag{2.19}$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . If g(0) = 1, then g is bounded or else g is exponential and h = h(0)g.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $(S, \cdot)$  be a semigroup with identity 1 and  $f, g, h : S \to C$  functions satisfying condition (1.4), that is,

$$|f(xy) - g(x)h(y)| \le \varphi(x,y). \tag{2.20}$$

If g satisfies that  $g(s) \neq 0$  for some  $s \in S$  and  $|g(sm)| \geq \max\{2|g(s)|, 2\Phi_s(m)/|h(m)|\}$  for some  $m \in S$ , then

$$g(sxy) = \frac{1}{g(s)}g(sx)g(sy)$$
 (2.21)

for all  $x, y \in S$  and h(x) = (h(1)/g(s))g(sx).

*Proof.* Let  $\overline{f}(x) = f(sx)$ ,  $\overline{g}(x) = g(sx)/g(s)$  and  $\overline{h}(x) = g(s)h(x)$  for every  $x \in S$  and  $\overline{\phi}(x,y) = \phi(sx,y)$ . Then

$$\left| \overline{f}(xy) - \overline{g}(x)\overline{h}(y) \right| \le \overline{\varphi}(x,y)$$
 (2.22)

for all  $x, y \in S$ ,  $|\overline{g}(m)| \ge \max\{2, 2\overline{\Phi}_1(m)/|\overline{h}(m)|\}$  and  $\overline{g}(1) = 1$  where  $\overline{\Phi}_1(m) = \Phi_s(m)$ . By Theorem 2.3, we complete the proof.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let  $(S, \cdot)$  be a semigroup with identity 1. If  $f, g, h : S \to C$  are nonzero functions satisfying condition (1.4), that is,

$$|f(xy) - g(x)h(y)| \le \varphi(x,y), \tag{2.23}$$

then either g is bounded, or else

$$g(sxy) = \frac{1}{g(s)}g(sx)g(sy)$$
 (2.24)

for all  $x, y \in S$  and h(x) = (h(1)/g(s))g(sx).

*Proof.* Let  $g(s) \neq 0$  for some  $s \in S$ . If g is unbounded, then there exists m such that  $|g(sm)| \ge \max\{2|g(s)|, 2\Phi_s(m)/|h(m)|\}$ . By Theorem 2.5, we complete the proof. □

### 3. Stability of the PMFE

In 1940, Ulam gave a wide-ranging talk in the Mathematical Club of the University of Wisconsin in which he discussed a number of important unsolved problems [5]. One of those was the question concerning the stability of homomorphisms.

Let  $G_1$  be a group and let  $G_2$  be a metric group with a metric  $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , does there exist a  $\delta > 0$  such that if a mapping  $h: G_1 \to G_2$  satisfies the inequality  $d(h(xy), h(x)h(y)) < \delta$  for all  $x, y \in G_1$ , then there exists a homomorphism  $H: G_1 \to G_2$  with  $d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in G_1$ ?

In the next year, Hyers [6] answered the Ulam's question for the case of the additive mapping on the Banach spaces  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ . Thereafter, the result of Hyers has been generalized by Rassias [7]. Since then, the stability problems of various functional equations have been investigated by many authors (see [6, 8–18]).

Ger [4] suggested another type of stability for the exponential equation in the following type:

$$\left| \frac{f(x+y)}{f(x)f(y)} - 1 \right| \le \delta. \tag{3.1}$$

In this section, the stability problem for the Pexiderized multiplicative functional equation in the following form:

$$\frac{1}{1 + \psi(x, y)} \le \frac{f(xy)}{g(x)h(y)} \le 1 + \psi(x, y) \tag{3.2}$$

will be investigated.

Throughout this section, we denote by  $(S,\cdot)$  a commutative semigroup and by  $\psi: S\times S\to [0,\infty)$  a function such that

$$\Psi(x, y, z, w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \ln\left(1 + \psi\left(xz^{2^n}, yw^{2^n}\right)\right) < \infty$$
 (3.3)

for all  $x, y, z, w \in S$ . Also we let

$$u(x,y) := \ln(1 + \psi(x,y))(1 + \psi(y,x))(1 + \psi(x,x))(1 + \psi(y,y)) \tag{3.4}$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Inequality (3.3) implies that

(a) for all  $x, z \in S$ 

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} u\left(xz^{2^n}, xz^{2^n}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \ln\left(1 + \psi\left(xz^{2^n}, xz^{2^n}\right)\right)^4 = 4\Psi(x, x, z, z) < \infty, \tag{3.5}$$

(b) for all  $x, z \in S$ 

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} u(x^{2}, z^{2^{n}}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \ln(1 + \psi(x^{2}, z^{2^{n}})) (1 + \psi(z^{2^{n}}, x^{2}))$$

$$\cdot (1 + \psi(x^{2}, x^{2})) (1 + \psi(z^{2^{n}}, z^{2^{n}}))$$

$$= \Psi(x^{2}, 1, 1, z) + \Psi(1, x^{2}, z, 1) + \Psi(x^{2}, x^{2}, 1, 1) + \Psi(1, 1, z, z) < \infty,$$
(3.6)

(c) for all  $x, z \in S$ 

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} u\left(x^4, z^{2^n}\right) = \Psi\left(x^4, 1, 1, z\right) + \Psi\left(1, x^4, z, 1\right) + \Psi\left(x^4, x^4, 1, 1\right) + \Psi(1, 1, z, z) < \infty, \tag{3.7}$$

(d) for all  $x, y \in S$  for

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} u\left(x^{2^{n}}, y^{2^{n}}\right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \ln\left(1 + \psi\left(x^{2^{n}}, y^{2^{n}}\right)\right) \left(1 + \psi\left(y^{2^{n}}, x^{2^{n}}\right)\right) \cdot \left(1 + \psi\left(x^{2^{n}}, x^{2^{n}}\right)\right) \left(1 + \psi\left(y^{2^{n}}, y^{2^{n}}\right)\right) = 0,$$
(3.8)

because

$$\Psi(1,1,x,y) + \Psi(1,1,y,x) + \Psi(1,1,x,x) + \Psi(1,1,y,y) < \infty.$$
 (3.9)

Example 3.1. The following functions satisfy condition (3.3) above.

- (a)  $\psi(x, y) = \delta$ , for every  $x, y \in R$  and  $\delta \ge 0$ .
- (b)  $\psi(x, y) = 1/(1 + |x| + |y|)$ , for every  $x, y \in R$ .

Example 3.2. Let  $(S, \cdot) = ([0, \infty), +)$  and also  $g(x) = e^x, h(x) = e^{x+c}$ ,

$$f(x) = e^{x+c} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{1+x} \right) \tag{3.10}$$

for all  $x \in S$  and for some  $c \in S$ . Let  $\psi(x, y) = 1/(1 + x + y)$ . Then f, g, h,  $\psi$  satisfy condition (3.3) and

$$\frac{1}{1 + \psi(x, y)} \le \frac{f(x + y)}{g(x)h(y)} \le 1 + \psi(x, y). \tag{3.11}$$

In particular, we know that if we let  $T(x) = e^x$  then

$$\frac{e^{-c}}{2} \le \frac{T(x)}{f(x)} \le e^{-c}, \qquad \frac{T(x)}{g(x)} = 1, \qquad \frac{T(x)}{h(x)} = e^{-c}.$$
 (3.12)

**Theorem 3.3.** *If* f, g, h :  $S \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  *are functions such that* 

$$\frac{1}{1 + \psi(x, y)} \le \frac{f(xy)}{g(x)h(y)} \le 1 + \psi(x, y) \tag{3.13}$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ , then there exists a function  $T: S \to (0, \infty)$  and there exists a constant M such that T(xy) = T(x)T(y) for all  $x, y \in S$  and

$$e^{-M} \le \frac{T(x)}{f(x)} \le e^M \tag{3.14}$$

for all  $x \in S$ . Moreover, if  $\psi$  is bounded, then

$$e^{-M_1} \le \frac{T(x)}{g(x)} \le e^{M_1},$$

$$e^{-M_1} \le \frac{T(x)}{h(x)} \le e^{M_1}$$
(3.15)

for all  $x \in S$  and for some constant  $M_1$ .

*Proof.* If we define functions  $F, G, H : S \rightarrow R$  by

$$F(x) = \ln f(x), \qquad G(x) = \ln g(x), \qquad H(x) = \ln h(x)$$
 (3.16)

for all  $x \in S$ , then equality (3.13) may be transformed into

$$\ln \frac{1}{1 + \psi(x, y)} \le F(xy) - G(x) - H(y) \le \ln(1 + \psi(x, y)), \tag{3.17}$$

and thus

$$|F(xy) - G(x) - H(y)| \le \ln(1 + \psi(x, y)),$$
 (3.18)

for all  $x, y \in S$ . For the case of x = y, the above inequality implies

$$\left| F\left(x^{2}\right) - G(x) - H(x) \right| \leq \ln\left(1 + \psi(x, x)\right) \tag{3.19}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
|2F(xy) - F(x^{2}) - F(y^{2})| \\
&\leq |F(xy) - G(x) - H(y)| + |F(xy) - G(y) - H(x)| \\
&+ |G(x) + H(x) - F(x^{2})| + |G(y) + H(y) - F(y^{2})| \\
&\leq \ln(1 + \psi(x, y))(1 + \psi(y, x)) \cdot (1 + \psi(x, x))(1 + \psi(y, y)) := u(x, y)
\end{aligned} (3.20)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Putting xz instead of x and yz instead of y in (3.20), respectively, we get

$$|2F(xz^2y) - F(x^2z^2) - F(y^2z^2)| \le u(xz, yz).$$
 (3.21)

Letting x by  $x^2$  and y by  $z^2$  in (3.20), we have

$$\left| F\left(x^2 z^2\right) - \frac{1}{2} F\left(x^4\right) - \frac{1}{2} F\left(z^4\right) \right| \le \frac{1}{2} u\left(x^2, z^2\right),$$
 (3.22)

and also

$$\left| F(y^2 z^2) - \frac{1}{2} F(y^4) - \frac{1}{2} F(z^4) \right| \le \frac{1}{2} u(y^2, z^2).$$
 (3.23)

From (3.21), (3.22) and (3.23),

$$\left| 2F(xz^2y) - \frac{1}{2}F(x^4) - \frac{1}{2}F(y^4) - F(z^4) \right| \le u(xz, yz) + \frac{1}{2}u(x^2, z^2) + \frac{1}{2}u(y^2, z^2) \tag{3.24}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in S$ . Now replacing x by xz and y by yz, respectively, we have

$$\left| 2F(xz^{4}y) - \frac{1}{2}F(x^{4}y^{4}) - \frac{1}{2}F(y^{4}z^{4}) - F(z^{4}) \right|$$

$$\leq u(xz^{2}, yz^{4}) + \frac{1}{2}u(x^{2}z^{2}, z^{2}) + \frac{1}{2}u(y^{2}z^{2}, z^{2})$$
(3.25)

for all  $x, y, z \in S$ . Replacing x by xz and y by yz in (3.21), (3.22), and (3.23), respectively, one obtains

$$\left| 2F(xz^{4}y) - F(x^{2}z^{4}) - F(y^{2}z^{4}) \right| \leq u(xz^{2}, yz^{2}), 
\left| F(x^{2}z^{4}) - \frac{1}{2}F(x^{4}z^{4}) - \frac{1}{2}F(z^{4}) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}u(x^{2}z^{2}, z^{2}), 
\left| F(y^{2}z^{4}) - \frac{1}{2}F(y^{4}z^{4}) - \frac{1}{2}F(z^{4}) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}u(y^{2}z^{2}, z^{2})$$
(3.26)

for all  $x, y, z \in S$ . Also from (3.22) and (3.23), we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} F(x^4 z^4) - \frac{1}{4} F(x^8) - \frac{1}{4} F(z^8) \right| \le \frac{1}{4} u(x^4, z^4),$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} F(y^4 z^4) - \frac{1}{4} F(y^8) - \frac{1}{4} F(z^8) \right| \le \frac{1}{4} u(y^4, z^4)$$
(3.27)

for all  $x, y, z \in S$ . Thus we have

$$\left| 2F(xz^{4}y) - \frac{1}{4}F(x^{8}) - \frac{1}{4}F(y^{8}) - \frac{1}{2}F(z^{8}) - F(z^{4}) \right| \\
\leq \left| 2F(xz^{4}y) - F(x^{2}z^{4}) - F(y^{2}z^{4}) \right| + \left| F(x^{2}z^{4}) - \frac{1}{2}F(x^{4}z^{4}) - \frac{1}{2}F(z^{4}) \right| \\
+ \left| F(y^{2}z^{4}) - \frac{1}{2}F(y^{4}z^{4}) - \frac{1}{2}F(z^{4}) \right| + \left| \frac{1}{2}F(x^{4}z^{4}) - \frac{1}{4}F(x^{8}) - \frac{1}{4}F(z^{8}) \right| \\
+ \left| \frac{1}{2}F(y^{4}z^{4}) - \frac{1}{4}F(y^{8}) - \frac{1}{4}F(z^{8}) \right| \\
\leq u(xz^{2}, yz^{2}) + \frac{1}{2}u(x^{2}z^{2}, z^{2}) + \frac{1}{2}u(y^{2}z^{2}, z^{2}) + \frac{1}{4}u(x^{4}, z^{4}) + \frac{1}{4}u(y^{4}, z^{4}),$$
(3.28)

for all  $x, y, z \in S$ . For arbitrary positive integer n, putting  $z^{2^n}$  instead of z in (3.24) and  $z^{2^{n-1}}$  instead of z in (3.28), respectively, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|2F\left(xz^{2^{n+1}}y\right) - F\left(z^{2^{n+2}}\right)\right| \\
&\leq u\left(xz^{2^{n}}, yz^{2^{n}}\right) + \frac{1}{2}u\left(x^{2}, z^{2^{n+1}}\right) + \frac{1}{2}u\left(y^{2}, z^{2^{n+1}}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left|F\left(x^{4}\right)\right| + \frac{1}{2}\left|F\left(y^{4}\right)\right|, \\
&\left|2F\left(xz^{2^{n+1}}y\right) - \frac{1}{2}F\left(z^{2^{n+2}}\right) - F\left(z^{2^{n+1}}\right)\right| \\
&\leq u\left(xz^{2^{n}}, yz^{2^{n}}\right) + \frac{1}{2}u\left(x^{2}z^{2^{n}}, z^{2^{n}}\right) + \frac{1}{2}u\left(y^{2}z^{2^{n}}, z^{2^{n}}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}u\left(x^{4}, z^{2^{n+1}}\right) + \frac{1}{4}u\left(y^{4}, z^{2^{n+1}}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\left|F\left(x^{8}\right)\right| + \frac{1}{4}\left|F\left(y^{8}\right)\right|
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in S$ . By (3.29) with x = y,

$$\left| \frac{F(z^{2^{n+2}})}{2^{n+2}} - \frac{F(z^{2^{n+1}})}{2^{n+1}} \right| \\
\leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \left| \frac{1}{2} F(z^{2^{n+2}}) - F(z^{2^{n+1}}) \right| \\
\leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \left( \left| F(z^{2^{n+2}}) - 2F(xz^{2^{n+1}}x) \right| + \left| 2F(xz^{2^{n+1}}x) - \frac{1}{2} F(z^{2^{n+2}}) - F(z^{2^{n+1}}) \right| \right) \\
\leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \left( 2u(xz^{2^{n}}, xz^{2^{n}}) + u(x^{2}, z^{2^{n+1}}) + u(x^{2}z^{2^{n}}, z^{2^{n}}) + \frac{1}{2} u(x^{4}, z^{2^{n+1}}) + \left| F(x^{4}) \right| + \frac{1}{2} \left| F(x^{8}) \right| \right) \tag{3.30}$$

for all  $x, z \in S$ . By (3.30), for every positive integer k, m with  $k \ge m \ge 2$ , we have

$$\frac{F(z^{2^{m+k}})}{2^{m+k}} - \frac{F(z^{2^m})}{2^m}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \frac{F(z^{2^{m+i}})}{2^{m+i}} - \frac{F(z^{2^{m+i-1}})}{2^{m+i-1}} \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2^{m+i-1}} \left( 2u(xz^{2^{m+i-2}}, xz^{2^{m+i-2}}) + u(x^2, z^{2^{m+i-1}}) + u(x^2z^{2^{m+i-2}}, z^{2^{m+i-2}}) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}u(x^4, z^{2^{m+i-1}}) + |F(x^4)| + \frac{1}{2}|F(x^8)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=m-1}^\infty \frac{1}{2^i} u(xz^{2^i}, xz^{2^i}) + \sum_{i=m}^\infty \frac{1}{2^i} u(x^2, xz^{2^i}) + \sum_{i=m}^\infty \frac{1}{2^i} u(x^2z^{2^{i-1}}, z^{2^i})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=m}^\infty \frac{1}{2^i} u(x^4, z^{2^{i-1}}) + \left(|F(x^4)| + \frac{1}{2}|F(x^8)|\right) \sum_{i=m}^\infty \frac{1}{2^i} \longrightarrow 0,$$
(3.31)

as  $m \to \infty$ . This proves that  $\{F(z^{2^n})/2^n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in R. Thus we can define a function  $L: S \to R$  by

$$L(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{F(z^{2^n})}{2^n}$$
 (3.32)

for all  $z \in S$ . Then, by (3.20) and (3.31), we have

$$|L(xy) - L(x) - L(y)| \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{F(x^{2^n} y^{2^n})}{2^n} - \frac{F(x^{2^n})}{2^n} - \frac{F(y^{2^n})}{2^n} \right|$$

$$\le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \left| 2F(x^{2^n} y^{2^n}) - F(x^{2^{n+1}}) - F(y^{2^{n+1}}) \right|$$

$$+ \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{F(x^{2^{n+1}})}{2^{n+1}} - \frac{F(x^{2^n})}{2^n} \right| + \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{F(y^{2^{n+1}})}{2^{n+1}} - \frac{F(y^{2^n})}{2^n} \right|$$

$$\le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} u(x^{2^n}, y^{2^n}) + 0 + 0 = 0$$

$$(3.33)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Thus

$$L(xy) = L(x) + L(y) \tag{3.34}$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Now replacing x by xz and then y by yz in (3.20), respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{vmatrix}
2F(xyz) - F(x^2z^2) - F(y^2) &| \leq u(xz, y), \\
|2F(xyz) - F(x^2) - F(y^2z^2) &| \leq u(x, yz)
\end{vmatrix} \le u(x, yz)$$
(3.35)

and so

$$|F(x^2) - F(y^2) + F(y^2z^2) - F(x^2z^2)| \le u(xz, y) + u(x, yz)$$
 (3.36)

for all  $x, y, z \in S$ . By (3.22), (3.23), and (3.36), we have

$$\left| F(x^2) - F(y^2) - \frac{1}{2}F(x^4) + \frac{1}{2}F(y^4) \right| \le u(xz, y) + u(x, yz) + \frac{1}{2}u(x^2, z^2) + \frac{1}{2}u(y^2, z^2), \tag{3.37}$$

and thus

$$\left| F(x^4) - 2F(x^2) \right| \le 2u(xz, y) + 2u(x, yz) + u(x^2, z^2) + u(y^2, z^2) + \left| F(y^4) - 2F(y^2) \right| < \infty$$
(3.38)

for all  $x \in S$  and for fixed  $y, z \in S$ . By (3.3) and (3.30),

$$\left| L(z^{4}) - F(z^{4}) \right| = 4 \left| L(z) - \frac{F(z^{4})}{4} \right| = 4 \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{F(z^{2^{n}})}{2^{n}} - \frac{F(z^{4})}{4} \right|$$

$$= 4 \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left| \frac{F(z^{2^{i+2}})}{2^{i+2}} - \frac{F(z^{2^{i+1}})}{2^{i+1}} \right| < \infty$$
(3.39)

for all  $s \in S$ . By (3.20), (3.38) and (3.39), for all  $z \in S$  with x = zw, there exits a constant M such that

$$|L(x) - F(x)| = |L(zw) - F(zw)|$$

$$= \left| F(zw) - \frac{1}{2}F(z^{2}) - \frac{1}{2}F(w^{2}) \right| + \frac{1}{4}|L(z^{4}) - F(z^{4})|$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4}|L(w^{4}) - F(w^{4})| + \frac{1}{4}|F(w^{4}) - 2F(w^{2})|$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4}|F(z^{4}) - 2F(z^{2})|$$

$$\leq M.$$
(3.40)

Now we define a function  $T: S \to (0, \infty)$  by

$$T(x) := e^{L(x)} \tag{3.41}$$

for all  $x \in S$ . Then

$$T(xy) = e^{L(xy)} = e^{L(x) + L(y)} = T(x)T(y)$$
(3.42)

for all  $x, y \in S$ . By (3.40), we have

$$-M \le L(x) - \ln f(x) \le M,\tag{3.43}$$

and thus for all  $x \in S$ 

$$e^{-M} \le \frac{T(x)}{f(x)} \le e^{M}. \tag{3.44}$$

If  $\psi$  is bounded, there exist constants  $M_0$ ,  $M_1$  such that

$$|G(x) - H(x)| \le |G(x) + H(y_0) - F(xy_0)| + |F(xy_0) - G(y_0) - H(x)| + |G(y_0) - H(y_0)|$$

$$\le \ln(1 + \psi(x, y_0)) + \ln(1 + \psi(y_0, x)) + |G(y_0) - H(y_0)| \le M_0,$$
(3.45)

and so

$$|L(x) - G(x)| \le \frac{1}{2} |L(x^{2}) - F(x^{2})| + \frac{1}{2} |F(x^{2}) - H(x) - G(x)| + \frac{1}{2} |H(x) - G(x)|$$

$$\le \frac{1}{2} (M + \ln(1 + \psi(x, x)) + M_{0}) \le M_{1},$$
(3.46)

and by the same method above, we have

$$|L(x) - H(x)| \le M_1 \tag{3.47}$$

for all  $x \in S$ . Therefore, we have

$$e^{-M_1} \le \frac{T(x)}{g(x)} \le e^{M_1}, \qquad e^{-M_1} \le \frac{T(x)}{h(x)} \le e^{M_1}$$
 (3.48)

for all 
$$x \in S$$
.

#### References

- [1] J. A. Baker, J. Lawrence, and F. Zorzitto, "The stability of the equation f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 74, no. 2, pp. 242–246, 1979.
- [2] J. A. Baker, "The stability of the cosine equation," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 80, no. 3, pp. 411–416, 1980.
- [3] L. Székelyhidi, "On a theorem of Baker, Lawrence and Zorzitto," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 84, no. 1, pp. 95–96, 1982.
- [4] R. Ger, "Superstability is not natural," *Rocznik Naukowo-Dydaktyczny WSP Krakkowie*, vol. 159, no. 13, pp. 109–123, 1993.
- [5] S. M. Ulam, Problems in Modern Mathematics, chapter 6, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1964.
- [6] D. H. Hyers, "On the stability of the linear functional equation," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 27, pp. 222–224, 1941.
- [7] Th. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 297–300, 1978.
- [8] G. L. Forti, "Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations in several variables," *Aequationes Mathematicae*, vol. 50, no. 1-2, pp. 143–190, 1995.
- [9] D. H. Hyers and Th. M. Rassias, "Approximate homomorphisms," *Aequationes Mathematicae*, vol. 44, no. 2-3, pp. 125–153, 1992.
- [10] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac, and Th. M. Rassias, Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, 34, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 1998.
- [11] K. W. Jun, G. H. Kim, and Y. W. Lee, "Stability of generalized gamma and beta functional equations," *Aequationes Mathematicae*, vol. 60, no. 1-2, pp. 15–24, 2000.
- [12] S.-M. Jung, "On a general Hyers-Ulam stability of gamma functional equation," *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 437–446, 1997.
- [13] S.-M. Jung, "On the stability of gamma functional equation," *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 33, no. 3-4, pp. 306–309, 1998.
- [14] G. H. Kim and Y. W. Lee, "The stability of the beta functional equation," *Babeş-Bolyai. Mathematica*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 89–96, 2000.
- [15] Y. W. Lee, "On the stability of a quadratic Jensen type functional equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 270, no. 2, pp. 590–601, 2002.
- [16] Y. W. Lee, "The stability of derivations on Banach algebras," *Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics*. *Academia Sinica*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 113–116, 2000.
- [17] Y. W. Lee and B. M. Choi, "The stability of Cauchy's gamma-beta functional equation," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 299, no. 2, pp. 305–313, 2004.
- [18] Th. M. Rassias, "The problem of S. M. Ulam for approximately multiplicative mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 246, no. 2, pp. 352–378, 2000.