

## Research Article

# On $k$ -Quasiclass A Operators

Fugen Gao<sup>1,2</sup> and Xiaochun Fang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China

<sup>2</sup> College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan 453007, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Fugen Gao, gaofugen08@126.com

Received 26 June 2009; Revised 6 September 2009; Accepted 10 November 2009

Recommended by Sin-Ei Takahasi

An operator  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is called  $k$ -quasiclass A if  $T^{*k}(|T^2| - |T|^2)T^k \geq 0$  for a positive integer  $k$ , which is a common generalization of quasiclass A. In this paper, firstly we prove some inequalities of this class of operators; secondly we prove that if  $T$  is a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator, then  $T$  is isoloid and  $T - \lambda$  has finite ascent for all complex number  $\lambda$ ; at last we consider the tensor product for  $k$ -quasiclass A operators.

Copyright © 2009 F. Gao and X. Fang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable complex Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $B(\mathcal{H})$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Let  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  and let  $\lambda_0$  be an isolated point of  $\sigma(T)$ . Here  $\sigma(T)$  denotes the spectrum of  $T$ . Then there exists a small enough positive number  $r > 0$  such that

$$\{\lambda \in C : |\lambda - \lambda_0| \leq r\} \cap \sigma(T) = \{\lambda_0\}. \quad (1.1)$$

Let

$$E = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\lambda - \lambda_0| = r} (\lambda - T)^{-1} d\lambda. \quad (1.2)$$

$E$  is called the Riesz idempotent with respect to  $\lambda_0$ , and it is well known that  $E$  satisfies  $E^2 = E$ ,  $TE = ET$ ,  $\sigma(T|_{E\mathcal{H}}) = \{\lambda_0\}$ , and  $\ker((T - \lambda_0)^n) \subset E\mathcal{H}$  for all positive integers  $n$ . Stampfli [1] proved that if  $T$  is hyponormal (i.e., operators such that  $T^*T - TT^* \geq 0$ ), then

$$E \text{ is self-adjoint and } E\mathcal{H} = \ker(T - \lambda_0) = \ker((T - \lambda_0)^*). \quad (1.3)$$

After that many authors extended this result to many other classes of operators. Chō and Tanahashi [2] proved that (1.3) holds if  $T$  is either  $p$ -hyponormal or log-hyponormal. In the case  $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ , the result was further shown by Tanahashi and Uchiyama [3] to hold for  $p$ -quasihyponormal operators, by Tanahashi et al. [4] to hold for  $(p, k)$ -quasihyponormal operators and by Uchiyama and Tanahashi [5] and Uchiyama [6] for class A and paranormal operators. Here an operator  $T$  is called  $p$ -hyponormal for  $0 < p \leq 1$  if  $(T^*T)^p - (TT^*)^p \geq 0$ , and log-hyponormal if  $T$  is invertible and  $\log T^*T \geq \log TT^*$ . An operator  $T$  is called  $(p, k)$ -quasihyponormal if  $T^{*k}((T^*T)^p - (TT^*)^p)T^k \geq 0$ , where  $0 < p \leq 1$  and  $k$  is a positive integer; especially, when  $p = 1$ ,  $k = 1$ , and  $p = k = 1$ ,  $T$  is called  $k$ -quasihyponormal,  $p$ -quasihyponormal, and quasihyponormal, respectively. And an operator  $T$  is called paranormal if  $\|Tx\|^2 \leq \|T^2x\|\|x\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ ; normaloid if  $\|T^n\| = \|T\|^n$  for all positive integers  $n$ .  $p$ -hyponormal, log-hyponormal,  $p$ -quasihyponormal,  $(p, k)$ -quasihyponormal, and paranormal operators were introduced by Aluthge [7], Tanahashi [8], S. C. Arora and P. Arora [9], Kim [10], and Furuta [11, 12], respectively.

In order to discuss the relations between paranormal and  $p$ -hyponormal and log-hyponormal operators, Furuta et al. [13] introduced a very interesting class of bounded linear Hilbert space operators: class A defined by  $|T^2| - |T|^2 \geq 0$ , where  $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$  which is called the absolute value of  $T$  and they showed that class A is a subclass of paranormal and contains  $p$ -hyponormal and log-hyponormal operators. Class A operators have been studied by many researchers, for example, [5, 14–19].

Recently Jeon and Kim [20] introduced quasiclass A (i.e.,  $T^*(|T^2| - |T|^2)T \geq 0$ ) operators as an extension of the notion of class A operators, and they also proved that (1.3) holds for this class of operators when  $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ . It is interesting to study whether Stampfli's result holds for other larger classes of operators.

In [21], Tanahashi et al. considered an extension of quasi-class A operators, similar in spirit to the extension of the notion of  $p$ -quasihyponormality to  $(p, k)$ -quasihyponormality, and prove that (1.3) holds for this class of operators in the case  $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ .

*Definition 1.1.*  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is called a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator for a positive integer  $k$  if

$$T^{*k} \left( |T^2| - |T|^2 \right) T^k \geq 0. \quad (1.4)$$

*Remark 1.2.* In [21], this class of operators is called quasi-class  $(A, k)$ .

It is clear that the class of quasi-class A operators  $\subseteq$  the class of  $k$ -quasiclass A operators and

$$\text{the class of } k\text{-quasiclass A operators } \subseteq \text{ the class of } (k+1)\text{-quasiclass A operators.} \quad (1.5)$$

We show that the inclusion relation (1.5) is strict, by an example which appeared in [20].

*Example 1.3.* Given a bounded sequence of positive numbers  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ , let  $T$  be the unilateral weighted shift operator on  $l^2$  with the canonical orthonormal basis  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  by  $Te_n = \alpha_n e_{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , that is,

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ \alpha_0 & 0 & & & \\ & \alpha_1 & 0 & & \\ & & \alpha_2 & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.6}$$

Straightforward calculations show that  $T$  is a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator if and only if  $\alpha_k \leq \alpha_{k+1} \leq \alpha_{k+2} \leq \dots$ . So if  $\alpha_{k+1} \leq \alpha_{k+2} \leq \alpha_{k+3} \leq \dots$  and  $\alpha_k > \alpha_{k+1}$ , then  $T$  is a  $(k + 1)$ -quasiclass A operator, but not a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator.

In this paper, firstly we consider some inequalities of  $k$ -quasiclass A operators; secondly we prove that if  $T$  is a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator, then  $T$  is isoloid and  $T - \lambda$  has finite ascent for all complex number  $\lambda$ ; at last we give a necessary and sufficient condition for  $T \otimes S$  to be a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator when  $T$  and  $S$  are both non-zero operators.

## 2. Results

In the following lemma, Tanahashi, Jeon, Kim, and Uchiyama studied the matrix representation of a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator with respect to the direct sum of  $\overline{\text{ran}(T^k)}$  and its orthogonal complement.

**Lemma 2.1** (see [21]). *Let  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  be a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator for a positive integer  $k$  and let  $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{ran}(T^k)} \oplus \ker T^{*k}$  be  $2 \times 2$  matrix expression. Assume that  $\overline{\text{ran}T^k}$  is not dense, then  $T_1$  is a class A operator on  $\overline{\text{ran}(T^k)}$  and  $T_3^k = 0$ . Furthermore,  $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T_1) \cup \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the matrix representation of  $T$  with respect to the decomposition  $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{ran}(T^k)} \oplus \ker T^{*k}$ :  $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $P$  be the orthogonal projection of  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $\overline{\text{ran}(T^k)}$ . Then  $T_1 = TP = PTP$ . Since  $T$  is a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator, we have

$$P\left(\left|T^2\right| - |T|^2\right)P \geq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Then

$$\left|T_1^2\right| = (PT^*PT^*TP)P^{1/2} = (PT^*T^*TP)^{1/2} = \left(P\left|T^2\right|^2P\right)^{1/2} \geq P\left|T^2\right|P \tag{2.2}$$

by Hansen’s inequality [22]. On the other hand

$$\left|T_1\right|^2 = T_1^*T_1 = PT^*TP = P\left|T\right|^2P \leq P\left|T^2\right|P. \tag{2.3}$$

Hence

$$\left| T_1^2 \right| \geq |T_1|^2. \quad (2.4)$$

That is,  $T_1$  is a class A operator on  $\overline{\text{ran}(T^k)}$ .

For any  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\left\langle T_3^k x_2, x_2 \right\rangle = \left\langle T^k (I - P)x, (I - P)x \right\rangle = \left\langle (I - P)x, T^{*k} (I - P)x \right\rangle = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

which implies  $T_3^k = 0$ .

Since  $\sigma(T) \cup \mathfrak{G} = \sigma(T_1) \cup \sigma(T_3)$ , where  $\mathfrak{G}$  is the union of the holes in  $\sigma(T)$  which happen to be subset of  $\sigma(T_1) \cap \sigma(T_3)$  by [23, Corollary 7], and  $\sigma(T_3) = 0$  and  $\sigma(T_1) \cap \sigma(T_3)$  has no interior points, we have  $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T_1) \cup \{0\}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  be a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator for a positive integer  $k$ . Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1)  $\|T^{n+2}x\| \|T^n x\| \geq \|T^{n+1}x\|^2$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  and all positive integers  $n \geq k$ .
- (2) If  $T^n = 0$  for some positive integer  $n \geq k$ , then  $T^{k+1} = 0$ .
- (3)  $\|T^{n+1}\| \leq \|T^n\| r(T)$  for all positive integers  $n \geq k$ , where  $r(T)$  denotes the spectral radius of  $T$ .

To give a proof of Theorem 2.2, the following famous inequality is useful.

**Lemma 2.3** (Hölder-McCarthy's inequality [24]). *Let  $A \geq 0$ . Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1)  $\langle A^r x, x \rangle \geq \langle Ax, x \rangle^r \|x\|^{2(1-r)}$  for  $r > 1$  and all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .
- (2)  $\langle A^r x, x \rangle \leq \langle Ax, x \rangle^r \|x\|^{2(1-r)}$  for  $r \in [0, 1]$  and all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* (1) Since it is clear that  $k$ -quasiclass A operators are  $(k + 1)$ -quasiclass A operators, we only need to prove the case  $n = k$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T^{*k} |T|^2 T^k x, x \rangle &= \langle T^{*k} T^* T T^k x, x \rangle = \left\| T^{k+1} x \right\|^2, \\ \langle T^{*k} |T^2| T^k x, x \rangle &= \left\langle |T^2| T^k x, T^k x \right\rangle \\ &\leq \left\langle T^* T^* T T T^k x, T^k x \right\rangle^{1/2} \left\| T^k x \right\|^{2(1-1/2)} \\ &= \left\| T^{k+2} x \right\| \left\| T^k x \right\| \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

by Hölder-McCarthy's inequality, we have

$$\left\| T^{k+2} x \right\| \left\| T^k x \right\| \geq \left\| T^{k+1} x \right\|^2 \quad (2.7)$$

for  $T$  is a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator.

(2) If  $n = k, k + 1$ , it is obvious that  $T^{k+1} = 0$ . If  $T^{k+2} = 0$ , then  $T^{k+1} = 0$  by (1). The rest of the proof is similar.

(3) We only need to prove the case  $n = k$ , that is,

$$\|T^{k+1}\| \leq \|T^k\| r(T). \quad (2.8)$$

If  $T^n = 0$  for some  $n \geq k$ , then  $T^{k+1} = 0$  by (2) and in this case  $r(T) = (r(T^{k+1}))^{1/(k+1)} = 0$ . Hence (3) is clear. Therefore we may assume  $T^n \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq k$ . Then

$$\frac{\|T^{k+1}\|}{\|T^k\|} \leq \frac{\|T^{k+2}\|}{\|T^{k+1}\|} \leq \frac{\|T^{k+3}\|}{\|T^{k+2}\|} \leq \dots \leq \frac{\|T^{mk}\|}{\|T^{mk-1}\|} \quad (2.9)$$

by (1), and we have

$$\left( \frac{\|T^{k+1}\|}{\|T^k\|} \right)^{mk-k} \leq \frac{\|T^{k+1}\|}{\|T^k\|} \times \frac{\|T^{k+2}\|}{\|T^{k+1}\|} \times \dots \times \frac{\|T^{mk}\|}{\|T^{mk-1}\|} = \frac{\|T^{mk}\|}{\|T^k\|}. \quad (2.10)$$

Hence

$$\left( \frac{\|T^{k+1}\|}{\|T^k\|} \right)^{k-(k/m)} \leq \frac{\|T^{mk}\|^{1/m}}{\|T^k\|^{1/m}}. \quad (2.11)$$

By letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\|T^{k+1}\|^k \leq \|T^k\|^k (r(T))^k, \quad (2.12)$$

that is,

$$\|T^{k+1}\| \leq \|T^k\| r(T). \quad (2.13)$$

□

**Lemma 2.4** (see [21]). *Let  $T \in B(\mathcal{L})$  be a  $k$ -quasiclass  $A$  operator for a positive integer  $k$ . If  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $(T - \lambda)x = 0$  for some  $x \in \mathcal{L}$ , then  $(T - \lambda)^*x = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $x \neq 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_0$  be a span of  $\{x\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}_0$  is an invariant subspace of  $T$  and

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}_0 \oplus \mathcal{M}_0^\perp. \quad (2.14)$$

Let  $P$  be the orthogonal projection of  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . It suffices to show that  $T_2 = 0$  in (2.14). Since  $T$  is a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator, and  $x = T^k(x/\lambda^k) \in \overline{\text{ran}(T^k)}$ , we have

$$P(|T^2| - |T|^2)P \geq 0. \quad (2.15)$$

We remark

$$P|T^2|^2P = PT^*T^*TTP = PT^*PT^*TPTP = \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.16)$$

Then by Hansen's inequality and (2.15), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \left( P|T^2|^2P \right)^{1/2} \geq P|T^2|P \geq P|T|^2P = PT^*TP = \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.17)$$

Hence we may write

$$|T^2| = \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^2 & A \\ A^* & B \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.18)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= P|T^2||T^2|P \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^2 & A \\ A^* & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^2 & A \\ A^* & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^4 + AA^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

This implies  $A = 0$  and  $|T^2|^2 = \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^4 & 0 \\ 0 & B^2 \end{pmatrix}$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} |T^2|^2 &= T^*T^*TT \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\lambda} & 0 \\ T_2^* & T_3^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\lambda} & 0 \\ T_2^* & T_3^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^4 & \bar{\lambda}^2(\lambda T_2 + T_2 T_3) \\ \lambda^2(\lambda T_2 + T_2 T_3)^* & |\lambda T_2 + T_2 T_3|^2 + |T_3^2|^2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Hence  $\lambda T_2 + T_2 T_3 = 0$  and  $B = |T_3^2|$ . Since  $T$  is a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator, by a simple calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq T^{*k} \left( |T^2| - |T|^2 \right) T^k \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (-1)^{k+1} \bar{\lambda} |\lambda|^{2k} T_2 \\ (-1)^{k+1} \lambda |\lambda|^{2k} T_2^* & (-1)^{k+1} |\lambda|^{2k} |T_2|^2 + T_3^{*k} |T_3^2| T_3^k - |T_3^{k+1}|^2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Recall that  $\begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Y^* & Z \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$  if and only if  $X, Z \geq 0$  and  $Y = X^{1/2} W Z^{1/2}$  for some contraction  $W$ . Thus we have  $T_2 = 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5** (see [25]). *If  $T$  satisfies  $\ker(T - \lambda) \subseteq \ker(T - \lambda)^*$  for some complex number  $\lambda$ , then  $\ker(T - \lambda) = \ker(T - \lambda)^n$  for any positive integer  $n$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show  $\ker(T - \lambda) = \ker(T - \lambda)^2$  by induction. We only need to show  $\ker(T - \lambda)^2 \subseteq \ker(T - \lambda)$  since  $\ker(T - \lambda) \subseteq \ker(T - \lambda)^2$  is clear. In fact, if  $(T - \lambda)^2 x = 0$ , then we have  $(T - \lambda)^*(T - \lambda)x = 0$  by hypothesis. So we have  $\|(T - \lambda)x\|^2 = \langle (T - \lambda)^*(T - \lambda)x, x \rangle = 0$ , that is,  $(T - \lambda)x = 0$ . Hence  $\ker(T - \lambda)^2 \subseteq \ker(T - \lambda)$ .  $\square$

An operator is said to have finite ascent if  $\ker T^n = \ker T^{n+1}$  for some positive integer  $n$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  be a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator for a positive integer  $k$ . Then  $T - \lambda$  has finite ascent for all complex number  $\lambda$ .*

*Proof.* We only need to show the case  $\lambda = 0$  because the case  $\lambda \neq 0$  holds by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5.

In the case  $\lambda = 0$ , we shall show that  $\ker T^{k+1} = \ker T^{k+2}$ . It suffices to show that  $\ker T^{k+2} \subseteq \ker T^{k+1}$  since  $\ker T^{k+1} \subseteq \ker T^{k+2}$  is clear. Now assume that  $T^{k+2}x = 0$ . We may assume  $T^k x \neq 0$  since if  $T^k x = 0$ , it is obvious that  $T^{k+1}x = 0$ . By Hölder-McCarthy's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \|T^{k+2}x\| = \left\langle T^{k+2}x, T^{k+2}x \right\rangle^{1/2} \\ &= \left\langle |T^2|^2 T^k x, T^k x \right\rangle^{1/2} \\ &\geq \left\langle |T^2| T^k x, T^k x \right\rangle \|T^k x\|^{-1} \\ &\geq \left\langle |T|^2 T^k x, T^k x \right\rangle \|T^k x\|^{-1} \\ &= \|T^{k+1}x\|^2 \|T^k x\|^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

So we have  $T^{k+1}x = 0$ , which implies  $\ker T^{k+2} \subseteq \ker T^{k+1}$ . Therefore  $\ker T^{k+1} = \ker T^{k+2}$ .  $\square$

In the following lemma, Tanahashi, Jeon, Kim, and Uchiyama extended the result (1.3) to  $k$ -quasiclass A operators in the case  $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ .

**Lemma 2.7** (see [21]). *Let  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  be a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator for a positive integer  $k$ . Let  $\lambda_0$  be an isolated point of  $\sigma(T)$  and  $E$  the Riesz idempotent for  $\lambda_0$ . Then the following assertions hold.*

(1) *If  $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ , then  $E$  is self-adjoint and*

$$E\mathcal{H} = \ker(T - \lambda_0) = \ker((T - \lambda_0)^*). \quad (2.23)$$

(2) *If  $\lambda_0 = 0$ , then  $E\mathcal{H} = \ker(T^{k+1})$ .*

An operator  $T$  is said to be *isoloid* if every isolated point of  $\sigma(T)$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  be a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator for a positive integer  $k$ . Then  $T$  is isoloid.*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  be an isolated point. If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , by (1) of Lemma 2.7,  $\ker(T - \lambda) = E\mathcal{H} \neq \{0\}$  for  $E \neq 0$ . Therefore  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ . If  $\lambda = 0$ , by (2) of Lemma 2.7,  $\ker(T^{k+1}) = E\mathcal{H} \neq \{0\}$  for  $E \neq 0$ . So we have  $\ker(T) \neq \{0\}$ . Therefore 0 is an eigenvalue of  $T$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $T \otimes S$  denote the tensor product on the product space  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$  for nonzero  $T, S \in B(\mathcal{H})$ . The following theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for  $T \otimes S$  to be a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator, which is an extension of [20, Theorem 4.2].

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $T, S \in B(\mathcal{H})$  be nonzero operators. Then  $T \otimes S$  is a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator if and only if one of the following assertions holds*

- (1)  $T^{k+1} = 0$  or  $S^{k+1} = 0$ .
- (2)  $T$  and  $S$  are  $k$ -quasiclass A operators.

*Proof.* It is clear that  $T \otimes S$  is a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & (T \otimes S)^{*k} \left( |(T \otimes S)^2| - |T \otimes S|^2 \right) (T \otimes S)^k \geq 0 \\ \iff & T^{*k} \left( |T^2| - |T|^2 \right) T^k \otimes S^{*k} |S^2| S^k + T^{*k} |T|^2 T^k \otimes S^{*k} \left( |S^2| - |S|^2 \right) S^k \geq 0 \\ \iff & T^{*k} |T^2| T^k \otimes S^{*k} \left( |S^2| - |S|^2 \right) S^k + T^{*k} \left( |T^2| - |T|^2 \right) T^k \otimes S^{*k} |S|^2 S^k \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Therefore the sufficiency is clear.

To prove the necessary, suppose that  $T \otimes S$  is a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator. Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  be arbitrary. Then we have

$$\langle T^{*k} \left( |T^2| - |T|^2 \right) T^k x, x \rangle \langle S^{*k} |S^2| S^k y, y \rangle + \langle T^{*k} |T|^2 T^k x, x \rangle \langle S^{*k} \left( |S^2| - |S|^2 \right) S^k y, y \rangle \geq 0. \quad (2.25)$$



It suffices to prove that if (1) does not hold, then (2) holds. Suppose that  $T^{k+1} \neq 0$  and  $S^{k+1} \neq 0$ . To the contrary, assume that  $T$  is not a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator, then there exists  $x_0 \in \mathcal{L}$  such that

$$\langle T^{*k}(|T^2| - |T|^2)T^k x_0, x_0 \rangle = \alpha < 0, \quad \langle T^{*k}|T|^2 T^k x_0, x_0 \rangle = \beta > 0. \quad (2.26)$$

From (2.25) we have

$$\alpha \langle S^{*k}|S^2|S^k y, y \rangle + \beta \langle S^{*k}(|S^2| - |S|^2)S^k y, y \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{L}, \quad (2.27)$$

that is,

$$(\alpha + \beta) \langle S^{*k}|S^2|S^k y, y \rangle \geq \beta \langle S^{*k}|S|^2 S^k y, y \rangle \quad (2.28)$$

for all  $y \in \mathcal{L}$ . Therefore  $S$  is a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator. As the proof in Theorem 2.2 (1), we have

$$\langle S^{*k}|S|^2 S^k y, y \rangle = \|S^{k+1} y\|^2, \quad \langle S^{*k}|S^2|S^k y, y \rangle \leq \|S^{k+2} y\| \|S^k y\|. \quad (2.29)$$

So we have

$$(\alpha + \beta) \|S^{k+2} y\| \|S^k y\| \geq \beta \|S^{k+1} y\|^2 \quad (2.30)$$

for all  $y \in \mathcal{L}$  by (2.28). Because  $S$  is a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator, from Lemma 2.1 we can write  $S = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 \\ 0 & S_3 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $\mathcal{L} = \overline{\text{ran}(S^k)} \oplus \ker S^{*k}$ , where  $S_1$  is a class A operator (hence it is normaloid). By (2.30) we have

$$(\alpha + \beta) \|S_1^2 \eta\| \|\eta\| \geq \beta \|S_1 \eta\|^2 \quad \forall \eta \in \overline{\text{ran}(S^k)}. \quad (2.31)$$

So we have

$$(\alpha + \beta) \|S_1\|^2 = (\alpha + \beta) \|S_1^2\| \geq \beta \|S_1\|^2, \quad (2.32)$$

where equality holds since  $S_1$  is normaloid.

This implies that  $S_1 = 0$ . Since  $S^{k+1} y = S_1 S^k y = 0$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{L}$ , we have  $S^{k+1} = 0$ . This contradicts the assumption  $S^{k+1} \neq 0$ . Hence  $T$  must be a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator. A similar argument shows that  $S$  is also a  $k$ -quasiclass A operator. The proof is complete.  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their cordial gratitude to the referee for his useful comments and Professor K. Tanahashi and Professor I. H. Jeon for sending them [21]. This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 10771161).

## References

- [1] J. G. Stampfli, "Hyponormal operators and spectral density," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 117, pp. 469–476, 1965.
- [2] M. Chō and K. Tanahashi, "Isolated point of spectrum of  $p$ -hyponormal, log-hyponormal operators," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 379–384, 2002.
- [3] K. Tanahashi and A. Uchiyama, "Isolated point of spectrum of  $p$ -quasihyponormal operators," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 341, pp. 345–350, 2002.
- [4] K. Tanahashi, A. Uchiyama, and M. Chō, "Isolated points of spectrum of  $(p, k)$ -quasihyponormal operators," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 382, pp. 221–229, 2004.
- [5] A. Uchiyama and K. Tanahashi, "On the Riesz idempotent of class  $A$  operators," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 291–298, 2002.
- [6] A. Uchiyama, "On the isolated points of the spectrum of paranormal operators," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 145–151, 2006.
- [7] A. Aluthge, "On  $p$ -hyponormal operators for  $0 < p < 1$ ," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 307–315, 1990.
- [8] K. Tanahashi, "On log-hyponormal operators," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 364–372, 1999.
- [9] S. C. Arora and P. Arora, "On  $p$ -quasihyponormal operators for  $0 < p < 1$ ," *Yokohama Mathematical Journal*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 25–29, 1993.
- [10] I. H. Kim, "On  $(p, k)$ -quasihyponormal operators," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 629–638, 2004.
- [11] T. Furuta, "On the class of paranormal operators," *Proceedings of the Japan Academy*, vol. 43, pp. 594–598, 1967.
- [12] T. Furuta, *Invitation to Linear Operators: From Matrices to Bounded Linear Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Taylor & Francis, London, UK, 2001.
- [13] T. Furuta, M. Ito, and T. Yamazaki, "A subclass of paranormal operators including class of log-hyponormal and several related classes," *Scientiae Mathematicae*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 389–403, 1998.
- [14] M. Chō, M. Giga, T. Huruya, and T. Yamazaki, "A remark on support of the principal function for class  $A$  operators," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 303–308, 2007.
- [15] M. Chō and T. Yamazaki, "An operator transform from class  $A$  to the class of hyponormal operators and its application," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 497–508, 2005.
- [16] M. Ito, "Several properties on class  $A$  including  $p$ -hyponormal and log-hyponormal operators," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 569–578, 1999.
- [17] M. Ito and T. Yamazaki, "Relations between two inequalities  $(B^{r/2}A^pB^{r/2})^{r/(p+r)} \geq B^r$  and  $A^p \geq (A^{p/2}B^rA^{p/2})^{p/(p+r)}$  and their applications," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 442–450, 2002.
- [18] A. Uchiyama, "Weyl's theorem for class  $A$  operators," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 143–150, 2001.
- [19] D. Wang and J. I. Lee, "Spectral properties of class  $A$  operators," *Trends in Mathematics Information Center for Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 93–98, 2003.
- [20] I. H. Jeon and I. H. Kim, "On operators satisfying  $T^*|T^2|T \geq T^*|T|^2T$ ," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 418, no. 2-3, pp. 854–862, 2006.
- [21] K. Tanahashi, I. H. Jeon, I. H. Kim, and A. Uchiyama, "Quasinilpotent part of class  $A$  or  $(p, k)$ -quasihyponormal operators," *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, vol. 187, pp. 199–210, 2008.
- [22] F. Hansen, "An operator inequality," *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 246, no. 3, pp. 249–250, 1980.
- [23] J. K. Han, H. Y. Lee, and W. Y. Lee, "Invertible completions of  $2 \times 2$  upper triangular operator matrices," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 128, no. 1, pp. 119–123, 2000.
- [24] C. A. McCarthy, " $c_p$ ," *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 5, pp. 249–271, 1967.
- [25] Y. M. Han, J. I. Lee, and D. Wang, "Riesz idempotent and Weyl's theorem for  $w$ -hyponormal operators," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 51–60, 2005.