

Research Article

Coefficient Bounds for Certain Classes of Meromorphic Functions

H. Silverman,¹ K. Suchithra,² B. Adolf Stephen,³ and A. Gangadharan²

¹ Department of Mathematics, College of Charleston, Charleston, SC 29424, USA

² Department of Applied Mathematics, Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering, Sriperumbudur, Chennai 602105, Tamilnadu, India

³ School of Mathematical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 Penang, Malaysia

Correspondence should be addressed to H. Silverman, silvermanh@cofc.edu

Received 19 May 2008; Revised 9 September 2008; Accepted 4 December 2008

Recommended by Ramm Mohapatra

Sharp bounds for $|a_1 - \mu a_0^2|$ are derived for certain classes $\Sigma^*(\phi)$ and $\Sigma_\alpha^*(\phi)$ of meromorphic functions $f(z)$ defined on the punctured open unit disk for which $-zf'(z)/f(z)$ and $(-(1-2\alpha)zf'(z) + \alpha z^2 f''(z))/((1-\alpha)f(z) - \alpha zf'(z))$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{C} - (0, 1]$; $\Re(\alpha) \geq 0$), respectively, lie in a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. Also, certain applications of the main results for a class of functions defined through Ruscheweyh derivatives are obtained.

Copyright © 2008 H. Silverman et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Let Σ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (1.1)$$

which are *analytic* and *univalent* in the punctured open unit disk

$$\Delta^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\} = \Delta - \{0\}, \quad (1.2)$$

where Δ is the open unit disk $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be *meromorphic univalent starlike of order α* if

$$-\Re \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > \alpha \quad (z \in \Delta; 0 \leq \alpha < 1), \quad (1.3)$$

and the class of all such meromorphic univalent starlike functions in Δ^* is denoted by $\Sigma^*(\alpha)$.

Recently, Uralegaddi and Desai [1] studied the class $\Sigma(\alpha, \beta)$ of functions $f \in \Sigma$ satisfying the condition

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)/f(z) + 1}{zf'(z)/f(z) + 2\alpha - 1} \right| \leq \beta \quad (z \in \Delta; 0 \leq \alpha < 1; 0 < \beta \leq 1). \quad (1.4)$$

Kulkarni and Joshi [2] studied the class $\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ of functions $f \in \Sigma$ satisfying the condition

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)/f(z) + 1}{2\gamma(zf'(z)/f(z) + \alpha) - (zf'(z)/f(z) + 1)} \right| \leq \beta \quad \left(z \in \Delta; 0 \leq \alpha < 1; 0 < \beta \leq 1; \frac{1}{2} < \gamma \leq 1 \right). \quad (1.5)$$

Earlier, several authors [3–6] have studied similar subclasses of $\Sigma^*(\alpha)$.

Let \mathcal{S} consist of functions $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ which are analytic and univalent in Δ . Many researchers including [7–11] have obtained Fekete-Szegő inequality for analytic functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$.

In this paper, we obtain Fekete-Szegő-like inequalities for new classes of meromorphic functions, which are defined in what follows. Also, we give applications of our results to certain functions defined through Ruscheweyh derivatives.

Definition 1.1. Let $\phi(z)$ be an analytic function with positive real part on Δ with $\phi(0) = 1$, $\phi'(0) > 0$, which maps the unit disk Δ onto a region starlike with respect to 1, and is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Let $\Sigma^*(\phi)$ be the class of functions $f \in \Sigma$ for which

$$-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < \phi(z) \quad (z \in \Delta), \quad (1.6)$$

where $<$ denotes subordination between analytic functions.

The above-defined class $\Sigma^*(\phi)$ is the meromorphic analogue of the class $S^*(\phi)$, introduced and studied by Ma and Minda [8], which consists of functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$ for which $zf'(z)/f(z) < \phi(z)$, $(z \in \Delta)$.

More generally, under the same conditions as Definition 1.1, we add a parameter.

Definition 1.2. Let $\Sigma_\alpha^*(\phi)$ be the class of functions $f \in \Sigma$ for which

$$\frac{-(1-2\alpha)zf'(z) + \alpha z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\alpha)f(z) - \alpha z f'(z)} < \phi(z) \quad (z \in \Delta; \alpha \in \mathbb{C} - (0, 1]; \Re(\alpha) \geq 0). \quad (1.7)$$

Some of the interesting subclasses of $\Sigma_\alpha^*(\phi)$ are

- (1) $\Sigma_0^*(\phi) = \Sigma^*(\phi)$,
- (2) $\Sigma_0^*((1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z)/(1 - z)) = \Sigma^*(\alpha)$, ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$),
- (3) $\Sigma_0^*((1 + \beta(1 - 2\alpha\gamma)z)/(1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)z)) = \Sigma(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$, $1/2 \leq \gamma \leq 1$) studied by Kulkarni and Joshi [2],
- (4) $\Sigma_0^*((1 + A\omega(z))/(1 + B\omega(z))) = K_1(A, B)$, ($0 \leq B < 1$; $-B < A < B$) studied by Karunakaran [12].

To prove our result, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.3 (see [13]). *If $p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots$ is a function with positive real part in Δ , then for any complex number μ ,*

$$|c_2 - \mu c_1^2| \leq 2 \max\{1, |1 - 2\mu|\}. \quad (1.8)$$

2. Coefficient bounds

By making use of Lemma 1.3, we prove the following bounds for the classes $\Sigma^*(\phi)$ and $\Sigma_\alpha^*(\phi)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to $\Sigma^*(\phi)$, then for any complex number μ ,*

$$(i) \quad |a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq \frac{|B_1|}{2} \max\left\{1, \left|\frac{B_2}{B_1} - (1 - 2\mu)B_1\right|\right\}, \quad B_1 \neq 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$(ii) \quad |a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq 1, \quad B_1 = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

The bounds are sharp.

Proof. If $f(z) \in \Sigma^*(\phi)$, then there is a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in Δ with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ in Δ such that

$$-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \phi(w(z)). \quad (2.3)$$

Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots. \quad (2.4)$$

Since $w(z)$ is a Schwarz function, we see that $\Re(p(z)) > 0$ and $p(0) = 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(w(z)) &= \phi\left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}\right) \\ &= \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}\left[c_1z + \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2}\right)z^2 + \left(c_3 + \frac{c_1^3}{4} - c_1c_2\right)z^3 + \dots\right]\right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}B_1c_1z + \left(\frac{1}{2}B_1\left(c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1^2\right) + \frac{1}{4}B_2c_1^2\right)z^2 + \dots.\end{aligned}\quad (2.5)$$

Now by substituting (2.5) in (2.3), we have

$$-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}B_1c_1z + \left(\frac{1}{2}B_1\left(c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1^2\right) + \frac{1}{4}B_2c_1^2\right)z^2 + \dots.\quad (2.6)$$

From this equation and (1.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}a_0 + \frac{B_1c_1}{2} &= 0, \\ -a_1 &= a_1 + \frac{a_0B_1c_1}{2} + \frac{B_1c_2}{2} - \frac{B_1c_1^2}{4} + \frac{B_2c_1^2}{4}.\end{aligned}\quad (2.7)$$

Or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned}a_0 &= -\frac{1}{2}B_1c_1, \\ a_1 &= -\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}B_1c_2 + \frac{1}{4}(B_2 - B_1 - B_1^2)c_1^2\right].\end{aligned}\quad (2.8)$$

Therefore,

$$a_1 - \mu a_0^2 = -\frac{B_1}{4}\{c_2 - vc_1^2\},\quad (2.9)$$

where

$$v = \frac{1}{2}\left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} + (1 - 2\mu)B_1\right].\quad (2.10)$$

Now, the result (2.1) follows by an application of Lemma 1.3. Also, if $B_1 = 0$, then $a_0 = 0$ and $a_1 = (-1/8)B_2c_1^2$.

Since $p(z)$ has positive real part, $|c_1| \leq 2$, so that $|a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq |B_2|/2$. Since $\phi(z)$ also has positive real part, $|B_2| \leq 2$. Thus, $|a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq 1$, proving (2.2).

The bounds are sharp for the functions $F_1(z)$ and $F_2(z)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{zF_1'(z)}{F_1(z)} &= \phi(z^2), \quad \text{where } F_1(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{z(1-z^2)}, \\ -\frac{zF_2'(z)}{F_2(z)} &= \phi(z), \quad \text{where } F_2(z) = \frac{1+z}{z(1-z)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Clearly, the functions $F_1(z), F_2(z) \in \Sigma$.

Proceeding similarly, we now obtain the bounds for the class $\Sigma_\alpha^*(\phi)$. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to $\Sigma_\alpha^*(\phi)$, then for any complex number μ ,*

$$(i) \quad |a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq \left| \frac{B_1}{2(1-2\alpha)} \right| \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \left(1 - \frac{2(1-2\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)^2} \mu \right) B_1 \right| \right\}, \quad B_1 \neq 0, \quad (2.12)$$

$$(ii) \quad |a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq \left| \frac{1}{(1-2\alpha)} \right|, \quad B_1 = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

The bounds obtained are sharp.

Proof. If $f(z) \in \Sigma_\alpha^*(\phi)$, then there is a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in Δ with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ in Δ such that

$$\frac{-(1-2\alpha)zf'(z) + \alpha z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\alpha)f(z) - \alpha z f'(z)} = \phi(w(z)), \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{C} - (0, 1], \Re(\alpha) \geq 0). \quad (2.14)$$

Now using (2.5) and (1.1) in (2.14), and comparing the coefficients, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(1-\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}B_1c_1 &= 0, \\ -a_1(1-2\alpha) &= a_1(1-2\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}a_0(1-\alpha)B_1c_1 + \frac{1}{2}B_1c_2 - \frac{1}{4}(B_1 - B_2)c_1^2; \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= -\frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)}B_1c_1, \\ a_1 &= -\frac{1}{2(1-2\alpha)} \left(\frac{1}{2}B_1c_2 + \frac{1}{4}(B_2 - B_1 - B_1^2)c_1^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Therefore,

$$a_1 - \mu a_0^2 = -\frac{B_1}{4(1-2\alpha)} \{c_2 - \nu c_1^2\}, \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$v = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(1 - \frac{2(1-2\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)^2} \mu \right) B_1 \right]. \quad (2.18)$$

Now, the result (2.12) follows by an application of Lemma 1.3. Also, if $B_1 = 0$, then $a_0 = 0$ and $a_1 = (-1/8(1-2\alpha))B_2c_1^2$.

Since $p(z)$ has positive real part, $|c_1| \leq 2$, so that $|a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq |B_2|/2(1-2\alpha)$. Since $\phi(z)$ also has positive real part, $|B_2| \leq 2$. Thus, $|a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq |1/(1-2\alpha)|$, proving (2.13).

The bounds are sharp for the functions $F_1(z)$ and $F_2(z)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-(1-2\alpha)zF_1'(z) + \alpha z^2 F_1''(z)}{(1-\alpha)F_1(z) - \alpha z F_1'(z)} &= \phi(z^2), \quad \text{where } F_1(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{z(1-z^2)}, \\ \frac{-(1-2\alpha)zF_2'(z) + \alpha z^2 F_2''(z)}{(1-\alpha)F_2(z) - \alpha z F_2'(z)} &= \phi(z), \quad \text{where } F_2(z) = \frac{1+z}{z(1-z)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Clearly $F_1(z), F_2(z) \in \Sigma$. □

Remark 2.3. By putting $\alpha = 0$ in (2.12) and (2.13), we get the results (2.1) and (2.2).

3. Applications to functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivatives

In this section, we introduce two classes $\Sigma_\lambda^*(\phi)$ and $\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}^*(\phi)$ of meromorphic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivatives, and obtain coefficient bounds for functions in these classes.

Let $f \in \Sigma$ be given by (2.1) and $g \in \Sigma$ be given by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad (3.1)$$

then the Hadamard product of f and g is defined as

$$(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = (g * f)(z). \quad (3.2)$$

In terms of the Hadamard product of two functions, the analogue of the familiar Ruscheweyh derivative [14] is defined as

$$D^\lambda f(z) := \frac{1}{z(1-z)^{\lambda+1}} * f(z) \quad (\lambda > -1; f \in \Sigma), \quad (3.3)$$

so that

$$D^\lambda f(z) = \frac{1}{z} \left(\frac{z^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{\lambda!} \right)^{(\lambda)} \quad (\lambda > -1; f \in \Sigma), \quad (3.4)$$

where, here and in what follows λ is an integer (> -1), that is, $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

It follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$D^\lambda f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta(\lambda, k) a_k z^k \quad (f \in \Sigma), \quad (3.5)$$

where $f \in \Sigma$ is given by (1.1) and

$$\delta(\lambda, k) := \binom{\lambda + k + 1}{k + 1}. \quad (3.6)$$

The above-defined operator D^λ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ was also studied by Cho [15] and Padmanabhan [16]. For various developments involving the operator D^λ for functions belonging to Σ , the reader may be referred to the recent works of Uralegaddi et al. [17–19] and others [20–22].

Using (3.5), under the same conditions as Definition 1.1, we define the classes $\Sigma_\lambda^*(\phi)$ and $\Sigma_{\alpha, \lambda}^*(\phi)$ as follows.

Definition 3.1. A function $f \in \Sigma$ is in the class $\Sigma_\lambda^*(\phi)$ if

$$-\frac{z[D^\lambda f(z)]'}{D^\lambda f(z)} < \phi(z) \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (3.7)$$

Definition 3.2. A function $f \in \Sigma$ is in the class $\Sigma_{\alpha, \lambda}^*(\phi)$ if

$$\frac{-(1-2\alpha)z[D^\lambda f(z)]' + \alpha z^2[D^\lambda f(z)]''}{(1-\alpha)[D^\lambda f(z)] - \alpha z[D^\lambda f(z)]'} < \phi(z), \quad (z \in \Delta; \alpha \in \mathbb{C} - (0, 1]; \Re(\alpha) \geq 0). \quad (3.8)$$

For the classes $\Sigma_\lambda^*(\phi)$ and $\Sigma_{\alpha, \lambda}^*(\phi)$, using methods similar to those in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following results.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \dots$. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to $\Sigma_\lambda^*(\phi)$, then for any complex number μ ,

$$(i) \quad |a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq \left| \frac{B_1}{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)} \right| \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \left(1 - \left(\frac{\lambda+2}{\lambda+1} \right) \mu \right) B_1 \right| \right\}, \quad B_1 \neq 0, \quad (3.9)$$

$$(ii) \quad |a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq \left| \frac{2}{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)} \right|, \quad B_1 = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

The bounds are sharp.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to $\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}^*(\phi)$, then for any complex number μ ,

$$(i) \quad |a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq \left| \frac{B_1}{(1-2\alpha)(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)} \right| \times \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \left(1 - \frac{(1-2\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)^2} \left(\frac{\lambda+2}{\lambda+1} \right) \mu \right) B_1 \right| \right\}, \quad B_1 \neq 0, \quad (3.11)$$

$$(ii) \quad |a_1 - \mu a_0^2| \leq \left| \frac{2}{(1-2\alpha)(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)} \right|, \quad B_1 = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

The bounds are sharp.

Remark 3.5. For $\lambda = 0$ in (3.9), (3.11), we get the results (2.1) and (2.12), respectively. Also, for $\alpha = \lambda = 0$ in (3.11), we get the result (2.1).

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the referees for their useful comments.

References

- [1] B. A. Uralegaddi and A. R. Desai, "Integrals of meromorphic starlike functions with positive and fixed second coefficients," *The Journal of the Indian Academy of Mathematics*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 27–36, 2002.
- [2] S. R. Kulkarni and S. S. Joshi, "On a subclass of meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients," *The Journal of the Indian Academy of Mathematics*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 197–205, 2002.
- [3] J. Clunie, "On meromorphic schlicht functions," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. s1-34, no. 2, pp. 215–216, 1959.
- [4] J. Miller, "Convex meromorphic mappings and related functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 220–228, 1970.
- [5] Ch. Pommerenke, "On meromorphic starlike functions," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 221–235, 1963.
- [6] W. C. Royster, "Meromorphic starlike multivalent functions," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 107, no. 2, pp. 300–308, 1963.
- [7] S. Abdul Halim, "On a class of functions of complex order," *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 147–153, 1999.
- [8] W. C. Ma and D. Minda, "A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions," in *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992)*, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Anal., I, pp. 157–169, International Press, 1994.
- [9] V. Ravichandran, Y. Polatoglu, M. Bolcal, and A. Sen, "Certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions of complex order," *Hacetatepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, vol. 34, pp. 9–15, 2005.
- [10] T. N. Shanmugam and S. Sivasubramanian, "On the Fekete-Szegő problem for some subclasses of analytic functions," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 6, no. 3, article 71, pp. 1–6, 2005.
- [11] K. Suchithra, B. A. Stephen, and S. Sivasubramanian, "A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions of complex order," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 4, article 145, pp. 1–6, 2006.
- [12] V. Karunakaran, "On a class of meromorphic starlike functions in the unit disc," *Mathematical Chronicle*, vol. 4, no. 2-3, pp. 112–121, 1976.
- [13] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkes, "A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 8–12, 1969.

- [14] S. Ruscheweyh, "New criteria for univalent functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 109–115, 1975.
- [15] N. E. Cho, "Argument estimates of certain meromorphic functions," *Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 263–274, 2000.
- [16] K. S. Padmanabhan, "On certain subclasses of meromorphic functions in the unit disk," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 653–665, 1999.
- [17] M. R. Ganigi and B. A. Uralegaddi, "New criteria for meromorphic univalent functions," *Bulletin Mathématique de la Société des Sciences Mathématiques de la République Socialiste de Roumanie*, vol. 33(81), no. 1, pp. 9–13, 1989.
- [18] B. A. Uralegaddi and M. D. Ganigi, "A new criterion for meromorphic convex functions," *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 43–48, 1988.
- [19] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, "Certain subclasses of meromorphic convex functions," *Indian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 49–57, 1990.
- [20] W. G. Atshan and S. R. Kulkarni, "Subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients defined by Ruscheweyh derivative—I," *Journal of Rajasthan Academy of Physical Sciences*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 129–140, 2007.
- [21] N. E. Cho, "On certain subclasses of meromorphically multivalent convex functions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 193, no. 1, pp. 255–263, 1995.
- [22] S. B. Joshi and H. M. Srivastava, "A certain family of meromorphically multivalent functions," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 38, no. 3-4, pp. 201–211, 1999.