

Research Article

Inclusion Properties for Certain Subclasses of Analytic Functions Associated with the Dziok-Srivastava Operator

Oh Sang Kwon and Nak Eun Cho

Received 14 February 2007; Accepted 21 August 2007

Recommended by Andrea Laforgia

The purpose of the present paper is to introduce several new classes of analytic functions defined by using the Choi-Saigo-Srivastava operator associated with the Dziok-Srivastava operator and to investigate various inclusion properties of these classes. Some interesting applications involving classes of integral operators are also considered.

Copyright © 2007 O. S. Kwon and N. E. Cho. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. If f and g are analytic in \mathbb{U} , we say that f is subordinate to g , written $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function w , analytic in \mathbb{U} with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$). In particular, if the function g is univalent in \mathbb{U} , the above subordination is equivalent to $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$. For $0 \leq \eta, \beta < 1$, we denote by $\mathcal{S}^*(\eta)$, $\mathcal{H}(\eta)$, and $\mathcal{C}(\eta, \beta)$ the subclasses of \mathcal{A} consisting of all analytic functions which are, respectively, starlike of order η , convex of order η , close-to-convex of order η , and type β in \mathbb{U} . For various other interesting developments involving functions in the class \mathcal{A} , the reader may be referred (for example) to the work of Srivastava and Owa [1].

Let \mathcal{N} be the class of all functions ϕ which are analytic and univalent in \mathbb{U} and for which $\phi(\mathbb{U})$ is convex with $\phi(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{\phi(z)\} > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Making use of the principle of subordination between analytic functions, we introduce the subclasses $\mathcal{G}^*(\eta; \phi)$, $\mathcal{H}(\eta; \phi)$, and $\mathcal{C}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$ of the class \mathcal{A} for $0 \leq \eta, \beta < 1$, and $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{N}$ (cf. [2, 3]), which are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}^*(\eta; \phi) &:= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \eta \right) \prec \phi(z) \text{ in } \mathbb{U} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{H}(\eta; \phi) &:= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \eta \right) \prec \phi(z) \text{ in } \mathbb{U} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{C}(\eta, \beta; \phi, \psi) &:= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \exists g \in \mathcal{G}^*(\eta; \phi) \text{ s.t. } \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - \beta \right\} \prec \psi(z) \text{ in } \mathbb{U} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

We note that the classes mentioned above are the familiar classes which have been used widely on the space of analytic and univalent functions in \mathbb{U} , and for special choices for the functions ϕ and ψ involved in these definitions, we can obtain the well-known subclasses of \mathcal{A} . For examples, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}^* \left(\eta; \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) &= \mathcal{G}^*(\eta), & \mathcal{H} \left(\eta; \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) &= \mathcal{H}(\eta), \\ \mathcal{C} \left(\eta, \beta; \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) &= \mathcal{C}(\eta, \beta). \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Also let the Hadamard product (or convolution) $f * g$ of two analytic functions

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k \tag{1.4}$$

be given (as usual) by

$$(f * g)(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k. \tag{1.5}$$

Making use of the Hadamard product (or convolution) given by (1.5), we now define the Dziok-Srivastava operator

$$H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}, \tag{1.6}$$

which was introduced and studied in a series of recent papers by Dziok and Srivastava ([4–6]; see also [7, 8]). Indeed, for complex parameters

$$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q, \quad \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s (\beta_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; \mathbb{Z}_0^- = 0, -1, -2, \dots; j = 1, \dots, s), \tag{1.7}$$

the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} {}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n \cdots (\alpha_q)_n}{(\beta_1)_n \cdots (\beta_s)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} \\ &(q \leq s + 1; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; z \in \mathbb{U}), \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

where $(\nu)_k$ is the Pochhammer symbol (or the shifted factorial) defined (in terms of the Gamma function) by

$$(\nu)_k := \frac{\Gamma(\nu+k)}{\Gamma(\nu)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0, \nu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \\ \nu(\nu+1) \cdots (\nu+k-1) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N}, \nu \in \mathbb{C}. \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

Corresponding to a function $\mathcal{F}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$, defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) := z_q F_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z), \quad (1.10)$$

Dziok and Srivastava [5] considered a linear operator defined by the following Hadamard product (or convolution):

$$H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) := \mathcal{F}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) * f(z). \quad (1.11)$$

We note that the linear operator $H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$ includes various other linear operators which were introduced and studied by Carlson and Shaffer [9], Hohlov [10], Ruscheweyh [11], and so on [12, 13].

Corresponding to the function $\mathcal{F}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$, defined by (1.10), we introduce a function $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$ given by

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) * \mathcal{F}_\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^\lambda} \quad (\lambda > 0). \quad (1.12)$$

Analogous to $H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$, we now define the linear operator $H_\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$ on \mathcal{A} as follows:

$$H_\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) = \mathcal{F}_\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) * f(z) \quad (1.13)$$

$$(\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; i = 1, \dots, q; j = 1, \dots, s; \lambda > 0; z \in \mathbb{U}; f \in \mathcal{A}).$$

For convenience, we write

$$H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1) := H_\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s). \quad (1.14)$$

It is easily verified from the definition (1.13) that

$$z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1+1) f(z))' = \alpha_1 H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1) f(z) - (\alpha_1 - 1) H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1+1) f(z), \quad (1.15)$$

$$z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1) f(z))' = \lambda H_{\lambda+1,q,s}(\alpha_1) f(z) - (\lambda - 1) H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1) f(z). \quad (1.16)$$

In particular, the operator $H_\lambda(\gamma+1, 1; 1)$ ($\lambda > 0; \gamma > -1$) was introduced by Choi et al. [2], who investigated (among other things) several inclusion properties involving various subclasses of analytic and univalent functions. For $\gamma = n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0; \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$) and $\lambda = 2$, we also note that the Choi-Sago-Srivastava operator $H_{\lambda,2,1}(\gamma+1, 1; 1) f$ is the Noor integral operator of n th order of f studied by Liu [14] and K. I. Noor and M. A. Noor [15, 16].

Next, by using the operator $H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)$, we introduce the following classes of analytic functions for $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{N}$, and $0 \leq \eta, \beta < 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi) &:= \{f \in \mathcal{A} : H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\eta;\phi)\}, \\ \mathcal{K}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi) &:= \{f \in \mathcal{A} : H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f \in \mathcal{K}(\eta;\phi)\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta,\beta;\phi,\psi) &:= \{f \in \mathcal{A} : H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f \in \mathcal{C}(\eta,\beta;\phi,\psi)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.17}$$

We also note that

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi) \iff zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi). \tag{1.18}$$

In particular, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}\left(q,s;\eta;\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right) &=: \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;A,B) \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1), \\ \mathcal{K}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}\left(q,s;\eta;\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right) &=: \mathcal{K}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;A,B) \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1). \end{aligned} \tag{1.19}$$

In this paper, we investigate several inclusion properties of the classes $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi)$, $\mathcal{K}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi)$, and $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta,\beta;\phi,\psi)$ associated with the operator $H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)$. Some applications involving integral operators are also considered.

2. Inclusion Properties Involving the Operator $H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)$

The following results will be required in our investigation.

LEMMA 2.1 [17]. *Let ϕ be convex univalent in \mathbb{U} with $\phi(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{\kappa\phi(z) + \nu\} > 0$ ($\kappa, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$). If p is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$, then*

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\kappa p(z) + \nu} \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2.1}$$

implies

$$p(z) \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{2.2}$$

LEMMA 2.2 [18]. *Let ϕ be convex univalent in \mathbb{U} and let ω be analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\operatorname{Re}\{\omega(z)\} \geq 0$. If p is analytic in \mathbb{U} and $p(0) = \phi(0)$, then*

$$p(z) + \omega(z)zp'(z) \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2.3}$$

implies

$$p(z) \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{2.4}$$

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $\alpha_1, \lambda > 1$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{N}$. Then,*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\lambda+1,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1+1}(q,s;\eta;\phi). \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. First of all, we will show that

$$\mathcal{S}_{\lambda+1,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi). \tag{2.6}$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda+1,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi)$ and set

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(\frac{z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))'}{H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)} - \eta \right), \tag{2.7}$$

where p is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$. Using (1.16) and (2.7), we have

$$\frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(\frac{z(H_{\lambda+1,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))'}{H_{\lambda+1,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)} - \eta \right) = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{(1-\eta)p(z) + \lambda - 1 + \eta} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{2.8}$$

Since $\lambda > 1$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{N}$, we see that

$$\operatorname{Re} \{ (1-\eta)\phi(z) + \lambda - 1 + \eta \} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{2.9}$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to (2.8), it follows that $p \prec \phi$, that is, $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi)$.

To prove the second part, let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi)$ and put

$$s(z) = \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(\frac{z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1+1)f(z))'}{H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1+1)f(z)} - \eta \right), \tag{2.10}$$

where s is analytic function with $s(0) = 1$. Then, by using the arguments similar to those detailed above with (1.15), it follows that $s \prec \phi$ in \mathbb{U} , which implies that $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1+1}(q,s;\eta;\phi)$. Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.3. \square

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $\alpha_1, \lambda > 1$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{N}$. Then,*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\lambda+1,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\alpha_1+1}(q,s;\eta;\phi). \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. Applying (1.18) and Theorem 2.3, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) \in \mathcal{H}_{\lambda+1,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi) &\iff H_{\lambda+1,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(\eta;\phi) \\ &\iff H_{\lambda+1,q,s}(\alpha_1)(zf'(z)) \in \mathcal{S}(\eta;\phi) \\ &\iff zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda+1,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi) \\ &\implies zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi) \\ &\iff z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))' \in \mathcal{S}(\eta;\phi) \\ &\iff f(z) \in \mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi), \\ f(z) \in \mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi) &\iff zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi) \\ &\implies zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1+1}(q,s;\eta;\phi) \\ &\iff f(z) \in \mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\alpha_1+1}(q,s;\eta;\phi), \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

which evidently proves Theorem 2.4. \square

Taking

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (2.13)$$

in Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, we have the following.

COROLLARY 2.5. *Let $\alpha_1, \lambda > 1$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\lambda+1, \alpha_1}(q, s; \eta; A, B) &\subset \mathcal{S}_{\lambda, \alpha_1}(q, s; \eta; A, B) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\lambda, \alpha_1+1}(q, s; \eta; A, B), \\ \mathcal{H}_{\lambda+1, \alpha_1}(q, s; \eta; A, B) &\subset \mathcal{H}_{\lambda, \alpha_1}(q, s; \eta; A, B) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\lambda, \alpha_1+1}(q, s; \eta; A, B). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Next, by using Lemma 2.2, we obtain the following inclusion relation for the class $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \alpha_1}(q, s; \eta; \beta; \phi, \psi)$.

THEOREM 2.6. *Let $\alpha_1, \lambda > 1$ and $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{N}$. Then,*

$$\mathcal{C}_{\lambda+1, \alpha_1}(q, s; \eta; \beta; \phi, \psi) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \alpha_1}(q, s; \eta; \beta; \phi, \psi) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \alpha_1+1}(q, s; \eta; \beta; \phi, \psi). \quad (2.15)$$

Proof. We begin by proving that

$$\mathcal{C}_{\lambda+1, \alpha_1}(q, s; \eta; \beta; \phi, \psi) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \alpha_1}(q, s; \eta; \beta; \phi, \psi). \quad (2.16)$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda+1, \alpha_1}(q, s; \eta; \beta; \phi, \psi)$. Then, from the definition of $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda+1, \alpha_1}(q, s; \eta; \beta; \phi, \psi)$, there exists a function $r \in \mathcal{S}^*(\eta; \phi)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{1 - \beta} \left(\frac{z(H_{\lambda+1, q, s}(\alpha_1) f(z))}{r(z)} - \beta \right) \prec \psi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2.17)$$

Choose the function g such that $H_{\lambda+1, q, s}(\alpha_1)g(z) = r(z)$. Then, $g \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda+1, \alpha_1}(q, s; \eta; \phi)$ and

$$\frac{1}{1 - \beta} \left(\frac{z(H_{\lambda+1, q, s}(\alpha_1) f(z))'}{H_{\lambda+1, q, s}(\alpha_1)g(z)} - \beta \right) \prec \psi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2.18)$$

Now let

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \left(\frac{z(H_{\lambda, q, s}(\alpha_1) f(z))'}{H_{\lambda, q, s}(\alpha_1)g(z)} - \beta \right), \quad (2.19)$$

where p is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$. Using (1.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \beta)z p'(z) H_{\lambda, q, s}(\alpha_1)g(z) + ((1 - \beta)p(z) + \beta)z(H_{\lambda, q, s}(\alpha_1)g(z))' \\ = \lambda z(H_{\lambda+1, q, s}(\alpha_1) f(z))' - (\lambda - 1)z(H_{\lambda, q, s}(\alpha_1) f(z))'. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Since $g \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda+1, \alpha_1}(q, s; \eta; \phi)$, by Theorem 2.3, we know that $g \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda, \alpha_1}(q, s; \eta; \phi)$. Let

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \eta} \left(\frac{z(H_{\lambda, q, s}(\alpha_1)g(z))'}{H_{\lambda, q, s}(\alpha_1)g(z)} - \eta \right). \quad (2.21)$$

Then, using (1.16) once again, we have

$$\lambda \frac{H_{\lambda+1,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z)}{H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z)} = (1-\eta)q(z) + \lambda - 1 + \eta. \tag{2.22}$$

From (2.20) and (2.22), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{z(H_{\lambda+1,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))'}{H_{\lambda+1,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z)} - \beta \right) = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{(1-\eta)q(z) + \lambda - 1 + \eta}. \tag{2.23}$$

Since $\lambda > 1$ and $q < \phi$ in \mathbb{U} ,

$$\operatorname{Re} \{ (1-\eta)q(z) + \lambda - 1 + \eta \} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{2.24}$$

Hence, applying Lemma 2.2, we can show that $p < \psi$, so that $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta,\beta;\phi,\psi)$.

For the second part, by using the arguments similar to those detailed above with (1.15), we obtain

$$\mathcal{C}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta,\beta;\phi,\psi) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\lambda,\alpha_1+1}(q,s;\eta,\beta;\phi,\psi). \tag{2.25}$$

Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.6. □

3. Inclusion Properties Involving the Integral Operator F_c

In this section, we consider the generalized Libera integral operator F_c [13] (cf. [2, 12]) defined by

$$F_c(f) := F_c(f)(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt \quad (f \in \mathcal{A}; c > -1). \tag{3.1}$$

We first prove the following.

THEOREM 3.1. *If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi)$, then $F_c(f) \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi)$ ($c \geq 0$).*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi)$ and set

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(\frac{z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)F_c(f)(z))'}{H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)F_c(f)(z)} - \eta \right), \tag{3.2}$$

where p is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$. From (3.1), we have

$$z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)F_c(f)(z))' = (c+1)H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z) - cH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)F_c(f)(z). \tag{3.3}$$

Then, by using (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

$$(c+1) \frac{H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)}{H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)F_c(f)(z)} = (1-\eta)p(z) + c + \eta. \tag{3.4}$$

Taking the logarithmic differentiation on both sides of (3.4) and multiplying by z , we have

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{(1-\eta)p(z) + c + \eta} = \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(\frac{z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))'}{H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)} - \eta \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{3.5}$$

Hence, by virtue of Lemma 2.1, we conclude that $p < \phi$ in \mathbb{U} , which implies that $F_c(f) \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi)$. \square

Next, we derive an inclusion property involving F_c , which is given by the following.

THEOREM 3.2. *If $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi)$, then $F_c(f) \in \mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi)$ ($c \geq 0$).*

Proof. By applying Theorem 3.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) \in \mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi) &\iff zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi) \\ &\implies F_c(zf'(z)) \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi) \\ &\iff z(F_c(f)(z))' \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi) \\ &\iff F_c(f)(z) \in \mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi), \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

which proves Theorem 3.2. \square

From Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we have the following.

COROLLARY 3.3. *If f belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;A,B)$ (or $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;A,B)$), then $F_c(f)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;A,B)$ (or $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;A,B)$) ($c \geq 0$).*

Finally, we prove.

THEOREM 3.4. *If $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\beta;\phi,\psi)$, then $F_c(f) \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\beta;\phi,\psi)$ ($c \geq 0$).*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\beta;\phi,\psi)$. Then, in view of the definition of the class $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\beta;\phi,\psi)$, there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))'}{H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z)} - \beta \right) < \psi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{3.7}$$

Thus, we set

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)F_c(f)(z))'}{H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)F_c(g)(z)} - \beta \right), \tag{3.8}$$

where p is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$. Since $g \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi)$, we see from Theorem 3.1 that $F_c(g) \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\alpha_1}(q,s;\eta;\phi)$. Using (3.3), we have

$$((1-\beta)p(z) + \beta)H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)F_c(g)(z) + cH_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)F_c(f)(z) = (c+1)H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z). \tag{3.9}$$

Then, by a simple calculation, we get

$$(c+1) \frac{z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))'}{H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)F_c(g)(z)} = ((1-\beta)p(z) + \beta)((1-\eta)q(z) + c + \eta) + (1-\beta)zp'(z), \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(\frac{z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)F_c(g)(z))'}{H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)F_c(g)(z)} - \eta \right). \quad (3.11)$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{z(H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))'}{H_{\lambda,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z)} - \beta \right) = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{(1-\eta)q(z) + c + \eta}. \quad (3.12)$$

The remaining part of the proof in Theorem 3.4 is similar to that of Theorem 2.6 and so we omit it. \square

Acknowledgment

This research was supported by Kyungshung University grants in 2007.

References

- [1] S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava, "Analytic solution of a class of Briot-Bouquet differential equations," in *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Eds., pp. 252–259, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, USA, 1992.
- [2] J. H. Choi, M. Saigo, and H. M. Srivastava, "Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integral operators," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 276, no. 1, pp. 432–445, 2002.
- [3] W. Ma and D. Minda, "An internal geometric characterization of strongly starlike functions," *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, Sectio A*, vol. 45, pp. 89–97, 1991.
- [4] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, "Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 103, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 1999.
- [5] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, "Some subclasses of analytic functions with fixed argument of coefficients associated with the generalized hypergeometric function," *Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 115–125, 2002.
- [6] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, "Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 7–18, 2003.
- [7] J.-L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, "Certain properties of the Dziok-Srivastava operator," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 159, no. 2, pp. 485–493, 2004.
- [8] J.-L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, "Classes of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 21–34, 2004.
- [9] B. C. Carlson and D. B. Shaffer, "Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions," *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 737–745, 1984.
- [10] Yu. E. Hohlov, "Operators and operations in the class of univalent functions," *Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenii. Matematika*, vol. 10, pp. 83–89, 1978.

- [11] S. Ruscheweyh, "New criteria for univalent functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 49, pp. 109–115, 1975.
- [12] R. M. Goel and N. S. Sohi, "A new criterion for p -valent functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 78, no. 3, pp. 353–357, 1980.
- [13] S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava, "Some applications of the generalized Libera integral operator," *Proceedings of the Japan Academy, Series A*, vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 125–128, 1986.
- [14] J.-L. Liu, "The Noor integral and strongly starlike functions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 261, no. 2, pp. 441–447, 2001.
- [15] K. I. Noor, "On new classes of integral operators," *Journal of Natural Geometry*, vol. 16, no. 1-2, pp. 71–80, 1999.
- [16] K. I. Noor and M. A. Noor, "On integral operators," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 238, no. 2, pp. 341–352, 1999.
- [17] P. Eenigenburg, S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, and M. O. Reade, "On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination," in *General Inequalities, 3 (Oberwolfach, 1981)*, vol. 64 of *Internationale Schriftenreihe zur Numerischen Mathematik*, pp. 339–348, Birkhäuser, Basel, Switzerland, 1983.
- [18] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, "Differential subordinations and univalent functions," *The Michigan Mathematical Journal*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 157–172, 1981.

Oh Sang Kwon: Department of Mathematics, Kyungsoong University, Pusan 608-736, Korea
Email address: oskwon@ks.ac.kr

Nak Eun Cho: Department of Applied Mathematics, Pukyong National University,
Pusan 608-737, Korea
Email address: necho@pknu.ac.kr