

## Research Article

# Common Fixed Point Theorems of the Asymptotic Sequences in Ordered Cone Metric Spaces

**Chi-Ming Chen**

*Department of Applied Mathematics, National Hsinchu University of Education, Hsinchu 300, Taiwan*

Correspondence should be addressed to Chi-Ming Chen, ming@mail.nhcue.edu.tw

Received 13 July 2011; Accepted 20 October 2011

Academic Editor: Yansheng Liu

Copyright © 2011 Chi-Ming Chen. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We introduce the notions of the asymptotic  $\mathcal{SMK}$ -sequence with respect to the stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping  $\xi : \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\} \rightarrow [0, 1)$  and the asymptotic  $\mathcal{WMK}$ -sequence with respect to the weaker Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping  $\phi : \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\} \rightarrow \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\}$  and prove some common fixed point theorems for these two asymptotic sequences in cone metric spaces with regular cone  $P$ . Our results generalize some recent results.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $D$  a subset of  $X$ , and  $f : D \rightarrow X$  a map. We say  $f$  is contractive if there exists  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that for all  $x, y \in D$ ,

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \alpha \cdot d(x, y). \quad (1.1)$$

The well-known Banach's fixed point theorem asserts that if  $D = X$ ,  $f$  is contractive and  $(X, d)$  is complete, then  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ . It is well known that the Banach contraction principle [1] is a very useful and classical tool in nonlinear analysis. Also, this principle has many generalizations. For instance, Kannan [2] and Chatterjea [3] introduced two conditions that can replace (1.1) in Banach's theorem.

(Kannan [2]) There exists  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(x, fx) + d(y, fy)]. \quad (1.2)$$

(Chatterjea [3]) There exists  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} [d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)]. \quad (1.3)$$

After these three conditions, many papers have been written generalizing some of the conditions (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3). In 1969, Boyd and Wong [4] showed the following fixed point theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** (see [4]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  a map. Suppose there exists a function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying  $\phi(0) = 0$ ,  $\phi(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $\phi$  is right upper semicontinuous such that*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \phi(d(x, y)) \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (1.4)$$

*Then,  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .*

Later, Meir-Keeler [5], using a result of Chu and Diaz [6], extended Boyd-Wong's result to mappings satisfying the following more general condition:

$$\forall \eta > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \text{ such that } \eta \leq d(x, y) < \eta + \delta \implies d(fx, fy) < \eta, \quad (1.5)$$

and Meir-Keeler proved the following very interesting fixed point theorem which is a generalization of the Banach contraction principle.

**Theorem 1.2** (Meir-Keeler [5]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $f$  be a Meir-Keeler contraction, that is, for every  $\eta > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d(x, y) < \eta + \delta$  implies  $d(fx, fy) < \eta$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then,  $f$  has a unique fixed point.*

Subsequently, some authors worked on this notion of Meir-Keeler contraction (e.g., [7–10]).

Huang and Zhang [11] introduced the concept of cone metric space by replacing the set of real numbers by an ordered Banach space, and they showed some fixed point theorems of contractive type mappings on cone metric spaces. The category of cone metric spaces is larger than metric spaces. Subsequently, many authors like Abbas and Jungck [12] have generalized the results of Huang and Zhang [11] and studied the existence of common fixed points of a pair of self-mappings satisfying a contractive type condition in the framework of normal cone metric spaces. However, authors like Rezapour and Hambarani [13] studied the existence of common fixed points of a pair of self and nonself mappings satisfying a contractive type condition in the situation in which the cone does not need to be normal. Many authors studied this subject, and many results on fixed point theory are proved (see, e.g., [13–27]).

Throughout this paper, by  $\mathbb{R}$  we denote the set of all real numbers, while  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of all natural numbers, and we initiate our discussion by introducing some preliminaries and notations.

**Definition 1.3** (see [11]). Let  $E$  be a real Banach space and  $P$  a nonempty subset of  $E$ .  $P \neq \{\theta\}$ , where  $\theta$  denotes the zero element of  $E$ , is called a cone if and only if

- (i)  $P$  is closed,
- (ii)  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a, b \geq 0$ ,  $x, y \in P \Rightarrow ax + by \in P$ ,
- (iii)  $x \in P$  and  $-x \in P \Rightarrow x = \theta$ .

For given a cone  $P \subset E$ , we can define a partial ordering with respect to  $P$  by  $x \preceq y$  or  $x \succcurlyeq y$  if and only if  $y - x \in P$  for all  $x, y \in E$ . The real Banach space  $E$  equipped with the partial ordered induced by  $P$  is denoted by  $(E, \preceq)$ . We shall write  $x < y$  to indicate that  $x \preceq y$  but  $x \neq y$ , while  $x \prec y$  will stand for  $y - x \in \text{int}(P)$ , where  $\text{int}(P)$  denotes the interior of  $P$ .

**Proposition 1.4** (see [28]). Suppose  $P$  is a cone in a real Banach space  $E$ . Then,

- (i) If  $e \preceq f$  and  $f \ll g$ , then  $e \ll g$ .
- (ii) If  $e \ll f$  and  $f \preceq g$ , then  $e \ll g$ .
- (iii) If  $e \ll f$  and  $f \ll g$ , then  $e \ll g$ .
- (iv) If  $a \in P$  and  $a \preceq e$  for each  $e \in \text{int}(P)$ , then  $a = \theta$ .

**Proposition 1.5** (see [29]). Suppose  $e \in \text{int}(P)$ ,  $\theta \preceq a_n$ , and  $a_n \rightarrow \theta$ . Then, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a_n \ll e$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

The cone  $P$  is called normal if there exists a real number  $K > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in E$ ,

$$\theta \preceq x \preceq y \implies \|x\| \leq K \|y\|. \quad (1.6)$$

The least positive number  $K$  satisfying above is called the normal constant of  $P$ .

The cone  $P$  is called regular if every increasing sequence which is bounded from above is convergent, that is, if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence such that

$$x_1 \preceq x_2 \preceq \cdots \preceq x_n \preceq \cdots \preceq y, \quad (1.7)$$

for some  $y \in E$ , then there is  $x \in E$  such that  $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Equivalently, the cone  $P$  is regular if and only if every decreasing sequence which is bounded from below is convergent. It is well known that a regular cone is a normal cone.

**Definition 1.6** (see [11]). Let  $X$  be a nonempty set,  $E$  a real Banach space, and  $P$  a cone in  $E$ . Suppose the mapping  $d : X \times X \rightarrow (E, \preceq)$  satisfies

- (i)  $\theta \preceq d(x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ ,
- (ii)  $d(x, y) = \theta$  if and only if  $x = y$ ,
- (iii)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ ,
- (iv)  $d(x, y) + d(y, z) \succcurlyeq d(x, z)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Then,  $d$  is called a cone metric on  $X$ , and  $(X, d)$  is called a cone metric space.

*Definition 1.7* (see [11]). Let  $(X, d)$  be a cone metric space, and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  and  $x \in X$ . If for every  $c \in E$  with  $\theta \ll c$  there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d(x_n, x) \ll c, \quad \forall n > n_0, \quad (1.8)$$

then  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be convergent and  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x$ .

*Definition 1.8* (see [11]). Let  $(X, d)$  be a cone metric space, and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ . We say that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence if for any  $c \in E$  with  $\theta \ll c$ , there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d(x_n, x_m) \ll c, \quad \forall n, m > n_0. \quad (1.9)$$

*Definition 1.9* (see [11]). Let  $(X, d)$  be a cone metric space. If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in  $X$ , then  $X$  is called a complete cone metric space.

*Remark 1.10* (see [11]). If  $P$  is a normal cone, then  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x$  if and only if  $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow \theta$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Further, in the case  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence if and only if  $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow \theta$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ .

In this paper, we introduce the notions of the asymptotic  $\mathcal{SMK}$ -sequence with respect to the stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping  $\xi : \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\} \rightarrow [0, 1)$  and the asymptotic  $\mathcal{WMK}$ -sequence with respect to the weaker Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping  $\phi : \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\} \rightarrow \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\}$  and prove some common fixed point theorems for these two asymptotic sequences in cone metric spaces with regular cone  $P$ .

## 2. Common Fixed Point Theorems for the Asymptotic $\mathcal{SMK}$ -Sequences

In 1973, Geraghty [30] introduced the following generalization of Banach's contraction principle.

**Theorem 2.1** (see [30]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space, and let  $S$  denote the class of the functions  $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  which satisfy the condition*

$$\beta(t_n) \rightarrow 1 \implies t_n \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping satisfying

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \beta(d(x, y)) \cdot d(x, y), \quad \text{for } x, y \in X, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\beta \in S$ . Then,  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $z \in X$ .

In this section, we first introduce the notions of the stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping  $\xi : \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\} \rightarrow [0, 1)$  and the asymptotic  $\mathcal{SMK}$ -sequence with respect to this stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping  $\xi$ , and we next prove some common fixed point theorems for the asymptotic  $\mathcal{SMK}$ -sequence in cone metric spaces.

*Definition 2.2.* Let  $(X, d)$  be a cone metric space with cone  $P$ , and let

$$\xi : \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\} \longrightarrow [0, 1). \quad (2.3)$$

Then, the function  $\xi$  is called a stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping, if for each  $\eta \in \text{int}(P)$  with  $\eta \gg \theta$  there exists  $\delta \gg \theta$  such that for  $x, y \in X$  with  $\eta \preceq d(x, y) \ll \delta + \eta$  there exists  $\gamma_\eta \in [0, 1)$  such that  $\xi(d(x, y)) < \gamma_\eta$ .

*Example 2.3.* Let  $E = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $P = \{x \in E : x \succcurlyeq \theta\}$  a normal cone,  $X = [0, \infty)$ , and let  $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$  be the Euclidean metric. Define  $\xi : \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\} \rightarrow [0, 1)$  by  $\xi(d(x, y)) = \gamma$  where  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ ,  $x, y \in X$ , then  $\xi$  is a stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping.

*Example 2.4.* Let  $E = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $P = \{x \in E : x \succcurlyeq \theta\}$  a normal cone,  $X = [0, \infty)$ , and let  $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$  be the Euclidean metric. Define  $\xi : \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\} \rightarrow [0, 1)$  by  $\xi(d(x, y)) = \|d(x, y)\| / (\|d(x, y)\| + 1)$  for  $x, y \in X$ , then  $\xi$  is a stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping.

*Definition 2.5.* Let  $(X, d)$  be a cone metric space with a cone  $P$ ,  $\xi : \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\} \rightarrow [0, 1)$  a stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping, and let

$$\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, f_n : X \longrightarrow X \quad (2.4)$$

be a sequence of mappings. Suppose that there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfy that

$$d(f_i^\alpha x, f_j^\alpha y) \preceq \xi(d(x, y)) \cdot d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \text{ and } i, j \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.5)$$

Then, we call  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  an asymptotic  $\mathcal{SMK}$ -sequence with respect to this stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping  $\xi$ .

*Example 2.6.* Let  $E = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $P = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x, y \succcurlyeq \theta\}$  a normal cone in  $E$ . Let

$$X = \{(x, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \geq 0\} \cup \{(0, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid y \geq 0\}, \quad (2.6)$$

and we define the mapping  $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$  by

$$\begin{aligned} d((x, 0), (y, 0)) &= \left( \frac{9}{5}|x - y|, |x - y| \right), \\ d((0, x), (0, y)) &= \left( |x - y|, \frac{3}{5}|x - y| \right), \\ d((x, 0), (0, y)) &= d((0, y), (x, 0)) = \left( \frac{9}{5}x + y, x + \frac{3}{5}y \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Let the asymptotic  $\mathcal{SMK}$ -sequence of mappings,  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $f_n : X \rightarrow X$  be

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(x, 0) &= (0, 3^n x), \\ f_n(0, y) &= \left( \frac{1}{3^{n+1}} y, 0 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

and let  $\xi : \text{int}(P) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  be

$$\xi((x, y)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} \|d(x, y)\|, & \text{if } d(x, y) \preceq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{\|d(x, y)\|}{\|d(x, y)\| + 1}, & \text{if } d(x, y) \gg \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

Then,  $\xi$  is a stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping and for  $\alpha = 2$ , and let  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an asymptotic  $\mathcal{SMK}$ -sequence with respect to this stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping  $\xi$ .

Now, we will prove the following common fixed point theorem of the asymptotic  $\mathcal{SMK}$ -sequence with respect to this stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping for cone metric spaces with regular cone.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete cone metric space,  $P$  a regular cone in  $E$ , and let  $\xi : \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\} \rightarrow [0, 1)$  be a stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping. Suppose*

$$\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, f_n : X \rightarrow X \quad (2.10)$$

*is an asymptotic  $\mathcal{SMK}$ -sequence with respect to this stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping  $\xi$ . Then,  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an asymptotic  $\mathcal{SMK}$ -sequence with respect to this stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping  $\xi$ , there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d(f_i^\alpha x, f_j^\alpha y) \preceq \xi(d(x, y)) \cdot d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \text{ and } i, j \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.11)$$

Given  $x_0 \in X$  and we define the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  recursively as follows:

$$x_n = f_n^\alpha x_{n-1}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.12)$$

Hence, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(f_n^\alpha x_{n-1}, f_{n+1}^\alpha x_n) \\ &\preceq \xi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\ll d(x_{n-1}, x_n). \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

Thus, the sequence  $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$  is decreasing. Regularity of  $P$  guarantees that the mentioned sequence is convergent. Let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \eta \geq 0$ . Then, there exists  $\kappa_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq \kappa_0$

$$\eta \preceq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ll \eta + \delta. \quad (2.13)$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , since  $\xi$  is a stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping, for these  $\eta$  and  $\delta \gg 0$  we have that for  $x_{\kappa_0+n}, x_{\kappa_0+n+1} \in X$  with  $\eta \preceq d(x_{\kappa_0+n}, x_{\kappa_0+n+1}) \ll \delta + \eta$ , there exists  $\gamma_\eta \in [0, 1)$  such that  $\xi(d(x_{\kappa_0+n}, x_{\kappa_0+n+1})) < \gamma_\eta$ . Thus, by (\*), we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{\kappa_0+n}, x_{\kappa_0+n+1}) &= \xi(d(x_{\kappa_0+n-1}, x_{\kappa_0+n})) \cdot d(x_{\kappa_0+n-1}, x_{\kappa_0+n}) \\ &\ll \gamma_\eta \cdot d(x_{\kappa_0+n-1}, x_{\kappa_0+n}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

and it follows that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{\kappa_0+n}, x_{\kappa_0+n+1}) &\ll \gamma_\eta \cdot d(x_{\kappa_0+n-1}, x_{\kappa_0+n}) \\ &\ll \dots \\ &\ll \gamma_\eta^n \cdot d(x_{\kappa_0+1}, x_{\kappa_0+2}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

So,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{\kappa_0+n}, x_{\kappa_0+n+1}) = \theta, \quad \text{since } \gamma_\eta < 1. \quad (2.16)$$

We now claim that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{\kappa_0+n}, x_{\kappa_0+m}) = \theta$  for  $m > n$ . For  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > n$ , we have

$$d(x_{\kappa_0+n}, x_{\kappa_0+m}) \preceq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} d(x_{\kappa_0+i}, x_{\kappa_0+i+1}) < \frac{\gamma_\eta^{m-1}}{1 - \gamma_\eta} d(x_{\kappa_0+1}, x_{\kappa_0+2}), \quad (2.17)$$

and hence  $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow \theta$ , since  $0 < \gamma_\eta < 1$ . So  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, d)$  is a complete cone metric space, there exists  $v \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = v$ .

We next prove that  $v$  is a unique periodic point of  $f_j$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(v, f_j^\alpha v) &= d(v, x_n) + d(x_n, f_j^\alpha v) \\ &= d(v, x_n) + d(f_n^\alpha x_{n-1}, f_j^\alpha v) \\ &= d(v, x_n) + \xi(d(x_{n-1}, v)) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, v) \\ &\ll d(v, x_n) + \gamma_\eta \cdot d(x_{n-1}, v), \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

we have  $d(v, f_j^\alpha v) \rightarrow \theta$ . This implies that  $v = f_j^\alpha v$ . So,  $v$  is a periodic point of  $f_j$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $\mu$  be another periodic point of  $f_i$ , for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$d(\mu, \nu) = d(f_i^\alpha \mu, f_j^\alpha \nu) \preceq \xi(d(\mu, \nu)) \cdot d(\mu, \nu) \ll \gamma_1 d(\mu, \nu). \quad (2.19)$$

Then,  $\mu = \nu$ .

Since  $f_i \nu = f_i(f_i^\alpha \nu) = f_j^\alpha(f_i \nu)$ , we have that  $f_i \nu$  is also a periodic point of  $f_i$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $\nu = f_i \nu$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , that is,  $\nu$  is a unique common fixed point of  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .  $\square$

*Example 2.8.* It is easy to get that  $(0,0)$  is a unique common fixed point of the asymptotic  $\mathcal{SMK}$ -sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of Example 2.6.

If the stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping  $\xi(t) = c$  for some  $c \in [0,1)$ , then we are easy to get the following corollaries.

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete cone metric space,  $P$  a regular cone of a real Banach space  $E$ , and let  $c \in [0,1)$ . Suppose the sequence of mappings*

$$\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, f_n : X \rightarrow X \quad (2.20)$$

satisfy that for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$d(f_i^\alpha x, f_j^\alpha y) \preceq c \cdot d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \text{ and } i, j \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.21)$$

Then,  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Corollary 2.10** (see [11]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete cone metric space,  $P$  a regular cone of a real Banach space  $E$ , and let  $c \in [0,1)$ . Suppose the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  satisfies that for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$d(f^\alpha x, f^\alpha y) \preceq c \cdot d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (2.22)$$

Then,  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a cone metric space with a cone  $P$ , and let

$$\xi, \xi_{i,j} : \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\} \rightarrow [0,1), \quad \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N} \quad (2.23)$$

be stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mappings with

$$\sup_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \xi_{i,j}(t) \leq \xi(t) \quad \forall t \in P. \quad (2.24)$$

Suppose the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, f_n : X \rightarrow X$  satisfy that for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$d(f_i^\alpha x, f_j^\alpha y) \preceq \xi_{i,j}(d(x, y)) \cdot d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X, i, j \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.25)$$



Then, we call  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a generalized asymptotic  $\mathcal{SMK}$ -sequence with respect to the stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mappings  $\{\xi_{i,j}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

*Example 2.12.* Let  $E = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $P = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x, y \succ \theta\}$  a normal cone in  $E$ . Let

$$X = \{(x, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \geq 0\} \cup \{(0, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid y \geq 0\}, \quad (2.26)$$

and we define the mapping  $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$  by

$$\begin{aligned} d((x, 0), (y, 0)) &= \left( \frac{9}{5}|x - y|, |x - y| \right), \\ d((0, x), (0, y)) &= \left( |x - y|, \frac{3}{5}|x - y| \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

$$d((x, 0), (0, y)) = d((0, y), (x, 0)) = \left( \frac{9}{5}x + y, x + \frac{3}{5}y \right).$$

Let  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, f_n : X \rightarrow X$  be

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(x, 0) &= (0, 2^n x), \\ f_n(0, y) &= \left( \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} y, 0 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

and let  $\xi_{i,j}, \xi : P \rightarrow [0, 1)$  be

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{i,j}(t) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } t \preccurlyeq 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4\|t\|^{i+j}}, & \text{if } t \gg 1, \end{cases} \\ \xi(t) &= \begin{cases} \frac{3}{4}, & \text{if } t \preccurlyeq 3, \\ \frac{\|t\|}{\|t\| + 1}, & \text{if } t \gg 3. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

Then,  $\{\xi_{i,j}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mappings with

$$\sup_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \xi_{i,j}(t) \preccurlyeq \xi(t) \quad \forall t \in P, \quad (2.30)$$

and for  $\alpha = 2$ , let  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a generalized asymptotic  $\mathcal{SMK}$ -sequence with respect to the stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mappings  $\{\xi_{i,j}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

Follows Theorem 3.4, we are easy to conclude the following results.

**Theorem 2.13.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete cone metric space,  $P$  a regular cone of a real Banach space  $E$ , let

$$\xi, \xi_{i,j} : \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\} \rightarrow [0, 1), \quad \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N} \quad (2.31)$$

be stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mappings with

$$\sup_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \xi_{i,j}(t) \preceq \xi(t) \quad \forall t \in P, \quad (2.32)$$

and let

$$\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, f_n : X \rightarrow X \quad (2.33)$$

be a generalized asymptotic  $\mathcal{SMK}$ -sequence with respect to the stronger Meir-Keeler cone-type mappings  $\{\xi_{i,j}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then,  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

*Example 2.14.* It is easy to get that  $(0, 0)$  is a unique common fixed point of the generalized  $\mathcal{SMK}$ -sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of Example 2.12.

### 3. Common Fixed Point Theorems for the Asymptotic $\mathcal{WMK}$ -Sequences

In this section, we first introduce the notions of the weaker Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping  $\phi : \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\} \rightarrow \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\}$  and the asymptotic  $\mathcal{WMK}$ -sequence with respect to this weaker Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping  $\phi$ , and we next prove some common fixed point theorems for the asymptotic  $\mathcal{WMK}$ -sequence in cone metric spaces.

*Definition 3.1.* Let  $(X, d)$  be a cone metric space with cone  $P$ , and let

$$\phi : \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\} \rightarrow \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Then, the function  $\phi$  is called a weaker Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping, if for each  $\eta \in \text{int}(P)$  with  $\eta \gg \theta$  there exists  $\delta \gg \theta$  such that for  $x, y \in X$  with  $\eta \preceq d(x, y) \ll \delta + \eta$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\phi^{n_0}(d(x, y)) \ll \eta$ .

*Example 3.2.* Let  $E = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $P = \{x \in E : x \succ \theta\}$  a normal cone,  $X = [0, \infty)$ , and let  $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$  be the Euclidean metric. Define  $\phi : \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\} \rightarrow \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\}$  by  $\phi(d(x, y)) = (1/3)d(x, y)$  for  $x, y \in X$ , then  $\phi$  is a weaker Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping.

*Definition 3.3.* Let  $(X, d)$  be a cone metric space with a cone  $P$ ,  $\phi : \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\} \rightarrow \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\}$  be a weaker Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping, and let

$$\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, f_n : X \rightarrow X \quad (3.2)$$

be a sequence of mappings. Suppose that there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfy that

$$d(f_i^\alpha x, f_j^\alpha y) \preceq \phi(d(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X, i, j \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.3)$$

Then, we call  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  an asymptotic  $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{K}$ -sequence with respect to this weaker Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping  $\xi$ .

Now, we will prove the following common fixed point theorem of the asymptotic  $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{K}$ -sequence with respect to this weaker Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping for cone metric spaces with regular cone.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete cone metric space,  $P$  a regular cone in  $E$ , and let  $\phi : \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\} \rightarrow \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\}$  be a weaker Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping, and  $\phi$  also satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i)  $\phi(\theta) = \theta$ ;  $\phi(t) \ll t$  for all  $t \gg \theta$ ,
- (ii) for  $t_n \in \text{int}(P) \cup \{\theta\}$ , if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \gamma \gg \theta$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t_n) \ll \gamma$ ,
- (iii)  $\{\phi^n(t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is decreasing.

Suppose that

$$\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, f_n : X \longrightarrow X \quad (3.4)$$

is an asymptotic  $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{K}$ -sequence with respect to this weaker Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping  $\phi$ . Then,  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an asymptotic  $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{K}$ -sequence with respect to this weaker Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping  $\xi$ , there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d(f_i^\alpha x, f_j^\alpha y) \preceq \phi(d(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X, i, j \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.5)$$

Given  $x_0 \in X$  and we define the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  recursively as follows:

$$x_n = f_n^\alpha x_{n-1}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.6)$$

Hence, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(f_n^\alpha x_{n-1}, f_{n+1}^\alpha x_n) \\ &\preceq \phi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\ &= \phi(d(f_{n-1}^\alpha x_{n-2}, f_n^\alpha x_{n-1})) \\ &\preceq \phi^2(d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})) \\ &\preceq \dots \\ &\preceq \phi^n d(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Since  $\{\phi^n(d(x_0, x_1))\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is decreasing. Regularity of  $P$  guarantees that the mentioned sequence is convergent. Let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^n(d(x_0, x_1)) = \eta$ ,  $\eta \geq \theta$ . We claim that  $\eta = \theta$ . On the contrary, assume that  $\theta \ll \eta$ . Then, by the definition of the weaker Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping, there exists  $\delta \gg 0$  such that for  $x_0, x_1 \in X$  with  $\eta \preccurlyeq d(x_0, x_1) \ll \delta + \eta$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\phi^{n_0}(d(x_0, x_1)) \ll \eta$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^n(d(x, fx)) = \eta$ , there exists  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\eta \preccurlyeq \phi^m d(x_0, x_1) \ll \delta + \eta$ , for all  $m \geq m_0$ . Thus, we conclude that  $\phi^{m_0+n_0}(d(x_0, x_1)) \ll \eta$ . So, we get a contradiction. So,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^n(d(x_0, x_1)) = \theta$ , and so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \theta$ .

Next, we let  $c_m = d(x_m, x_{m+1})$ , and we claim that the following result holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for each } \varepsilon \gg \theta, \text{ there is } n_0(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that for all } m, n \geq n_0(\varepsilon), \\ d(x_m, x_{m+1}) \ll \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (**)$$

We will prove (3.7) by contradiction. Suppose that (3.7) is false. Then, there exists some  $\varepsilon \gg \theta$  such that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there are  $m_k, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m_k > n_k \geq k$  satisfying:

- (1)  $m_k$  is even and  $n_k$  is odd,
- (2)  $d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) \succcurlyeq \varepsilon$ ,
- (3)  $m_k$  is the smallest even number such that the conditions (1), (2) hold.

By (2), we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) = \varepsilon$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\preccurlyeq d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) \\ &\preccurlyeq d(x_{m_k}, x_{m_k+1}) + d(x_{m_k+1}, x_{n_k+1}) + d(x_{n_k+1}, x_{n_k}) \\ &\preccurlyeq d(x_{m_k}, x_{m_k+1}) + \phi(d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k})) + d(x_{n_k+1}, x_{n_k}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, by the condition (ii) of this weaker Meir-Keeler cone-type mapping  $\phi$ , we have

$$\varepsilon \preccurlyeq \theta + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k})) + \theta \ll \varepsilon, \quad (3.9)$$

a contradiction. So,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, d)$  is a complete cone metric space, there exists  $v \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = v$ .

We next prove that  $v$  is a unique periodic point of  $f_j$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(v, f_j^\alpha v) &= d(v, x_n) + d(x_n, f_j^\alpha v) \\ &= d(v, x_n) + d(f_n^\alpha x_{n-1}, f_j^\alpha v) \\ &= d(v, x_n) + \phi(d(x_{n-1}, v)) \\ &\ll d(v, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, v), \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

we have  $d(v, f_j^\alpha v) \rightarrow \theta$ . This implies that  $v = f_j^\alpha v$ . So,  $v$  is a periodic point of  $f_j$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $\mu$  be another periodic point of  $f_i$ , for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$d(\mu, \nu) = d(f_i^\alpha \mu, f_j^\alpha \nu) \preceq \phi(d(\mu, \nu)) \ll d(\mu, \nu). \quad (3.11)$$

Then,  $\mu = \nu$ .

Since  $f_i \nu = f_i(f_i^\alpha \nu) = f_j^\alpha(f_i \nu)$ , we have that  $f_i \nu$  is also a periodic point of  $f_i$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $\nu = f_i \nu$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , that is,  $\nu$  is a unique common fixed point of  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgment

This research is supported by the National Science Council of the Republic of China.

## References

- [1] S. Banach, "Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales," *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. 3, pp. 133–181, 1922.
- [2] R. Kannan, "Some results on fixed points," *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, vol. 60, pp. 71–76, 1968.
- [3] S. K. Chatterjea, "Fixed-point theorems," *Doklady Bolgarskoj Akademii Nauk*, vol. 25, pp. 727–730, 1972.
- [4] D. W. Boyd and J. S. W. Wong, "On nonlinear contractions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 20, pp. 458–464, 1969.
- [5] A. Meir and E. Keeler, "A theorem on contraction mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 28, pp. 326–329, 1969.
- [6] S. C. Chu and J. B. Diaz, "Remarks on a generalization of Banachs principle of contraction mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 2, pp. 440–446, 1965.
- [7] C. Di Bari, T. Suzuki, and C. Vetro, "Best proximity points for cyclic Meir-Keeler contractions," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 69, no. 11, pp. 3790–3794, 2008.
- [8] S. Karpagam and S. Agrawal, "Best proximity point theorems for  $p$ -cyclic Meir-Keeler contractions," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2009, Article ID 197308, 9 pages, 2009.
- [9] T. Suzuki, "Fixed-point theorem for asymptotic contractions of Meir-Keeler type in complete metric spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 64, no. 5, pp. 971–978, 2006.
- [10] T. Suzuki, "Moudafi's viscosity approximations with Meir-Keeler contractions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 325, no. 1, pp. 342–352, 2007.
- [11] L.-G. Huang and X. Zhang, "Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 332, no. 2, pp. 1468–1476, 2007.
- [12] M. Abbas and G. Jungck, "Common fixed point results for noncommuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 341, no. 1, pp. 416–420, 2008.
- [13] S. Rezapour and R. Hambarani, "Some notes on the paper: "Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings"," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 345, no. 2, pp. 719–724, 2008.
- [14] M. Arshad, A. Azam, and P. Vetro, "Some common fixed point results in cone metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2009, Article ID 493965, 11 pages, 2009.
- [15] A. Azam and M. Arshad, "Common fixed points of generalized contractive maps in cone metric spaces," *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 255–284, 2009.
- [16] C. Di Bari and P. Vetro, " $\varphi$ -pairs and common fixed points in cone metric spaces," *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 279–285, 2008.
- [17] C. Di Bari and P. Vetro, "Weakly  $\varphi$ -pairs and common fixed points in cone metric spaces," *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 125–132, 2009.
- [18] J. Harjani and K. Sadarangani, "Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces and applications to ordinary differential equations," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 72, no. 3-4, pp. 1188–1197, 2010.

- [19] R. H. Haghi and S. Rezapour, "Fixed points of multifunctions on regular cone metric spaces," *Expositiones Mathematicae*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 71–77, 2010.
- [20] X. Huang, C. Zhu, and X. Wen, "A common fixed point theorem in cone metric spaces," *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 4, no. 13–16, pp. 721–726, 2010.
- [21] D. Klim and D. Wardowski, "Dynamic processes and fixed points of set-valued nonlinear contractions in cone metric spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 71, no. 11, pp. 5170–5175, 2009.
- [22] S. Radenović, "Common fixed points under contractive conditions in cone metric spaces," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 1273–1278, 2009.
- [23] S. Rezapour, R. H. Haghi, and N. Shahzad, "Some notes on fixed points of quasi-contraction maps," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 498–502, 2010.
- [24] S. Rezapour, H. Khandani, and S. M. Vaezpour, "Efficacy of cones on topological vector spaces and application to common fixed points of multifunctions," *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 185–197, 2010.
- [25] S. Rezapour and R. H. Haghi, "Fixed point of multifunctions on cone metric spaces," *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, vol. 30, no. 7-8, pp. 825–832, 2009.
- [26] K. Włodarczyk, R. Plebaniak, and C. Obczyński, "Convergence theorems, best approximation and best proximity for set-valued dynamic systems of relatively quasi-asymptotic contractions in cone uniform spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 794–805, 2010.
- [27] Z. Zhao and X. Chen, "Fixed points of decreasing operators in ordered Banach spaces and applications to nonlinear second order elliptic equations," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 1223–1229, 2009.
- [28] S. Rezapour, M. Drafshpour, and R. Hambarani, "A review on topological properties of cone metric spaces," in *Proceedings of the Conference on Analysis, Topology and Applications (ATA '08)*, Vrnjaska Banja, Serbia, June 2008.
- [29] M. Alimohammady, J. Balooee, S. Radojević, V. Rakočević, and M. Roohi, "Conditions of regularity in cone metric spaces," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 13, pp. 6359–6363, 2011.
- [30] M. A. Geraghty, "On contractive mappings," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 40, pp. 604–608, 1973.





# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

