

A NOTE ON ALMOST CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS AND BAIRE SPACES

JING CHENG TONG

Department of Mathematics
Wayne State University
Detroit, Michigan 48202

(Received April 20, 1982 and in revised form June 4, 1982)

ABSTRACT. We prove the following theorem:

THEOREM. Let Y be a second countable, infinite R_0 -space. If there are countably many open sets $O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n, \dots$ in Y such that $O_1 \not\subseteq O_2 \not\subseteq \dots \not\subseteq O_n \not\subseteq \dots$, then a topological space X is a Baire space if and only if every mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is almost continuous on a dense subset of X . It is an improvement of a theorem due to Lin and Lin [2].

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Separation axiom R_0 , almost continuous mapping, Baire space.

1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. Primary 54C10, 54F65; Secondary 54D10.

1. INTRODUCTION.

This note is directed to mathematical specialists or non-specialists familiar with general topology [1].

Lin and Lin [2] proved the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. Let Y be an arbitrary infinite Hausdorff space. If X is a topological space such that every mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is almost continuous on a dense subset $D(f)$ of X , then X is a Baire space.

In the theorem above, the almost continuity is in the sense of Husain [3]. The proof of the theorem depends on the following lemma (cf. Long [1, Prob. 14, p. 147]):

LEMMA 1. Every infinite Hausdorff space contains a countably infinite discrete subspace.

In this note, we prove a lemma similar to Lemma 1 under weaker conditions, and use it to improve Theorem 1.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND RESULTS.

Before stating the result, we first recall the definition of the separation axiom R_0 (cf. [4], [5], [6, p. 49]).

DEFINITION 1. A topological space X is R_0 if and only if for each $x \in X$ and open subset U , $x \in U$ implies $\overline{\{x\}} \in U$.

It is known [1] that R_0 is weaker than T_1 and is independent of T_0 , in fact $T_1 = T_0 + R_0$. A Hausdorff space is R_0 .

LEMMA 2. If an infinite space X is R_0 , and there are countably infinite open sets $O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n, \dots$ such that $O_1 \subsetneq O_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq O_n \subsetneq \dots$, then there is a countably infinite distinct set $S = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, \dots\}$ in X such that for each n , there is an open set V_n satisfying $V_n \cap S = \{y_n\}$.

PROOF. Without loss of generality we may assume that O_1 is not empty. Let $y_1 \in O_1$ be an arbitrary point. Since X is R_0 , $\overline{\{y_1\}} \subset O_1$. Let $V_1 = O_1$. From $O_1 \subsetneq O_2$ we can find a $y_2 \in O_2$ such that $y_2 \notin O_1$ and $\overline{\{y_2\}} \subset O_2$. Let $V_2 = O_2 \cap (O_1 \setminus \overline{\{y_1\}})$. Then V_2 is an open set and $y_2 \in V_2$. If y_{n-1} is chosen and $V_{n-1} = O_{n-1} \cap (O_{n-2} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-2} \overline{\{y_i\}})$ is defined, then since $O_{n-1} \subsetneq O_n$, we may choose $y_n \in O_n$ such that $y_n \notin O_{n-1}$ and $\overline{\{y_n\}} \subset O_n$. Let $V_n = O_n \cap (O_{n-1} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \overline{\{y_i\}})$. Then $y_n \in V_n$. Thus we have a countably infinite distinct set $S = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, \dots\}$ and countably infinite distinct open sets $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n, \dots$ such that $y_n \in V_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Since $V_n = O_n \cap (O_{n-1} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \overline{\{y_i\}})$, we have $y_i \notin V_n$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Since $y_{n+m} \in O_{n+m}$ ($m > 1$), $y_{n+m} \notin O_{n+m-1}$, but $O_n \subsetneq O_{n+m-1}$, hence $y_{n+m} \notin O_n$, $y_{n+m} \notin V_n$. Therefore, $V_n \cap S = \{y_n\}$.

For convenience we say that a space X has an ascending chain of open sets if there are countably infinite open sets $O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n, \dots$ such that $O_1 \subsetneq O_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq O_n \subsetneq \dots$.

LEMMA 3. An infinite Hausdorff space X is an R_0 -space with an ascending chain of open sets.

PROOF. We need only to show that X has an ascending chain of open sets. By Lemma 1, there is a countably infinite discrete subspace $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, \dots\}$, hence

there are disjoint open sets $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n, \dots$ such that $y_n \in U_n$. Let $O_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Then $O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n, \dots$ is an ascending chain of open sets.

The converse of Lemma 3 is not true.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $X = [0, 1]$ with topology $\tau = \{X \setminus N; N \text{ is a countable set}\}$. Then X is R_0 and $O_i = X \setminus \{\frac{1}{i}, \frac{1}{i+1}, \dots\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) is an ascending chain of open sets. X is not Hausdorff.

Now Theorem 1 can be improved as

THEOREM 2. Let Y be an infinite R_0 -space with an ascending chain of open sets. If X is a topological space such that every mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is almost continuous on a dense subset of X , then X is a Baire space.

The proof is all the same as the proof of Theorem 2 in [2].

Similar to Theorem 3 in [2], we have

THEOREM 3. Let Y be a second countable infinite R_0 -space with an ascending chain of open sets. Then a topological space X is a Baire space if and only if every mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is almost continuous on a dense subset of X .

REFERENCES

1. LONG, P.E. An Introduction to General Topology, Charles E. Merrill Publ. Co., Columbus, Ohio, 1971.
2. LIN, S-Y.T. and LIN, Y-F.T. On almost continuous mappings and Baire spaces, Canad. Math. Bull. 21 (1978), 183-186.
3. HUSAIN, T. Almost continuous mappings, Prace Math. 10 (1966), 1-7.
4. DAVIS, A.S. Indexed system of neighborhoods for general topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly 68 (1961), 886-893.
5. SHANIN, S.A. On separation in topological space, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. USSR. 38 (1943), 110-113.
6. WILANSKY, A. Topology for Analysis, Ginn, Waltham, Mass., 1970.