

## A NOTE ON A CLASS OF BANACH ALGEBRA-VALUED POLYNOMIALS

SIN-EI TAKAHASI, OSAMU HATORI, KEIICHI WATANABE,  
and TAKESHI MIURA

Received 10 March 2002

Let  $F$  be a Banach algebra. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for  $F$  to be finite dimensional, in terms of finite type  $n$ -homogeneous  $F$ -valued polynomials.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46H99.

**1. Introduction and results.** Let  $E$  and  $F$  be complex Banach spaces. We denote by  $L(^nE, F)$  the Banach space of all continuous  $n$ -linear mappings  $A$  from  $E^n$  into  $F$  endowed with the norm  $\|A\| = \sup\{\|A(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| : \|x_j\| \leq 1, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ . A mapping  $P$  from  $E$  into  $F$  is called a continuous  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial if  $P(x) = A(x, \dots, x)$  (for all  $x \in E$ ) for some  $A \in L(^nE, F)$ . We denote by  $P(^nE, F)$  the Banach space of all continuous  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials  $P$  from  $E$  into  $F$  endowed with the norm  $\|P\| = \sup\{\|P(x)\| : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ . Also a mapping  $P$  from  $E$  into  $F$  is called a finite type  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial if  $P(x) = f_1(x)^n b_1 + \dots + f_k(x)^n b_k$  (for all  $x \in E$ ), where  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in E^*$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_k \in F$ . We denote by  $P_f(^nE, F)$  the space of all finite type  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials  $P$  from  $E$  into  $F$ . Then we have  $P_f(^nE, F) \subseteq P(^nE, F)$ . Indeed, let  $P \in P_f(^nE, F)$ . Then we write  $P(x) = f_1(x)^n b_1 + \dots + f_k(x)^n b_k$  ( $x \in E$ ) for some  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in E^*$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_k \in F$ . Set

$$A(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(x_1) \cdots f_i(x_n) b_i, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n. \quad (1.1)$$

Then  $A$  is a continuous  $n$ -linear mapping from  $E^n$  into  $F$  and  $P(x) = A(x, \dots, x)$  ( $x \in E$ ). Hence  $P \in P(^nE, F)$ . We are now interested in the case that  $F$  is a Banach algebra. Let

$$\mathbf{P}_f(^nE, F) = \{\varphi_1^n + \dots + \varphi_k^n : \varphi_j \in B(E, F) \ (j = 1, \dots, k), \ k \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\varphi_j^n(x) = (\varphi_j(x))^n$  ( $x \in E$ ). Then we have  $\mathbf{P}_f(^nE, \mathbf{C}) = P_f(^nE, \mathbf{C})$  and  $\mathbf{P}_f(^n\mathbf{C}, F) \subseteq P_f(^n\mathbf{C}, F)$  (see [1, Section 1]). Also, we have  $\mathbf{P}_f(^nE, F) \subseteq P(^nE, F)$ . Indeed, let  $P \in \mathbf{P}_f(^nE, F)$ . Then we can write  $P = \varphi_1^n + \dots + \varphi_k^n$  for some  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k \in B(E, F)$ . Set  $A(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i(x_1) \cdots \varphi_i(x_n)$ ,  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n$ . Then  $A$  is a continuous  $n$ -linear mapping from  $E^n$  into  $F$  and  $P(x) = A(x, \dots, x)$  ( $x \in E$ ). Hence  $P \in P(^nE, F)$ .

Now, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we say that an algebra  $F$  has the  $r_n$ -property if, given any  $b \in F$ , we can find elements  $a_1, \dots, a_p \in F$  such that  $b = \sum_{i=1}^p a_i^n$ . We also say that an algebra  $F$  has the  $r$ -property if  $F$  has the  $r_n$ -property for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.1** (see [1]). (1) Every unital complex algebra has the  $r$ -property.

(2) Let  $E$  be a Banach space and  $F$  be a Banach algebra. Then  $P_f(^nE, F) \subseteq \mathbf{P}_f(^nE, F)$  if and only if  $F$  has the  $r_n$ -property.

In [1], it is remarked that, given an arbitrary Banach space  $(F, +, \|\cdot\|)$ , we can always define a product  $\circ$  and a norm  $\|\cdot\|_*$  on  $F$  in order that  $(F, +, \circ, \|\cdot\|_*)$  is a unital Banach algebra and  $\|\cdot\|_*$  is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|$ . By Proposition 1.1 and the above remark, Lourenço-Moraes proved the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 1.2** (see [1]). Let  $E$  be a Banach space. The following are equivalent:

- (a)  $E$  is a finite-dimensional space;
- (b)  $P_f(^nE, F) = \mathbf{P}_f(^nE, F)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every Banach algebra  $F$  with the  $r_n$ -property;
- (c)  $P_f(^nE, F) = \mathbf{P}_f(^nE, F)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every unital Banach algebra  $F$ .

**REMARK 1.3.** By the proof of Proposition 1.2 (see [1]), we see that each of the following two statements are also equivalent to one of, hence all of, (a), (b), and (c) in Proposition 1.2:

- (b')  $P_f(^1E, F) = \mathbf{P}_f(^1E, F)$  for every unital Banach algebra  $F$ ;
- (d)  $P_f(^nE, F) = \mathbf{P}_f(^nE, F)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every Banach space  $F$ .

In this note we show the following result, which is opposite to Proposition 1.2.

**PROPOSITION 1.4.** Let  $F$  be a Banach algebra. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $F$  is a finite-dimensional space;
- (b)  $\mathbf{P}_f(^nE, F) \subseteq P_f(^nE, F)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every Banach space  $E$ ;
- (c)  $\mathbf{P}_f(^1E, F) \subseteq P_f(^1E, F)$  for every Banach space  $E$ .

In particular, in the unital case, we have the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 1.5.** Let  $F$  be a unital Banach algebra. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $F$  is a finite-dimensional space;
- (b)  $\mathbf{P}_f(^nE, F) = P_f(^nE, F)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every Banach space  $E$ ;
- (c)  $\mathbf{P}_f(^1E, F) = P_f(^1E, F)$  for every Banach space  $E$ .

## 2. Proofs

**LEMMA 2.1.** Let  $n$  be any positive integer and let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be  $n$ -real variables. Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^n x_i = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k x_k \right)^n \quad (2.1)$$

holds.

**PROOF.** For each  $m$  with  $0 \leq m \leq n$ , let

$$P_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k x_k \right)^m. \quad (2.2)$$

Then we have  $P_m(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) = P_m(x_1, 0, \dots, x_n) = \dots = P_m(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0) = 0$ . Indeed since

$$P_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n (x_1 + \varepsilon_2 x_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_n x_n)^m - \sum_{\varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n (-x_1 + \varepsilon_2 x_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_n x_n)^m, \tag{2.3}$$

it follows that  $P_m(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$ . Similarly,

$$P_m(x_1, 0, \dots, x_n) = \dots = P_m(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0) = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Therefore, we have

$$P_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

for each  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and

$$P_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = K_n \prod_{i=1}^n x_i, \tag{2.6}$$

for some constant  $K_n$ , because  $P_m(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is  $m$ -homogeneous for  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Hence we only show that  $K_n = 2^n n!$ . Note that

$$K_n = P_n(1, \dots, 1) = \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k \right)^n. \tag{2.7}$$

Then  $K_1 = 2$ . Now, for each  $m$  with  $0 \leq m \leq n$ , let  $\alpha_m = \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k \right)^m$ . Then by (2.5) and (2.6), we have  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_{n-1} = 0$  and  $\alpha_n = K_n$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{n+1} &= \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n+1} = \pm 1} \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_{n+1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \varepsilon_k \right)^{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k + 1 \right)^{n+1} - \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k - 1 \right)^{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{m} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k \right)^m \\ &\quad - \sum_{m=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{m} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n (-1)^{n+1-m} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k \right)^m \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{m} (1 - (-1)^{n+1-m}) \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k \right)^m \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n+1}{m} (1 - (-1)^{n+1-m}) \alpha_m \\ &= \binom{n+1}{n} (1 - (-1)^{n+1-n}) K_n \\ &= 2(n+1)K_n, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

so that we have  $K_n = 2^n n!$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) inductively. □

**PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.4.** (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). Let  $\{u_1, \dots, u_N\}$  be a basis of  $F$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_N$  the corresponding coordinate functionals, that is,  $g_i(u_j) = \delta_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ ). Let  $P \in \mathbf{P}_f({}^n E, F)$ . Then we can write  $P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (T_i(x))^n$  ( $x \in E$ ) for some  $T_1, \dots, T_{\ell} \in B(E, F)$ . Let

$$f_{ij}(x) = g_j(T_i(x)) \quad (x \in E), \tag{2.9}$$

for each  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ . Then we have  $T_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N f_{ij}(x)u_j$  ( $x \in E$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ ), and hence by Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N f_{ij}(x)u_j \right)^n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j_1=1}^N \cdots \sum_{j_n=1}^N f_{ij_1}(x) \cdots f_{ij_n}(x)u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_n} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j_1=1}^N \cdots \sum_{j_n=1}^N \frac{1}{K_n} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k f_{ik}(x) \right)^n u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_n} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j_1=1}^N \cdots \sum_{j_n=1}^N \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n = \pm 1} (f_{i, j_1, \dots, j_n, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n}(x))^n b_{j_1, \dots, j_n, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

for each  $x \in E$ , where  $f_{i, j_1, \dots, j_n, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n} = \varepsilon_1 f_{ij_1} + \cdots + \varepsilon_n f_{ij_n} \in E^*$  and  $b_{j_1, \dots, j_n, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n} = (1/K_n)\varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_n} \in F$ . Therefore we have  $P \in \mathbf{P}_f({}^n E, F)$ .

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c). This is trivial.

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Suppose that  $\mathbf{P}_f({}^1 E, F) \subseteq \mathbf{P}_f({}^1 E, F)$  for every Banach space  $E$ . Note that  $\mathbf{P}_f({}^1 F, F) = \{T \in B(F, F) : \dim T(F) < \infty\}$  and  $\mathbf{P}_f({}^1 F, F) = B(F, F)$ . Then by hypothesis, the identity map of  $F$  onto itself is finite dimensional and so is  $F$ .  $\square$

**PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.5.** This follows immediately from Propositions 1.1 and 1.4.  $\square$

### REFERENCES

[1] M. L. Lourenço and L. A. Moraes, *A class of polynomials from Banach spaces into Banach algebras*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **37** (2001), no. 4, 521-529.

SIN-EI TAKAHASI: DEPARTMENT OF BASIC TECHNOLOGY, APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY, YONEZAWA 992-8510, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* [sin-ei@emperor.yz.yamagata-u.ac.jp](mailto:sin-ei@emperor.yz.yamagata-u.ac.jp)

OSAMU HATORI: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, NIIGATA UNIVERSITY, NIIGATA 950-2181, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* [hatori@m.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp](mailto:hatori@m.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp)

KEIICHI WATANABE: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, NIIGATA UNIVERSITY, NIIGATA 950-2181, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* [wtnbk@m.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp](mailto:wtnbk@m.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp)

TAKESHI MIURA: DEPARTMENT OF BASIC TECHNOLOGY, APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY, YONEZAWA 992-8510, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* [miura@yz.yamagata-u.ac.jp](mailto:miura@yz.yamagata-u.ac.jp)