

A MINIMIZATION THEOREM IN QUASI-METRIC SPACES AND ITS APPLICATIONS

JEONG SHEOK UME

Received 25 October 2001

We prove a new minimization theorem in quasi-metric spaces, which improves the results of Takahashi (1993). Further, this theorem is used to generalize Caristi's fixed point theorem and Ekeland's ε -variational principle.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10.

1. Introduction. Caristi [1] proved a fixed point theorem on complete metric spaces which generalizes the Banach contraction principle. Ekeland [3] also obtained a non-convex minimization theorem, often called the ε -variational principle, for a proper lower semicontinuous function, bounded from below, on complete metric spaces. Later Takahashi [4] proved the following minimization theorem: let X be a complete metric space and let $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a proper lower semicontinuous function, bounded from below. Suppose that, for each $u \in X$ with $f(u) > \inf_{x \in X} f(x)$, there exists $v \in X$ such that $v \neq u$ and $f(v) + d(u, v) \leq f(u)$. Then there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $f(x_0) = \inf_{x \in X} f(x)$. These theorems are very useful tools in nonlinear analysis, control theory, economic theory, and global analysis.

2. Main results. Throughout this note, we denote by \mathbb{N} the set of all positive integers and by \mathbb{R} the set of all real numbers.

DEFINITION 2.1. A real-valued function Φ defined on a topological space X is said to be lower semicontinuous at x in X if and only if $\{x_\lambda\}$ is a net in X and $\lim x_\lambda = x$ implies $\Phi x \leq \liminf \Phi x_\lambda$.

DEFINITION 2.2 [2]. A real-valued function Φ defined on a topological space X is said to be weak lower semicontinuous at $x \in X$ if and only if $\{x_\lambda\}$ is a net in X and $\lim x_\lambda = x$ implies $\Phi x \leq \limsup \Phi x_\lambda$. A mapping Φ is said to be a weak lower semicontinuous on X if and only if it is weak lower semicontinuous for every $x \in X$.

DEFINITION 2.3. A pair (X, d) of a set X and a mapping d from $X \times X$ into real numbers is said to be a quasi-metric space if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}d(x, y) &\geq 0, \quad d(x, y) = 0 \quad \text{iff } x = y, \\d(x, z) &\leq d(x, y) + d(y, z) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X.\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

DEFINITION 2.4. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be a left k -Cauchy sequence if for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an N_k such that

$$d(x_n, x_m) < \frac{1}{k} \quad \forall m \geq n \geq N_k. \tag{2.2}$$

A quasi-metric space is left k -sequentially complete if each left k -Cauchy sequence is convergent.

THEOREM 2.5. Let (X, d) be left k -sequentially complete quasi metric space such that for each $x \in X$ the mapping $u \rightarrow d(x, u)$ is a lower semicontinuous on X . Let $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a proper weak lower semicontinuous function bounded from below such that for any $u \in X$ with $\inf_{x \in X} f(x) < f(u)$, there exists $v \in X$ with $v \neq u$ and $f(v) + d(u, v) \leq f(u)$. Then there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\inf_{x \in X} f(x) = f(x_0)$.

PROOF. Suppose that $\inf_{x \in X} f(x) < f(y)$ for every $y \in X$. For each $y \in X$, we define $S(y)$ by

$$S(y) = \{z \in X : f(z) + d(y, z) \leq f(y)\}. \tag{2.3}$$

From (2.3) and hypotheses of the theorem we have the following:

(*) For each $y \in X$, there exists $v \in X$ with $v \neq y$ such that $v \in S(y)$, and for each $z \in S(y)$, $S(z) \subseteq S(y)$.

For each $y \in X$, we define $A(y)$ by

$$A(y) = \inf \{f(z) : z \in S(y)\}. \tag{2.4}$$

Choose $u \in X$ with $f(u) < \infty$. Then we choose a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in $S(u)$ as follows: when $u = u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ have been chosen, choose $u_{n+1} \in S(u_n)$ such that

$$f(u_{n+1}) < A(u_n) + \frac{1}{n}. \tag{2.5}$$

Thus, we obtain a sequence $\{u_n\}$ such that

$$d(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq f(u_n) - f(u_{n+1}), \tag{2.6}$$

$$f(u_{n+1}) - \frac{1}{n} < A(u_n) \leq f(u_{n+1}). \tag{2.7}$$

By (2.6), $\{f(u_n)\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence of reals and so it converges. Therefore, by (2.7) there is some α in \mathbb{R} such that

$$\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(u_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(u_n) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(u_n). \tag{2.8}$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. By (2.8) there exists some N_k such that $f(u_n) < \alpha + 1/k$ for all $n \geq N_k$. Thus, by monotony of $\{f(u_n)\}$, for $m \geq n \geq N_k$, we have

$$\alpha \leq f(u_m) \leq f(u_n) < \alpha + \frac{1}{k}, \tag{2.9}$$

and hence

$$f(u_n) - f(u_m) < \frac{1}{k} \quad \forall m > n \geq N_k. \tag{2.10}$$

From the triangle inequality, (2.6) and (2.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(u_n, u_m) &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} d(u_i, u_{i+1}) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} [f(u_i) - f(u_{i+1})] \\
 &\leq f(u_n) - f(u_m) < \frac{1}{k}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.11}$$

for all $m > n \geq N_k$.

Therefore, $\{u_n\}$ is a left k -Cauchy sequence in X . By completeness, there exists $z \in X$ such that $u_n \rightarrow z$. Since f is a weak lower semicontinuous; by (2.8), we have

$$f(z) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(u_n) = \alpha. \tag{2.12}$$

From (2.11), we obtain

$$f(u_m) \leq f(u_n) - d(u_n, u_m). \tag{2.13}$$

Since f is a weak lower semicontinuous on X and $u \rightarrow d(x, u)$ on X is a lower semicontinuous, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(z) &\leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} f(u_m) \leq f(u_n) + \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} [-d(u_n, u_m)] \\
 &= f(u_n) - \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} d(u_n, u_m) = f(u_n) - d(u_n, z).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.14}$$

Hence

$$d(u_n, z) \leq f(u_n) - f(z). \tag{2.15}$$

From (2.3) and (2.15), we obtain that $z \in S(u_n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence

$$A(u_n) \leq f(z) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.16}$$

Taking the limit when n tends to infinity, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(u_n) \leq f(z). \tag{2.17}$$

From (2.8), (2.12), and (2.17), we have

$$f(z) = \alpha. \tag{2.18}$$

Since $z \in S(u_n)$ and $u_n \in S(u)$, by (*), we obtain $z \in S(u)$. Suppose that $v_1 \in S(z)$ and $v_1 \neq z$. Then $f(v_1) < f(z)$ or by (2.18), $f(v_1) < \alpha$. Since $v_1 \in S(z)$, $z \in S(u_n)$ and $u_n \in S(u)$, by (*), we have $S(z) \subseteq S(u_n) \subseteq S(u)$. Hence $v_1 \in S(u_n)$ and $v_1 \in S(u)$. Thus

$$A(u_n) \leq f(v_1) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.19}$$

Taking the limit when n tends to infinity, we get

$$\alpha \leq f(v_1). \tag{2.20}$$

This is in contradiction with $f(v_1) < \alpha$. Hence $S(z) = \{z\}$. But, by (2.3) and hypothesis of a function f in theorem there exists $y \in X$ such that $y \neq z$ and $\{y, z\} \subseteq S(z)$. This is a contradiction. This completes the proof. □

REMARK 2.6. [Theorem 2.5](#) is a generalization of Takahashi's minimization theorem [4].

THEOREM 2.7. *Let (X, d) be left k -sequentially complete quasi-metric space such that for each $x \in X$, the mapping $u \rightarrow d(x, u)$ is a lower semicontinuous on X . Let $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a proper weak lower semicontinuous function bounded from below. Assume that there exists a selfmapping T of X such that*

$$f(Tx) + d(x, Tx) \leq f(x) \quad \forall x \in X. \tag{2.21}$$

Then T has a fixed point in X .

PROOF. Since f is proper, there exists $v \in X$ such that $f(v) < \infty$. Put

$$Z = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \leq f(v)\}. \tag{2.22}$$

Then, since f is weak lower semicontinuous, Z is closed. So Z is left k -sequentially complete. Let $x \in Z$. Then, Since

$$f(Tx) + d(x, Tx) \leq f(x) \leq f(v). \tag{2.23}$$

So Z is invariant under T . Assume that $Tx \neq x$ for every $x \in Z$. Then by [Theorem 2.5](#), there exists $u \in Z$ such that $f(u) = \inf_{x \in X} f(x)$. Since $f(Tu) + d(u, Tu) \leq f(u)$ and $f(u) = \inf_{x \in Z} f(x)$, we have $f(Tu) = f(u) = \inf_{x \in Z} f(x)$ and $d(u, Tu) = 0$. Hence $Tu = u$. This is a contradiction. Therefore T has a fixed point u in Z . This completes the proof. □

REMARK 2.8. [Theorem 2.7](#) is a generalization of Caristi's fixed point theorem [1].

The following theorem is a generalization of Ekeland's ε -variational principle [3].

THEOREM 2.9. *Let (X, d) be left k -sequentially complete quasi-metric space such that for each $x \in X$ the mapping $u \rightarrow d(x, u)$ is a lower semicontinuous on X . Let $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a proper weak lower semicontinuous function bounded from below. Then,*

- (1) *for any $u \in X$ with $f(u) < \infty$, there exists $v \in X$ such that $f(v) \leq f(u)$ and $f(w) > f(v) - d(v, w)$ for every $w \in X$ with $w \neq v$;*
- (2) *for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $u \in X$ with $f(u) < \inf_{x \in X} f(x) + \varepsilon$, there exists $v \in X$ such that $f(v) \leq f(u)$, $d(u, v) \leq 1$ and $f(w) > f(v) - \varepsilon d(v, w)$ for every $w \in X$ with $w \neq v$.*

PROOF. (1) Let $u \in X$ be such that $f(u) < \infty$ and let

$$Y = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \leq f(u)\}. \tag{2.24}$$

Then Y is nonempty and complete. We prove that there exists $v \in Y$ such that $f(w) > f(v) - d(v, w)$ for every $w \in X$ with $w \neq v$. If not, for every $x \in Y$, there exists $w \in X$ such that $w \neq x$ and $f(w) + d(x, w) \leq f(x)$. Since $f(w) \leq f(x) \leq f(u)$, $w \in X$ is an element of Y . By [Theorem 2.5](#), there exists $x_0 \in Y$ such that $f(x_0) = \inf_{x \in Y} f(x)$. For this $x_0 \in Y$, there exists $x_1 \in Y$ such that $x_0 \neq x_1$ and $f(x_1) + d(x_0, x_1) \leq f(x_0)$.

Thus we have $f(x_0) = f(x_1)$ and $d(x_0, x_1) = 0$. Hence $x_0 = x_1$. This is a contradiction. Therefore (1) holds.

(2) Put

$$Z = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \leq f(u) - \varepsilon d(u, x)\}. \quad (2.25)$$

Then Z is nonempty and complete. Since $\varepsilon d(u, x)$ is a quasi metric, as in the proof of (1), we have that there exists $v \in Z$ such that $f(w) > f(v) - \varepsilon d(v, w)$ for every $w \in X$ with $w \neq v$. Since $v \in Z$, we have $f(v) \leq f(u) - \varepsilon d(u, v) \leq f(u)$ and

$$d(u, v) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [f(u) - f(v)] \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[f(u) - \inf_{x \in X} f(x) \right] \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cdot \varepsilon = 1. \quad (2.26)$$

This completes the proof of (2). \square

REMARK 2.10. [Theorem 2.9](#) is a generalization of Ekeland's ε -variational principle in [3].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. This work was supported by KOSEF research project No. 2001-1-10100-005-2.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Caristi, *Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying inwardness conditions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **215** (1976), 241-251.
- [2] L. Ćirić, *Semi-continuous mappings and fixed point theorems in quasi-metric spaces*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **54** (1999), no. 3-4, 251-261.
- [3] I. Ekeland, *Nonconvex minimization problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **1** (1979), no. 3, 443-474.
- [4] W. Takahashi, *Minimization theorems and fixed point theorems*, Nonlinear Analysis and Mathematical Economics (T. Maruyama, ed.), vol. 829, (1993), pp. 175-191.

JEONG SHEOK UME: DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, CHANGWON NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGWON 641-773, KOREA

E-mail address: jsume@sarim.changwon.ac.kr