

THE GENERAL IKEHATA THEOREM FOR H -SEPARABLE CROSSED PRODUCTS

GEORGE SZETO and LIANYONG XUE

(Received 26 March 1999)

ABSTRACT. Let B be a ring with 1, C the center of B , G an automorphism group of B of order n for some integer n , C^G the set of elements in C fixed under G , $\Delta = \Delta(B, G, f)$ a crossed product over B where f is a factor set from $G \times G$ to $U(C^G)$. It is shown that Δ is an H -separable extension of B and $V_\Delta(B)$ is a commutative subring of Δ if and only if C is a Galois algebra over C^G with Galois group $G|_C \cong G$.

Keywords and phrases. Crossed products, Galois extensions, H -separable extensions.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16S35; Secondary 16W20.

1. Introduction. Let B be a ring with 1, ρ an automorphism of B of order n , $B[x; \rho]$ a skew polynomial ring with a basis $\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{n-1}\}$ and $x^n = v \in U(B^\rho)$ for some integer n , where B^ρ is the set of elements in B fixed under ρ and $U(B^\rho)$ is the set of units of B^ρ .

In [4] it was shown that any skew polynomial ring $B[x; \rho]$ of prime degree n is an H -separable extension of B if and only if C is a Galois algebra over C^ρ with Galois group $\langle \rho|_C \rangle$ generated by $\rho|_C$ of order n . This theorem was extended to any degree n [5, Theorem 1]. Recently, the theorem was completely generalized by the present authors in [8], that is, let $B[x; \rho]$ be a skew polynomial ring of degree n for some integer n . Then, $B[x; \rho]$ is an H -separable extension of B if and only if C is a Galois algebra over C^ρ with Galois group $\langle \rho|_C \rangle \cong \langle \rho \rangle$. The purpose of the present paper is to generalize the above Ikehata theorem to an automorphism group of B (not necessarily cyclic) and f is an factor set from $G \times G$ to $U(C^G)$. We show that Δ is an H -separable extension of B and $V_\Delta(B)$ is a commutative subring of Δ if and only if C is a Galois algebra over C^G with Galois group $G|_C \cong G$.

2. Preliminaries and basic definitions. Throughout this paper, B represents a ring with 1, C the center of B , G an automorphism group of B of order n for some integer n , B^G the set of elements in B fixed under G , $\Delta = \Delta(B, G, f)$ a crossed product with a free basis $\{U_g \mid g \in G \text{ and } U_1 = 1\}$ over B and the multiplications are given by $U_g b = g(b)U_g$ and $U_g U_h = f(g, h)U_{gh}$ for $b \in B$ and $g, h \in G$ where f is a map from $G \times G$ to $U(C^G)$ such that $f(g, h)f(gh, k) = f(h, k)f(g, hk)$, Z the center of Δ , \bar{G} the inner automorphism group of Δ induced by G , that is, $\bar{g}(x) = U_g x U_g^{-1}$ for each $x \in \Delta$ and $g \in G$. We note that $f(g, 1) = f(1, g) = f(1, 1) = 1$ for all $g \in G$ and \bar{G} restricted to B is G .

Let A be a subring of a ring S with the same identity 1. We denote $V_S(A)$ the

commutator subring of A in S . A ring S is called a G -Galois extension of S^G if there exist elements $\{a_i, b_i \in S, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ for some integer m such that $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i g(b_i) = \delta_{1,g}$. The set $\{a_i, b_i\}$ is called a G -Galois system for S . S is called an H -separable extension of A if there exists an H -separable system $\{x_i \in V_S(A), y_i \in V_{S \otimes_A S}(S) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ for S over A for some integer m such that $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i = 1 \otimes_A 1$.

3. The Ikehata theorem. In this section, we show that Δ is an H -separable extension of B and $V_\Delta(B)$ is a commutative subring of Δ if and only if C is a Galois algebra over C^G with Galois group $G|_C \cong G$. We begin with a lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. (a) $V_\Delta(B) = \sum_{g \in G} J_g U_g$ where $J_g = \{b \in B \mid ab = bg(a) \text{ for all } a \in B\}$.
 (b) $V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta) = \{\sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B U_h \mid b_{(g,h)} \in J_{gh} \text{ and } k(b_{(k^{-1}g,h)})f(k, k^{-1}g) = b_{(g,hk^{-1})}f(hk^{-1}, k) \text{ for all } g, k \in G\}$.
 (c) If $\sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B U_h \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta)$, then $b_{(g,h)} U_{gh} \in V_\Delta(B)$.
 (d) If $\sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B U_h \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta)$, then $b_{(g,g^{-1})} = g(b_{1,1})(f(g^{-1}, g))^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$.

PROOF. (a) Let $b \in J_g$. Then $a(bU_g) = (ab)U_g = bg(a)U_g = (bU_g)a$ for all $a \in B$. Hence $J_g U_g \subset V_\Delta(B)$. Therefore, $\sum_{g \in G} J_g U_g \subset V_\Delta(B)$. Conversely, let $\sum_{g \in G} b_g U_g \in V_\Delta(B)$. Then $a \sum_{g \in G} b_g U_g = \sum_{g \in G} b_g U_g a = \sum_{g \in G} b_g g(a) U_g$ for all $a \in B$, and so $ab_g = b_g g(a)$ for all $a \in B$ and $g \in G$, that is, $b_g \in J_g$ for all $g \in G$. Thus $V_\Delta(B) \subset \sum_{g \in G} J_g U_g$.

(b) $x = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B U_h \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta)$ if and only if $bx = xb$ and $U_k x = x U_k$ for all $a \in B$ and $k \in G$. But

$$\begin{aligned} bx &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B U_h, \\ xb &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B U_h b = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B h(b) U_h \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g h(b) \otimes_B U_h = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} (gh)(b) U_g \otimes_B U_h, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

so $bx = xb$ if and only if $b b_{(g,h)} = b_{(g,h)} ((gh)(b))$ for all $b \in B$ and $g, h \in G$, that is, $b_{(g,h)} \in J_{gh}$ by noting that $\{U_g \otimes_B U_h \mid g, h \in G\}$ is a basis for Δ over B . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} U_k x &= U_k \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B U_h = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} k(b_{(g,h)}) U_k U_g \otimes_B U_h \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} k(b_{(g,h)}) f(k, g) U_{kg} \otimes_B U_h \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} k(b_{(k^{-1}(kg), h)}) f(k, k^{-1}(kg)) U_{(kg)} \otimes_B U_h \\ &= \sum_{l \in G} \sum_{h \in G} k(b_{(k^{-1}l, h)}) f(k, k^{-1}l) U_l \otimes_B U_h \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} k(b_{(k^{-1}g, h)}) f(k, k^{-1}g) U_g \otimes_B U_h, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 xU_k &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B U_h U_k = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B f(h,k) U_{hk} \\
 &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g f(h,k) \otimes_B U_{hk} = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} f(h,k) U_g \otimes_B U_{hk} \\
 &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,(hk)k^{-1})} f((hk)k^{-1},k) U_g \otimes_B U_{hk} \\
 &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,lk^{-1})} f(lk^{-1},k) U_g \otimes_B U_l = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,hk^{-1})} f(hk^{-1},k) U_g \otimes_B U_h.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Hence, $U_k x = x U_k$ if and only if $k(b_{(k^{-1}g,h)})f(k,k^{-1}g) = b_{(g,hk^{-1})}f(hk^{-1},k)$ for all $g, h, k \in G$.

(c) If $\sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{g,h} U_g \otimes_B U_h \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta)$, then $b_{(g,h)} \in J_{gh}$ by (b); and so $b_{(g,h)} U_{gh} \in V_{\Delta}(B)$ by (a).

(d) If $\sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B U_h \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta)$, then $k(b_{(k^{-1}g,h)})f(k,k^{-1}g) = b_{(g,hk^{-1})}f(hk^{-1},k)$ for all $g, h, k \in G$ by (b). Let $k = g$ and $h = 1$. Then $b_{(g,g^{-1})}f(g^{-1},g) = g(b_{1,1})f(g,1) = g(b_{1,1})$ for all $g \in G$. This implies that $b_{(g,g^{-1})} = g(b_{1,1})(f(g^{-1},g))^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$. \square

THEOREM 3.2. Δ is an H -separable extension of B and $V_{\Delta}(B)$ is a commutative subring of Δ if and only if C is a Galois algebra over C^G with Galois group $G|_C \cong G$.

PROOF. (\implies) Since Δ is an H -separable extension of B and B is a direct summand of Δ as a left B -module, $V_{\Delta}(V_{\Delta}(B)) = B$ [7, Proposition 1.2]. But $V_{\Delta}(B)$ is commutative, so $V_{\Delta}(B) \subset V_{\Delta}(V_{\Delta}(B)) = B$. Thus $V_{\Delta}(B) = C$.

Since Δ is an H -separable extension of B again, there exists an H -separable system $\{x_i \in V_{\Delta}(B), y_i \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ for some integer m such that $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i = 1 \otimes_B 1$. Let $y_i = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)}^{(i)} U_g \otimes_B U_h$. We claim that $\{a_i = x_i, b_i = b_{(1,1)}^{(i)} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ is a G -Galois system for C . In fact, $a_i = x_i \in V_{\Delta}(B) = C$ and by Lemma 3.1(b), $b_i = b_{(1,1)}^{(i)} \in J_1 = C$. Moreover, since $y_i = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)}^{(i)} U_g \otimes_B U_h \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta)$, $b_{(g,h)}^{(i)} U_{gh} \in V_{\Delta}(B)$ by Lemma 3.1(c). But $V_{\Delta}(B) = C$, so $b_{(g,h)}^{(i)} = 0$ when $gh \neq 1$. Thus, $y_i = \sum_{g \in G} b_{(g,g^{-1})}^{(i)} U_g \otimes_B U_{g^{-1}}$. By Lemma 3.1(d), $b_{(g,g^{-1})}^{(i)} = g(b_{(1,1)}^{(i)})(f(g^{-1},g))^{-1} = g(b_i)(f(g^{-1},g))^{-1}$, so $y_i = \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i)(f(g^{-1},g))^{-1} U_g \otimes_B U_{g^{-1}}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 \otimes_B 1 &= \sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i)(f(g^{-1},g))^{-1} U_g \otimes_B U_{g^{-1}} \\
 &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i g(b_i)(f(g^{-1},g))^{-1} U_g \otimes_B U_{g^{-1}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

This implies that $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i g(b_i)(f(g^{-1},g))^{-1} = \delta_{1,g}$, so $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i g(b_i) = \delta_{1,g}$, that is $\{a_i, b_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ is a G -Galois system for C . Therefore, C is a Galois algebra over C^G with Galois group $G|_C \cong G$.

(\impliedby) Since C is a Galois algebra over C^G with Galois group with $G|_C \cong G$, there exists a G -Galois system $\{a_i, b_i \in C \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ for some integer m such that $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i g(b_i) = \delta_{1,g}$. Let $x_i = a_i$ and $y_i = \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i) U_g \otimes_B U_{g^{-1}}$. We claim that $\{x_i \in$

$V_\Delta(B)$, $\mathcal{Y}_i \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ is an H -separable system for Δ over B . In fact, $x_i = a_i \in C \subset V_\Delta(B)$. Noting that $U_g^{-1} = f(g, g^{-1})^{-1} U_{g^{-1}}$, we have $U_g^{-1} b = f(g, g^{-1})^{-1} U_{g^{-1}} b = f(g, g^{-1})^{-1} g^{-1}(b) U_{g^{-1}} = g^{-1}(b) f(g, g^{-1})^{-1} U_{g^{-1}} = g^{-1}(b) U_g^{-1}$ for any $b \in B$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} b \mathcal{Y}_i &= b \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i) U_g \otimes_B U_g^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i) b U_g \otimes_B U_g^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i) U_g g^{-1}(b) \otimes_B U_g^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i) U_g \otimes_B g^{-1}(b) U_g^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i) U_g \otimes_B U_g^{-1} b = \mathcal{Y}_i b. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for any $h \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} U_h \mathcal{Y}_i &= U_h \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i) U_g \otimes_B U_g^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} (hg)(b_i) U_h U_g \otimes_B U_g^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} (hg)(b_i) f(h, g) U_{hg} \otimes_B U_g^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} (hg)(b_i) U_{hg} \otimes_B f(h, g) U_g^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} (hg)(b_i) U_{hg} \otimes_B U_{hg}^{-1} U_{hg} f(h, g) U_g^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} (hg)(b_i) U_{hg} \otimes_B U_{hg}^{-1} U_h U_g U_g^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} (hg)(b_i) U_{hg} \otimes_B U_{hg}^{-1} U_h \\ &= \sum_{k \in G} k(b_i) U_k \otimes_B U_k^{-1} U_h = \mathcal{Y}_i U_h. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Thus $\mathcal{Y}_i \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta)$. Moreover, $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i \mathcal{Y}_i = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i) U_g \otimes_B U_g^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i g(b_i) U_g \otimes_B U_g^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} \delta_{1,g} U_g \otimes_B U_g^{-1} = 1 \otimes 1$. This implies that $\{x_i \in V_\Delta(B), \mathcal{Y}_i \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ is an H -separable system for Δ over B . Thus, Δ is an H -separable extension of B . Moreover, B is a direct summand of Δ as a left B -module, so $V_\Delta(V_\Delta(B)) = B$ [7, Proposition 1.2]. But then, the center of Δ , $Z \subset B$; and so $Z = C^G$. Clearly, $V_\Delta(B)^G = Z = C^G$ and $C \subset V_\Delta(B)$, so $V_\Delta(B)$ is a G -Galois algebra over C^G with the same Galois system as C . Therefore, $V_\Delta(B) = C$ which is commutative. The proof is completed. \square

The Ikehata theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2 by the fact that any Galois algebra with a cyclic Galois group is a commutative ring [1, Theorem 11].

COROLLARY 3.3 (the Ikehata theorem). *Let ρ be an automorphism of B of order n and $B[x; \rho]$ a skew polynomial ring of degree n with $x^n = v \in U(B^\rho)$ for some integer n . Then, $B[x; \rho]$ is an H -separable extension of B if and only if C is a Galois algebra over C^ρ with Galois group $\langle \rho \mid c \rangle \cong \langle \rho \rangle$.*

PROOF. It is easy to check that if ρ has order n , then $x^n = v \in U(C^\rho)$. Let $B[x; \rho]$ be an H -separable extension of B . Then $V_{B[x; \rho]}(B)$ is a Galois algebra over C^ρ with cyclic Galois algebra group $\langle \bar{\rho} \rangle$ generated by $\bar{\rho}$ [6, Theorem 3.2]; and so $V_{B[x; \rho]}(B)$ is a commutative ring by [1, Theorem 11]. On the other hand, $B[x; \rho]$ is a crossed product $\Delta(B, \langle \rho \rangle, f)$ where $f: \langle \rho \rangle \times \langle \rho \rangle \rightarrow U(C^\rho)$ by $f(\rho^i, \rho^j) = 1$ if $i + j < n$, $f(\rho^i, \rho^j) = v$ if $i + j \geq n$, and $U_{\rho^i} = x^i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Thus the corollary is immediate from Theorem 3.2. \square

Next we prove more characterizations of the ring B as given in Theorem 3.2.

THEOREM 3.4. *Assume Δ is an H -separable extension of B . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $V_\Delta(B)$ is a commutative subring of Δ .
- (2) $V_\Delta(B) = C$.
- (3) $V_\Delta(C) = B$.
- (4) $J_g = \{0\}$ for each $g \neq 1$ where $J_g = \{b \in B \mid ab = bg(a) \text{ for all } a \in B\}$.
- (5) $I_g = \{0\}$ for each $g \neq 1$ where $I_g = \{b \in B \mid cb = bg(c) \text{ for all } c \in C\}$.

PROOF. We prove (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (1).

(1) \Rightarrow (2). This was given in the proof of the necessity of Theorem 3.2.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Clearly, $B \subseteq V_\Delta(C)$. Conversely, for each $\sum_{g \in G} b_g U_g$ in $V_\Delta(C)$, we have $c(\sum_{g \in G} b_g U_g) = (\sum_{g \in G} b_g U_g)c$ for each c in C , so $cb_g = b_g g(c)$, that is $b_g(c - g(c)) = 0$ for each $g \in G$ and $c \in C$. But C is a commutative G -Galois extension of C^G , so the ideal of C generated by $\{c - g(c) \mid c \in C\}$ is C when $g \neq 1$ [2, Proposition 1.2(5)]. Hence $b_g = 0$ for each $g \neq 1$. But then $\sum_{g \in G} b_g U_g = b_1 \in B$. Thus $V_\Delta(C) \subseteq B$, and so $V_\Delta(C) = B$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). By hypothesis, $V_\Delta(C) = B$ so $V_\Delta(B) \subset V_\Delta(C) = B$. But $V_\Delta(B) = \sum_{g \in G} J_g U_g$ by Lemma 3.1(a), so $\sum_{g \in G} J_g U_g = V_\Delta(B) \subset B$. Thus $J_g = \{0\}$ for each $g \neq 1$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5). By Lemma 3.1(a) again, $V_\Delta(B) = \sum_{g \in G} J_g U_g$, and by hypothesis, $J_g = \{0\}$ for each $g \neq 1$, so $V_\Delta(B) = J_1 = C$. Hence part (2) holds; and so $V_\Delta(C) = B$ by (2) \Rightarrow (3). Clearly, $V_\Delta(C) = \sum_{g \in G} I_g U_g$, so $\sum_{g \in G} I_g U_g = B$. Thus $I_g = \{0\}$ for each $g \neq 1$.

(5) \Rightarrow (1). Since $C \subset B$, $J_g \subset I_g$ for all $g \in G$. Hence $I_g = \{0\}$ implies $J_g = \{0\}$. But then $V_\Delta(B) = \sum_{g \in G} J_g U_g = J_1 = C$ which is commutative. \square

COROLLARY 3.5. *C is a Galois algebra over C^G with Galois group $G|_C \cong G$ if and only if Δ is an H -separable extension of B and anyone of the equivalent conditions in Theorem 3.4 holds.*

We conclude the present paper with two examples of crossed products Δ to demonstrate our results:

- (1) Δ is an H -separable extension of B , but $V_\Delta(B)$ is not commutative,
- (2) $V_\Delta(B)$ is commutative, but Δ is not an H -separable extension of B .

Hence C is not a Galois algebra over C^G with $G|_C \cong G$ in either example by Theorem 3.2.

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let $B = Q[i, j, k] = Q + Qi + Qj + Qk$ be the quaternion algebra over the rational field Q , $G = \{g_1 = 1, g_i, g_j, g_k \mid g_i(x) = ix i^{-1}, g_j(x) = jx j^{-1}, g_k(x) = kx k^{-1} \text{ for all } x \in B\}$, and $\Delta = \Delta(B, G, 1)$. Then

- (1) The center of Δ , $Z = Q = C$, the center of B .
- (2) Δ is a separable extension of B and B is an Azumaya Q -algebra, so Δ is an Azumaya Q -algebra. Since Δ is a free left B -module, Δ is an H -separable extension of B [3, Theorem 1].

(3) $V_\Delta(B) = Q + QiU_{g_i} + QjU_{g_j} + QkU_{g_k}$ which is not commutative, so C is not a Galois algebra over C^G with Galois group $G|_C \cong G$ by Theorem 3.2.

EXAMPLE 3.7. Let $B = Q[i, j, k] = Q + Qi + Qj + Qk$ be the quaternion algebra over the rational field Q , $G = \{g_1 = 1, g_i \mid g_i(x) = ix i^{-1} \text{ for all } x \in B\}$, and $\Delta = \Delta(B, G, 1)$.

Then

(1) The center of B , $C = Q = C^G$.

(2) $V_\Delta(B) = Q + QiU_{g_i}$ which is commutative.

(3) The center of Δ , $Z = Q + QiU_{g_i} \neq C^G$. On the other hand, assume that Δ is an H -separable extension of B . Since B is a direct summand of Δ as a left B -module, $V_\Delta(V_\Delta(B)) = B$ [7, Proposition 1.2]. This implies that the center of Δ , $Z = C^G$, a contradiction. Thus Δ is not an H -separable extension of B . Therefore, C is not a G -Galois algebra over C^G with $G|_C \cong G$ by Theorem 3.2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This paper was written under the support of a Caterpillar Fellowship at Bradley University. We would like to thank Caterpillar Inc. for the support.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. R. DeMeyer, *Some notes on the general Galois theory of rings*, Osaka J. Math. **2** (1965), 117-127. MR 32#128. Zbl 143.05602.
- [2] F. R. DeMeyer and E. Ingraham, *Separable Algebras over Commutative Rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 181, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1971. MR 43#6199. Zbl 215.36602.
- [3] S. Ikehata, *Note on Azumaya algebras and H -separable extensions*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **23** (1981), no. 1, 17-18. MR 82j:16012. Zbl 475.16003.
- [4] ———, *On H -separable polynomials of prime degree*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **33** (1991), 21-26. MR 93g:16043. Zbl 788.16022.
- [5] S. Ikehata and G. Szeto, *On H -separable polynomials in skew polynomial rings of automorphism type*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **34** (1992), 49-55 (1994). MR 95f:16033. Zbl 819.16028.
- [6] ———, *On H -skew polynomial rings and Galois extensions*, Rings, Extensions, and Cohomology (Evanston, IL, 1993) (New York), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 159, Dekker, 1994, pp. 113-121. MR 95j:16033. Zbl 815.16009.
- [7] K. Sugano, *Note on semisimple extensions and separable extensions*, Osaka J. Math. **4** (1967), 265-270. MR 37#1412. Zbl 199.0790.
- [8] G. Szeto and L. Xue, *On the Ikehata theorem for H -separable skew polynomial rings*, Math. J. Okayama Univ., to appear.

SZETO: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BRADLEY UNIVERSITY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61625, USA
E-mail address: szeto@bradley.bradley.edu

XUE: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BRADLEY UNIVERSITY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61625, USA
E-mail address: lxue@bradley.bradley.edu