

COMPLETE CONVERGENCE FOR B -VALUED L^p -MIXINGALE SEQUENCES

LIANG HANYING and REN YAOFENG

Department of Statistics and Finance
University of Science and Technology of China
Hefei, Anhui 430072, P.R.China

and
The Naval Academic Institute of Engineering of China
Wuhan 430033, P.R. China

(Received April 19, 1996 and in revised form January 10, 1997)

ABSTRACT. Under weaker conditions of probability, we discuss in this paper the complete convergence for the partial sums and the randomly indexed partial sums of B -valued L^p -mixingale sequences.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Complete convergence, L^p -mixingale sequence, q -smooth Banach space.

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES: 60F15, 60B12.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Since the definition of complete convergence for real random variables was introduced by Hsu and Robbins[1], there have been an extensive literature in the complete convergence for independent and dependent random sequences, see partially the references listed. In particular, Yang[5,6] has discussed the complete convergence for B -valued independent random elements. Yu[11] has considered the complete convergence for martingale difference sequences, Peligrad[7] and Shao[8] have obtained the complete convergence for ϕ -mixing sequences, respectively. However, to our best acknowledgement, there are still few articles on the complete convergence for L^p -mixingale ($1 \leq p < 2$) sequences, which include uniformly mixing (called also ϕ -mixing) sequences, martingale difference sequences, linear processes and other random sequences (see [10]). In this paper, under weaker conditions of probability, we discuss the complete convergence for the partial sums and the randomly indexed partial sums of B -valued L^p -mixingale sequences, and give the complete convergence for B -valued martingale difference sequences as corollary. The methods used here are different from those used in the literature.

Next, let us introduce some notations.

Let B be a real Banach space. B is said to be q -smooth ($1 < q \leq 2$) if there exists a constant $C_q > 0$ such that for every B -valued L^q -integrable martingale difference sequence $\{D_i; i \geq 1\}$

$$E \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \right\|^q \leq C_q \sum_{i=1}^n E \|D_i\|^q, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of B -valued L^p -integrable ($1 \leq p \leq 2$) random variables on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , and let $\{\mathcal{F}_n, -\infty < n < \infty\}$ be an increasing sequence of sub σ -fields of \mathcal{F} . Then $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n\}$ is called a L^p -mixingale sequence if there exist sequences of nonnegative constants C_n and $\psi(n)$, where $\psi(m) \downarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, which satisfy following properties:

(i) $\|E(X_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-m})\|_p \leq \psi(m)C_n$ and

(ii) $\|X_n - E(X_n|\mathcal{F}_{n+m})\|_p \leq \psi(m+1)C_n$

for all $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 0$, where $\|X\|_p = (E\|X\|^p)^{1/p}$.

S is the class of all positive non-decreasing function ϕ on $R^+ = [0, \infty)$ (see [9], p.228 or [5,6]) satisfying the following conditions:

(i) There exists a constant $k = k(\phi) > 0$ such that

$$\phi(xy) \leq k(\phi(x) + \phi(y)), \forall x, y \in R^+.$$

(ii) $x/\phi(x)$ is non-decreasing for sufficiently large x .

From now on, we will use C to denote finite positive constants whose value may change from statement to statement. For real number x , $|x|$ denote the largest integer $k \leq x$. $I(A)$ represent indicative function of set A . Put $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$.

THEOREM 1.1. Let $1 \leq t < q \leq 2, 0 < \delta < \frac{q}{t} - 1, 1 \leq p \leq 2, d = 1$ or -1 , and let B be a q -smooth Banach space. Suppose $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n\}$ is a B -valued L^p -mixingale sequence, $\phi(x) \in S$. If

$$\sum_{i=1}^n P(\|X_i\|^t (\phi(\|X_i\|))^{-d} > x) \leq C_n x^{-(1+\delta)} \tag{1.1}$$

for sufficiently large x, n and there exists a $\lambda (1 \leq \lambda \leq p)$ such that $t + (1 - t)\lambda > 0$ and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi^\lambda([n^\beta]) \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} C_i^\lambda < \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

where $0 < \beta < \frac{\delta}{1+\delta} \wedge \frac{q-t}{t(1+\delta)}$, then for every $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|S_k\| \geq \epsilon(n(\phi(n))^d)^{1/t}) < \infty, \tag{1.3}$$

in particular

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(\|S_n\| \geq \epsilon(n(\phi(n))^d)^{1/t}) < \infty. \tag{1.4}$$

THEOREM 1.2. Under the assumptions of THEOREM 1.1, if there exists a $\lambda (1 \leq \lambda \leq p)$ satisfying

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m 2^{\lambda m} \psi^\lambda([2^{\beta m}]) \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^{m+1}} C_i^\lambda < \infty, \tag{1.5}$$

then for every $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(\sup_{k \geq n} (\|S_k\| / (k(\phi(k))^d)^{1/t}) \geq \epsilon) < \infty. \tag{1.6}$$

If $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a L^p -integrable B -valued martingale difference sequence, then $C_n = (E\|X_n\|^p)^{1/p}, \psi(m) = 0$ for $m \geq 1$.

COROLLARY 1.1. Let $1 \leq t < q \leq 2, d = 1$ or -1 , and let B be a q -smooth Banach space. Suppose $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a B -valued martingale difference sequence, $\phi(x) \in S$. For $0 < \delta < \frac{q}{t} - 1$ and sufficiently large x and n , if (1.1) is satisfied, then for every $\epsilon > 0$, we obtain that (1.3), (1.4) and (1.6) hold.

REMARK 1.1. For $0 < t < 1$, by C_r -inequality and properties of $\phi(x)$, we can prove that the results of THEOREM 1.1, THEOREM 1.2 and COROLLARY 1.1 hold for any B -valued random variable sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ without mixing condition (1.2) and (1.5).

REMARK 1.2. Real uniformly mixing sequence (definition see [7] or [8],[10]) $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n\}$ is L^2 -mixingale, where $C_n = 2(E X_n^2)^{1/2}, \phi(m) = \phi^{1/2}(m)$, see [10, p.19].

REMARK 1.3. Yang[5] has proved that (1.3) and (1.4) hold for B -valued independent zero mean random element sequence $\{X_n\}$ in type 2 Banach space under moment conditions stronger than the conditions of COROLLARY 1.1.

2. PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS

We only prove the case in $d = 1$ for Theorem 1.1 and 1.2, the proof of the case in $d = -1$ is analogous.

LEMMA 2.1.([9], Lemma 1) Let $\phi(\cdot) \in S, \delta > 0$, then for any $x \geq 0$,

$$C\phi(x) \leq \phi(x\phi(x)) \leq C\phi(x);$$

$$C\phi(x) \leq \phi(x/\phi(x)) \leq C\phi(x);$$

$$C\phi(x) \leq \phi(x^\delta) \leq C\phi(x).$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Notice first

$$\begin{aligned} S_k &= \sum_{i=1}^k (X_i - E(X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i+|n^\theta|})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=-|n^\theta|+1}^{|n^\theta|} \sum_{i=1}^k (E(X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i+l}) - E(X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i+l-1})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^k E(X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-|n^\theta|}) \\ &\triangleq A_k^1 + B_k^1 + C_k^1. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|S_k\| \geq \epsilon(n\phi(n))^{1/t}) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|A_k^1\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}(n\phi(n))^{1/t}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|B_k^1\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}(n\phi(n))^{1/t}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|C_k^1\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}(n\phi(n))^{1/t}) \\ &\triangleq I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

By the Markovian inequality, L^p -mixingale property and the properties of $\phi(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} (n\phi(n))^{-\lambda/t} E(\sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i - E(X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i+|n^\theta|})\|)^\lambda \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} (n\phi(n))^{-\lambda/t} (\sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i - E(X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i+|n^\theta|})\|)^\lambda \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\phi(n))^{-\lambda/t} \cdot n^{\lambda-1-\lambda/t} \psi^\lambda(|n^\theta|) \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} C_i^\lambda \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi^\lambda(|n^\theta|) \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} C_i^\lambda < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$I_3 \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi^\lambda(|n^\theta|) \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} C_i^\lambda < \infty.$$

Let $Y_{i,n} = X_i I(\|X_i\| \leq (n\phi(n))^{1/t}), Z_{i,n} = X_i - Y_{i,n}, W_{i,t} = E(X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i+t}) - E(X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i+t-1}), U_{i,t} = E(Y_{i,n} | \mathcal{F}_{i+t}) - E(Y_{i,n} | \mathcal{F}_{i+t-1}), V_{i,t} = E(Z_{i,n} | \mathcal{F}_{i+t}) - E(Z_{i,n} | \mathcal{F}_{i+t-1}), 1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq k, -|n^\theta| + 1 \leq l < |n^\theta|.$

Clearly, $X_i = Y_{i,n} + Z_{i,n}, W_{i,t} = U_{i,t} + V_{i,t}$. For fixed $l, \{U_{i,t}, \mathcal{F}_{i+t}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $\{V_{i,t}, \mathcal{F}_{i+t}, 1 \leq$

$\{ \leq n \}$ are martingale difference sequences. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=-[n^\beta]+1}^{[n^\beta]} P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|\sum_{i=1}^k W_{l,i}\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{6} (n\phi(n))^{1/t} \cdot n^{-\beta}) \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=-[n^\beta]+1}^{[n^\beta]} P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|\sum_{i=1}^k U_{l,i}\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{12} (n\phi(n))^{1/t} \cdot n^{-\beta}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=-[n^\beta]+1}^{[n^\beta]} P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|\sum_{i=1}^k V_{l,i}\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{12} (n\phi(n))^{1/t} \cdot n^{-\beta}) \\
 &\triangleq I_4 + I_5.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since B is q -smoothable, therefore using Doob inequality, the monotone property of $x/\phi(x)$ and Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_4 &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=-[n^\beta]+1}^{[n^\beta]} (n\phi(n))^{-q/t} \cdot n^{\beta q} \sum_{i=1}^n E\|Y_{l,i,n}\|^q \\
 &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \cdot [n^\beta] \cdot (n\phi(n))^{-q/t} \cdot n^{\beta q} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{n\phi(n)} x^{q/t-1} P(\|X_i\|^t > x) dx \\
 &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\phi(n))^{-q/t} \cdot n^{-[q/t-(1+q)\beta]} \\
 &\quad + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\phi(n))^{-q/t} \cdot n^{-[q/t-(1+q)\beta]-1} \int_C^{n\phi(n)} x^{q/t-1} \sum_{i=1}^n P(\|X_i\|^t / \phi(\|X_i\|) \geq Cx / \phi(x)) dx \\
 &\leq C + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(1+q)\beta-(1+\delta)} < \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

By applying the definition of $\phi(x)$ and Lemma 2.1, we can obtain

$$\phi(x^\alpha) \leq Cx^\beta \tag{2.1}$$

for $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and sufficiently large x .

By the Markovian inequality, the definition of $\phi(x)$, Lemma 2.1 and (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_5 &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=-[n^\beta]+1}^{[n^\beta]} (n\phi(n))^{-1/t} \cdot n^\beta \sum_{i=1}^n E\|Z_{l,i,n}\| \\
 &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \cdot [n^\beta] \cdot (n\phi(n))^{-1/t} \cdot n^\beta \sum_{i=1}^n [(n\phi(n))^{1/t} P(\|X_i\| > (n\phi(n))^{1/t}) \\
 &\quad + \int_{(n\phi(n))^{1/t}}^{\infty} P(\|X_i\| > x) dx] \\
 &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\beta-1} \sum_{i=1}^n [P(\|X_i\|^t / \phi(\|X_i\|) \geq Cn) + \int_1^\infty P(\|X_i\| > y(n\phi(n))^{1/t}) dy] \\
 &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\beta-(1+\delta)} + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\beta-1} \int_1^\infty \sum_{i=1}^n P(\frac{\|X_i\|^t}{\phi(\|X_i\|)} \geq \frac{C y^t (n\phi(n))}{\phi(y^t) + C\phi(n)}) dy \\
 &\leq C + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\beta-(1+\delta)} \int_1^\infty [\frac{(\phi(y^t))^{1+\delta}}{y^{t(1+\delta)}} + \frac{1}{y^{t(1+\delta)}}] dy < \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. First, by the monotone property of $\phi(x)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(\sup_{k \geq n} (\|S_k\| / (k\phi(k))^{1/t}) \geq \epsilon) \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P(\sup_{k \geq 2^i} (\|S_k\| / (k\phi(k))^{1/t}) \geq \epsilon) \\
 &\leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m P(\max_{2^m \leq k < 2^{m+1}} (\|S_k\| \geq \epsilon(2^m \phi(2^m))^{1/t}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Observe that for $2^m \leq k < 2^{m+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_k &= \sum_{i=1}^k (X_i - E(X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i+[2^\beta m]})) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{l=-[2^\beta m]+1}^{[2^\beta m]} \sum_{i=1}^k (E(X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i+l}) - E(X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i+l-1})) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^k E(X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-[2^\beta m]}) \\
 &\triangleq A_k^2 + B_k^2 + C_k^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(\sup_{k \geq n} \|S_k\| / (k\phi(k))^{1/t} \geq \epsilon) \\ & \leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m P\left(\max_{2^m \leq k < 2^{m+1}} (\|A_k^2\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3} (2^m \phi(2^m))^{1/t})\right) \\ & \quad + C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m P\left(\max_{2^m \leq k < 2^{m+1}} (\|B_k^2\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3} (2^m \phi(2^m))^{1/t})\right) \\ & \quad + C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m P\left(\max_{2^m \leq k < 2^{m+1}} (\|C_k^2\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3} (2^m \phi(2^m))^{1/t})\right) \\ & \triangleq I_6 + I_7 + I_8. \end{aligned}$$

By analogizing the proof of I_1 we have

$$I_6 \leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m 2^{\lambda m} \psi^{\lambda}([2^{\beta m}]) \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^{m+1}} C_i^{\lambda} < \infty.$$

By analogizing the proof of I_3 , similarly, we can obtain $I_8 < \infty$.

Let $Y_{i,m} = X_i I(\|X_i\| \leq (2^m \phi(2^m))^{1/t})$, $Z_{i,m} = X_i - Y_{i,m}$, $W_{l,i} = E(X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i+l}) - E(X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i+l-1})$, $U_{l,i} = E(Y_{i,m} | \mathcal{F}_{i+l}) - E(Y_{i,m} | \mathcal{F}_{i+l-1})$, $V_{l,i} = E(Z_{i,m} | \mathcal{F}_{i+l}) - E(Z_{i,m} | \mathcal{F}_{i+l-1})$, $2^m \leq k < 2^{m+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $-|2^{\beta m}| + 1 \leq l \leq |2^{\beta m}|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_7 & \leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m \sum_{l=-|2^{\beta m}|+1}^{|2^{\beta m}|} P\left(\max_{2^m \leq k < 2^{m+1}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k U_{l,i} \right\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{12} (2^m \phi(2^m))^{1/t} \cdot 2^{-\beta m}\right) \\ & \quad + C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m \sum_{l=-|2^{\beta m}|+1}^{|2^{\beta m}|} P\left(\max_{2^m \leq k < 2^{m+1}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k V_{l,i} \right\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{12} (2^m \phi(2^m))^{1/t} \cdot 2^{-\beta m}\right) \\ & \triangleq I_9 + I_{10}. \end{aligned}$$

By analogizing the proof of I_4 we have

$$I_9 \leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m 2^{(\beta+q\beta+1-q/t)m} (\phi(2^m))^{-q/t} + C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m 2^{(\beta+q\beta-\delta)m} < \infty.$$

By analogizing the proof of I_5 we have

$$I_{10} \leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m 2^{(2\beta-\delta)m} + C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m 2^{(2\beta-\delta)m} \int_1^{\infty} \left| \frac{(\phi(y^t))^{1+\delta}}{y^{t(1+\delta)}} + \frac{1}{y^{t(1+\delta)}} \right| dy < \infty.$$

3. RANDOMLY INDEXED PARTIAL SUMS

Throughout this section let $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n\}$ be a B -valued L^p -mixingale sequence ($1 \leq p \leq 2$), and let $\{\tau_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative, integer valued random variables. τ is a positive random variable. All random variables are defined on the same probability space.

THEOREM 3.1. Under the assumptions of THEOREM 1.2, if there exists some constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P\left(\frac{\tau_n}{n} < \epsilon_0\right) < \infty, \tag{3.1}$$

then for every $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(\|S_{\tau_n}\| \geq \epsilon (\tau_n (\phi(\tau_n))^d)^{1/t}) < \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

THEOREM 3.2. Under the assumptions of THEOREM 1.1, if there exist constants $a, b, \epsilon_0 (0 < \epsilon_0 < a \leq b < \infty)$ such that $P(a \leq \tau \leq b) = 1$ and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P\left(\left| \frac{\tau_n}{n} - \tau \right| > \epsilon_0\right) < \infty, \tag{3.3}$$

then for every $\epsilon > 0$ we obtain that (3.2) holds.

THEOREM 3.3. Under the assumptions of THEOREM 1.1, if there exist constants $b > 0$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $P(\tau \leq b) = 1$ and (3.3) is satisfied, then for every $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(\|S_n\| \geq \epsilon(n\phi(n))^d)^{1/t} < \infty.$$

Obviously, suppose $P(\tau \geq a) = 1$ for some $a > 0$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$ ($\epsilon < a$) we have

$$P\left(\frac{\tau_n}{n} < a - \epsilon\right) \leq P\left(\left|\frac{\tau_n}{n} - \tau\right| > \epsilon\right),$$

therefore, if condition (3.3), where $P(\tau \geq a) = 1$ for some $a > \epsilon_0 > 0$ replaces condition (3.1), then THEOREM 3.1 still holds.

Similarly, using COROLLARY 1.1, we can obtain the complete convergence for the randomly indexed partial sums of B -valued martingale difference sequences, respectively.

REMARK 3.1. Condition (3.1) and (3.3) are just ones which are usually employed in literature.

REMARK 3.2. Note that if $\phi(x) = 1$, $L_k(x) (L_0(x) = \max(1, \log x), L_k = \log[\max(e, L_{k-1}(x))]$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$), we can derive many significant results from the results of this paper. In addition, since real space is a 2-smooth Banach space, the THEOREM and COROLLARY in this paper are suitable for real valued random variable.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The authors would like to thank Prof. Hu Dihe for his suggestions and encouragement. In addition, they are very grateful to the referee and the editor for valuable suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] HSU, P.L. and ROBBINS, H., Complete convergence and the law of large numbers, *Pro. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 33(2)(1947), 25-31.
- [2] BAUM, L.E. and KATZ, M., Convergence rates in the law of large numbers, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 120(1)(1965), 108-123.
- [3] GUT, A., Complete convergence and convergence rates of randomly indexed partial sums with an application to some first passage time, *Acta. Math. Hung.* 42(3-4)(1983), 225-232.
- [4] BAI, Z.D. and SU, C., The complete convergence for partial sums of i.i.d. random variables, *Scientia Sinica (Series A)*, XXVIII(1985), 1261-1277.
- [5] YANG, X.Y., Complete convergence of a class of independent B -valued random elements, *Acta. Math. Sinica.* 36(6)(1993), 817-825.
- [6] YANG, X.Y., A note on convergence rates for sequences of B -valued randomly indexed partial sums, *Chinese Ann. Math.* 14A(3)(1993), 275-282.
- [7] PELIGRAD, M., Convergence rates of the strong law of stationary mixing sequences, *Z. Wahr. Verw. Gebiete.* 70(1985), 307-314.
- [8] SHAO, Q.M., A moment inequality and its applications, *Acta. Math. Sinica.* 31(1988), 736-747.
- [9] WU, Z.Q., WANG, X.C. and LI, D.L., Some general results of the law of large numbers, *Northeastern Math. J.* 3(1987), 228-238.
- [10] HALL, P. and HEYDE, C.C., *Martingale Limit Theory and its Application*, New York, London, Academic Press 1980.
- [11] YU, K.F., Complete convergence of weighted sums of martingale differences, *J. Theor. Prob-
ab.* 3(1990), 319-347.