

Research Article

Approximate Quartic and Quadratic Mappings in Quasi-Banach Spaces

M. Eshaghi Gordji,¹ H. Khodaei,¹ and Hark-Mahn Kim²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Semnan University, P. O. Box 35195-363, Semnan, Iran

² Department of Mathematics, Chungnam National University, 220 Yuseong-Gu, Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Hark-Mahn Kim, hmkim@cnu.ac.kr

Received 17 March 2011; Accepted 13 May 2011

Academic Editor: Petru Jebelean

Copyright © 2011 M. Eshaghi Gordji et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

we establish the general solution for a mixed type functional equation of a quartic and a quadratic mapping in linear spaces. In addition, we investigate the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability in p -Banach spaces.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [1] in 1940, concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. Let (G_1, \cdot) be a group, and let $(G_2, *)$ be a metric group with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a mapping $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(x \cdot y), h(x) * h(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in G_1$, then there exists a homomorphism $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with $d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$ for all $x \in G_1$? In other words, under what condition does there exist a homomorphism near an approximate homomorphism? The concept of stability for functional equation arises when we replace the functional equation by an inequality which acts as a perturbation of the equation. In 1941, Hyers [2] gave a first affirmative answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Let $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be a mapping between Banach spaces such that

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \delta, \quad (1.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, and for some $\delta > 0$. Then, there exists a unique additive mapping $T : X \rightarrow X'$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \delta, \quad (1.2)$$

for all $x \in X$.

The result of Hyers was generalized by Aoki [3] for approximate additive function and by Rassias [4] for approximate linear function by allowing the difference Cauchy equation $\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\|$ to be controlled by $\varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$. Taking into consideration a lot of influence of Ulam, Hyers and Rassias on the development of stability problems of functional equations, the stability phenomenon that was proved by Rassias may be called the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability (see [5, 6]). In 1994, a generalization of Rassias theorem was obtained by Găvruta [7], who replaced $\varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ by a general control function $\varphi(x, y)$. The functional equation

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) \quad (1.3)$$

is related to a symmetric biadditive function [8–10]. It is natural that this equation is called a quadratic functional equation. In particular, every solution of the quadratic equation (1.3) is said to be a quadratic function. It is well known that a function f between real vector spaces is quadratic if and only if there exists a unique symmetric biadditive function B_1 such that $f(x) = B_1(x, x)$ for all x in the vector space. The biadditive function B_1 is given by

$$B_1(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}(f(x + y) - f(x - y)). \quad (1.4)$$

A Hyers-Ulam stability problem for the quadratic functional equation (1.3) was proved by Skof for functions $f : X \rightarrow Y$, where X is normed space and Y is Banach space (see [11]). In the paper [12], Czerwik proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of (1.3).

Lee et al. [13] considered the following functional equation:

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = 4f(x + y) + 4f(x - y) + 24f(x) - 6f(y). \quad (1.5)$$

In fact, they proved that a function f between two real vector spaces X and Y is a solution of (1.5) if and only if there exists a unique symmetric biquadratic function $B_2 : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(x) = B_2(x, x)$ for all $x \in X$. The biquadratic function B_2 is given by

$$B_2(x, y) = \frac{1}{12}(f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)). \quad (1.6)$$

It is easy to show that the function $f(x) = ax^4$ satisfies the functional equation (1.5), which is called the quartic functional equation (see also [14]).

Jun and Kim [15] have obtained the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for a mixed type of cubic and additive functional equation. In addition, the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for a mixed type of cubic, quadratic, and additive functional equation has been investigated by Gordji and Khodaei [16] (see also [17, 18]). The stability problems for several mixed types

of functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting results concerning this problem [19–27].

In this paper, we deal with the following functional equation derived from quartic and quadratic functions:

$$\begin{aligned} & f(kx + y) + f(kx - y) \\ &= k^2 f(x + y) + k^2 f(x - y) + \frac{k^2(k^2 - 1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) - 2(k^2 - 1)f(y) \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

for fixed integers $k \neq 0, \pm 1$. It is easy to see that the function $f(x) = ax^4 + bx^2$ is a solution of the functional equation (1.7). In the sequel, we investigate the general solution of functional equation (1.7) when f is a function between vector spaces, and then we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of (1.7) in the spirit of Hyers, Ulam, and Rassias using the direct method.

We recall some basic facts concerning quasi-Banach spaces and some preliminary results.

Definition 1.1 (see [28, 29]). Let X be a real linear space. A quasinorm is a real-valued function on X satisfying the following:

- (1) $\|x\| \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$ and $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$,
- (2) $\|\lambda \cdot x\| = |\lambda| \cdot \|x\|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $x \in X$,
- (3) there is a constant $M \geq 1$ such that $\|x + y\| \leq M(\|x\| + \|y\|)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

The pair $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a quasinormed space if $\|\cdot\|$ is a quasinorm on X .

The smallest possible M is called the modulus of concavity of $\|\cdot\|$. A quasi-Banach space is a complete quasinormed space. A quasinorm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a p -norm ($0 < p \leq 1$) if

$$\|x + y\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p, \quad (1.8)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. In this case, a quasi-Banach space is called a p -Banach space.

Given a p -norm, the formula $d(x, y) := \|x - y\|^p$ gives us a translation invariant metric on X . By the Aoki-Rolewicz Theorem [29], each quasinorm is equivalent to some p -norm (see also [28]). Since it is much easier to work with p -norms, henceforth we restrict our attention mainly to p -norms.

Lemma 1.2 (see [17]). *Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be nonnegative real numbers. Then, one has*

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^p \leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^p, \quad (1.9)$$

for a positive real number p with $p \leq 1$.

2. General Solution

We here present the general solution of (1.7).

Theorem 2.1. Let both X and Y be real vector spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (1.7) for all $x, y \in X$ if and only if there exists a unique symmetric biquadratic function $B_2 : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique symmetric biadditive function $B_1 : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$f(x) = B_2(x, x) + B_1(x, x), \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Let f satisfy (1.7) and let $g, h : X \rightarrow Y$ be functions defined by

$$g(x) := f(2x) - 16f(x), \quad h(x) := f(2x) - 4f(x), \quad (2.2)$$

for all $x \in X$. We claim that the functions g and h are quadratic and quartic, respectively.

Letting $x = y = 0$ in (1.7), we have $f(0) = 0$. By putting $x = 0$ in (1.7), one leads to the evenness $f(-y) = f(y)$ of f . Replacing y by $x + y$ in (1.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f((k+1)x + y) + f((k-1)x - y) \\ &= k^2 f(2x + y) + k^2 f(-y) + \frac{k^2(k^2 - 1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) + 2(1 - k^2) f(x + y), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing y by $-y$ in (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & f((k+1)x - y) + f((k-1)x + y) \\ &= k^2 f(2x - y) + k^2 f(y) + \frac{k^2(k^2 - 1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) + 2(1 - k^2) f(x - y), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Adding (2.3) to (2.4), we get by evenness of f ,

$$\begin{aligned} & f((k+1)x + y) + f((k+1)x - y) + f((k-1)x + y) + f((k-1)x - y) \\ &= k^2 (f(2x + y) + f(2x - y)) + \frac{2k^2(k^2 - 1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) \\ & \quad + 2(1 - k^2) (f(x + y) + f(x - y)) + 2k^2 f(y), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. From the substitution $y = kx + y$ in (1.7), we have by evenness of f ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(2kx + y) + f(y) &= k^2 f((k+1)x + y) + k^2 f((k-1)x + y) \\ & \quad + \frac{k^2(k^2 - 1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) + 2(1 - k^2) f(kx + y), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing y by $-y$ in (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(2kx - y) + f(-y) &= k^2 f((k+1)x - y) + k^2 f((k-1)x - y) \\ &+ \frac{k^2(k^2 - 1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) + 2(1 - k^2) f(kx - y), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Adding (2.6) to (2.7), we get by evenness of f ,

$$\begin{aligned} &f(2kx + y) + f(2kx - y) \\ &= k^2 (f((k+1)x + y) + f((k+1)x - y) + f((k-1)x + y) + f((k-1)x - y)) \\ &+ \frac{2k^2(k^2 - 1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) + 2(1 - k^2) (f(kx + y) + f(kx - y)) - 2f(y), \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By using (1.7) and (2.5), it follows from (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} &f(2kx + y) + f(2kx - y) \\ &= k^2 \left[k^2 (f(2x + y) + f(2x - y)) + \frac{2k^2(k^2 - 1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2(1 - k^2) (f(x + y) + f(x - y)) + 2k^2 f(y) \right] \\ &+ 2(1 - k^2) \left[k^2 f(x + y) + k^2 f(x - y) + \frac{k^2(k^2 - 1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) + 2(1 - k^2) f(y) \right] \\ &+ \frac{2k^2(k^2 - 1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) - 2f(y), \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If we replace x by $2x$ in (1.7), then we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &f(2kx + y) + f(2kx - y) \\ &= k^2 f(2x + y) + k^2 f(2x - y) + \frac{k^2(k^2 - 1)}{6} (f(4x) - 4f(2x)) + 2(1 - k^2) f(y), \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. It follows from (2.9) and (2.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & k^2 \left[k^2 (f(2x+y) + f(2x-y)) + \frac{2k^2(k^2-1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + 2(1-k^2)(f(x+y) + f(x-y)) + 2k^2 f(y) \right] \\
 & + 2(1-k^2) \left[k^2 f(x+y) + k^2 f(x-y) + \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) + 2(1-k^2)f(y) \right] \\
 & + \frac{2k^2(k^2-1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) - 2f(y) \\
 & = k^2 f(2x+y) + k^2 f(2x-y) + \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{6} (f(4x) - 4f(2x)) + 2(1-k^2)f(y),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. On the other hand, putting $y = 0$ in (1.7), we get

$$f(kx) = k^2 f(x) + \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{12} (f(2x) - 4f(x)), \tag{2.12}$$

for all $x \in X$. Putting $y = x$ in (1.7), we get

$$f((k+1)x) + f((k-1)x) = k^2 f(2x) + \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) + 2(1-k^2)f(x), \tag{2.13}$$

for all $x \in X$. Putting $y = kx$ in (1.7) and using the evenness of f , we obtain

$$f(2kx) = k^2 (f((k+1)x) + f((k-1)x)) + \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) + 2(1-k^2)f(kx), \tag{2.14}$$

for all $x \in X$. Letting $y = 0$ in (2.10), we have

$$f(2kx) = k^2 f(2x) + \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{12} (f(4x) - 4f(2x)), \tag{2.15}$$

for all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.14) and (2.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{12} (f(4x) - 4f(2x)) &= k^2 (f((k+1)x) + f((k-1)x)) + \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) \\
 &\quad + 2(1-k^2)f(kx) - k^2 f(2x),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

for all $x \in X$. Now, by using (2.12), (2.13) and (2.16), we lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{12}(f(4x) - 4f(2x)) &= k^2 \left[k^2 f(2x) + \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{6}(f(2x) - 4f(x)) + 2(1-k^2)f(x) \right] \\ &\quad + 2(1-k^2) \left[k^2 f(x) + \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{12}(f(2x) - 4f(x)) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{6}(f(2x) - 4f(x)) - k^2 f(2x), \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

for all $x \in X$. Finally, comparing (2.11) with (2.17), then we conclude that

$$f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) = 4f(x+y) + 4f(x-y) + 2(f(2x) - 4f(x)) - 6f(y), \quad (2.18)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing y by $2y$ in (2.18), we get

$$f(2x+2y) + f(2x-2y) = 4f(x+2y) + 4f(x-2y) + 2(f(2x) - 4f(x)) - 6f(2y), \quad (2.19)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Interchanging x with y in (2.18), one gets

$$f(x+2y) + f(x-2y) = 4f(x+y) + 4f(x-y) + 2(f(2y) - 4f(y)) - 6f(x), \quad (2.20)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. It follows from (2.19) and (2.20) that

$$\begin{aligned} &f(2(x+y)) - 16f(x+y) + f(2(x-y)) - 16f(x-y) \\ &= 2(f(2x) - 16f(x)) + 2(f(2y) - 16f(y)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. This means that

$$g(x+y) + g(x-y) = 2g(x) + 2g(y), \quad (2.22)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So the function $g : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $g(x) := f(2x) - 16f(x)$ is quadratic.

To prove that $h : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $h(x) := f(2x) - 4f(x)$ is quartic, we need to show that

$$h(2x+y) + h(2x-y) = 4h(x+y) + 4h(x-y) + 24h(x) - 6h(y), \quad (2.23)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing x and y by $2x$ and $2y$ in (2.18), respectively, we obtain

$$f(2(2x+y)) + f(2(2x-y)) = 4f(2(x+y)) + 4f(2(x-y)) + 2(f(4x) - 4f(2x)) - 6f(2y), \quad (2.24)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. But, since $g(2x) = 4g(x)$ for all $x \in X$, where $g : X \rightarrow Y$ is a quadratic function defined above, we see that

$$f(4x) = 20f(2x) - 64f(x), \quad (2.25)$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence, according to (2.24) and (2.25), we get

$$f(2(2x + y)) + f(2(2x - y)) = 4f(2(x + y)) + 4f(2(x - y)) + 32(f(2x) - 4f(x)) - 6f(2y), \quad (2.26)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By multiplying 4 on both sides of (2.18), we get that

$$4f(2x + y) + 4f(2x - y) = 16f(x + y) + 16f(x - y) + 8(f(2x) - 4f(x)) - 24f(y), \quad (2.27)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If we subtract the last equation from (2.26), then we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & f(2(2x + y)) - 4f(2x + y) + f(2(2x - y)) - 4f(2x - y) \\ &= 4(f(2(x + y)) - 4f(x + y)) + 4(f(2(x - y)) - 4f(x - y)) \\ &+ 24(f(2x) - 4f(x)) - 6(f(2y) - 4f(y)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. This means that h satisfies (2.23) and, therefore, the function $h : X \rightarrow Y$ is quartic. Thus, there exists a unique symmetric biquadratic function $B_2 : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique symmetric biadditive function $B_1 : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that $h(x) = 12B_2(x, x)$ and $g(x) = -12B_1(x, x)$ for all $x \in X$ (see [8, 13]). Therefore, we obtain from (2.2) that

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{12}h(x) - \frac{1}{12}g(x) = B_2(x, x) + B_1(x, x), \quad (2.29)$$

for all $x \in X$.

The proof of the converse is trivial. \square

3. Generalized Hyers-Ulam Stability

From this point on, assume that X is a quasinormed space with quasinorm $\|\cdot\|_X$ and that Y is a p -Banach space with p -norm $\|\cdot\|_Y$. Let M be the modulus of concavity of $\|\cdot\|_Y$.

Before taking up the main subject, given a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we define the difference operator $D_f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$\begin{aligned} D_f(x, y) &:= f(kx + y) + f(kx - y) - k^2f(x + y) - k^2f(x - y) \\ &- \frac{k^2(k^2 - 1)}{6}(f(2x) - 4f(x)) + 2(k^2 - 1)f(y), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $\varphi^p(x, y) := (\varphi(x, y))^p$ for notational convenience.

Theorem 3.1. Let $j \in \{-1, 1\}$ be fixed and let $\varphi_q : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{nj} \varphi_q \left(\frac{x}{2^{nj}}, \frac{y}{2^{nj}} \right) = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and

$$\sum_{i=(1+j)/2}^{\infty} 4^{ipj} \varphi_q^p \left(\frac{u}{2^{ij}}, \frac{y}{2^{ij}} \right) < \infty, \tag{3.3}$$

for all $(u, y) \in \{(x, 0), (2x, 0), (x, x), (x, kx) : x \in X\}$. Suppose that an even function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|D_f(x, y)\|_Y \leq \varphi_q(x, y), \tag{3.4}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then, there exists a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{M^2}{4} (\tilde{\varphi}_q(x))^{1/p}, \tag{3.5}$$

for all $x \in X$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}_q(x) := & \sum_{i=(1+j)/2}^{\infty} \frac{4^{ipj}}{k^{2p}(k^2-1)^p} \left[(12k^2)^p \varphi_q^p \left(\frac{x}{2^{ij}}, \frac{x}{2^{ij}} \right) + (12(k^2-1))^p \varphi_q^p \left(\frac{x}{2^{ij}}, 0 \right) \right. \\ & \left. + 6^p \varphi_q^p \left(\frac{2x}{2^{ij}}, 0 \right) + 12^p \varphi_q^p \left(\frac{x}{2^{ij}}, \frac{kx}{2^{ij}} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. Let $j = 1$. Setting $y = 0$ in (3.4), we have

$$\left\| 2f(kx) - 2k^2f(x) - \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) \right\|_Y \leq \varphi_q(x, 0), \tag{3.7}$$

for all $x \in X$. Putting $y = x$ in (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f((k+1)x) + f((k-1)x) - k^2f(2x) - \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{6} (f(2x) - 4f(x)) + 2(k^2-1)f(x) \right\|_Y \\ & \leq \varphi_q(x, x), \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing x by $2x$ in (3.7), we see that

$$\left\| 2f(2kx) - 2k^2f(2x) - \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{6} (f(4x) - 4f(2x)) \right\|_Y \leq \varphi_q(2x, 0), \tag{3.9}$$

for all $x \in X$. Setting y by kx in (3.4) and using the evenness of f , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(2kx) - k^2 f((k+1)x) - k^2 f((k-1)x) + 2(k^2-1)f(kx) - \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{6}(f(2x) - 4f(x)) \right\|_Y \\ & \leq \varphi_q(x, kx), \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

for all $x \in X$. It follows from (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| k^2 f(2x) + \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{12}(f(4x) - 4f(2x)) - k^2 f((k+1)x) - k^2 f((k-1)x) \right. \\ & \left. + 2(k^2-1)f(kx) - \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{6}(f(2x) - 4f(x)) \right\|_Y \leq M \left[\frac{1}{2}\varphi_q(2x, 0) + \varphi_q(x, kx) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

for all $x \in X$. Also, it follows from (3.7) and (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| k^2 f((k+1)x) + k^2 f((k-1)x) - 2(k^2-1)f(kx) - k^4 f(2x) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{6}(f(2x) - 4f(x)) + 4k^2(k^2-1)f(x) \right\|_Y \leq M \left[k^2 \varphi_q(x, x) + (k^2-1)\varphi_q(x, 0) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

for all $x \in X$. Finally, using (3.11) and (3.12), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(4x) - 20f(2x) + 64f(x)\|_Y \\ & \leq \frac{M^2}{k^2(k^2-1)} \left[12k^2 \varphi_q(x, x) + 12(k^2-1)\varphi_q(x, 0) + 6\varphi_q(2x, 0) + 12\varphi_q(x, kx) \right] \\ & = M^2 \varphi_q(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$\varphi_q(x) := \frac{1}{k^2(k^2-1)} \left[12k^2 \varphi_q(x, x) + 12(k^2-1)\varphi_q(x, 0) + 6\varphi_q(2x, 0) + 12\varphi_q(x, kx) \right], \quad (3.14)$$

for all $x \in X$. Let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function defined by $g(x) := f(2x) - 16f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. From (3.13), we conclude that

$$\|g(2x) - 4g(x)\|_Y \leq M^2 \varphi_q(x), \quad (3.15)$$

for all $x \in X$. If we replace x in (3.15) by $x/2^{n+1}$ and multiply both sides of (3.15) by 4^n , then we get

$$\left\| 4^{n+1}g\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - 4^n g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y \leq M^2 4^n \psi_q\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right), \tag{3.16}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all non-negative integers n . Since Y is a p -Banach space, the inequality (3.16) gives

$$\left\| 4^{n+1}g\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - 4^m g\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\|_Y^p \leq \sum_{i=m}^n \left\| 4^{i+1}g\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right) - 4^i g\left(\frac{x}{2^i}\right) \right\|_Y^p \leq M^{2p} \sum_{i=m}^n 4^{ip} \psi_q^p\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right), \tag{3.17}$$

for all nonnegative integers n and m with $n \geq m$ and all $x \in X$. Since $0 < p \leq 1$, by Lemma 1.2 and (3.14), we conclude that

$$\psi_q^p(x) \leq \frac{1}{k^{2p}(k^2 - 1)^p} \left[(12k^2)^p \psi_q^p(x, x) + (12(k^2 - 1))^p \psi_q^p(x, 0) + 6^p \psi_q^p(2x, 0) + 12^p \psi_q^p(x, kx) \right], \tag{3.18}$$

for all $x \in X$. Therefore, it follows from (3.3) and (3.18) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 4^{ip} \psi_q^p\left(\frac{x}{2^i}\right) < \infty, \tag{3.19}$$

for all $x \in X$. It follows from (3.17) and (3.19) that the sequence $\{4^n g(x/2^n)\}$ is a Cauchy for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{4^n g(x/2^n)\}$ converges for all $x \in X$. So one can define a function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), \tag{3.20}$$

for all $x \in X$. Letting $m = 0$ and passing the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.17), we get

$$\|g(x) - Q(x)\|_Y^p \leq M^{2p} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 4^{ip} \psi_q^p\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right) = \frac{M^{2p}}{4^p} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 4^{ip} \psi_q^p\left(\frac{x}{2^i}\right), \tag{3.21}$$

for all $x \in X$. Thus (3.5) follows from (3.18) and (3.21). Now we show that Q is quadratic. It follows from (3.16), (3.19) and (3.20) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(2x) - 4Q(x)\|_Y &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| 4^n g\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}\right) - 4^{n+1} g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y = 4 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| 4^{n-1} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}\right) - 4^n g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq M^2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \psi_q\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

for all $x \in X$. So,

$$Q(2x) = 4Q(x), \quad (3.23)$$

for all $x \in X$. On the other hand, it follows from (3.2), (3.4) and (3.20) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_Q(x, y)\|_Y &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \|D_g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right)\|_Y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| D_f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}, \frac{y}{2^{n-1}}\right) - 16D_f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq M \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\{ \left\| D_f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}, \frac{y}{2^{n-1}}\right) \right\|_Y + 16 \left\| D_f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y \right\} \\ &\leq M \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\{ \varphi_q\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}, \frac{y}{2^{n-1}}\right) + 16\varphi_q\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right\} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Hence the function Q satisfies (1.7). Thus, by Theorem 2.1, the function $x \rightsquigarrow Q(2x) - 16Q(x)$ is quadratic. Therefore, (3.23) implies that the function Q is quadratic.

Now, to prove the uniqueness property of Q , let $Q' : X \rightarrow Y$ be another quadratic function satisfying (3.5). It follows from (3.3) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{np} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 4^{ip} \varphi_q^p\left(\frac{u}{2^{n+i}}, \frac{y}{2^{n+i}}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} 4^{ip} \varphi_q^p\left(\frac{u}{2^i}, \frac{y}{2^i}\right) = 0, \quad (3.25)$$

for all $(u, y) \in \{(x, 0), (2x, 0), (x, x), (x, kx) : x \in X\}$. Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{np} \tilde{\varphi}_q\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = 0, \quad (3.26)$$

for all $x \in X$. It follows from (3.5), (3.20) and (3.26) that

$$\|Q(x) - Q'(x)\|_Y^p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{np} \left\| g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - Q'\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y^p \leq \frac{M^{2p}}{4^p} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{np} \tilde{\varphi}_q\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = 0, \quad (3.27)$$

for all $x \in X$. So $Q = Q'$.

For $j = -1$, we can prove the theorem by a similar argument. \square

Corollary 3.2. Let θ, r, s be nonnegative real numbers such that $r, s > 2$ or $r, s < 2$. Suppose that an even function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|D_f(x, y)\|_Y \leq \theta(\|x\|_X^r + \|y\|_X^s), \quad (3.28)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{M^2\theta}{k^2(k^2 - 1)} \Upsilon_q(x), \quad (3.29)$$

for all $x \in X$, where

$$\gamma_q(x) = \left(\frac{12^p [k^{2p} + (k^2 - 1)^p + (2^{r-1})^p + 1]}{|4^p - 2^{rp}|} \|x\|_X^{rp} + \frac{12^p (k^{2p} + k^{sp})}{|4^p - 2^{sp}|} \|x\|_X^{sp} \right)^{1/p}. \quad (3.30)$$

Proof. In Theorem 3.1, putting $\varphi_q(x, y) := \theta(\|x\|_X^r + \|y\|_X^s)$ for all $x, y \in X$, we get the desired result. \square

Corollary 3.3. Let $\theta \geq 0$ and $r, s > 0$ be real numbers such that $\lambda := r + s \neq 2$. Suppose that an even function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|D_f(x, y)\|_Y \leq \theta \|x\|_X^r \|y\|_X^s, \quad (3.31)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{M^2\theta}{k^2(k^2 - 1)} \left(\frac{12^p (k^{2p} + k^{sp})}{|4^p - 2^{\lambda p}|} \right)^{1/p} \|x\|_X^\lambda, \quad (3.32)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. In Theorem 3.1, taking $\varphi_q(x, y) := \theta \|x\|_X^r \|y\|_X^s$, for all $x, y \in X$, we arrive at the desired result. \square

Theorem 3.4. Let $j \in \{-1, 1\}$ be fixed and let $\varphi_v : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 16^{nj} \varphi_v \left(\frac{x}{2^{nj}}, \frac{y}{2^{nj}} \right) = 0, \quad (3.33)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and

$$\sum_{i=(1+j)/2}^{\infty} 16^{ipj} \varphi_v^p \left(\frac{u}{2^{ij}}, \frac{y}{2^{ij}} \right) < \infty, \quad (3.34)$$

for all $(u, y) \in \{(x, 0), (2x, 0), (x, x), (x, kx) : x \in X\}$. Suppose that an even function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|D_f(x, y)\|_Y \leq \varphi_v(x, y), \quad (3.35)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique quartic function $V : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - V(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{M^2}{16} (\tilde{\varphi}_v(x))^{1/p}, \quad (3.36)$$

for all $x \in X$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}_v(x) := \sum_{i=(1+j)/2}^{\infty} \frac{16^{ipj}}{k^{2p}(k^2-1)^p} & \left\{ (12k^2)^p \varphi_v^p\left(\frac{x}{2^{ij}}, \frac{x}{2^{ij}}\right) + (12(k^2-1))^p \varphi_v^p\left(\frac{x}{2^{ij}}, 0\right) \right. \\ & \left. + 6^p \varphi_v^p\left(\frac{2x}{2^{ij}}, 0\right) + 12^p \varphi_v^p\left(\frac{x}{2^{ij}}, \frac{kx}{2^{ij}}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

Proof. Being similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we omit its proof. \square

Corollary 3.5. Let θ, r, s be nonnegative real numbers such that $r, s > 4$ or $r, s < 4$. Suppose that an even function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality (3.28) for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique quartic function $V : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - V(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{M^2\theta}{k^2(k^2-1)} \gamma_v(x), \quad (3.38)$$

for all $x \in X$, where

$$\gamma_v(x) = \left(\frac{12^p [k^{2p} + (k^2-1)^p + (2^{r-1})^p + 1]}{|16^p - 2^{rp}|} \|x\|_X^{rp} + \frac{12^p (k^{2p} + k^{sp})}{|16^p - 2^{sp}|} \|x\|_X^{sp} \right)^{1/p}, \quad (3.39)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Corollary 3.6. Let $\theta \geq 0$ and $r, s > 0$ be real numbers such that $\lambda := r + s \neq 4$. Suppose that an even function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality (3.31) for all $x, y \in X$. Then, there exists a unique quartic function $V : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - V(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{M^2\theta}{k^2(k^2-1)} \left(\frac{12^p (k^{2p} + k^{sp})}{|16^p - 2^{\lambda p}|} \right)^{1/p} \|x\|_X^\lambda, \quad (3.40)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Now, we are ready to prove the main theorem concerning the stability problem for (1.7).

Theorem 3.7. Let $j \in \{-1, 1\}$ be fixed and let $\varphi : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left(\frac{1-j}{2} \right) 4^{nj} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{nj}}, \frac{y}{2^{nj}}\right) + \left(\frac{1+j}{2} \right) 16^{nj} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{nj}}, \frac{y}{2^{nj}}\right) \right) = 0, \quad (3.41)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and

$$\sum_{i=(1+j)/2}^{\infty} \left(\left(\frac{1-j}{2} \right) 4^{ipj} \varphi^p\left(\frac{u}{2^{ij}}, \frac{y}{2^{ij}}\right) + \left(\frac{1+j}{2} \right) 16^{ipj} \varphi^p\left(\frac{u}{2^{ij}}, \frac{y}{2^{ij}}\right) \right) < \infty, \quad (3.42)$$

for all $(u, y) \in \{(x, 0), (2x, 0), (x, x), (x, kx) : x \in X\}$. Suppose that an even function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|D_f(x, y)\|_Y \leq \varphi(x, y), \quad (3.43)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then, there exists a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique quartic function $V : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x) - V(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{M^3}{192} \left\{ 4[\tilde{\varphi}_q(x)]^{1/p} + [\tilde{\varphi}_v(x)]^{1/p} \right\}, \quad (3.44)$$

for all $x \in X$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}_q(x) := & \sum_{i=(1+j)/2}^{\infty} \frac{4^{ipj}}{k^{2p}(k^2-1)^p} \left\{ (12k^2)^p \varphi^p\left(\frac{x}{2^{ij}}, \frac{x}{2^{ij}}\right) + (12(k^2-1))^p \varphi^p\left(\frac{x}{2^{ij}}, 0\right) \right. \\ & \left. + 6^p \varphi^p\left(\frac{2x}{2^{ij}}, 0\right) + 12^p \varphi^p\left(\frac{x}{2^{ij}}, \frac{kx}{2^{ij}}\right) \right\}, \\ \tilde{\varphi}_v(x) := & \sum_{i=(1+j)/2}^{\infty} \frac{16^{ipj}}{k^{2p}(k^2-1)^p} \left\{ (12k^2)^p \varphi^p\left(\frac{x}{2^{ij}}, \frac{x}{2^{ij}}\right) + (12(k^2-1))^p \varphi^p\left(\frac{x}{2^{ij}}, 0\right) \right. \\ & \left. + 6^p \varphi^p\left(\frac{2x}{2^{ij}}, 0\right) + 12^p \varphi^p\left(\frac{x}{2^{ij}}, \frac{kx}{2^{ij}}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

Proof. By Theorems 3.1 and 3.4, there exists a quadratic function $Q_0 : X \rightarrow Y$ and a quartic function $V_0 : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - Q_0(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{M^2}{4} [\tilde{\varphi}_q(x)]^{1/p}, \quad \|f(2x) - 4f(x) - V_0(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{M^2}{16} [\tilde{\varphi}_v(x)]^{1/p}, \quad (3.46)$$

for all $x \in X$. Therefore, it follows from (3.46) that

$$\left\| f(x) + \frac{1}{12}Q_0(x) - \frac{1}{12}V_0(x) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{M^3}{192} \left(4[\tilde{\varphi}_q(x)]^{1/p} + [\tilde{\varphi}_v(x)]^{1/p} \right), \quad (3.47)$$

for all $x \in X$. Thus we obtain (3.44) by letting $Q(x) = -(1/12)Q_0(x)$ and $V(x) = (1/12)V_0(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

To prove the uniqueness property of Q and V , let $Q', V' : X \rightarrow Y$ be another quadratic and quartic functions satisfying (3.44). Let $\overline{Q} = Q - Q'$ and $\overline{V} = V - V'$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\overline{Q}(x) + \overline{V}(x)\|_Y & \leq M \{ \|f(x) - Q(x) - V(x)\|_Y + \|f(x) - Q'(x) - V'(x)\|_Y \} \\ & \leq \frac{M^4}{96} \left(4[\tilde{\varphi}_q(x)]^{1/p} + [\tilde{\varphi}_v(x)]^{1/p} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

for all $x \in X$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{npj} \tilde{\psi}_q(x/2^n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 16^{npj} \tilde{\psi}_v(x/2^n) = 0$, for all $x \in X$, we figure out that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 16^n \left\| \overline{Q}\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + \overline{V}\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_Y = 0, \quad (3.49)$$

for all $x \in X$. Therefore, we get $\overline{V} = 0$ and then $\overline{Q} = 0$. \square

Corollary 3.8. Let θ, r, s be nonnegative real numbers such that $r, s > 4$ or $2 < r, s < 4$ or $r, s < 2$. Suppose that an even function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality (3.28), for all $x, y \in X$. Then, there exists a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique quartic function $V : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x) - V(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{M^3 \theta}{12k^2(k^2 - 1)} (\gamma_q(x) + \gamma_v(x)), \quad (3.50)$$

for all $x \in X$, where $\gamma_q(x)$ and $\gamma_v(x)$ are defined as in Corollaries 3.2 and 3.5.

Corollary 3.9. Let $\theta \geq 0$ and $r, s > 0$ be non-negative real numbers such that $\lambda := r + s \in (0, 2) \cup (2, 4) \cup (4, \infty)$. Suppose that an even function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality (3.31) for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exist a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique quartic function $V : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x) - Q(x) - V(x)\|_Y \\ & \leq \frac{M^3 \theta}{12k^2(k^2 - 1)} \left\{ \left(\frac{12^p(k^{2p} + k^{sp})}{|4^p - 2^{\lambda p}|} \right)^{1/p} + \left(\frac{12^p(k^{2p} + k^{sp})}{|16^p - 2^{\lambda p}|} \right)^{1/p} \right\} \|x\|_X^\lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Corollary 3.10. Suppose that an even function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|D_f(x, y)\|_X \leq \varepsilon, \quad (3.52)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ where $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique quartic function $V : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x) - Q(x) - V(x)\|_Y \\ & \leq \frac{M^3 \varepsilon}{k^2(k^2 - 1)} \left\{ \left(\frac{k^{2p} + (k^2 - 1)^p + 2^{-p} + 1}{4^p - 1} \right)^{1/p} + \left(\frac{k^{2p} + (k^2 - 1)^p + 2^{-p} + 1}{16^p - 1} \right)^{1/p} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Acknowledgment

Hark-Mahn Kim was supported by Basic Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (no. 2011-0002614).

References

- [1] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, chapter VI, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, Science edition, 1964.
- [2] D. H. Hyers, "On the stability of the linear functional equation," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 27, pp. 222–224, 1941.
- [3] T. Aoki, "On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces," *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, vol. 2, pp. 64–66, 1950.
- [4] T. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 297–300, 1978.
- [5] T. M. Rassias, "On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 251, no. 1, pp. 264–284, 2000.
- [6] T. M. Rassias, "On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam," *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 23–130, 2000.
- [7] P. Găvruta, "A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 184, no. 3, pp. 431–436, 1994.
- [8] J. Aczel and J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1989.
- [9] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac, and T. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Basle, Switzerland, 1998.
- [10] P. Kannappan, "Quadratic functional equation and inner product spaces," *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 27, no. 3–4, pp. 368–372, 1995.
- [11] F. Skof, "Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori," *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico di Milano*, vol. 53, pp. 113–129, 1983.
- [12] S. Czerwik, "On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces," *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg*, vol. 62, pp. 59–64, 1992.
- [13] S. H. Lee, S. M. Im, and I. S. Hwang, "Quartic functional equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 307, no. 2, pp. 387–394, 2005.
- [14] J. K. Chung and P. K. Sahoo, "On the general solution of a quartic functional equation," *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 565–576, 2003.
- [15] K. W. Jun and H. M. Kim, "Ulam stability problem for a mixed type of cubic and additive functional equation," *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society. Simon Stevin*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 271–285, 2006.
- [16] M. E. Gordji and H. Khodaei, "Solution and stability of generalized mixed type cubic, quadratic and additive functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 71, no. 11, pp. 5629–5643, 2009.
- [17] A. Najati and M. B. Moghimi, "Stability of a functional equation deriving from quadratic and additive functions in quasi-Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 337, no. 1, pp. 399–415, 2008.
- [18] A. Najati and G. Z. Eskandani, "Stability of a mixed additive and cubic functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 342, no. 2, pp. 1318–1331, 2008.
- [19] M. E. Gordji, A. Ebadian, and S. Zolfaghari, "Stability of a functional equation deriving from cubic and quartic functions," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2008, article 801904, 2008.
- [20] M. E. Gordji, M. B. Savadkouhi, and C. Park, "Quadratic-quartic functional equations in RN-spaces," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2009, article 868423, 2009.
- [21] M. E. Gordji, S. Abbaszadeh, and C. Park, "On the stability of a generalized quadratic and quartic type functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2009, article 153084, 2009.
- [22] M. E. Gordji, R. Khodabakhsh, S.-M. Jung, and H. Khodaei, "AQCQ-functional equation in non-Archimedean normed spaces," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2010, article 741942, 2010.

- [23] H. M. Kim, "On the stability problem for a mixed type of quartic and quadratic functional equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 324, no. 1, pp. 358–372, 2006.
- [24] G. H. Kim and S. S. Dragomir, "On the stability of generalized d'Alembert and Jensen functional equations," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2006, article 43185, 2006.
- [25] Y. Li and Y. Shen, "Hyers-Ulam stability of nonhomogeneous linear differential equations of second order," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2009, article 576852, 2009.
- [26] V. Mangione, "Harmonic maps and stability on f -Kenmotsu manifolds," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2008, article 798317, 2008.
- [27] P. Nakmahachalasint, "On the generalized Ulam-Gavruta-Rassias stability of mixed-type linear and Euler-Lagrange-Rassias functional equations," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2007, article 63239, 2007.
- [28] Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss, *Geometric Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Vol 1*, vol. 48 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 2000.
- [29] S. Rolewicz, *Metric Linear Spaces*, PWN—Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, Poland, 1984.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

