

Research Article

The Generalizations of Hilbert's Inequality

Liubin Hua,¹ Huazhong Ke,² and Yongjin Li²

¹ Guangzhou Sontan Polytechnic College, Guangzhou 511370, China

² Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yongjin Li, stslj@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Received 24 June 2008; Accepted 2 October 2008

Recommended by Feng Qi

By introducing some parameters and estimating the weight functions, we establish a new Hilbert-type inequalities with best constant factors. The equivalent inequalities are also considered.

Copyright © 2008 Liubin Hua et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

If f, g are real functions such that $0 < \int_0^\infty f^2(x)dx < \infty$ and $0 < \int_0^\infty g^2(x)dx < \infty$, then we have (see [1])

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy < \pi \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^2(x)dx \int_0^\infty g^2(x)dx \right\}^{1/2}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the constant factor π is the best possible. Inequality (1.1) is the well-known Hilbert's inequality. Inequality (1.1) had been generalized by Hardy-Riesz (see [2]) in 1925 as if f, g are real functions such that $0 < \int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx < \infty$ and $0 < \int_0^\infty g^q(x)dx < \infty$, then

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \int_0^\infty g^q(x)dx \right\}^{1/q}, \quad (1.2)$$

where the constant factor $c = \pi / \sin(\pi/p)$ is the best possible. When $p = q = 2$, (1.2) reduces to (1.1). Inequality (1.2) is named after Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality, which is important in the analysis and its applications (see [3]), it has been studied and generalized in many directions by a number of mathematicians (see [4–8]).

Under the same condition of (1.2), we have Hardy-Hilbert's type inequality (see [1, Theorems 341 and 342]):

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x, y\}} dx dy < 4 \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^2(x) dx \int_0^\infty g^2(x) dx \right\}^{1/2}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln x - \ln y}{x - y} f(x)g(y) dx dy < \pi^2 \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^2(x) dx \int_0^\infty g^2(x) dx \right\}^{1/2},$$

where the constant factors 4 and π^2 are both the best possible.

Recently, Li et al. [9], by introducing the function $|\ln x - \ln y|/(x + y + |x - y|)$, establish new inequalities similar to Hilbert-type inequality for integrals.

Theorem 1.1. *If $f(x), g(x) \geq 0$, $0 < \int_0^\infty f^2(x) dx < \infty$, $0 < \int_0^\infty g^2(x) dx < \infty$. Then, one has*

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|}{x + y + |x - y|} f(x)g(y) dx dy < 4 \left(\int_0^\infty f^2(x) dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^\infty g^2(x) dx \right)^{1/2}, \quad (1.4)$$

where the constant factor 4 is the best possible.

In this paper, we give further analogs of Hilbert-type inequality and its applications. The main result unifies and generalizes the classical results as follows.

Assume that $r > 0$, $s > -\min\{1, r\}$, $t \geq 0$, $p, q > 1$, and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If $f, g \geq 0$, such that $0 < \int_0^\infty f^p(x) dx < \infty$, $0 < \int_0^\infty g^q(x) dx < \infty$, then

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} f(x)g(y) dx dy < K_1 \left(\int_0^\infty f^p(x) dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^\infty g^q(x) dx \right)^{1/q}, \quad (1.5)$$

where the constant factor

$$K_1 = \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1 + ru + s|1 - u|} u^{-1/q} du \quad (1.6)$$

is the best possible.

2. Some lemmas

Our results will be based on the following results. In the following lemmas, assume that $r > 0$, $s > -\min\{1, r\}$, $t \geq 0$, $p, q > 1$, and $1/p + 1/q = 1$.

Lemma 2.1. Define the following weight functions:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1(x) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{1/q} dy, \quad x > 0, \\ \omega_2(y) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{1/p} dx, \quad y > 0.\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

Then, $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = K_1$, where K_1 is defined by (1.1).

Proof. For $\omega_1(x)$, let $u = y/x$, and we have

$$\omega_1 = \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1 + ru + s|1 - u|} u^{-1/q} du = K_1.\tag{2.2}$$

For $\omega_2(y)$, first let $v = x/y$, and then let $u = 1/v$. Thus, we have

$$\omega_2 = \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln v|^t}{1 + rv + s|v - 1|} v^{-1/p} dv = \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1 + ru + s|1 - u|} u^{-1/q} du = K_1.\tag{2.3}$$

Hence, the lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 2.2. Assume that $\varepsilon > 0$, then

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1 + ru + s|1 - u|} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du = K_1 + o(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+).\tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Since

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} u^{1/2p} |\ln u|^t = 0, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u^{1/2p}}{|\ln u|^t} = +\infty,\tag{2.5}$$

it follows that there exists $\delta_1 \in (0, 1)$, such that if $u \in (0, \delta_1)$, then $u^{-1/2p} > |\ln u|^t$. Moreover, there exists $\delta_2 \in (1, +\infty)$, such that if $u \in (\delta_2, +\infty)$, then $u^{1/2q} > |\ln u|^t$. Using the expression

of K_1 , if $s \leq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+ru+s|1-u|} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du - K_1 \right| \\
&= \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+ru+s|1-u|} (u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} - u^{-1/q}) du \right| \\
&\leq \left(\int_0^{\delta_1} + \int_{\delta_1}^{\delta_2} + \int_{\delta_2}^\infty \right) \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+ru+s|1-u|} |u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} - u^{-1/q}| du \\
&< \int_0^{\delta_1} \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+s} (u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} - u^{-1/q}) du + \int_{\delta_1}^{\delta_2} \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+ru+s|1-u|} |u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} - u^{-1/q}| du \\
&\quad + \int_{\delta_2}^\infty \frac{|\ln u|^t}{(r+s)u} (u^{-1/q} - u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q}) du \\
&< \int_0^{\delta_1} \frac{u^{-1/2p}}{1+s} (u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} - u^{-1/q}) du + \int_{\delta_1}^{\delta_2} \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+ru+s|1-u|} |u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} - u^{-1/q}| du \\
&\quad + \int_{\delta_2}^\infty \frac{u^{1/2q}}{(r+s)u} (u^{-1/q} - u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q}) du \\
&= \frac{1}{1+s} \left(\frac{1}{1-1/2p-(1+\varepsilon)/q} \delta_1^{1-1/2p-(1+\varepsilon)/q} - \frac{1}{1-1/2p-1/q} \delta_1^{1-1/2p-1/q} \right) \\
&\quad + \int_{\delta_1}^{\delta_2} \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+ru+s|1-u|} |u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} - u^{-1/q}| du + \frac{1}{r+s} \left(2q\delta_2^{-1/2q} - \frac{2q}{1+2\varepsilon} \delta_2^{-(1+2\varepsilon)/2q} \right) \\
&= o(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Therefore, Lemma 2.2 is proved for $s \leq 0$. If $s > 0$, then we can replace the right-hand side of the first strict inequality above with

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\delta_1} \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1} (u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} - u^{-1/q}) du + \int_{\delta_1}^{\delta_2} \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+ru+s|1-u|} |u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} - u^{-1/q}| du \\
&\quad + \int_{\delta_2}^\infty \frac{|\ln u|^t}{ru} (u^{-1/q} - u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q}) du.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

By the same way, we can show that the lemma is valid for $s > 0$. Hence, the lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 2.3. Assume that $\varepsilon > 0$, then

$$\int_1^\infty x^{-1-\varepsilon} dx \int_0^{x^{-1}} \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+ru+s|1-u|} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du = O(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+). \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. Using δ_1 introduced in the proof of Lemma 2.2, if $s \leq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &< \int_1^\infty x^{-1-\varepsilon} dx \int_0^{x^{-1}} \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+ru+s|1-u|} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du \\
&= \int_1^\infty x^{-1-\varepsilon} dx \int_0^{x^{-1}} \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+ru+s(1-u)} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du \\
&< \int_1^\infty x^{-1-\varepsilon} dx \int_0^{x^{-1}} \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+s} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du \\
&= \left(\int_1^{1/\delta_1} + \int_{1/\delta_1}^\infty \right) x^{-1-\varepsilon} dx \int_0^{x^{-1}} \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+s} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du \\
&= \int_1^{1/\delta_1} x^{-1-\varepsilon} dx \left(\int_0^{\delta_1} + \int_{\delta_1}^{x^{-1}} \right) \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+s} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du + \int_{1/\delta_1}^\infty x^{-1-\varepsilon} dx \int_0^{x^{-1}} \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+s} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du \\
&= \int_1^{1/\delta_1} x^{-1-\varepsilon} dx \int_0^{\delta_1} \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+s} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du + \int_1^{1/\delta_1} x^{-1-\varepsilon} dx \int_{\delta_1}^{x^{-1}} \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+s} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du \\
&\quad + \int_{1/\delta_1}^\infty x^{-1-\varepsilon} dx \int_0^{x^{-1}} \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+s} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du \\
&< \int_1^{1/\delta_1} x^{-1-\varepsilon} dx \int_0^{\delta_1} \frac{u^{-1/2p}}{1+s} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du + \int_1^{1/\delta_1} x^{-1-\varepsilon} dx \int_{\delta_1}^{x^{-1}} \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+s} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du \\
&\quad + \int_{1/\delta_1}^\infty x^{-1-\varepsilon} dx \int_0^{x^{-1}} \frac{u^{-1/2p}}{1+s} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du \\
&= \frac{(1-\delta_1)\delta_1^{1-1/2p-(1+\varepsilon)/q}}{\varepsilon(1+s)(1-1/2p-(1+\varepsilon)/q)} + \int_1^{1/\delta_1} x^{-1-\varepsilon} dx \int_{\delta_1}^{x^{-1}} \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1+s} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du \\
&\quad + \frac{2p\delta_1^{(1+2\varepsilon)/2p}}{(1+2\varepsilon)(1+s)(1-1/2p-(1+\varepsilon)/q)} \\
&= O(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Therefore, Lemma 2.3 is proved for $s \leq 0$. If $s > 0$, then we can replace the right-hand side of the second strict inequality mentioned above with

$$\int_1^\infty x^{-1-\varepsilon} dx \int_0^{x^{-1}} \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du. \tag{2.10}$$

By the same way, we can show that the lemma is valid for $s > 0$. Therefore, the lemma is proved. \square

3. Integral case

In this section, we will state our main results.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that $r > 0$, $s > -\min\{1, r\}$, $t \geq 0$, $p, q > 1$, and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If $f, g \geq 0$, such that $0 < \int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx < \infty$, $0 < \int_0^\infty g^q(x)dx < \infty$, then*

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} f(x)g(y)dx dy < K_1 \left(\int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^\infty g^q(x)dx \right)^{1/q}, \quad (3.1)$$

where the constant factor

$$K_1 = \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1 + ru + s|1 - u|} u^{-1/q} du \quad (3.2)$$

is the best possible.

Proof. Using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} f(x)g(y)dx dy \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} \left[\left(\frac{x}{y} \right)^{1/pq} f(x) \right] \left[\left(\frac{y}{x} \right)^{1/pq} g(y) \right] dx dy \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right)^{1/q} f^p(x)dx dy \right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)^{1/p} g^q(y)dx dy \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \left(\int_0^\infty \omega_1(x) f^p(x)dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^\infty \omega_2(y) g^q(y)dy \right)^{1/q} \\ &= K_1 \left(\int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^\infty g^q(x)dx \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

If (3.3) takes the form of equality, then there are constants a and b , such that they are not all zero, and

$$a \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right)^{1/q} f^p(x) = b \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)^{1/p} g^q(y), \quad (3.4)$$

a.e. in $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$.

Hence,

$$axf^p(x) = byg^q(y), \quad \text{a.e. in } (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty). \quad (3.5)$$

Therefore, there is a constant c , such that

$$axf^p(x) = byg^q(y) = c, \quad \text{a.e. in } (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty). \quad (3.6)$$

We claim that $a = 0$. In fact, if $a \neq 0$, then $f^p(x) = c/ax$, a.e. in $(0, \infty)$, which contradicts the fact that $0 < \int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx < \infty$. In the same way, we claim that $b = 0$. This is a contradiction. Hence, by (3.3), we have (3.1).

If the constant factor K_1 in (3.1) is not the best possible factor, then there exists a positive constant H (with $H < K_1$), such that (3.1) is still valid if we replace K_1 with H . For $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, construct the following functions:

$$\begin{aligned} f_\varepsilon(x) &= \begin{cases} 0, & x \in (0, 1), \\ x^{-(1+\varepsilon)/p}, & x \in (0, +\infty), \end{cases} \\ g_\varepsilon(x) &= \begin{cases} 0, & x \in (0, 1), \\ x^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q}, & x \in (0, +\infty), \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & H \left(\int_0^\infty f_\varepsilon^p(x) dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^\infty g_\varepsilon^q(x) dx \right)^{1/q} = \frac{H}{\varepsilon}, \\ I &:= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} f_\varepsilon(x) g_\varepsilon(y) dx dy \\ &= \int_1^\infty \int_1^\infty \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} x^{-(1+\varepsilon)/p} y^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} dx dy \\ &= \int_1^\infty x^{-(1+\varepsilon)/p} dx \int_1^\infty \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} y^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} dy. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Setting $u = y/x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_1^\infty x^{-1-\varepsilon} dx \int_{x^{-1}}^\infty \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1 + ru + s|1 - u|} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du \\ &= \int_1^\infty x^{-1-\varepsilon} dx \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1 + ru + s|1 - u|} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du - \int_1^\infty x^{-1-\varepsilon} dx \int_0^{x^{-1}} \frac{|\ln u|^t}{1 + ru + s|1 - u|} u^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q} du \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (K_1 + o(1)) + O(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Since

$$I < \frac{H}{\varepsilon}, \quad (3.10)$$

it follows that

$$K_1 + o(1) < H \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+). \quad (3.11)$$

Hence,

$$K_1 \leq H. \quad (3.12)$$

This contradicts the fact that $H < K_1$. So the constant factor K_1 in (3.1) is the best possible. Then Proposition 3.1 is proved. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Under the same assumptions of Proposition 3.1, one has*

$$\int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} f(x) dx \right]^p dy < K_1^p \int_0^\infty f^p(x) dx, \quad (3.13)$$

where the constant factor K_1^p is the best possible. Inequalities (3.1) and (3.13) are equivalent.

Proof. Setting

$$g(y) = \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} f(x) dx \right]^{p-1}, \quad y \in (0, \infty), \quad (3.14)$$

by (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty g^q(y) dy &= \int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} f(x) dx \right]^p dy \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} f(x) g(y) dx dy \\ &< K_1 \left(\int_0^\infty f^p(x) dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^\infty g^q(x) dx \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

As a result,

$$\left(\int_0^\infty g^q(x) dx \right)^{1/p} < K_1 \left(\int_0^\infty f^p(x) dx \right)^{1/p}. \quad (3.16)$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^\infty g^q(x)dx < K_1^p \int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx. \quad (3.17)$$

Then, we have (3.13).

Conversely, by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} f(x)g(y)dx dy \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} f(x)dx \right] g(y)dy \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln x - \ln y|^t}{x + ry + s|x - y|} f(x)dx \right]^p dy \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^\infty g^q(x)dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &< K_1 \left(\int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^\infty g^q(x)dx \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Then, by (3.13), we have (3.1). Hence inequalities (3.1) and (3.13) are equivalent.

If the constant factor K_1^p in (3.13) is not the best possible, then by (3.18) we can get a contradiction that the constant factor K_1 in (3.1) is not the best possible. Hence Proposition 3.2 is proved. \square

Remark 3.3. In (3.1), let $r = 1$, $s = 0$, $t = 0$, $p = q = 2$, and we have Hilbert's integral inequality

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x + y} dx dy < \pi \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^2(x)dx \int_0^\infty g^2(x)dx \right\}^{1/2}. \quad (3.19)$$

Let $r = 1$, $s = 1$, $t = 0$, $p = q = 2$; we have Hardy-Hilbert's classical inequality

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{2 \max\{x, y\}} dx dy < 2 \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^2(x)dx \int_0^\infty g^2(x)dx \right\}^{1/2}. \quad (3.20)$$

Let $r = 1$, $s = 1/3$, $t = 0$, $p = q = 2$, and we can combine the above two inequalities as follows:

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(2/3)(x + y + \max\{x, y\})} dx dy < K_1 \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^2(x)dx \int_0^\infty g^2(x)dx \right\}^{1/2}. \quad (3.21)$$

Let $r = 1$, $s = -1/3$, $t = 0$, and we can get the following inequality:

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(2/3)(x+y+\min\{x,y\})} dx dy < K_1 \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^2(x) dx \int_0^\infty g^2(x) dx \right\}^{1/2}. \quad (3.22)$$

4. Discrete case

We also give results for the discrete case.

Proposition 4.1. Assume that $r > 0$, $s \in (-\min\{1, r\}, \min\{1, r\}]$, $p, q > 1$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If $a_m, b_n \geq 0$, such that

$$0 < \sum_{m=1}^\infty a_m^p < \infty, \quad 0 < \sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n^q < \infty, \quad (4.1)$$

then one has

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{a_m b_n}{m + rn + s|m - n|} < K_1 \left(\sum_{m=1}^\infty a_m^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n^q \right)^{1/q}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \left[\sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{a_m}{m + rn + s|m - n|} \right]^p < K_1^p \sum_{m=1}^\infty a_m^p, \quad (4.3)$$

where the constant factor

$$K_1 = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1 + ru + s|1 - u|} u^{-1/q} du \quad (4.4)$$

is the best possible, and inequalities (4.2) and (4.3) are equivalent.

Proof. Define the following weight functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi_1(m) &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{m + rn + s|m - n|} \left(\frac{m}{n} \right)^{1/q}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, \\ \varpi_2(n) &= \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{m + rn + s|m - n|} \left(\frac{n}{m} \right)^{1/p}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

then,

$$\varpi_1(m) < \omega_1(m) = K_1, \quad \varpi_2(n) < \omega_2(n) = K_1, \quad (4.6)$$

where ω_1, ω_2 are defined in Lemma 2.1. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m + rn + s|m - n|} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m + rn + s|m - n|} \left[\left(\frac{m}{n} \right)^{1/pq} a_m \right] \left[\left(\frac{n}{m} \right)^{1/pq} b_n \right] \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m + rn + s|m - n|} \left(\frac{m}{n} \right)^{1/q} a_m^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m + rn + s|m - n|} \left(\frac{n}{m} \right)^{1/p} b_n^q \right)^{1/q} \\
&= \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varpi_1(m) a_m^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varpi_2(n) b_n^q \right)^{1/q} \\
&< K_1 \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q \right)^{1/q}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

The last strict inequality holds because both series $\{a_m\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ have positive terms. Thus, we have (4.2).

If the constant factor K_1 in (4.2) is not the best possible, then there exists a positive constant H (with $H < K_1$), such that (4.2) is still valid if we replace K_1 by H . For $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, construct series

$$\tilde{a}_m = m^{-(1+\varepsilon)/p}, \quad \tilde{b}_n = n^{-(1+\varepsilon)/q}. \tag{4.8}$$

Then, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{a}_m \tilde{b}_n}{m + rn + s|m - n|} > \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f_{\varepsilon}(x) g_{\varepsilon}(y) dx dy}{x + ry + s|x - y|} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (K_1 + o(1)) + O(1), \tag{4.9}$$

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \tilde{a}_m^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{b}_n^q \right)^{1/q} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1-\varepsilon} < 1 + \int_1^{\infty} x^{-1-\varepsilon} dx = 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \tag{4.10}$$

where $f_{\varepsilon}(x)$ and $g_{\varepsilon}(x)$ are defined in the proof of Proposition 3.1. Since

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} (K_1 + o(1)) + O(1) < H \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right), \tag{4.11}$$

it follows that

$$K_1 + o(1) < H. \tag{4.12}$$

Hence,

$$K_1 \leq H. \quad (4.13)$$

This contradicts with the fact that $H < K_1$. So the constant factor K_1 in (4.2) is the best possible one.

Setting

$$b_n = \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{m + rn + s|m - n|} \right]^{p-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (4.14)$$

we find

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{m + rn + s|m - n|} \right]^p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m + rn + s|m - n|}. \quad (4.15)$$

By the same argument used in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we can show that (4.3) is valid, the constant factor K_1^p in (4.3) is the best possible one and inequalities (4.2) and (4.3) are equivalent. \square

Remark 4.2. Proposition 4.1 is the corresponding series form of Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 for $s \in (-\min\{1, r\}, \min\{1, r\}]$, $t = 0$, and it is also a generalization of Hilbert's inequality. Here, we restrict the constants s , t so that we can use the monotony of functions to obtain (4.6) and (4.9).

Acknowledgment

This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 10871213).

References

- [1] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1934.
- [2] G. H. Hardy, "Note on a theorem of Hilbert," *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 6, no. 3-4, pp. 314-317, 1920.
- [3] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić, and A. M. Fink, *Inequalities Involving Functions and Their Integrals and Derivatives*, vol. 53 of *Mathematics and Its Applications (East European Series)*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1991.
- [4] Y. C. Chow, "On inequalities of Hilbert and Widder," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 151-154, 1939.
- [5] M. Gao, "On Hilbert's inequality and its applications," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 212, no. 1, pp. 316-323, 1997.
- [6] K. Jichang, "On new extensions of Hilbert's integral inequality," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 235, no. 2, pp. 608-614, 1999.
- [7] B. G. Pachpatte, "Inequalities similar to the integral analogue of Hilbert's inequality," *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 139-146, 1999.
- [8] B. C. Yang, "An extension of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality," *Chinese Annals of Mathematics. Series A*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 247-254, 2002 (Chinese).
- [9] Y. Li, Y. Qian, and B. He, "On further analogs of Hilbert's inequality," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2007, Article ID 76329, 6 pages, 2007.