

ANALYTIC SOLUTION OF CERTAIN SECOND-ORDER FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

THEERADACH KAEWONG AND PIYAPONG NIAMSUP

Received 28 September 2005; Revised 2 July 2006; Accepted 9 July 2006

We consider the existence of analytic solutions of a certain class of iterative second-order functional differential equation of the form $x''(x^{[r]}(z)) = c_0z^2 + c_1(x(z))^2 + (c_2x^{[2]}(z))^2 + \dots + c_m(x^{[m]}(z))^2$, $m, r \geq 0$.

Copyright © 2006 Hindawi Publishing Corporation. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In recent years, the study of the existence of analytic solutions of iterative functional differential equations has attracted several researchers, see [2–11] and references cited therein. In [3], the authors studied the existence of analytic solutions of iterative functional differential equation of the following form:

$$x''(z) = (x^{[m]}(z))^2, \quad (1.1)$$

where m is a nonnegative integer. In the present paper, we propose to study a more general form of iterative functional differential equations than (1.1) as follows:

$$x''(x^{[r]}(z)) = c_0z^2 + c_1(x(z))^2 + c_2(x^{[2]}(z))^2 + \dots + c_m(x^{[m]}(z))^2, \quad (1.2)$$

where r and m are nonnegative integers, $c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m$ are complex numbers, $\sum_{j=0}^m |c_j| \neq 0$, and $x^{[j]}$ denotes the j th iterate of x . In order to obtain analytic solutions of (1.1), we first seek the analytic solutions $y(z)$ of the following companion equation:

$$\alpha^2 y''(\alpha^{r+1}z) y'(\alpha^r z) = \alpha y'(\alpha^{r+1}z) y''(\alpha^r z) + [y'(\alpha^r z)]^3 \left[\sum_{j=0}^m c_j (y(\alpha^j z))^2 \right] \quad (1.3)$$

satisfying the initial value conditions

$$y(0) = \mu, \quad y'(0) = \eta \neq 0, \quad (1.4)$$

2 Analytic solution of functional differential equation

where μ, η are complex numbers, and α satisfies one of the following conditions:

(H1) $|\alpha| > 1$;

(H2) $0 < |\alpha| < 1$;

(H3) $|\alpha| = 1$, α is not a root of unity, and $\log(1/|\alpha^n - 1|) \leq K \log n$, $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$,

for some positive constant K . Then we show that (1.2) has an analytic solution of the form

$$x(z) = y(\alpha y^{-1}(z)), \quad (1.5)$$

in a neighborhood of the number μ , where $y^{-1}(z)$ is the inverse function of $y(z)$. Finally, we make use of (1.5) to show how to derive an explicit power series solution of (1.2).

2. Preliminary lemmas

We first obtain the analytic solutions $y(z)$ of the companion equation (1.3). By (1.3), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha^2 y''(\alpha^{r+1}z) y'(\alpha^r z) - \alpha y'(\alpha^{r+1}z) y''(\alpha^r z)}{[y'(\alpha^r z)]^2} &= y'(\alpha^r z) \sum_{j=0}^m c_j (y(\alpha^j z))^2, \quad \text{or} \\ \frac{1}{\alpha^{r-1}} \left(\frac{y'(\alpha^{r+1}z)}{y'(\alpha^r z)} \right)' &= y'(\alpha^r z) \sum_{j=0}^m c_j (y(\alpha^j z))^2, \quad \text{or} \\ \frac{1}{\alpha^{r-1}} \left[\frac{y'(\alpha^{r+1}z)}{y'(\alpha^r z)} - \frac{y'(0)}{y'(0)} \right] &= \int_0^z y'(\alpha^r t) \sum_{j=0}^m c_j (y(\alpha^j t))^2 dt, \quad \text{or} \\ \frac{1}{\alpha^{r-1}} \left[\frac{y'(\alpha^{r+1}z)}{y'(\alpha^r z)} - 1 \right] &= \int_0^z y'(\alpha^r t) \sum_{j=0}^m c_j (y(\alpha^j t))^2 dt, \quad \text{or} \\ \frac{1}{\alpha^{r-1}} [y'(\alpha^{r+1}z) - y'(\alpha^r z)] &= y'(\alpha^r z) \int_0^z y'(\alpha^r t) \sum_{j=0}^m c_j (y(\alpha^j t))^2 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Since $y(z)$ is an analytic function in a neighborhood of 0, $y(z)$ can be represented by a power series of the form

$$y(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} b_n z^n, \quad (2.2)$$

and we can see easily that $b_0 = \mu$, $b_1 = \eta$, and $y'(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (n+1)b_{n+1}z^n$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\alpha^{r-1}} [y'(\alpha^{r+1}z) - y'(\alpha^r z)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha^{r-1}} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (n+1)b_{n+1} \alpha^{(r+1)n} z^n - \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (n+1)b_{n+1} \alpha^{rn} z^n \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha^{r-1}} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (n+1)b_{n+1} (\alpha^n - 1) \alpha^{rn} z^n \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\alpha^{r-1}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (n+1)b_{n+1}(\alpha^n - 1)\alpha^{rn}z^n \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha^{r-1}} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (n+2)b_{n+2}(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)\alpha^{r(n+1)}z^{n+1} \right] \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (n+2)(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)\alpha^{rn+1}b_{n+2}z^{n+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\alpha^{r-1}} [y'(\alpha^{r+1}z) - y'(\alpha^r z)] = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (n+2)(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)\alpha^{rn+1}b_{n+2}z^{n+1}. \tag{2.4}$$

By means of (2.2), we get that

$$y^2(z) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} b_n z^n \right)^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n b_i b_{n-i} \right) z^n. \tag{2.5}$$

Then

$$y^2(\alpha^j z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n b_i b_{n-i} \right) \alpha^{jn} z^n, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m. \tag{2.6}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^z y'(\alpha^r t) \sum_{j=0}^m c_j (y(\alpha^j t))^2 dt \\
&= \int_0^z \left(\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (n+1)b_{n+1}\alpha^{rn}t^n \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \alpha^{jn} b_i b_{n-i} t^n \right) dt \\
&= \int_0^z \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \alpha^{(n-k)j+r} (k+1)b_i b_{k+1} b_{n-k-i} t^n dt \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \alpha^{(n-k)j+kr}}{n+1} (k+1)b_i b_{k+1} b_{n-k-i} \right) z^{n+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^z y'(\alpha^r t) \sum_{j=0}^m c_j (y(\alpha^j t))^2 dt = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \alpha^{(n-k)j+(k+1)r}}{n+1} (k+1)b_i b_{k+1} b_{n-k-i} z^{n+1}. \tag{2.8}$$

4 Analytic solution of functional differential equation

Next, we will consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 y'(\alpha^r z) & \int_0^z y'(\alpha^r t) \sum_{j=0}^m c_j (y(\alpha^j t))^2 dt \\
 & = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (n+1) b_{n+1} \alpha^{rn} z^n \right) \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \alpha^{(n-k)j+kr}}{n+1} (k+1) b_i b_{k+1} b_{n-k-i} z^{n+1} \\
 & = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-s} \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \alpha^{(n-s-k)j+(k+s)r}}{n-s+1} (s+1)(k+1) b_i b_{s+1} b_{k+1} b_{n-s-k-i} z^{n+1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 y'(\alpha^r z) & \int_0^z y'(\alpha^r t) \sum_{j=0}^m c_j (y(\alpha^j t))^2 dt \\
 & = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-s} \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \alpha^{(n-s-k)j+(k+s)r}}{n-s+1} (s+1)(k+1) b_i b_{s+1} b_{k+1} b_{n-s-k-i} z^{n+1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

We see that (1.3) is equivalent to the integrodifferential equation (2.1). By (2.1), (2.4), and (2.10), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (n+2)(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)\alpha^{rn+1} b_{n+2} & = \frac{\sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-s} \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \alpha^{(n-s-k)j+(k+s)r}}{n-s+1} \\
 & \quad \times (s+1)(k+1) b_i b_{s+1} b_{k+1} b_{n-s-k-i}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Therefore,

$$b_{n+2} = \frac{\sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-s} \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \alpha^{(n-s-k)j+(k+s)r-rn-1}}{(n+2)(n-s+1)(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)} (s+1)(k+1) b_i b_{s+1} b_{k+1} b_{n-s-k-i}, \tag{2.12}$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Next, we show that such a power series solution is majorized by a convergent power series. Now we begin with the following preparatory lemma, the proof of which can be found in [1, Chapter 6].

LEMMA 2.1. *Assume that (H3) holds. Then there is a positive number δ such that $|\alpha^n - 1|^{-1} < (2n)^\delta$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Furthermore, the sequence $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ defined by $d_1 = 1$ and $d_n = (1/|\alpha^{n-1} - 1|) \max_{n=n_1+n_2+\dots+n_r, 0 < n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_r, r \geq 2} \{d_{n_1} d_{n_2} \cdots d_{n_r}\}$, $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ satisfy $d_n \leq (2^{5\delta+1})^{n-1} n^{-2\delta}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.*

LEMMA 2.2. *Suppose that (H3) holds. Then, when $0 < |\eta| \leq 1$, (1.3) has an analytic solution of the form (2.2) in a neighborhood of the origin.*

Proof. For convenience, we let $M = \sum_{j=0}^m |c_j|$. By means of (2.12), it follows that for each $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{n+2}| &\leq \frac{\sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-s} \sum_{j=0}^m |c_j| |\alpha|^{(n-s-k)j+(k+s)r-rn-1}}{(n+2)(n-s+1) |(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)|} \\ &\quad \times (s+1)(k+1) |b_i| |b_{s+1}| |b_{k+1}| |b_{n-s-k-i}| \\ &\leq \frac{M}{|(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)|} \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-s} |b_i| |b_{s+1}| |b_{k+1}| |b_{n-s-k-i}|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Therefore,

$$|b_{n+2}| \leq \frac{M}{|(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)|} \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-s} |b_i| |b_{s+1}| |b_{k+1}| |b_{n-s-k-i}|, \quad (2.14)$$

where $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Let

$$Q(z, \omega) = \omega^4 - 2|\mu|\omega^3 + |\mu|^2\omega^2 - \frac{1}{M}(\omega - |\mu| - z) \quad (2.15)$$

for (z, ω) in a neighbor of $(0, |\mu|)$. We see that $Q(0, |\mu|) = |\mu|^4 - 2|\mu|^4 + |\mu|^4 - (1/M)(|\mu| - |\mu| - 0) = 0$ and $Q'_\omega(z, \omega) = 4\omega^3 - 6|\mu|\omega^2 + 2|\mu|^2\omega - 1/M$, so $Q'_\omega(0, |\mu|) = -1/M \neq 0$. Therefore, there exists a unique analytic function $G(z)$ in a neighborhood of 0 such that $G(0) = |\mu|$, $G'(0) = 1$ satisfy the equality $Q(z, G(z)) = 0$. It follows that

$$G(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} C_n z^n, \quad (2.16)$$

where $C_0 = |\mu|$, $C_1 = 1$ in a neighborhood of 0. Next, we will show that

$$C_{n+2} = M \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-s} C_i C_{s+1} C_{k+1} C_{n-s-k-i}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (2.17)$$

Suppose that (2.17) is true, by (2.16), we will get that

$$\begin{aligned} G^3(z) &= G(z)G^2(z) = \left(C_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} C_{n+1} z^{n+1} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n C_i C_{n-i} \right) z^n \right) \\ &= C_0 \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n C_i C_{n-i} \right) z^n + \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} C_i C_{k+1} C_{n-k-i} \right) z^{n+1}, \\ G^4(z) &= G(z)G^3(z) = \left(C_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} C_{n+1} z^{n+1} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left[C_0 \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n C_i C_{n-i} \right) z^n + \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} C_i C_{k+1} C_{n-k-i} \right) z^{n+1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

6 Analytic solution of functional differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}
&= C_0^2 \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n C_i C_{n-i} \right) z^n + 2C_0 \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} C_i C_{k+1} C_{n-k-i} \right) z^{n+1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(M \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-s} C_i C_{s+1} C_{k+1} C_{n-s-k-i} \right) z^{n+2} \\
&= C_0^2 G^2(z) + 2C_0 [G^3(z) - C_0 G^2(z)] + \frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} C_{n+2} z^{n+2} \\
&= 2C_0 G^3(z) - C_0^2 G^2(z) + \frac{1}{M} (G(z) - C_0 - C_1 z) \\
&= 2|\mu| G^3(z) - |\mu|^2 G^2(z) + \frac{1}{M} (G(z) - |\mu| - z),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

that is,

$$G^4(z) = 2|\mu| G^3(z) - |\mu|^2 G^2(z) + \frac{1}{M} (G(z) - |\mu| - z), \tag{2.19}$$

or

$$G^4(z) - 2|\mu| G^3(z) + |\mu|^2 G^2(z) - \frac{1}{M} (G(z) - |\mu| - z) = 0. \tag{2.20}$$

Hence, $Q(z, G(z)) = 0$. Furthermore, we see that $Q(z, G(z)) = 0$ if and only if (2.17) is true. Therefore, we conclude that (2.17) holds. Now, we know that the power series (2.16) converges in a neighborhood of 0. Therefore, there exists a positive constant P such that

$$C_n < P^n \tag{2.21}$$

for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. In the following lemma, we show that $|b_n| \leq C_n d_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where the sequence $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is defined as in Lemma 2.1. Indeed, $|b_1| = |\eta| \leq 1 = C_1 d_1$, so it suffices to prove that $|b_{n+1}| \leq C_{n+1} d_{n+1}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $P(n)$ denote the statement that $|b_{n+1}| \leq C_{n+1} d_{n+1}$. From (2.14) and (2.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|b_2| &\leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^m |c_j| \right) |\alpha - 1|^{-1} |b_0| |b_1| |b_1| |b_0| \\
&\leq M |\alpha - 1|^{-1} C_0 C_1 d_1 C_1 d_1 C_0 \\
&= (M C_0 C_1 C_1 C_0) (|\alpha - 1|^{-1} d_1 d_1) \\
&= C_2 |\alpha - 1|^{-1} \max_{\substack{n_1+n_2=2 \\ 0 < n_1 \leq n_2}} \{d_{n_1} d_{n_2}\} = C_2 d_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Thus, $P(2)$ is true. Next, suppose that $P(1), P(2), \dots, P(n)$ are true, that is, $|b_{s+1}| \leq C_{s+1} d_{s+1}$, for all $s = 1, 2, \dots, n$. By (2.14) and (2.17), we get that

$$|b_{n+2}| \leq \frac{M}{|(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)|} \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-s} |b_i| |b_{k+1}| |b_{s+1}| |b_{n-s-k-i}|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{M}{|(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)|} \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \left(|b_0| |b_{k+1}| |b_{s+1}| |b_{n-s-k}| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |b_{n-s-k}| |b_{k+1}| |b_{s+1}| |b_0| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{n-k-s-1} |b_i| |b_{k+1}| |b_{s+1}| |b_{n-s-k-i}| \right) \\
&= \frac{M}{|(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)|} \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \left(2|b_0| |b_{k+1}| |b_{s+1}| |b_{n-s-k}| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{n-k-s-1} |b_i| |b_{k+1}| |b_{s+1}| |b_{n-s-k-i}| \right) \\
&= \frac{M}{|(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)|} \left(\sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} 2|b_0| |b_{k+1}| |b_{s+1}| |b_{n-s-k}| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k-s-1} |b_i| |b_{k+1}| |b_{s+1}| |b_{n-s-k-i}| \right) \\
&= \frac{M}{|(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)|} \left[\sum_{s=0}^n \left(2|b_0| |b_{n-s+1}| |b_{s+1}| |b_0| \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{n-s-1} 2|b_0| |b_{k+1}| |b_{s+1}| |b_{n-s-k}| \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k-s-1} |b_i| |b_{k+1}| |b_{s+1}| |b_{n-s-k-i}| \right] \\
&= \frac{M}{|(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)|} \left[\sum_{s=0}^n 2|b_0| |b_{n-s+1}| |b_{s+1}| |b_0| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s-1} 2|b_0| |b_{k+1}| |b_{s+1}| |b_{n-s-k}| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k-s-1} |b_i| |b_{k+1}| |b_{s+1}| |b_{n-s-k-i}| \right] \\
&\leq \frac{M}{|(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)|} \left[\sum_{s=0}^n 2C_0^2 C_{n-s+1} d_{n-s+1} C_{s+1} d_{s+1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s-1} 2C_0 C_{k+1} d_{k+1} C_{s+1} d_{s+1} C_{n-s-k} d_{n-s-k} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k-s-1} C_i d_i C_{k+1} d_{k+1} C_{s+1} d_{s+1} C_{n-s-k-i} d_{n-s-k-i} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

8 Analytic solution of functional differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{M}{|(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)|} \left[\sum_{s=0}^n 2C_0^2 C_{n-s+1} C_{s+1} d_{n-s+1} d_{s+1} \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s-1} 2C_0 C_{k+1} C_{s+1} C_{n-s-k} d_{k+1} d_{s+1} d_{n-s-k} \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k-s-1} C_i C_{k+1} C_{s+1} C_{n-s-k-i} d_i d_{k+1} d_{s+1} d_{n-s-k-i} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{M}{|(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)|} \max_{\substack{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_t=n+2 \\ 0 < n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_t, t \geq 2}} \{d_{n_1} d_{n_2} \cdots d_{n_t}\} \\
&\quad \times \left[\sum_{s=0}^n 2C_0^2 C_{n-s+1} C_{s+1} + \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s-1} 2C_0 C_{k+1} C_{s+1} C_{n-s-k} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k-s-1} C_i C_{k+1} C_{s+1} C_{n-s-k-i} \right] \\
&= d_{n+2} C_{n+2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Therefore, $P(n+1)$ is true, we conclude that $|b_n| \leq C_n d_n$, for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. In view of (2.21) and Lemma 2.1, we see that

$$|b_n| \leq P^n (2^{5\delta+1})^{n-1} n^{-2\delta}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{2.24}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup |b_n|^{1/n} &\leq \limsup P (2^{5\delta+1})^{(n-1)/n} n^{-2\delta/n} \\
&= \lim P (2^{5\delta+1})^{(n-1)/n} n^{-2\delta/n} = P 2^{5\delta+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

Thus, $1/\limsup |b_n|^{1/n} \geq 1/P 2^{5\delta+1}$, which shows that power series (2.2) converges for $|z| < 1/P 2^{5\delta+1}$. The proof is complete. \square

LEMMA 2.3. *Suppose that (H1) holds. Then for any $r \geq m$, (1.3) has an analytic solution of the form (2.2) in a neighborhood of 0.*

Proof. For $r \geq m$, $0 \leq k+s \leq n$, we have $s+1 \leq n+1$, and $k+1 \leq n-s+1$, it follows that $(s+1)/(n+1) \leq 1$ and $(k+1)/(n-s+1) \leq 1$. Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(k+s+1)r + j(n-s-k) - rn \\
&= (k+s)r + r - (k+s)j + jn - rn \\
&= (k+s)(r-j) - n(r-j) + r \\
&= (k+s-n)(r-j) + r, \quad \text{so} \\
&(k+s+1)r + j(n-s-k) - rn = (k+s-n)(r-j) + r.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Since $|\alpha| > 1$, $|\alpha|^{(k+s+1)r+j(n-s-k)-rn} = |\alpha|^{(k+s-n)(r-j)+r} = |\alpha|^{(k+s-n)(r-j)}|\alpha|^r \leq |\alpha|^r$ and the sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{|\alpha|^{r-1} \sum_{j=0}^m |c_j|}{|\alpha|^{n+1} - 1} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \quad (2.27)$$

converges to 0, this sequence is bounded, namely, there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\frac{|\alpha|^{r-1} \sum_{j=0}^m |c_j|}{|\alpha|^{n+1} - 1} \leq M, \quad \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (2.28)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{(s+1)(k+1) \sum_{j=0}^m |c_j| |\alpha|^{(k+s+1)r+j(n-s-k)-rn-1}}{(n+2)(n-s+1)(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\alpha|^{r-1} \sum_{j=0}^m |c_j|}{|\alpha|^{n+1} - 1} \leq \frac{|\alpha|^{r-1} \sum_{j=0}^m |c_j|}{|\alpha|^{n+1} - 1} \leq M, \quad \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

In view of (2.10), we get that

$$|b_{n+2}| \leq M \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-s} |b_i| |b_{s+1}| |b_{k+1}| |b_{n-s-k-i}|, \quad \forall n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.30)$$

We define a sequence $\{D_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ by $D_0 = |\mu|$, $D_1 = |\eta|$ and

$$D_{n+2} = M \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-s} D_i D_{s+1} D_{k+1} D_{n-s-k-i}, \quad \forall n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.31)$$

Next, we will show that $|b_{n+1}| \leq D_{n+1}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. By definition of D_n , we have $|b_0| \leq D_0$, $|b_1| \leq D_1$ and we let $P(n)$ denote the statement that $|b_{n+1}| \leq D_{n+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |b_2| & \leq M \sum_{s=0}^0 \sum_{k=0}^{0-s} \sum_{i=0}^{0-k-s} |b_i| |b_{s+1}| |b_{k+1}| |b_{0-s-k-i}| \\ & = M |b_0| |b_1| |b_1| |b_0| = M |b_0|^2 |b_1|^2 = D_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

Therefore, $P(1)$ is true. Next, suppose that $P(1), P(2), \dots, P(n)$ are true, so $|b_{t+1}| \leq D_{t+1}$, for $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{n+2}| & \leq M \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-s} |b_i| |b_{s+1}| |b_{k+1}| |b_{n-s-k-i}| \\ & \leq M \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-s} D_i D_{s+1} D_{k+1} D_{n-s-k-i} = D_{n+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

10 Analytic solution of functional differential equation

Hence, $P(n+1)$ is true, so we can conclude that $|b_n| \leq D_n$, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Now, if we define

$$G(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} D_n z^n, \quad (2.34)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} G^3(z) &= G(z)G^2(z) = \left(D_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} D_{n+1} z^{n+1} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n D_i D_{n-i} \right) z^n \right) \\ &= D_0 \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n D_i D_{n-i} \right) z^n + \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} D_i D_{k+1} D_{n-k-i} \right) z^{n+1}, \\ G^4(z) &= G(z)G^3(z) = \left(D_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} D_{n+1} z^{n+1} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left[D_0 \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n D_i D_{n-i} \right) z^n + \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} D_i D_{k+1} D_{n-k-i} \right) z^{n+1} \right] \\ [5pt] &= D_0^2 \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n D_i D_{n-i} \right) z^n + 2D_0 \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} D_i D_{k+1} D_{n-k-i} \right) z^{n+1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(M \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-s} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-s} D_i D_{s+1} D_{k+1} D_{n-s-k-i} \right) z^{n+2} \\ &= D_0^2 G^2(z) + 2D_0 [G^3(z) - D_0 G^2(z)] + \frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} D_{n+2} z^{n+2} \\ &= 2D_0 G^3(z) - D_0^2 G^2(z) + \frac{1}{M} (G(z) - D_0 - D_1 z) \\ &= 2|\mu| G^3(z) - |\mu|^2 G^2(z) + \frac{1}{M} (G(z) - |\mu| - |\eta|z). \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

Thus,

$$G^4(z) - 2|\mu| G^3(z) + |\mu|^2 G^2(z) - \frac{1}{M} (G(z) - |\mu| - |\eta|z) = 0. \quad (2.36)$$

Let

$$R(z, \omega) = \omega^4 - 2|\mu|\omega^3 + |\mu|^2\omega^2 - \frac{1}{M}(\omega - |\mu| - |\eta|z) \quad (2.37)$$

for (z, ω) in a neighborhood of $(0, |\mu|)$, so we see that $R(0, \mu) = |\mu|^4 - 2|\mu|^4 + |\mu|^4 - (1/M)(|\mu| - |\mu| - |\eta|0) = 0$ and $R'_\omega(z, \omega) = 4\omega^3 - 6|\mu|\omega^2 + 2|\mu|^2\omega - 1/M$, then $R'_\omega(0, |\mu|) = -1/M \neq 0$. Therefore, there exists a unique function $\omega(z)$ which is analytic in a

neighborhood of 0 such that $\omega(0) = |\mu|$, $\omega'(0) = |\eta|$ and satisfies $R(z, \omega(z)) = 0$. According to (2.34) and (2.36), we have $G(z) = \omega(z)$. It follows that the power series (2.34) converges in a neighborhood of 0, which implies that the power series (2.2) is also convergent in a neighborhood of 0. The proof is complete. \square

LEMMA 2.4. *Suppose that (H2) holds. Then for either $0 < r \leq m$ and $c_0 = 0, c_1 = 0, \dots, c_{r-1} = 0$, or $r = 0$, (1.3) has an analytic solution of the form (2.2) in a neighborhood of 0.*

Proof. By assumption, we get that

$$\left\{ \frac{|\alpha|^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^m |c_j|}{1 - |\alpha|^{n+1}} \right\}_{n=1}^{+\infty} \quad (2.38)$$

converges to $|\alpha|^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^m |c_j|$, so it is a bounded sequence which implies that there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\frac{|\alpha|^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^m |c_j|}{1 - |\alpha|^{n+1}} \leq M, \quad \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (2.39)$$

There are two cases to consider as follows.

Case 1. $r = 0$. As $0 \leq k + s \leq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{(s+1)(k+1) \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \alpha^{(n-s-k)j+(k+s+1)r-rn-1}}{(n+2)(n-s+1)(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{(s+1)(k+1) \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \alpha^{(n-s-k)j-1}}{(n+2)(n-s+1)(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)} \right| \leq \frac{|\alpha|^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^m |c_j|}{1 - |\alpha|^{n+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

Case 2. $0 < r \leq m$ and $c_0 = 0, c_1 = 0, \dots, c_{r-1} = 0$. We see that $|\alpha|^{(r-j)(k+s-n)} \leq 1$, where $r \leq j \leq m$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha|^{(n-s-k)j+(k+s+1)r-rn-1} &= |\alpha|^{(r-j)(k+s-n)+r-1} \\ &= |\alpha|^{(r-j)(k+s-n)} |\alpha|^r |\alpha|^{-1} \leq |\alpha|^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

Thus,

$$|\alpha|^{(n-s-k)j+(k+s+1)r-rn-1} \leq |\alpha|^{-1}. \quad (2.42)$$

Next, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{(s+1)(k+1) \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \alpha^{(n-s-k)j+(k+s+1)r-rn-1}}{(n+2)(n-s+1)(\alpha^{n+1} - 1)} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\sum_{j=0}^m |c_j| |\alpha|^{(n-s-k)j+(k+s+1)r-rn-1}}{1 - |\alpha|^{n+1}} \leq \frac{|\alpha|^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^m |c_j|}{1 - |\alpha|^{n+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

Therefore, by both cases, we have

$$\left| \frac{(s+1)(k+1) \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \alpha^{(n-s-k)j+(k+s+1)r-rn-1}}{(n+2)(n-s+1)(\alpha^{n+1}-1)} \right| \leq \frac{|\alpha|^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^m |c_j|}{1-|\alpha|^{n+1}}. \quad (2.44)$$

It follows that

$$\left| \frac{(s+1)(k+1) \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \alpha^{(n-s-k)j+(k+s+1)r-rn-1}}{(n+2)(n-s+1)(\alpha^{n+1}-1)} \right| \leq M \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (2.45)$$

The conclusion of Lemma 2.4 now follows easily from the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.3. \square

3. Main results

We now state the main result of this paper. Consider the following three hypotheses:

- (i) (H3) holds;
- (ii) (H1) holds, and $r \geq m$;
- (iii) (H2) holds, and either $0 < r \leq m$ and $c_0 = 0, c_1 = 0, \dots, c_{r-1} = 0$, or $r = 0$.

THEOREM 3.1. *Suppose one of the conditions (i), (ii), or (iii) is fulfilled. Then, for any μ , (1.2) has an analytic solution $x(z)$ in a neighborhood of μ satisfying the initial conditions $x(\mu) = \mu, x'(\mu) = \alpha$. This solution has the form $x(z) = y(\alpha y^{-1}(z))$, where $y(z)$ is an analytic solution of the initial value problem (1.3)-(1.4).*

Proof. In view of Lemmas 2.2–2.4, we may find a sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=2}^\infty$ such that the function $y(z)$ of the form (2.2) is an analytic solution of (1.3) in a neighborhood of 0. Since $y'(0) = \eta \neq 0$, the function $y^{-1}(z)$ is analytic in a neighborhood of the $y(0) = \mu$. If we define $x(z)$ by means of (1.5), then

$$\begin{aligned} x''(x^{[r]}(z)) &= x''(y(\alpha^r y^{-1}(z))) \\ &= \frac{\alpha^2 y''(\alpha^{r+1} y^{-1}(z)) y'(\alpha^r y^{-1}(z)) - \alpha y'(\alpha^{r+1} y^{-1}(z)) y''(\alpha^r y^{-1}(z))}{[y'(\alpha^r y^{-1}(z))]^3} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^m c_j (y(\alpha^j y^{-1}(z)))^2, \quad \text{by (1.3),} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^m c_j (x^{[j]}(z))^2, \quad \text{as required.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

The proof is complete. \square

We now show how to explicitly construct an analytic solution of (1.2). Since $x(z) = y(\alpha y^{-1}(z))$, $x(\mu) = y(\alpha y^{-1}(\mu)) = y(0) = \mu$. By Theorem 3.1, $x(z)$ is an analytic function in a neighborhood of μ . Thus $x(z)$ can be written in a neighborhood of μ as

$$x(z) = \mu + x'(\mu)(z - \mu) + \frac{x''(\mu)(z - \mu)^2}{2!} + \frac{x'''(\mu)(z - \mu)^3}{3!} + \dots \quad (3.2)$$

Next, we will determine the derivatives $x^{(n)}(\mu)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We have $x(z) = y(\alpha y^{-1}(z))$, so that $x'(z) = \alpha y'(\alpha y^{-1}(z))/y'(y^{-1}(z))$. That is, $x'(\mu) = \alpha y'(\alpha y^{-1}(\mu))/y'(y^{-1}(\mu)) = \alpha y'(0)/y'(0) = \alpha$. Hence $x'(\mu) = \alpha$. By means of (1.2), we get that

$$x''(\mu) = x''(x^{[r]}(\mu)) = \sum_{j=0}^m c_j (x^{[j]}(\mu))^2 = \mu^2 \sum_{j=0}^m c_j; \tag{3.3}$$

hence $x''(\mu) = \mu^2 \sum_{j=0}^m c_j$. Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x''(x^{[r]}(z)))' &= x'''(x^{[r]}(z))(x^{[r]}(z))' \\ &= x'''(x^{[r]}(z))x'(x^{[r-1]}(z))x'(x^{[r-2]}(z)) \cdots x'(x(z))x'(z). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore, the derivative of $(x''(x^{[r]}(z)))$ at $z = \mu$ is

$$\begin{aligned} x'''(x^{[r]}(\mu))x'(x^{[r-1]}(\mu))x'(x^{[r-2]}(\mu)) \cdots x'(x(\mu))x'(\mu) &= x'''(\mu)[x'(\mu)]^r = x'''(\mu)\alpha^r, \\ \left(\sum_{j=0}^m c_j (x^{[j]}(z))^2 \right)' &= \sum_{j=0}^m c_j ((x^{[j]}(z))^2)' = 2 \sum_{j=0}^m c_j x^{[j]}(z)(x^{[j]}(z))' \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=0}^m c_j x^{[j]}(z)x'(x^{[j-1]}(z))x'(x^{[j-2]}(z)) \cdots x'(x(z))x'(z). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Hence, the first derivative of $(\sum_{j=0}^m c_j (x^{[j]}(z))^2)$ at $z = \mu$ is $2\mu \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \alpha^j$. Next, by taking the first derivative of (1.2) at $z = \mu$, we get that

$$x'''(\mu)\alpha^r = 2\mu \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \alpha^j. \tag{3.6}$$

Thus,

$$x'''(\mu) = 2\mu \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \alpha^{j-r}. \tag{3.7}$$

In general, we can show by induction that

$$\begin{aligned} (x''(x^{[r]}(z)))^{(n+1)} &= ((x^{[r]}(z))')^{n+1} x^{(n+3)}(x^{[r]}(z)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n \left[P_{k,n+1} \left((x^{[r]}(z))', (x^{[r]}(z))'', \dots, (x^{[r]}(z))^{(n+1)} \right) \right] x^{(k+2)}(x^{[r]}(z)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and

$$(x^{[j]}(z))^{(l)} = Q_{jl}(x_{10}(z), \dots, x_{1,j-1}(z); \dots; x_{l0}(z), \dots, x_{l,j-1}(z)), \tag{3.9}$$

14 Analytic solution of functional differential equation

respectively, where $x_{ij}(z) = x^{(i)}(x^{[j]}(z))$, P_{jk} and Q_{jl} are polynomials with nonnegative coefficients. Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\sum_{j=0}^m c_j \left((x^{[j]}(z))^2 \right) \right)^{(n+1)} &= \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \left((x^{[j]}(z))^2 \right)^{(n+1)} \\
 &= 2 \sum_{j=0}^m c_j (x^{[j]}(z)) \left((x^{[j]}(z))' \right)^{(n)} \\
 &= 2 \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \left(\sum_{k=0}^n C_k^n (x^{[j]}(z))^{(k)} (x^{[j]}(z))^{(n-k+1)} \right) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n c_j C_k^n (x^{[j]}(z))^{(k)} (x^{[j]}(z))^{(n-k+1)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

For convenience, we denote the following notations:

$$\beta_{jl} = Q_{jl}(x'(\mu), \dots, x^{(j)}(\mu); \dots; x^{(j)}(\mu), \dots, x^{(j)}(\mu)), \tag{3.11}$$

where the number of repeats of $x^{(t)}(\mu)$ is l , for $t = 1, 2, \dots, j$. Then, we see that $\beta_{lj} = (x^{[j]}(\mu))^{(l)}$. By differentiating (1.1) for $n + 1$ times at $z = \mu$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &((x^{[r]}(\mu))')^{n+1} x^{(n+3)}(x^{[r]}(\mu)) \\
 &+ \sum_{k=1}^n \left[P_{k,n+1} \left((x^{[r]}(\mu))', (x^{[r]}(\mu))'', \dots, (x^{[r]}(\mu))^{(n+1)} \right) \right] x^{(k+2)}(x^{[r]}(\mu)) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n c_j C_k^n (x^{[j]}(\mu))^{(k)} (x^{[j]}(\mu))^{(n-k+1)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Thus,

$$\alpha^{r(n+1)} x^{(n+3)}(\mu) + \sum_{k=1}^n [P_{k,n+1}(\beta_{1r}, \beta_{2r}, \dots, \beta_{n+1,r})] x^{(k+2)}(\mu) = 2 \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n c_j C_k^n \beta_{kj} \beta_{n-k+1,j}. \tag{3.13}$$

This shows that

$$x^{(n+3)}(\mu) = \frac{2 \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n c_j C_k^n \beta_{kj} \beta_{n-k+1,j} - \sum_{k=1}^n [P_{k,n+1}(\beta_{1r}, \beta_{2r}, \dots, \beta_{n+1,r})] x^{(k+2)}(\mu)}{\alpha^{r(n+1)}}, \tag{3.14}$$

where $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By means of this formula, it is then easy to write out the explicit form of our solution $x(z)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(z) = & \mu + \alpha(z - \mu) + \frac{\mu^2}{2!} \sum_{j=0}^m c_j (z - \mu)^2 + \frac{2\mu}{3!} \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \alpha^{j-r} (z - \mu)^3 \\
 & + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(n+3)!} x^{(n+3)}(\mu) (z - \mu)^{n+3}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.15}$$

Example 3.2. The following example shows how to construct an analytic solution by using the previous argument. Consider the following functional equation:

$$x''(x(z)) = x^2(z) + (x^{[2]}(z))^2.
 \tag{3.16}$$

This is just (1.2) with the choice of $r = 1$, $m = 2$, $c_0 = 1$, $c_1 = 1$, and $c_2 = 1$. We can easily see that (3.16) satisfies condition (iii) of Theorem 3.1; hence, for any complex numbers μ and α such that $0 < |\alpha| < 1$, (3.16) has an analytic solution $x(z)$ in a neighborhood of μ which satisfies $x(\mu) = \mu$ and $x'(\mu) = \alpha$. This analytic solution has the form as in (3.2) in case $r = 1$, $m = 2$, $c_0 = 1$, $c_1 = 1$, and $c_2 = 1$. We already know that $x(\mu) = \mu$ and $x'(\mu) = \alpha$. We will find $x^{(n)}(\mu)$, $n \geq 2$. For $n = 2$, it follows from (3.16) that

$$x''(\mu) = x''(x(\mu)) = x^2(\mu) + (x^{[2]}(\mu))^2 = 2\mu^2.
 \tag{3.17}$$

For $n = 3$, it follows from (3.16) that

$$x''(x(z))' = (x^2(z))' + ((x^{[2]}(z))^2)'.
 \tag{3.18}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 x'''(x(z))x'(z) &= 2x(z)x'(z) + 2x^{[2]}(z)x'(x(z))x'(z) \\
 &= 2x'(z)[x(z) + x^{[2]}(z)x'(x(z))].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.19}$$

By putting $z = \mu$, we obtain

$$x'''(\mu)\alpha = 2\alpha[\mu + \mu\alpha],
 \tag{3.20}$$

which gives

$$x'''(\mu) = 2(1 + \alpha)\mu.
 \tag{3.21}$$

Similarly, for $n = 4$, we obtain

$$x^{(4)}(\mu) = 2(1 + 2\mu^3 + \alpha^2) + \frac{4(1 + \mu)\mu^3}{\alpha^2}.
 \tag{3.22}$$

By continuing this process, we obtain an analytic solution of (3.16) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(z) = & \mu + \alpha(z - \mu) + \mu^2(z - \mu)^2 + \frac{(1 + \alpha)}{3}(z - \mu)^3 \\
 & + \left(\frac{1 + 2\mu^3 + \alpha^2}{12} + \frac{(1 + \mu)\mu^3}{6\alpha^2} \right) (z - \mu)^4 + \dots .
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.23}$$

Acknowledgments

The second author is supported by Thailand Research Fund (Grant no. RSA4780012) and Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University. The authors thank the referees for careful readings and helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] M. Kuczma, *Functional Equations in a Single Variable*, Monografie Matematyczne, Tom 46, Polish Scientific, Warszawa, 1968.
- [2] J.-G. Si and X.-P. Wang, *Analytic solutions of a second-order iterative functional differential equation*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics **126** (2000), no. 1-2, 277–285.
- [3] ———, *Analytic solutions of a second-order iterative functional differential equation*, Computers & Mathematics with Applications **43** (2002), no. 1-2, 81–90.
- [4] J.-G. Si, X.-P. Wang, and S. S. Cheng, *Analytic solutions of a functional-differential equation with a state derivative dependent delay*, Aequationes Mathematicae **57** (1999), no. 1, 75–86.
- [5] J.-G. Si, X.-P. Wang, and W.-N. Zhang, *Analytic invariant curves for a planar map*, Applied Mathematics Letters **15** (2002), no. 5, 567–573.
- [6] J.-G. Si and W. Zhang, *Analytic solutions of a nonlinear iterative equation near neutral fixed points and poles*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **284** (2003), no. 1, 373–388.
- [7] ———, *Analytic solutions of a class of iterative functional differential equations*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics **162** (2004), no. 2, 467–481.
- [8] ———, *Analytic solutions of a second-order nonautonomous iterative functional differential equation*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **306** (2005), no. 2, 398–412.
- [9] J.-G. Si, W. Zhang, and G.-H. Kim, *Analytic solutions of an iterative functional differential equation*, Applied Mathematics and Computation **150** (2004), no. 3, 647–659.
- [10] X.-P. Wang and J.-G. Si, *Analytic solutions of an iterative functional differential equation*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **262** (2001), no. 2, 490–498.
- [11] B. Xu, W. Zhang, and J.-G. Si, *Analytic solutions of an iterative functional differential equation which may violate the Diophantine condition*, Journal of Difference Equations and Applications **10** (2004), no. 2, 201–211.

Theeradach Kaewong: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand

E-mail address: theeradach@tsu.ac.th

Piyapong Niamsup: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand

E-mail address: scipnmsp@chiangmai.ac.th