

STRONG CONVERGENCE OF MODIFIED NOOR ITERATIONS

YONGFU SU AND XIAOLONG QIN

Received 16 December 2005; Revised 24 February 2006; Accepted 12 March 2006

In this paper, strong convergence theorem is obtained for the modified Noor iterations in the framework of uniformly smooth Banach spaces. Our results extend and improve the recent ones announced by Wittman, Kim, Xu, and some others.

Copyright © 2006 Hindawi Publishing Corporation. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let E be a real Banach space, C a nonempty closed convex subset of E , and $T : C \rightarrow C$ a mapping. Recall that T is nonexpansive if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C. \quad (1.1)$$

A point $x \in C$ is a fixed point of T provided $Tx = x$. Denote by $F(T)$ the set of fixed points of T ; that is, $F(T) = \{x \in C : Tx = x\}$. It is assumed throughout the paper that T is a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$.

One classical way to study nonexpansive mappings is to use contractions to approximate a nonexpansive mapping [1, 9]. More precisely, take $t \in (0, 1)$ and define a contraction $T_t : C \rightarrow C$ by

$$T_t x = tu + (1 - t)Tx, \quad x \in C, \quad (1.2)$$

where $u \in C$ is a fixed point. Banach's contraction mapping principle guarantees that T_t has a unique fixed point x_t in C . It is unclear, in general, what the behavior of x_t is as $t \rightarrow 0$, even if T has a fixed point. However, in the case of T having a fixed point, Browder [1] proved that if E is a Hilbert space, then x_t does converge strongly to the fixed point of T that is nearest to u . Reich [9] extended Browder's results to the setting of Banach spaces and proved that if E is a uniformly smooth Banach space, then x_t converges strongly to a fixed point of T and the limit defines the (unique) sunny nonexpansive retraction from C onto $F(T)$.

2 Nonexpansive mapping

Halpern [5] firstly introduced this iteration scheme:

$$x_0 = x \in C \quad \text{arbitrarily,} \quad x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) T x_n; \quad (1.3)$$

see also Browder [2]. He pointed out that the conditions $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ are necessary in the sense that if the iteration scheme (1.3) converges to a fixed point of T , then these conditions must be satisfied. Ten years later, Lions [7] investigated the general case in Hilbert space under the conditions

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_{n+1}^2} = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

on the parameters. However, Lions' conditions on the parameters were more restrictive and did not include the natural candidate $\{\alpha_n = 1/n\}$. Reich [9] gave the iteration scheme (1.3) in the case when E is uniformly smooth and $\alpha_n = n^{-\delta}$ with $0 < \delta < 1$.

Wittmann [11] studied the iteration scheme (1.3) in the case when E is a Hilbert space and $\{\alpha_n\}$ satisfies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n\| < \infty. \quad (1.5)$$

Reich [10] obtained a strong convergence of the iterates (1.3) with two necessary and decreasing conditions on parameters for convergence in the case when E is uniformly smooth with a weakly continuous duality mapping.

This paper introduces the composite iteration scheme:

$$\begin{aligned} w_n &= \delta_n x_n + (1 - \delta_n) T x_n, & z_n &= \gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) T w_n, \\ y_n &= \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) T z_n, & x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n, \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where $u \in C$ is an arbitrary (but fixed) element in C , and $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$, and $\{\delta_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$. We prove, under certain appropriate assumptions on the sequences $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$, and $\{\delta_n\}$ that $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.6) converges to a fixed point of T .

If $\delta_n = 1$ in (1.6), then iterative scheme (1.6) is a modified Ishikawa iteration:

$$z_n = \gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) T x_n, \quad y_n = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) T z_n, \quad x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n. \quad (1.7)$$

If $\gamma_n = 1$ in (1.6), then iterative scheme (1.6) is a modified Mann iteration:

$$y_n = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) T x_n, \quad x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n. \quad (1.8)$$

If $\gamma_n = 1$ and $\beta_n = 0$ in (1.6), then iterative scheme (1.6) is a usual Halpern iteration defined by (1.3).

Kim and Xu [6] proved the iteration scheme (1.8) converges to fixed point of T in uniformly smooth Banach spaces.

It is our purpose in this paper to introduce composite iteration scheme (1.6) for approximating a fixed point of nonexpansive mappings in the framework of uniformly

smooth Banach spaces; we establish the strong convergence of the composite iteration scheme $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.6). The results improve and extend results of Kim and Xu [6], Wittmann [11], and others.

Let E be a real Banach space and let J denote the normalized duality mapping from E into 2^{E^*} given by

$$J(x) = \{f \in E^* : \langle x, f \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|f\|^2\}, \quad x \in E, \tag{1.9}$$

where E^* denotes the dual space of E and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing.

The norm of E is said to be Gâteaux differentiable (and E is said to be smooth) if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t} \tag{1.10}$$

exists for each x, y in its unit sphere $U = \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$. It is said to be uniformly Fréchet differentiable (and E is said to be uniformly smooth) if the limit in (1.10) is attained uniformly for $(x, y) \in U \times U$.

We need the following definitions and lemmas for the proof of our main results.

LEMMA 1.1. *A Banach space E is uniformly smooth if and only if the duality map J is single-valued and norm-to-norm uniformly continuous on bounded sets of E .*

LEMMA 1.2. *In a Banach space E , there holds the inequality*

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, j(x + y) \rangle, \quad x, y \in E, \tag{1.11}$$

where $j(x + y) \in J(x + y)$.

Recall that if C and D are nonempty subsets of a Banach space E such that C is nonempty closed convex and $D \subset C$, then a map $Q : C \rightarrow D$ is sunny [3, 8] provided $Q(x + t(x - Q(x))) = Q(x)$ for all $x \in C$ and $t \geq 0$ whenever $x + t(x - Q(x)) \in C$. A sunny nonexpansive retraction is a sunny retraction, which is also nonexpansive. Sunny nonexpansive retractions play an important role in our argument. They are characterized as follows [3, 4, 8]: if E is a smooth Banach space, then $Q : C \rightarrow D$ is a sunny nonexpansive retraction if and only if there holds the inequality

$$\langle x - Qx, J(y - Qx) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall x \in C, y \in D. \tag{1.12}$$

Reich [9] showed that if E is uniformly smooth and if D is the fixed point set of a nonexpansive mapping from C into itself, then there is a sunny nonexpansive retraction from C onto D and it can be constructed as follows.

LEMMA 1.3 (see Reich [9]). *Let E be a uniformly smooth Banach space and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with a fixed point $x_t \in C$ of the contraction $C \ni x \mapsto tu + (1 - t)tx$ that converges strongly as $t \rightarrow 0$ to a fixed point of T . Define $Q : C \rightarrow F(T)$ by $Qu = s - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} x_t$. Then Q is the unique sunny nonexpansive retract from C onto $F(T)$; that is, Q satisfies the property*

$$\langle u - Qu, J(z - Qu) \rangle \leq 0, \quad u \in C, z \in F(T). \tag{1.13}$$

4 Nonexpansive mapping

LEMMA 1.4 (see Xu [12, 13]). Let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the property

$$\alpha_{n+1} \leq (1 - \gamma_n)\alpha_n + \gamma_n\sigma_n, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.14)$$

where $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset (0, 1)$ and $\{\sigma_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ such that

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \gamma_n = \infty$,
- (ii) either $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n \leq 0$ or $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |\gamma_n\sigma_n| < \infty$.

Then $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ converges to zero.

2. Main results

THEOREM 2.1. Let C be a closed convex subset of a uniformly smooth Banach space E and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Given a point $u \in C$, the initial guess $x_0 \in C$ is chosen arbitrarily, and given sequences $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in $(0, 1)$ and $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in $[0, 1]$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n = \infty$, $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$;
- (ii) $\beta_n + (1 + \beta_n)(1 - \gamma_n)(2 - \delta_n) \in [0, a]$ for some $a \in (0, 1)$;
- (iii) $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty$, $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| < \infty$, $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n| < \infty$, and $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n| < \infty$.

Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a composite process defined by

$$\begin{aligned} w_n &= \delta_n x_n + (1 - \delta_n)Tx_n, & z_n &= \gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n)Tw_n, \\ y_n &= \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n)Tz_n, & x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges strongly to a fixed point of T .

Proof. First we observe that $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is bounded. Indeed, if we take a fixed point p of T , note that

$$\|w_n - p\| \leq \delta_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \delta_n) \|Tx_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\|. \quad (2.2)$$

It follows from (1.6) and (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - p\| &\leq \gamma_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \gamma_n) \|Tw_n - p\| \\ &\leq \gamma_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \gamma_n) \|w_n - p\| \\ &\leq \gamma_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|, \\ \|y_n - p\| &\leq \beta_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \beta_n) \|Tz_n - p\| \\ &\leq \beta_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \beta_n) \|z_n - p\| \\ &\leq \beta_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\| &\leq \alpha_n \|u - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|y_n - p\| \\
&\leq \alpha_n \|u - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - p\| \\
&\leq \max \{ \|u - p\|, \|x_n - p\| \}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Now, an induction yields

$$\|x_n - p\| \leq \max \{ \|u - p\|, \|x_0 - p\| \}, \quad n \geq 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Hence, $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, so are $\{y_n\}$, $\{z_n\}$, and $\{w_n\}$. Next, we claim that

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0. \tag{2.6}$$

In order to prove (2.6), it follows from (1.6) that

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n, \quad x_n = \alpha_{n-1} u + (1 - \alpha_{n-1}) y_{n-1}. \tag{2.7}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1} - x_n &= (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)(Tz_n - Tz_{n-1}) + (1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n(x_n - x_{n-1}) \\
&\quad + [(\beta_n - \beta_{n-1})(1 - \alpha_n) - (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1})\beta_{n-1}](x_{n-1} - Tz_{n-1}) \\
&\quad + (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1})(u - Tz_{n-1}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| &\leq (1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n) \|Tz_n - Tz_{n-1}\| + (1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + |(\beta_n - \beta_{n-1})(1 - \alpha_n) - (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1})\beta_{n-1}| \|x_{n-1} - Tz_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|u - Tz_{n-1}\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| &\leq (1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n) \|z_n - z_{n-1}\| + (1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + |(\beta_n - \beta_{n-1})(1 - \alpha_n) - (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1})\beta_{n-1}| \|x_{n-1} - Tz_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|u - Tz_{n-1}\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Again, from (1.6) we obtain

$$w_n = \delta_n x_n + (1 - \delta_n) T x_n, \quad w_{n-1} = \delta_{n-1} x_{n-1} + (1 - \delta_{n-1}) T x_{n-1}; \tag{2.11}$$

therefore

$$w_n - w_{n-1} = (1 - \delta_n)(T x_n - T x_{n-1}) + \delta_n(x_n - x_{n-1}) + (\delta_n - \delta_{n-1})(x_{n-1} - T x_{n-1}), \tag{2.12}$$

6 Nonexpansive mapping

that is,

$$\|w_n - w_{n-1}\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + |\delta_n - \delta_{n-1}| \|x_{n-1} - Tx_{n-1}\|. \quad (2.13)$$

Similarly we obtain

$$z_n = \gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) Tw_n, \quad z_{n-1} = \gamma_{n-1} x_{n-1} + (1 - \gamma_{n-1}) Tw_{n-1}. \quad (2.14)$$

Thus,

$$z_n - z_{n-1} = (1 - \gamma_n)(Tw_n - Tw_{n-1}) + \gamma_n(x_n - x_{n-1}) + (\gamma_n - \gamma_{n-1})(x_{n-1} - Tw_{n-1}), \quad (2.15)$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - z_{n-1}\| &\leq (1 - \gamma_n) \|Tw_n - Tw_{n-1}\| + \gamma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + |\gamma_n - \gamma_{n-1}| \|x_{n-1} - Tw_{n-1}\| \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma_n) \|w_n - w_{n-1}\| + \gamma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + |\gamma_n - \gamma_{n-1}| \|x_{n-1} - Tw_{n-1}\|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Now, substituting (2.13) into (2.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - z_{n-1}\| &\leq (1 - \gamma_n) \|w_n - w_{n-1}\| + \gamma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + |\gamma_n - \gamma_{n-1}| \|x_{n-1} - Tw_{n-1}\| \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma_n) (\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + |\delta_n - \delta_{n-1}| \|x_{n-1} - Tx_{n-1}\|) \\ &\quad + \gamma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + |\gamma_n - \gamma_{n-1}| \|x_{n-1} - Tw_{n-1}\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + |\delta_n - \delta_{n-1}| \|x_{n-1} - Tx_{n-1}\| + |\gamma_n - \gamma_{n-1}| \|x_{n-1} - Tw_{n-1}\|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

It follows that

$$\|z_n - z_{n-1}\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + (|\delta_{n-1} - \delta_n| + |\gamma_{n-1} - \gamma_n|) M_1, \quad (2.18)$$

where M_1 is a constant such that

$$M_1 \geq \max \{ \|x_{n-1} - Tx_{n-1}\|, \|Tw_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\| \}. \quad (2.19)$$

Substituting (2.18) into (2.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n) (|\delta_{n-1} - \delta_n| + |\gamma_{n-1} - \gamma_n|) M_1 \\ &\quad + (\beta_n - \beta_{n-1})(1 - \alpha_n) - (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1})\beta_{n-1} \|x_{n-1} - Tz_{n-1}\| \\ &\quad + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|u - Tz_{n-1}\|, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \\ &\quad + M (|\gamma_n - \gamma_{n-1}| + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| + 2|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| + |\delta_n - \delta_{n-1}|), \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

where M is a constant such that

$$M \geq \max \{ \|u - Tz_{n-1}\|, \|x_{n-1} - Tz_{n-1}\|, M_1 \} \quad (2.22)$$

for all n . By assumptions (i)–(iii), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty, \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|\gamma_n - \gamma_{n-1}| + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| + 2|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| + |\delta_n - \delta_{n-1}|) < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Hence, Lemma 1.4 is applicable to (2.21) and we obtain

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.24)$$

On the other hand, from (1.6) and condition (i), we have

$$\|x_{n+1} - y_n\| = \alpha_n \|u - y_n\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx_n - x_n\| &\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| + \|y_n - Tz_n\| + \|Tz_n - Tx_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| + \|y_n - Tz_n\| + \|z_n - x_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| + \beta_n \|x_n - Tz_n\| + \|z_n - x_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| + \beta_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| \\ &\quad + \beta_n \|Tx_n - Tz_n\| + \|z_n - x_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| + \beta_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| \\ &\quad + \beta_n \|x_n - z_n\| + \|z_n - x_n\| \\ &= \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| + \beta_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| \\ &\quad + (1 + \beta_n) \|x_n - z_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| + \beta_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| \\ &\quad + (1 + \beta_n) (1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - Tw_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| + \beta_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| \\ &\quad + (1 + \beta_n) (1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - Tx_n\| + (1 + \beta_n) (1 - \gamma_n) \|Tx_n - Tw_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| + \beta_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| \\ &\quad + (1 + \beta_n) (1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - Tx_n\| + (1 + \beta_n) (1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - w_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| + \beta_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| \\ &\quad + (1 + \beta_n) (1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - Tx_n\| + (1 + \beta_n) (1 - \gamma_n) (1 - \delta_n) \|Tx_n - x_n\|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

8 Nonexpansive mapping

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{1 - [\beta_n + (1 + \beta_n)(1 - \gamma_n) + (1 + \beta_n)(1 - \gamma_n)(1 - \delta_n)]\} \|Tx_n - x_n\| \\ & \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{1 - [\beta_n + (1 + \beta_n)(1 - \gamma_n)(2 - \delta_n)]\} \|Tx_n - x_n\| \\ & \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

From condition (ii), (2.24), and (2.25), we know

$$\|Tx_n - x_n\| \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2.29)$$

Next, we claim that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - q, J(x_n - q) \rangle \leq 0, \quad (2.30)$$

where $q = Qu = s - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} z_t$ with z_t being the fixed point of the contraction $z \mapsto tu + (1 - t)Tz$.

From z_t , the fixed point equation

$$z_t = tu + (1 - t)Tz_t, \quad (2.31)$$

is solved. Since T_t defined by (1.2) is a contraction, we obtain that T_t has a unique fixed point. Thus we have

$$\|z_t - x_n\| = \|(1 - t)(Tz_t - x_n) + t(u - x_n)\|. \quad (2.32)$$

It follows from Lemma 1.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_t - x_n\|^2 & \leq (1 - t)^2 \|Tz_t - x_n\|^2 + 2t \langle u - x_n, J(z_t - x_n) \rangle \\ & \leq (1 - 2t + t^2) \|z_t - x_n\|^2 + f_n(t) \\ & \quad + 2t \langle u - z_t, J(z_t - x_n) \rangle + 2t \|z_t - x_n\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

where

$$f_n(t) = (2\|z_t - x_n\| + \|x_n - Tx_n\|) \|x_n - Tx_n\| \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty. \quad (2.34)$$

It follows that

$$\langle z_t - u, J(z_t - x_n) \rangle \leq \frac{t}{2} \|z_t - x_n\|^2 + \frac{1}{2t} f_n(t). \quad (2.35)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.35) and noting (2.34) yield

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle z_t - u, J(z_t - x_n) \rangle \leq \frac{t}{2} M, \quad (2.36)$$

where $M > 0$ is a constant such that $M \geq \|z_t - x_n\|^2$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$ and $n \geq 1$. Letting $t \rightarrow 0$ from (2.36), we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle z_t - u, J(z_t - x_n) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (2.37)$$

So, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive number δ_1 such that, for $t \in (0, \delta_1)$, we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle z_t - u, J(z_t - x_n) \rangle \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \quad (2.38)$$

On the other hand, since $z_t \rightarrow q$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, from Lemma 1.1, there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that, for $t \in (0, \delta_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & | \langle u - q, J(x_n - q) \rangle - \langle z_t - u, J(z_t - x_n) \rangle | \\ & \leq | \langle u - q, J(x_n - q) \rangle - \langle u - q, J(x_n - z_t) \rangle | \\ & \quad + | \langle u - q, J(x_n - z_t) \rangle - \langle z_t - u, J(z_t - x_n) \rangle | \\ & \leq | \langle u - q, J(x_n - q) - J(x_n - z_t) \rangle | + | \langle z_t - q, J(x_n - z_t) \rangle | \\ & \leq \|u - q\| \|J(x_n - q) - J(x_n - z_t)\| + \|z_t - q\| \|x_n - z_t\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

Choosing $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$, for all $t \in (0, \delta)$, we have

$$\langle u - q, J(x_n - q) \rangle \leq \langle z_t - u, J(z_t - x_n) \rangle + \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad (2.40)$$

that is,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - q, J(x_n - q) \rangle \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle z_t - u, J(z_t - x_n) \rangle + \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \quad (2.41)$$

It follows from (2.38) that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - q, J(x_n - q) \rangle \leq \epsilon. \quad (2.42)$$

Since ϵ is chosen arbitrarily, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - q, J(x_n - q) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (2.43)$$

Finally, we show that $x_n \rightarrow q$ strongly and this concludes the proof. Indeed, using Lemma 1.2 again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - q\|^2 &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)(y_n - q) + \alpha_n(u - q)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \|y_n - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle u - q, J(x_{n+1} - q) \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle u - q, J(x_{n+1} - q) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.44)$$

Now we apply Lemma 1.4 and use (2.43) to see that $\|x_n - q\| \rightarrow 0$. \square

As a corollary of Theorem 2.1, we have the following.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a uniformly smooth Banach space E and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Given a point $u \in C$, the initial guess $x_0 \in C$ is chosen arbitrarily, and given sequences $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in $(0, 1)$ and $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in $[0, 1]$, the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n = \infty$, $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$;
 - (ii) $\beta_n + (1 + \beta_n)(1 - \gamma_n) \in [0, a)$ for some $a \in (0, 1)$;
 - (iii) $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty$, $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| < \infty$, and $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n| < \infty$.
- Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be the composite process defined by (1.7).
Then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges strongly to a fixed point of T .*

Proof. By taking $\delta_n = 1$, we can obtain the desired conclusion. □

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a uniformly smooth Banach space E and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Given a point $u \in C$, the initial guess $x_0 \in C$ is chosen arbitrarily, and given sequences $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in $(0, 1)$, the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n = \infty$, $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$;
 - (ii) $\beta_n \in [0, a)$ for some $a \in (0, 1)$;
 - (iii) $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty$, $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| < \infty$.
- Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be the composite process defined by (1.8).
Then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges strongly to a fixed point of T .*

Proof. By taking $\gamma_n = 1$, then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges strongly to a fixed point of T . □

References

- [1] F. E. Browder, *Fixed-point theorems for noncompact mappings in Hilbert space*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **53** (1965), 1272–1276.
- [2] ———, *Convergence of approximants to fixed points of nonexpansive non-linear mappings in Banach spaces*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis **24** (1967), no. 1, 82–90.
- [3] R. E. Bruck Jr., *Nonexpansive projections on subsets of Banach spaces*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **47** (1973), 341–355.
- [4] K. Goebel and S. Reich, *Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry, and Nonexpansive Mappings*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 83, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1984.
- [5] B. Halpern, *Fixed points of nonexpanding maps*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society. New Series **73** (1967), 957–961.
- [6] T. H. Kim and H. K. Xu, *Strong convergence of modified Mann iterations*, Nonlinear Analysis **61** (2005), no. 1-2, 51–60.
- [7] P.-L. Lions, *Approximation de points fixes de contractions*, Comptes Rendus Mathématique. Académie des Sciences. Paris Série A-B **284** (1977), no. 21, A1357–A1359.
- [8] S. Reich, *Asymptotic behavior of contractions in Banach spaces*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **44** (1973), no. 1, 57–70.
- [9] ———, *Strong convergence theorems for resolvents of accretive operators in Banach spaces*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **75** (1980), no. 1, 287–292.

- [10] ———, *Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings*, Panamerican Mathematical Journal **4** (1994), no. 2, 23–28.
- [11] R. Wittmann, *Approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings*, Archiv der Mathematik **58** (1992), no. 5, 486–491.
- [12] H. K. Xu, *Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society. Second Series **66** (2002), no. 1, 240–256.
- [13] ———, *An iterative approach to quadratic optimization*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications **116** (2003), no. 3, 659–678.

Yongfu Su: Department of Mathematics, Tianjin Polytechnic University,
Tianjin 300160, China
E-mail address: suyongfu@tjpu.edu.cn

Xiaolong Qin: Department of Mathematics, Tianjin Polytechnic University,
Tianjin 300160, China
E-mail address: qxlxjh@163.com