

## WEAK INCIDENCE ALGEBRA AND MAXIMAL RING OF QUOTIENTS

SURJEET SINGH and FAWZI AL-THUKAIR

Received 12 November 2003

Let  $X, X'$  be two locally finite, preordered sets and let  $R$  be any indecomposable commutative ring. The incidence algebra  $I(X, R)$ , in a sense, represents  $X$ , because of the well-known result that if the rings  $I(X, R)$  and  $I(X', R)$  are isomorphic, then  $X$  and  $X'$  are isomorphic. In this paper, we consider a preordered set  $X$  that need not be locally finite but has the property that each of its equivalence classes of equivalent elements is finite. Define  $I^*(X, R)$  to be the set of all those functions  $f : X \times X \rightarrow R$  such that  $f(x, y) = 0$ , whenever  $x \not\leq y$  and the set  $S_f$  of ordered pairs  $(x, y)$  with  $x < y$  and  $f(x, y) \neq 0$  is finite. For any  $f, g \in I^*(X, R)$ ,  $r \in R$ , define  $f + g$ ,  $fg$ , and  $rf$  in  $I^*(X, R)$  such that  $(f + g)(x, y) = f(x, y) + g(x, y)$ ,  $fg(x, y) = \sum_{x \leq z \leq y} f(x, z)g(z, y)$ ,  $rf(x, y) = r \cdot f(x, y)$ . This makes  $I^*(X, R)$  an  $R$ -algebra, called the *weak incidence algebra* of  $X$  over  $R$ . In the first part of the paper it is shown that indeed  $I^*(X, R)$  represents  $X$ . After this all the essential one-sided ideals of  $I^*(X, R)$  are determined and the maximal right (left) ring of quotients of  $I^*(X, R)$  is discussed. It is shown that the results proved can give a large class of rings whose maximal right ring of quotients need not be isomorphic to its maximal left ring of quotients.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16S60, 16S90, 16W20.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be two locally finite, preordered sets, and let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Under what conditions are incidence rings  $I(X, R)$  and  $I(X', R)$  isomorphic? In particular, under what conditions on  $R$  can one conclude that  $X$  and  $X'$  are isomorphic, when the two incidence rings  $I(X, R)$  and  $I(X', R)$  are isomorphic? The latter question has been discussed by many authors. One of the earliest results in this direction is by Stanley [9], who proved that if  $R$  is a field, then the two incidence rings are isomorphic if and only if  $X$  and  $X'$  are isomorphic. Froelich [4] extended this result to the case of an indecomposable ring  $R$ . Similar questions have been examined in [1, 3, 10] in case  $R$  need not be commutative.

Now consider any preordered set  $X$  that need not be locally finite. Two elements  $x, y \in X$  are said to be *equivalent*,  $x \sim y$ , if  $x \leq y \leq x$ . In Section 3, the isomorphism problem for weak incidence algebras is discussed. Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be two preordered sets in each of which every equivalence class is finite, and let  $R, R'$  be two commutative rings such that the weak incidence algebras  $I^*(X, R)$  and  $I^*(X', R')$  are isomorphic as rings. In case  $R$  and  $R'$  are indecomposable, Theorem 3.10 shows that  $X, X'$  are isomorphic and  $R, R'$  are isomorphic. The main aim of Section 4 is to prove some results that can help in studying the maximal ring of quotients of an  $I^*(X, R)$ . Similar work has been done in a recent paper [2] for certain classes of incidence algebras. In [7], Spiegel determines some essential ideals of an incidence algebra of a locally finite, partially

ordered set. Here we are in a position to determine all the essential one-sided ideals of an  $S = I^*(X, R)$  whenever  $R$  is indecomposable. A particular essential right ideal  $T$  is isolated and the ring  $Q = \text{Hom}_S(T, T)$  is discussed in Theorems 4.8, 4.9, and 4.10. This ring  $Q$  is used to give some results on maximal right (left) ring of quotients of  $S$ .

**2. Preliminaries.** All rings considered here are with identity  $1 \neq 0$ . As the various concepts discussed here for weak incidence algebras are similar to those for incidence algebras, for details on incidence algebras one may consult [8]. We now collect some results on rings and modules.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *For any commutative ring  $R$  and any positive integer  $n$ , if  $M_R = R^{(n)}$  is isomorphic to its summand  $N$ , then  $M = N$ .*

**PROOF.** Now  $M = N \oplus K$ . For any maximal ideal  $P$  of  $R$ , the localization  $M_P = N_P \oplus K_P$ . As the ranks of the free  $R_P$ -modules  $M_P$  and  $N_P$  are the same and finite,  $K_P = 0$ . Hence  $K = 0$ . □

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and let  $K$  be any ring such that  $M_n(R) \cong M_m(K)$ . Then  $m$  divides  $n$ . If  $n = m$ , then  $R \cong K$ .*

**PROOF.** The first part follows from Wedderburn’s structure theorem for simple artinian algebras, and the second part is in [6]. □

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Let  $T$  be any ring and let  $e, e', f, f'$  be any four idempotents in  $T$  such that  $eT \cong e'T, fT \cong f'T$ . Then  $eTf \neq 0$  if and only if  $e'Tf' \neq 0$ .*

**PROOF.** The hypothesis gives that  $\text{Hom}_T(fT, eT) \cong \text{Hom}_T(f'T, e'T), eTf \cong e'Tf'$ , as abelian groups. This proves the result. □

**3. Isomorphism.** Let  $X$  be any preordered set (i.e.,  $X$  is a set with a relation  $\leq$  that is reflexive and transitive). For any  $x, y \in X$ , set  $x \sim y$ , if  $x \leq y \leq x$ . Then  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation. A preordered set  $X$  is said to be a *class finite, preordered set* if, for any  $x \in X$ , the equivalence class  $[x] = \{y \in X : x \leq y \leq x\}$  is finite. Henceforth we take  $X$  to be a class finite, preordered set and  $R$  a commutative ring. The set  $K^*(X, R) = \{f \in I^*(X, R) : f(x, y) = 0 \text{ whenever } x \sim y\}$  is a nil ideal. Indeed, given  $f \in K^*(X, R), f^{m+1} = 0$ , for  $m = |S_f|$ . Indeed, one can see that each member of  $K^*(X, R)$  is strongly nilpotent, as defined in [8, page 176], so  $K^*(X, R)$  is contained in the lower nil radical of  $I^*(X, R)$ . Let  $Y$  be a representative partially ordered subset of  $X$ . For any  $x \in X$ , let  $||[x]|| = n_x$ . For each  $x \in X$ , the set  $B_x = \{f \in I^*(X, R) : f(u, v) = 0 \text{ whenever } u \not\sim x \text{ or } v \not\sim x\}$ , is a ring with  $\delta_x$  as identity, where  $\delta_x(u, v) = 0$ , whenever  $u \not\sim x, v \not\sim x$ , or  $u \neq v$ , and  $\delta_x(u, u) = 1$  whenever  $u \sim x$ . Let  $\delta$  denote the identity element of  $I^*(X, R)$ . For any  $x, y \in X$ , with  $x \leq y$ , let  $e_{xy} \in I^*(X, R)$  be such that  $e_{xy}(u, v) = 0$ , for  $(u, v) \neq (x, y)$ , and  $e_{xy}(x, y) = 1$ . Each of  $e_{xy}$  is called a *matrix unit* of  $I^*(X, R)$ . We write  $e_x = e_{xx}$ . Then  $B_x$  is the  $n_x \times n_x$  full matrix ring over  $R$  with  $\{e_{uv} : u \sim x, v \sim x\}$  as its set of matrix units. Let  $M_n(R)$  denote the  $n \times n$  full matrix ring over  $R$ . Further,  $D^*(X, R) = \{f \in I^*(X, R) : f(u, v) = 0 \text{ whenever } u \not\sim v\}$  is a subring of  $I^*(X, R)$ , each  $B_x$  is an ideal of  $D^*(X, R)$ . Set  $S = I^*(X, R), K = K^*(X, R), D = D^*(X, R)$ . For any subset  $Z$  of  $X$ , let  $E_Z \in S$  be such that  $E_Z(u, u) = 1$  for  $u \in Z$ , and  $E_Z(x, y) = 0$  otherwise. For any

$f \in S$ , support of  $f$ , denoted by  $\text{suppt}(f)$ , equals  $\{(x, y) : f(x, y) \neq 0\}$ , the cardinality of  $\text{suppt}(f)$  is called the *weight* of  $f$  and we denote it by  $\text{wt}(f)$ . Let  $X'$  be another class finite, preordered set. Let  $R'$  be another commutative ring. We use the same symbols for the matrix units of  $I^*(X, R)$  or  $I^*(X', R')$  and so on, but  $S' = I^*(X', R')$ ,  $K' = K^*(X', R')$ , and  $D' = D^*(X', R')$ . Let  $Y$  and  $Y'$  be fixed *representative partially ordered subsets* of  $X$  and  $X'$ , respectively. For any two distinct members  $y, z$  of  $Y$ ,  $\delta_y, \delta_z$  are orthogonal idempotents. Any  $f \in S$  will be sometimes denoted by the formal sum  $\sum_{x,y} f(x, y)e_{xy}$  (or by the matrix  $[f(x, y)]$  indexed by  $X$ ). The following is obvious.

- LEMMA 3.1.** (i)  $I^*(X, R) = D^*(X, R) \oplus K^*(X, R)$  as abelian groups.
- (ii)  $D^*(X, R) = \prod_{y \in Y} B_y$ , where  $Y$  is any representative partially ordered subset of  $X$ .
- (iii)  $I^*(X, R)/K^*(X, R) \cong \prod_{y \in Y} M_{n_y}(R) \cong D^*(X, R)$ , where  $Y$  is any representative partially ordered subset of  $X$ .
- (iv) For any  $f, e_{xy} \in I^*(X, R)$ ,  $\text{wt}(fe_{xy})$  is finite, that is,  $fe_{xy} = \sum_{u \leq y} a_{uy}e_{uy}$ , with finitely many  $a_{ux} \neq 0$ .

It follows from (ii) that  $K^*(X, R)$  does not equal the Jacobson radical of  $S$ , unless the Jacobson radical of  $R$  is zero. For any  $f \in S$ , we write  $f = f_D + f_K$  with  $f_D \in D$  and  $f_K \in K$ ;  $f_D$  is called the *diagonal* of  $f$ . The following is obvious.

**LEMMA 3.2.** For any nonempty subset  $Z$  of  $X$ ,  $E_Z S E_Z \cong I^*(Z, R)$ .

**LEMMA 3.3.** For any two idempotents  $f, g \in S$ ,  $fSg \neq 0$  if and only if  $f_D S g_D \neq 0$ .

**PROOF.** In  $\bar{S} = S/K$ ,  $f + K = f_D + K$ . As  $K$  is nil, we get  $fS \cong f_D S$ . After this, [Lemma 2.3](#) completes the proof. □

**LEMMA 3.4.** Let  $0 \neq e = e^2 \in S$ .

- (i)  $e_D$  is a nonzero idempotent and  $e_D \delta_y = \delta_y e_D$  for any  $y \in Y$ .
- (ii) There exists  $y \in Y$  such that  $e_D \delta_y = \delta_y e_D \neq 0$ .
- (iii) For any  $y \in Y$ ,  $e' = ee_D \delta_y e$  is an idempotent such that  $e'(u, v) = \sum e(u, w_1)e(w_1, w_2)e(w_2, v)$ , where the summation runs over  $w_1, w_2$  in  $[y] \cap [u, v]$ . Further,  $e - e', e'$  are orthogonal idempotents. If  $e_D \delta_y \neq 0$ , then  $e' \neq 0$ .

**PROOF.** (i) is obvious. Now  $S/K = \bar{D} = \prod_{y \in K} \bar{B}_y \cong D$ ,  $\bar{\delta} = \prod \bar{\delta}_y$ , and  $\bar{e} = \bar{e}_D$ . It follows that for some  $y \in Y$ ,  $\bar{e}_D \bar{\delta}_y = \bar{\delta}_y \bar{e}_D \neq 0$ . This proves (ii). Consider any  $y \in Y$  and  $e' = ee_D \delta_y e$ . The definition of the product of two members of  $S$  gives that  $e'(u, v) = \sum e(u, w_1)e(w_1, w_2)e(w_2, v)$ , where the summation runs over all  $w_1, w_2$  in  $[y] \cap [u, v]$ . Then we have  $(e')^2(u, v) = \sum_{u \leq w \leq v} e'(u, w)e'(w, v) = \sum e(u, w_1)e(w_1, w_2)e(w_2, w)e(w, w_3)e(w_3, w_4)e(w_4, v)$ , where summation runs over all  $w_i, w$  in  $[y] \cap [u, v]$  such that  $w_2 \leq w \leq w_3$ . Thus  $(e')^2(u, v) = \sum e(u, w_1)e(w_1, w_4)e(w_4, v) = e'(u, v)$ . Hence  $e'$  is an idempotent. As  $ee' = e' = e'e$ , it follows that  $e - e'$  is an idempotent orthogonal to  $e'$ . If  $e_D \delta_y \neq 0$ , as obviously  $\bar{e}' = \bar{e}_D \bar{\delta}_y$  in  $S/K$ , we get  $e' \neq 0$ . □

**LEMMA 3.5.** (i) If  $e \in S$  is an indecomposable idempotent, then there exists a unique  $y \in Y$  such that  $e = ee_D \delta_y e$ .

(ii) Let  $e \in S$  be a nonzero idempotent such that  $e_D \in B_y$  for some  $y \in Y$ . Then  $e = ee_D \delta_y e$ ; this  $y$  is uniquely determined by  $e$ .

**PROOF.** (i) In  $\overline{S} = S/K$ ,  $\overline{e} = \overline{e_D}$  is an indecomposable idempotent. So there exists a unique  $\gamma \in Y$  such that  $\overline{e} = \overline{e_D} \overline{\delta_\gamma}$ . By Lemma 3.4(iii),  $e' = ee_D \delta_\gamma e$  is a nonzero idempotent. As  $e - e'$  is orthogonal to  $e'$  and  $e$  is indecomposable,  $e = e'$ .

(ii) The hypothesis gives  $\overline{e} = \overline{ee_D} \overline{\delta_\gamma} \overline{e}$ . Then Lemma 3.4(iii) gives  $e = ee_D \delta_\gamma e$ . □

**THEOREM 3.6.** *Let  $R$  be any indecomposable commutative ring and  $X$  any class finite, preordered set. Then for any automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $S = I^*(X, R)$ ,  $\sigma(K) = K$ .*

**PROOF.** Consider any  $f \in S \setminus K$ . For some  $x \sim \gamma$ ,  $f(x, \gamma) \neq 0$ . Then  $g = e_x f e_{\gamma x}$  is such that  $g(x, x) \neq 0$  and  $g = e_x g e_x$ . So  $\sigma(g) = e \sigma(g) e$ , where  $e = \sigma(e_x)$  is an indecomposable idempotent. Let  $Y$  be a representative partially ordered subset of  $X$ . By Lemma 3.5, there exists unique  $z \in Y$  such that  $e = ee_D \delta_z e$ ,  $e_D \in B_z$ . Thus  $\sigma(g) = ee_D \delta_z e \sigma(g) ee_D \delta_z e \neq 0$ ,  $\delta_z e \sigma(g) ee_D \delta_z \neq 0$ , so for some  $u, v \in [z]$ ,  $\sigma(g)(u, v) \neq 0$ . Hence  $\sigma(g) \notin K$ . Consequently,  $\sigma(f) \notin K$ . This proves the result. □

**LEMMA 3.7.** *For some  $\gamma, \gamma' \in Y$ , let there exist idempotents  $e \in B_\gamma, f \in B_{\gamma'}$  such that  $eSf \neq 0$ . Then  $e_\gamma S e_{\gamma'} \neq 0$ .*

**PROOF.** The hypothesis gives that  $\delta_\gamma S \delta_{\gamma'} \neq 0$ , so there exist  $u \in [\gamma], v \in [\gamma']$  such that  $e_u S e_v \neq 0$ . After this, Lemma 2.3 completes the proof. □

**LEMMA 3.8.** *If for some idempotent  $f \in S$ ,  $fS \cong \delta_\gamma S$  for some  $\gamma \in Y$ , then  $f_D = \delta_\gamma$ .*

**PROOF.** We have  $f_D S \cong \delta_\gamma S$ . In  $\overline{S} = S/K$ ,  $\overline{f_D S} \cong \overline{\delta_\gamma S}$ , so  $f_D \in B_\gamma$  and  $f_D B_\gamma \cong B_\gamma$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $f_D = \delta_\gamma$ . □

**LEMMA 3.9.** *Let  $R, R'$  be indecomposable and  $\sigma : S \rightarrow S'$  an isomorphism.*

*There exists a one-to-one mapping  $\eta$  of  $Y$  onto  $Y'$  such that  $\sigma(\delta_\gamma) = \delta_{\eta(\gamma)} + g_{\eta(\gamma)}$  for some  $g_\gamma \in K'$ ,  $|\{\gamma\}| = |\{\eta(\gamma)\}|$ , and  $R \cong R'$ .*

**PROOF.** The hypothesis gives that for any  $x \in X$ ,  $e_x$  is an indecomposable idempotent in  $S$ . Now  $\sigma(\delta_\gamma)S' = \oplus \sum_{u \sim \gamma} \sigma(e_u)S'$ . As these  $\sigma(e_u)S'$  are indecomposable and isomorphic right ideals, there exist unique  $\eta(\gamma) \in Y'$  such that each  $\sigma(e_u)_D \in B'_{\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}}$ . Consequently,  $\sigma(\delta_\gamma)_D \in B'_{\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}}$  and  $\sigma(\delta_\gamma)_D \delta_{\eta(\gamma)} = \delta_{\eta(\gamma)} \sigma(\delta_\gamma)_D$ . By Lemma 3.5(ii),  $\sigma(\delta_\gamma) = \sigma(\delta_\gamma) \sigma(\delta_\gamma)_D \delta_{\eta(\gamma)} \sigma(\delta_\gamma)$ . Similarly,

$$\sigma^{-1}(\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}) = \sigma^{-1}(\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}) (\sigma^{-1}(\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}))_D \delta_z \sigma^{-1}(\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}) \tag{3.1}$$

for some  $z \in Y$ . So,  $\delta_{\eta(\gamma)} = \delta_{\eta(\gamma)} \sigma((\sigma^{-1}(\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}))_D) \sigma(\delta_z) \delta_{\eta(\gamma)}$ . Thus, in  $\overline{S'} = S'/K'$ ,

$$\overline{\sigma(\delta_\gamma)} = \overline{\sigma(\delta_\gamma) \sigma(\delta_\gamma)_D \delta_{\eta(\gamma)} \sigma((\sigma^{-1}(\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}))_D) \sigma(\delta_z) \delta_{\eta(\gamma)} \sigma(\delta_\gamma)}. \tag{3.2}$$

In  $\overline{S'}$ ,  $\overline{\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}}$  is a central idempotent. Thus

$$\overline{\sigma(\delta_\gamma)} = \overline{\sigma(\delta_\gamma) \sigma((\sigma^{-1}(\delta_{\eta(\gamma)}))_D) \sigma(\delta_z \delta_\gamma) \delta_{\eta(\gamma)}}, \tag{3.3}$$

which equals zero, if  $z \neq y$ . Hence  $z = y$  and  $\eta$  is a bijection from  $Y$  onto  $Y'$ . We get  $\overline{\sigma(\delta_y)} = \overline{\delta_{\eta(y)}\sigma((\sigma^{-1}(\delta_{\eta(y)}))_D\delta_y)}$  and  $\overline{\delta_{\eta(y)}} = \overline{\delta_{\eta(y)}\sigma((\sigma^{-1}(\delta_{\eta(y)}))_D\delta_y)}$ . Hence  $\overline{\sigma(\delta_y)} = \overline{\delta_{\eta(y)}}$ . This shows that  $\sigma(\delta_y) = \delta_{\eta(y)} + g_{\eta(y)}$  for some  $g_{\eta(y)} \in K'$ . Now  $\delta_y S \delta_y = B_y$ . As  $\sigma(\delta_y)S' \cong \delta_{\eta(y)}S'$ , it follows that  $B_y \cong B'_{\eta(y)}$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $|\mathcal{Y}| = |\mathcal{Y}'|$  and  $R \cong R'$ . □

**THEOREM 3.10.** *Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be two class finite, preordered sets. Let  $R$  and  $R'$  be any two indecomposable commutative rings. If there exists an isomorphism of  $I^*(X, R)$  onto  $I^*(X', R')$ , then  $X, X'$  are isomorphic and the rings  $R, R'$  are isomorphic.*

**PROOF.** We use the terminology developed before Theorem 3.10. Consider any  $u, v \in Y$  such that  $u \leq v$ . Then  $e_u S e_v \neq 0, \sigma(e_u)S'\sigma(e_v) \neq 0$ . It follows from Lemma 3.9 that  $\sigma(e_u)_D \in B'_{\eta(u)}, \sigma(e_v)_D \in B'_{\eta(v)}$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $\sigma(e_u)_D S' \sigma(e_v)_D \neq 0, e_{\eta(u)}S' e_{\eta(v)} \neq 0$ , hence  $\eta(u) \leq \eta(v)$ . Thus  $\eta$  is an isomorphism of  $Y$  onto  $Y'$ . Also by Lemma 3.9,  $|\mathcal{Y}| = |\mathcal{Y}'|$ , hence it follows that  $X$  and  $X'$  are isomorphic. By Lemma 3.9,  $R$  and  $R'$  are isomorphic. □

**LEMMA 3.11.** *For any commutative ring  $T$  and any class finite, preordered set  $X$ , the following hold.*

(i) *A central idempotent  $e \in I^*(X, T)$  is centrally indecomposable if and only if  $e = gE_Z$  for some indecomposable idempotent  $g \in T$  and a connected component  $Z$  of  $X$ .*

(ii) *Let  $g$  and  $h$  be two indecomposable idempotents in  $T$  and let  $Z, Z'$  be two connected components of  $X$ ; the rings  $gE_Z I^*(X, T), hE_{Z'} I^*(X, T)$  are isomorphic if and only if the rings  $gT, hT$  are isomorphic and  $Z, Z'$  are isomorphic.*

**PROOF.** (i) Consider any central idempotent  $e \in I^*(X, T)$ . On the same lines as for incidence algebras, it can be easily seen that  $e(x, y) = 0$ , whenever  $x \neq y$ . For any connected component  $Z$  of  $X$ , there exists an idempotent  $g_Z \in T$  such that  $e(x, x) = g_Z$  for every  $x \in X$ . Using this, (i) follows. (ii) As  $gE_Z I^*(X, T) \cong I^*(Z, gT)$  and  $hE_{Z'} I^*(X, T) \cong I^*(Z', hT)$ , the result follows from Theorem 3.10. □

Let  $T$  be any ring. Let  $\text{In}(T)$  be the set of all centrally indecomposable central idempotents of  $T$ . Two central idempotents  $g, h$  of  $T$  are said to be equivalent if the rings  $gT$  and  $hT$  are isomorphic. For any central idempotent  $g \in T$ ,  $[g]$  denotes the set of central idempotents in  $T$  equivalent to  $g$ .

**THEOREM 3.12.** *Let  $R$  and  $R'$  be any two commutative rings and let  $X, X'$  be two class finite, preordered sets. Let  $\sigma : I^*(X, R) \rightarrow I^*(X', R')$  be a ring isomorphism. Let  $g \in \text{In}(R)$  and let  $Z$  be a connected component of  $X$ .*

(i) *There exist unique  $g' \in \text{In}(R')$  and unique connected component  $Z'$  of  $X'$  such that  $\sigma(gE_Z) = g'E_{Z'}$ ; further,  $Z \cong Z', |[g]| |[Z]| = |[gE_Z]| = |[g'E_{Z'}]| = |[g']| |[Z']|$ .*

(ii) *If the cardinalities of  $[g]$  and  $[g']$  are finite and equal, then  $X$  and  $X'$  are isomorphic.*

**PROOF.** (i) The first part follows from Lemma 3.11(i); the second part follows from Lemma 3.11(ii). (ii) If  $|[g]| = |[g']|$  and they are finite, it follows from (i) that, given any

connected component  $Z$  of  $X$ , there exists a connected component  $Z'$  of  $X'$  isomorphic to  $Z$ , and  $[Z], [Z']$  have the same cardinalities. Consequently,  $X$  and  $X'$  are isomorphic.  $\square$

The following is immediate from [Theorem 3.12](#).

**COROLLARY 3.13.** *Let  $R$  be any commutative ring such that  $R$  admits an indecomposable idempotent  $g$  for which the equivalence class  $[g]$  is finite. Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be any two class finite, preordered sets. If the rings  $I^*(X, R)$  and  $I^*(Y, R)$  are isomorphic, then  $X$  and  $X'$  are isomorphic.*

**4. Essential right ideals and maximal ring of quotients.** Throughout  $S = I^*(X, R)$ , where  $X$  is a class finite, preordered set and  $R$  is a commutative ring in which  $1$  is indecomposable. Any  $x \in X$  is said to be a *maximal element* if the equivalence class  $[x]$  is maximal in the partially ordered set of the equivalence classes in  $X$ . For any  $x, y \in X$ , we say  $x < y$ , if  $x \leq y$  but  $[x] \neq [y]$ . Set  $X_0 = \{x \in X : x \text{ is maximal}\}$ ,  $Y_0 = \{(x, y) \in X \times X_0 : x \leq y\}$ ,  $Y_1 = \{(x, y) : x < y \text{ and there does not exist any } z \in X_0 \text{ such that } y \leq z\}$ ,  $Y_2 = \{(x, y) : x < y \text{ and there exists a } z \in X_0 \text{ such that } y < z\}$ , and  $Y_3 = \{(x, y) \in X_0 \times X_0 : [x] = [y]\}$ . Further,  $K = K^*(X, R)$ . Now  $L = \sum_{(x,y) \in Y_3} e_{xy}R$  is a right ideal of  $S$ . In [\[2\]](#), maximal rings of quotients of certain incidence algebras have been discussed. Here we intend to prove some results that can help in studying the maximal rings of quotients of  $S$ . Spiegel [\[7\]](#) has determined certain classes of essential ideals of an incidence algebra of a locally finite, preordered set. Here we determine all essential one-sided ideals of  $S$ . For the definitions of an *essential submodule*, *dense submodule*, and *singular submodule* of a module, one may refer to [\[5\]](#). Let  $M$  be any module, then  $N \subset_e M$  ( $N \subset_d M$ ) denotes that  $N$  is an *essential (dense)* submodule of  $M$ , and  $Z(M)$  denotes the singular submodule of  $M$ . The concept of the *maximal right ring of quotients* of a ring is discussed in [\[5, Section 13\]](#).

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Let  $K_1 = K + L$ . Then  $K_1$  is an essential right ideal of  $S$  and  $l \cdot \text{ann}(K_1) = 0$ . Indeed for any  $0 \neq f \in S$ , there exists  $e_{xw} \in K_1$  such that  $0 \neq fe_{xw} \in K_1$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $0 \neq f \in S$ . Then  $f(u, v) \neq 0$  for some  $u \leq v$ . Suppose  $fK_1 = 0$ . If  $v$  is not maximal in  $X$ , there exists  $e_{vz} \in K$ , and  $fe_{vz} \neq 0$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $v$  is maximal. Then  $e_v \in K_1$  with  $fe_v \neq 0$ , which is again a contradiction. Hence  $l \cdot \text{ann}(K_1) = 0$ . In any case there exists  $e_{xy} \in K_1$  such that  $fe_{xy} \neq 0$ . By applying induction on  $wt(fe_{xy})$ , we prove that for some  $g \in S$ ,  $0 \neq fe_{xy}g \in K_1$ , which will prove that  $K_1 \subset_e S_S$ . Suppose  $wt(fe_{xy}) = 1$ . Then  $fe_{xy} = ae_{uy}$ , for some  $0 \neq a \in R$ . If  $y$  is not maximal, for any  $z > y$ ,  $fe_{xy}e_{yz} = ae_{uz} \in K_1$ . If  $y$  is maximal, then  $e_y \in K_1$ , so  $fe_{xy}e_y = ae_{uy} \in K_1$ . To apply induction, suppose that  $wt(fe_{xy}) = n > 1$ , and for any  $h \in S$ , if for some  $e_{uv} \in K_1$ ,  $wt(he_{uv}) < n$  and  $he_{uv} \neq 0$ , then for some  $e_{vz} \in S$ ,  $0 \neq he_{uv}e_{vz} \in K_1$ . We can write  $fe_{xy} = ae_{uy} + h$ , where  $wt(h) = n - 1$  and  $h(u, y) = 0$ . For some  $e_{ys} \in K_1$ ,  $ae_{uy}e_{ys} = ae_{us} \in K_1$ . Then  $fe_{xs} = ae_{us} + he_{ys}$  with  $wt(he_{ys}) = n - 1$ . By the induction hypothesis, there exists  $e_{sw} \in K_1$  such that  $0 \neq he_{ys}e_{sw} \in K_1$ . Then  $0 \neq fe_{xw} \in K_1$ . Hence  $K_1 \subset_e S_S$ .  $\square$

We call a subset  $B$  of  $R$  an *essential subset* of  $R$  if, for each  $0 \neq r \in R$ , there exists an  $s \in B$  such that  $0 \neq rs \in B$ . Clearly the ideal of  $R$  generated by an essential subset is an essential ideal.

**LEMMA 4.2.** *Let  $E \subseteq_e S_S$ . For any  $x \leq y$  in  $X$ , let  $A_{xy} = \{r \in R : re_{xy} \in E\}$ ,  $B_{xy} = \cup_{y \leq z} A_{xz}$ .*

- (i)  $A_{xy} \subseteq A_{xw}$  whenever  $x \leq y \leq w$ .
- (ii)  $B_{xy}$  is an essential subset of  $R$ .

**PROOF.** (i) is trivial. Let  $0 \neq r \in R$ . Then for some  $g \in S$ ,  $0 \neq re_{xy}g \in E$ . For some  $y \leq w$ ,  $rg(y, w) \neq 0$ . This gives  $re_{xy}ge_w = rg(y, w)e_{xw} \in E$ ,  $rg(y, w) \in B_{xy}$ . This proves that  $B$  is an essential subset of  $R$ . □

**LEMMA 4.3.** *Let  $\{A_{xy} : \text{either } x < y, \text{ or } x \leq y \text{ and } y \text{ is maximal in } X\}$  be a family of ideals in  $R$  such that (i)  $A_{xy} \subseteq A_{xz}$  whenever  $y \leq z$ , and (ii) for any  $x \leq y$  in  $X$ ,  $B_{xy} = \cup_{y \leq z} A_{xz}$  is an essential subset of  $R$ . Then  $E = \sum_{x,y} A_{xy}e_{xy}$  is an essential right ideal of  $S$  and  $E \subseteq K_1$ .*

**PROOF.** It is easy to verify that  $E$  is a right ideal of  $S$  contained in  $K_1$ . Let  $0 \neq f \in K_1$ . By induction on  $wt(f)$ , we prove that  $0 \neq fre_{xy} \in E$  for some  $e_{xy} \in K_1$ ,  $r \in R$ , which will prove that  $E \subseteq_e S_S$ . Suppose  $f = ae_{xy}$ . As  $a \neq 0$ , there exists a  $z \geq y$  and an  $r \in R$  such that  $0 \neq ar \in A_{xz}$ . Then  $0 \neq fre_{yz} = are_{xz} \in E$ . Here, if  $y$  is not maximal, choose  $z > y$ ; if  $y$  is maximal, choose  $y = z$ ; in any case  $e_{xz} \in K_1$ . Thus the result holds for  $wt(f) = 1$ . To apply induction, let  $wt(f) = n > 1$ , and let the result hold for any positive integer less than  $n$ . We write  $f = ae_{xy} + h$ , with  $0 \neq a \in R$ ,  $e_{xy} \in K_1$ ,  $wt(h) = n - 1$ , and  $h(x, y) = 0$ . There exists an  $re_{yz} \in K_1$  such that  $0 \neq ae_{xy}re_{yz} = are_{xz} \in E$ . Then  $0 \neq fre_{xz} = are_{xz} + hre_{yz}$ . If  $hre_{yz} = 0$ ,  $fre_{xz} = are_{xz} \in E$  and we finish. Suppose  $hre_{yz} \neq 0$ . By the induction hypothesis, there exists  $be_{zw} \in K_1$ , with  $b \in R$ , such that  $0 \neq hre_{yz}be_{zw} \in E$ . Then  $0 \neq frbe_{xw} \in E$ . □

Let  $\text{Minness}(S)$  be the set of all essential right ideals of the form given in Lemma 4.3.

**LEMMA 4.4.**  $Z(S) = \{f \in S : fE = 0 \text{ for some } E \in \text{Minness}(S)\}$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $f \in Z(S)$ . For some  $E \subseteq_e S_S$ ,  $fE = 0$ . By Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, there exists an  $E' \in \text{Minness}(S)$  such that  $E' \subseteq E$ . Then  $fE' = 0$ . This proves the result. □

**THEOREM 4.5.**  $Z(S_S) = 0$  if and only if  $Z(R) = 0$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $Z(R) \neq 0$ . For some  $r \neq 0$  and an essential ideal  $A$  of  $R$ ,  $rA = 0$ . In Lemma 4.3, by taking every  $A_{xy} = A$ , we get an  $E \subseteq_e S_S$  such that  $rIE = 0$ . Thus  $Z(S) \neq 0$ . Conversely, let  $Z(S) \neq 0$ . Consider any  $0 \neq f \in Z(S)$ . For some  $E \in \text{Minness}(S)$ ,  $fE = 0$ . Now  $f(u, v) \neq 0$  for some  $u \leq v$ . Then  $0 \neq e_u f \in Z(S)$ . Suppose there exists a maximal  $z \geq v$ . As  $z$  is maximal, it follows from Lemma 4.3(i) that  $B_{vz} = A_{vz}$ , so  $e_v f e_{vz} A_{vz} = 0$ ,  $f(u, v) A_{vz} = 0$ ,  $f(u, v) \in Z(R)$ . Hence  $Z(R) \neq 0$ . □

**PROPOSITION 4.6.** *For any  $(x, y) \in Y_0$ , set  $A_{xy} = R$ , for  $(x, y) \in Y_1$ , set  $A_{xy} = R$ , and for  $(x, y) \in Y_2$ , set  $A_{xy} = 0$ . Let  $T = \sum_{x,y} e_{xy} A_{xy}$ .*

- (i) *Then  $T$  is an ideal of  $S$ ,  $T \subseteq_e S_S$ , and  $l \cdot \text{ann}(T) = 0$ .*
- (ii)  *$S$  embeds in the ring  $Q = \text{Hom}(T_S, T_S)$  such that  $S_S$  is dense in  $Q_S$ .*



**PROOF.** That  $T$  is an essential right ideal in  $S$  follows from Lemma 4.3. Suppose that  $0 \neq f \in l \cdot \text{ann}(T)$ . Then  $f(u, v) \neq 0$  for some  $u \leq v$ . Suppose there exists no maximal  $z \geq v$ . Choose any  $w > v$ . Then  $e_{vw} \in T$  but  $fe_{vw} \neq 0$ , which is a contradiction. Hence there exists a maximal  $z \geq v$ . Then  $e_{vz} \in T$  and  $fe_{vz} \neq 0$ , which is also a contradiction. Hence  $l \cdot \text{ann}(T) = 0$ . Consider any  $e_{xy} \in T$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $wt(fe_{xy})$  is finite, so  $fe_{xy} = \sum_{u \leq y} a_{uy}e_{uy}$ , a finite sum. By definition, the following two cases arise.

**CASE 1.**  $y$  is maximal. Then every  $e_{uy} \in T$ , so  $fe_{xy} \in T$ .

**CASE 2.** There does not exist any maximal  $z \geq y$ . Then  $u < y$ ,  $A_{uy} = R$ ,  $e_{uy} \in T$ , hence  $fe_{xy} \in T$ .

This proves that  $T$  is an ideal in  $S$ . For each  $f \in S$ , let  $\lambda(f)$  be the left multiplication on  $T$  by  $f$ . Then  $\lambda$  is an embedding of  $S$  in  $Q$ . Consider any  $\sigma, \eta \in Q$ , with  $\sigma \neq 0$ . Then for some  $f \in T$ ,  $\sigma(f) \neq 0$ . We see that  $\sigma \cdot \lambda(f) = \lambda(\sigma(f)) \neq 0$  and  $\eta \cdot \lambda(f) = \lambda(\eta(f)) \in \lambda(S)$ . Hence  $S_S$  is dense in  $Q_S$ . □

For each  $x_0 \in X_0$ , set  $T_{[x_0]} = \sum\{e_{xy}R : (x, y) \in Y_3 \text{ and } [x_0] = [y]\}$ , and set  $T' = \sum\{e_{xy}R : (x, y) \in Y_1\}$ . Observe that  $T_{[x_0]} = T_{[x_1]}$  if and only if  $[x_0] = [x_1]$ . Each of  $T_{[x_0]}, T'$  is a right ideal of  $S$  contained in  $T$ , and  $T$  is a direct sum of these right ideals. Let  $Z_0$  be the set of equivalence classes in  $X$  given by the members of  $X_0$ . For any ring  $P$ , let  $\widehat{P}$  be the maximal right ring of quotients of  $P$  [5, Section 13]. The following result can be easily deduced from various results and exercises given in [5, Sections 8 and 13].

- THEOREM 4.7.** (I) For any family of rings  $\{P_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ ,  $P = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_\alpha$ ,  $\widehat{P} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \widehat{P}_\alpha$ .
- (II) For any two subrings  $A, B$  of a ring  $P$ , if  $A_A \subset_d B_A, B_B \subset_d P_B$ , then  $\widehat{A} = \widehat{B}$ .
- (III) For any positive integer  $n$  and any ring  $P$ ,  $\widehat{M_n(P)} = M_n(\widehat{P})$ .

**THEOREM 4.8.** (i)  $Q = \text{Hom}(T_S, T_S) \cong (\prod\{\text{Hom}_S(T_{[x_0]}, T_{[x_0]}) : [x_0] \in Z_0\}) \times \text{Hom}_S(T', T')$ .

(ii) Maximal right rings of quotients of  $S$  and  $Q$  are the same.

(iii) Let  $P_{[x_0]} = \text{Hom}_S(T_{[x_0]}, T_{[x_0]})$  and  $P' = \text{Hom}(T', T')$ . Then  $\widehat{S} \cong (\prod\{\widehat{P_{[x_0]}} : [x_0] \in Z_0\}) \times \widehat{P'}$ .

**PROOF.** To prove (i) it is enough to prove that  $\text{Hom}_S(T_{[x_0]}, T_{[x_1]}) = 0$  whenever  $[x_0] \neq [x_1]$ ,  $\text{Hom}_S(T_{[x_0]}, T') = 0 = \text{Hom}_S(T', T_{[x_0]})$ . Consider  $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_S(T_{[x_0]}, T_{[x_1]})$ . For any  $e_{xy} \in T_{[x_0]}$ ,  $[x_0] = [y]$ , so  $e_{uy} \notin T_{[x_1]}$ , but  $\sigma(e_{xy}) = \sum_{u \leq y} a_{uy}e_{uy}$ ,  $a_{uy} \in R$ . Thus  $\sigma(e_{xy}) = 0$ ,  $\sigma = 0$ . Similarly, we can prove that the others are also zero. As  $S_S$  is dense in  $Q_S$ ,  $\widehat{S} = \widehat{Q}$ . Because of (i) and Theorem 4.7, we get  $\widehat{S} \cong (\prod\{\widehat{P_{[x_0]}} : [x_0] \in Z_0\}) \times \widehat{P'}$ . □

We now discuss matrix representations of  $\text{Hom}_S(T_{[x_0]}, T_{[x_0]})$  and  $\text{Hom}_S(T', T')$ .

**THEOREM 4.9.** Let  $x_0$  be a maximal member of  $X$ ,  $U_{x_0} = \{x \in X : x \leq x_0\}$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_S(T_{[x_0]}, T_{[x_0]})$  is isomorphic to the ring of column-finite matrices over  $R$  indexed by  $U_{x_0}$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_S(T_{[x_0]}, T_{[x_0]})$ . For  $e_{xy} \in T_{[x_0]}, y \sim x_0$ . If  $\sigma(e_{xy}) = \sum_{u \leq y} a_{uy}e_{uy}$ , then for any other  $e_{xz} \in T_{[x_0]}$ ,  $\sigma(e_{xz}) = \sum_{u \leq z} a_{uz}e_{uz} = \sigma(e_{xy})e_{yz} = \sum_{u \leq y} a_{uy}e_{uz}$ ,  $a_{uy} = a_{uz}$ . Conversely, any  $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_R(T_{[x_0]}, T_{[x_0]})$ , such that if  $\sigma(e_{xy}) = \sum_{u \leq y} a_{uy}e_{uy}$ ,



then  $\sigma(e_{xz}) = \sum_{u \leq y} a_{uy}e_{uz}$  for  $y \sim z$ , is in  $\text{Hom}_S(T_{[x_0]}, T_{[x_0]})$ . Now  $V_{x_0} = \{e_{xy} : x \in U_{x_0}, y \sim x_0\}$  is an  $R$ -basis of  $T_{[x_0]}$ . We write  $\sigma(e_{xy}) = \sum_{u,v} a_{uvxy}e_{uv}, e_{uv} \in V_{x_0}$ . Then  $a_{uvxy} = 0$ , for  $v \neq y$ ,  $a_{uyxy} = a_{uzxz}$ , whenever  $y \sim z$ . We write  $b_{ux} = a_{uyxy}$  and  $b_{ux} = 0$  otherwise. We get matrix  $[b_{ux}]$  over  $R$  indexed by  $U_{x_0}$ . This matrix is column finite;  $\sigma \mapsto [b_{ux}]$  gives the desired isomorphism.  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.10.** *Let  $X' = \{y \in X : \text{there exist no maximal } z \geq y\}$ . Let  $G$  be the set of arrays  $[a_{vxy}]$  over  $R$  indexed by  $X' \times X' \times X'$  such that it has following properties:*

- (i)  $a_{vxy} = 0$ , whenever  $x \not\leq y, v \not\leq y$ , or  $x < v < y$ ,
- (ii) for any fixed pair  $(x, y)$  with  $x < y$ , the number of  $v$  for which  $a_{vxy} \neq 0$  is finite,
- (iii) for  $y \leq z, a_{vxy} = a_{vzx}$  if  $v < y$ , and  $a_{vzx} = 0$  if  $v \not\leq y$  and  $v < z$ .

*In  $G$ , define addition componentwise and the product by  $[a_{vxy}][b_{vxy}] = [c_{vxy}]$  such that  $c_{vxy} = \sum_w a_{vwxy}b_{wxy}$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_S(T', T') \cong G$ .*

*In case  $X'$  has the property that for every pair of elements  $u, v$  in  $X'$  there exists a  $w \in X'$  such that  $u \leq w, v \leq w$ , then any array  $[a_{vxy}] \in G$  has the following additional properties:*

- (iv) if  $u, v \in X'$  are not comparable, then  $a_{uxv} = 0$ ,
- (v) for  $x < y, x < z, a_{vxy} = a_{vzx}$ .

*Put  $b_{vx} = a_{vxy}$ . Then  $[b_{vx}]$  is a column finite matrix indexed by  $X'$  with the property that  $b_{vx} = 0$  if  $v > x$ , or there exists  $y > x$  such that  $v \not\leq y$ . Set  $b_{vx} = 0$  in all other cases. Let  $B$  be the set of all such matrices. Then  $B$  is a ring isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}_S(T', T')$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_S(T', T')$ . For any  $x < y \leq z \in X'$ , we have  $\sigma(e_{xy}) = \sum c_{uvxy}e_{uv}, c_{uvxy} \in R, (u, v) \in Y_1$ , with  $c_{uvxy} = 0$ , whenever  $v \neq y$ . So we can write  $\sigma(e_{xy}) = \sum_{v < y} e_{vy}a_{vxy}$ , a finite sum. For  $y \leq z, \sigma(e_{xz}) = \sigma(e_{xy})e_{yz}$  gives  $a_{vxy} = a_{vzx}$  for  $v < y$  and  $a_{vzx} = 0$  whenever  $v \not\leq y, v < z$ . Suppose we have some  $x < v < y$ , by considering  $\sigma(e_{xy}) = \sigma(e_{xv})e_{vy}$  it follows that  $a_{vxy} = 0$ . For any other  $(v, x, y) \in X' \times X' \times X'$ , set  $a_{vxy} = 0$ . We get an array  $[a_{vxy}]$  with the desired properties. Conversely, any such array gives an  $S$ -endomorphism of  $T'$ . This gives the desired isomorphism.

Suppose every pair of elements in  $X'$  have a common upper bound. Consider any  $v, w \in X'$  that are not comparable. By (i),  $a_{vxw} = 0$  for any  $x$ ; this proves (iv). Suppose  $x < y, x < z$ . There exists  $w \in X'$  such that  $y < w, z < w$ . Then  $\sigma(e_{xz})e_{zw} = \sigma(e_{xy})e_{yw} = \sigma(e_{xw})$  gives (v). Set  $b_{vx} = a_{vxy}$ . Because of (v),  $b_{vx}$  is well defined. It gives a matrix  $[b_{vx}]$  indexed by  $X'$ , which is column finite and has the property that  $b_{vx} = 0$  if either  $v > x$ , or there exists  $y > x$  such that  $v \not\leq y$ . Let  $B$  be the set of all column-finite matrices  $[b_{vx}]$  over  $R$  indexed by  $X' \times X'$  with  $b_{vx} = 0$ , whenever either  $v > x$  or there exists a  $y > x$  such that  $v \not\leq y$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_S(T', T')$  is isomorphic to the ring  $B$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 4.11.** Let  $X$  be any locally finite, preordered set and let  $R$  be any indecomposable commutative ring. Obviously,  $S = I^*(X, R)$  is a subring of  $S' = I(X, R)$ . But  $S_S$  need not be dense or essential in  $S'_S$ . So the maximal right rings of quotients of  $S$  and  $S'$  need not be the same; in fact, they need not be isomorphic (see the example given below). In case  $S_S$  is dense in  $S'$ , the two rings will have the same maximal right ring of quotients. In that case,  $S$  can help in studying  $S'$ .

**THEOREM 4.12** [2]. *Let  $X$  be any partially ordered set such that for any  $x \in X$ , there exists a maximal element  $z \geq x$  and  $L_z = \{y \in X : y \leq z\}$  is finite. Let  $X_0$  be the set of maximal elements of  $X$ . For each  $z \in X_0$ , let  $n_z$  be the number of elements  $y \leq z$ . For the ring  $S = I(X, R)$ ,  $\hat{S} \cong \prod \{M_{n_z}(\hat{R}) : \text{where } z \text{ runs over representatives of equivalence classes in } X_0\}$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $f, g \in S' = I(X, R)$  with  $g \neq 0$ . For some  $u, v \in X$ ,  $g(u, v) \neq 0$ . Then  $ge_v \neq 0$ . At the same time the hypothesis on  $X$  gives that the support of  $fe_v$  is finite, so  $fe_v \in S = I(X, R)$ . Hence  $S_S$  is dense in  $S'$ . After this, Theorems 4.7, 4.8, and 4.9 complete the proof.  $\square$

**EXAMPLE 4.13.** Let  $X = \mathbb{N}$  be the set of natural numbers and let  $R$  be any indecomposable commutative ring. Consider  $S = I^*(\mathbb{N}, R)$  and  $S' = I(\mathbb{N}, R)$ . Let  $0 \neq f \in S'$ . For some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $fe_r \neq 0$ . Clearly, the support of  $fe_r$  is finite. Hence  $S_S$  is dense in  $S'$ . So the maximal right quotient rings of  $S$  and  $S'$  are the same. Consider  $g \in S'$  for which  $g(1, n) = 1$  for every  $n$ , and  $g(n, m) = 0$  otherwise. Then for any  $h \in S$ ,  $hg = 0$  or  $hg = kg$  for some  $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  ${}_S S$  is not dense in  $S'$ . Thus maximal left rings of quotients of  $S$  and  $S'$  are not the same. We now show that they need not be isomorphic. Consider  $R = F$  a countable field. As  $\mathbb{N}$  has no maximal element,  $K = T = T'$ ,  $Q = \text{Hom}_S(T', T')$ . By Theorem 4.10,  $Q$  is isomorphic to  $S'$ . But  $S'$ , as a right  $S'$ -module, is dense in the ring  $L$  of all column-finite matrices over  $F$ , indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ . It is well known that the ring of all column-finite matrices over a field, indexed by any set, is right self-injective. Hence  $L$  is the maximal right ring of quotients of  $S$  and  $S'$ . Let  $\mathbb{N}'$  be the set of natural numbers with reverse ordering. As  $\mathbb{N}'$  has unique maximal element 0,  $\mathbb{N}' = T_0$ , by Theorem 4.9, the corresponding  $Q'$  is isomorphic to the ring of all column-finite matrices over  $F$ , indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ . So  $Q'$  is right self-injective. However  $S = I^*(\mathbb{N}, F)$  is anti-isomorphic to  $S_1 = I^*(\mathbb{N}', F)$ . So  $Q''$ , the maximal left ring of quotients of  $S$ , is isomorphic to the ring of all row-finite matrices over  $F$ , indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ . Now  $e_{00}Q''$  is a countable set, and any minimal left ideal of  $Q''$  is generated by an element of  $e_{00}Q''$ , so the left socle of  $e_{00}Q''$  is of countable rank. For  $S'$ , the left socle is  $e_{00}S'$ , which is of uncountable rank. Also  $S'$  is left nonsingular. So  $L'$ , the maximal left ring of quotients of  $S'$ , is such that its left socle is of uncountable rank. This proves that the maximal left rings of quotients of  $S$  and  $S'$  are not isomorphic.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Abrams, J. Haefner, and A. del Río, *The isomorphism problem for incidence rings*, Pacific J. Math. **187** (1999), no. 2, 201-214.
- [2] F. Al-Thukair, S. Singh, and I. Zaguia, *Maximal ring of quotients of an incidence algebra*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **80** (2003), no. 4, 358-362.
- [3] S. Dăscălescu and L. van Wyk, *Do isomorphic structural matrix rings have isomorphic graphs?* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), no. 5, 1385-1391.
- [4] J. Froelich, *The isomorphism problem for incidence rings*, Illinois J. Math. **29** (1985), no. 1, 142-152.
- [5] T. Y. Lam, *Lectures on Modules and Rings*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 189, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [6] U. Leron and A. Vapne, *Polynomial identities of related rings*, Israel J. Math. **8** (1970), 127-137.

- [7] E. Spiegel, *Essential ideals of incidence algebras*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **68** (2000), no. 2, 252-260.
- [8] E. Spiegel and C. J. O'Donnell, *Incidence Algebras*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 206, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1997.
- [9] R. P. Stanley, *Structure of incidence algebras and their automorphism groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1970), 1236-1239.
- [10] E. R. Voss, *On the isomorphism problem for incidence rings*, Illinois J. Math. **24** (1980), no. 4, 624-638.

Surjeet Singh: Department of Mathematics, King Saud University, PO Box 2455, Riyadh 11451, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

*E-mail address:* [ssingh@ksu.edu.sa](mailto:ssingh@ksu.edu.sa)

Fawzi Al-Thukair: Department of Mathematics, King Saud University, PO Box 2455, Riyadh 11451, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia