

## K-BESSEL FUNCTIONS IN TWO VARIABLES

HACEN DIB

Received 1 December 2001

The Bessel-Muirhead hypergeometric system (or  ${}_0F_1$ -system) in two variables (and three variables) is solved using symmetric series, with an explicit formula for coefficients, in order to express the  $K$ -Bessel function as a linear combination of the  $J$ -solutions. Limits of this method and suggestions for generalizations to a higher rank are discussed.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 33C20, 33C50, 33C70, 33C80.

**1. Introduction.** The Bessel functions (of the first kind) defined on the space of real symmetric matrices appeared in the work of James [5] as an ingredient in the expression of some densities in multivariate statistics. At the same time, more systematic treatment was done by Herz [4]. In [8], Muirhead proved that they are solutions of a system of differential operators which will be designated here as Bessel-Muirhead operators following [6]. We can see [1, 3] for the generalization of this set of functions to a Jordan algebra. In what follows, we explicitly write down a fundamental set of solutions when the rank equals 2 or 3. Our approach is slightly different from [7] in the final form of the coefficients. Then (and this is our main result), we express the  $K$ -Bessel function defined in this context as a linear combination of the  $J$ -solutions in the rank-2 case, so answering a question in [4].

**DEFINITION 1.1.** Bessel-Muirhead operators are defined by

$$B_i = x_i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + (\nu + 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + 1 + \frac{d}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{x_i - x_j} \left( x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq r, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $r$  is the rank of the system. A symmetric function  $f$  is said to be a Bessel function if it is a solution of  $B_i f = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ .

Denote by  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r$  the elementary symmetric functions, that is,

$$t_p = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_p \leq r} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_p} \quad (1.2)$$

with  $t_0 = 1$  and  $t_p = 0$  if  $p < 0$  or  $p > r$ . The Bessel-Muirhead system is then equivalent to the system  $Z_k g = 0, 1 \leq k \leq r$ , (see [1, 5]) where

$$Z_k = \sum_{i,j=1}^r A_{ij}^k \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_i \partial t_j} + \left( \nu + 1 + \frac{r-k}{2} d \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} + \delta_k^1, \tag{1.3}$$

$$A_{ij}^k = \begin{cases} t_{i+j-k} & \text{if } i, j \geq k, \\ -t_{i+j-k} & \text{if } i, j < k, i + j \geq k, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

Here,  $\delta_k^1$  is the Kronecker symbol and  $g(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$ .

**2. Case  $r = 2$ .** In this case, we have  $A^1 = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2 \\ t_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & t_2 \end{pmatrix}$ , and the operators in the modified system (1.3) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 Z_1 &= \theta_1 \left( \theta_1 + 2\theta_2 + \nu + \frac{d}{2} \right) + t_1, \\ t_2 Z_2 &= \theta_2 (\theta_2 + \nu) - t_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\theta_1 = t_1 (\partial / \partial t_1)$  and  $\theta_2 = t_2 (\partial / \partial t_2)$ . The operators  $\theta_i$  are used because their action on powers is easily checked by the rule  $\theta_i t_i^\alpha = \alpha t_i^\alpha$ . Now, putting in the system (2.1) a series of the form  $S_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{m_1, m_2 \geq 0} c(m_1, m_2) t_1^{m_1 + \lambda_1} t_2^{m_2 + \lambda_2}$ , we can write the following system of recurrence formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} (m_1 + \lambda_1) \left( m_1 + 2m_2 + \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \nu + \frac{d}{2} \right) c(m_1, m_2) + c(m_1 - 1, m_2) &= 0, \\ (m_2 + \lambda_2) (m_2 + \lambda_2 + \nu) c(m_1, m_2) & \\ - (m_1 + 2 + \lambda_1) (m_1 + 1 + \lambda_1) c(m_1 + 2, m_2 - 1) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Then, we first obtain the system of critical exponents  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  when  $(m_1, m_2) = (0, 0)$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \left( \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \nu + \frac{d}{2} \right) &= 0, \\ \lambda_2 (\lambda_2 + \nu) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

which admits, as solutions, the set

$$\Lambda_{2,\nu} = \left\{ (0, 0); (0, -\nu); \left( -\nu - \frac{d}{2}, 0 \right); \left( \nu - \frac{d}{2}, -\nu \right) \right\}. \tag{2.4}$$

Now, with the help of the second equation of (2.2), we can express  $c(m_1, m_2)$  in terms of  $c(m_1 + 2m_2, 0)$  and then in terms of  $c(0, 0)$  thanks to the first

equation of (2.2). We obtain

$$c(m_1, m_2) = \frac{(-1)^{m_1+2m_2} c(0, 0)}{(1 + \lambda_1)_{m_1} (1 + \lambda_2)_{m_2} (1 + \lambda_2 + \nu)_{m_2} (1 + \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \nu + d/2)_{m_1+2m_2}}. \tag{2.5}$$

**THEOREM 2.1.** *For generic  $\nu$  (i.e.,  $\nu \notin Z$  and  $\nu \pm d/2 \notin Z$ ), the series  $S_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}(t_1, t_2)$  with  $c(m_1, m_2)$  as in (2.5) and  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Lambda_{2, \nu}$  form a fundamental set of solutions of system (2.1).*

**REMARK 2.2.** The convergence of this series is obvious.

**3. Case  $r = 3$ .** As in the previous case, we have

$$A^1 = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 \\ t_2 & t_3 & 0 \\ t_3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_2 & t_3 \\ 0 & t_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t_3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.1}$$

the modified system (1.3) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 Z_1 &= \theta_1(\theta_1 + 2\theta_2 + 2\theta_3 + \nu + d) + t_1 + t_1 t_3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_2^2}, \\ t_2 Z_2 &= \theta_2 \left( \theta_2 + 2\theta_3 + \nu + \frac{d}{2} \right) - t_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1^2}, \\ t_3 Z_3 &= \theta_3(\theta_3 + \nu) - 2t_3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} - t_1 t_3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_2^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and we obtain the following system of recurrence formulas for the coefficients of a series of the form  $\sum_{m_1, m_2, m_3 \geq 0} c(m_1, m_2, m_3) t_1^{m_1 + \lambda_1} t_2^{m_2 + \lambda_2} t_3^{m_3 + \lambda_3}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(\underline{\lambda} + \underline{m})c(\underline{m}) + c(\underline{m} - e_1) + (m_2 + 2 + \lambda_2)(m_2 + 1 + \lambda_2)c(\underline{m} - e_1 + 2e_2 - e_3) &= 0, \\ I_2(\underline{\lambda} + \underline{m})c(\underline{m}) - (m_1 + 2 + \lambda_1)(m_1 + 1 + \lambda_1)c(\underline{m} + 2e_1 - e_2) &= 0, \\ I_3(\underline{\lambda} + \underline{m})c(\underline{m}) - 2(m_1 + 1 + \lambda_1)(m_2 + 1 + \lambda_2)c(\underline{m} + e_1 + e_2 - e_3) \\ - (m_2 + 2 + \lambda_2)(m_2 + 1 + \lambda_2)c(\underline{m} - e_1 + 2e_2 - e_3) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where  $\underline{m} = (m_1, m_2, m_3)$ ,  $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ ,  $e_1 = (1, 0, 0)$ ,  $e_2 = (0, 1, 0)$ ,  $e_3 = (0, 0, 1)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(\underline{s}) &= s_1(s_1 + 2s_2 + 2s_3 + \nu + d), \\ I_2(\underline{s}) &= s_2 \left( s_2 + 2s_3 + \nu + \frac{d}{2} \right), \\ I_3(\underline{s}) &= s_3(s_3 + \nu). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The critical exponents set  $\Lambda_{3,\nu}$  is obtained after solving  $I_1(\underline{\lambda}) = I_2(\underline{\lambda}) = I_3(\underline{\lambda}) = 0$ . Then we have

$$\Lambda_{3,\nu} = \begin{cases} (0,0,0); & (0,0,-\nu), \\ (-\nu-d,0,0); & (\nu-d,0,-\nu), \\ \left(0,-\nu-\frac{d}{2},0\right); & \left(0,\nu-\frac{d}{2},-\nu\right), \\ \left(\nu,-\nu-\frac{d}{2},0\right); & \left(-\nu,\nu-\frac{d}{2},-\nu\right). \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

Now, by the second equation of (3.3), we can express  $c(\underline{m})$  in terms of  $c(m_1 + 2m_2, 0, m_3)$ . The third equation of (3.3) allows us to express  $c(m_1 + 2m_2, 0, m_3)$  by  $c(m_1 + 2m_2 + 3m_3, 0, 0)$ , and finally, by the first equation, we regress to  $c(0, 0, 0)$ . After all reductions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c(\underline{m}) &= \frac{(-1)^{m_1+2m_2+3m_3} c(0)}{(1+\lambda_1)_{m_1} (1+\lambda_2)_{m_2} (1+\lambda_3)_{m_3} (1+\lambda_3+\nu)_{m_3} (1+\lambda_2+2\lambda_3+\nu+d/2)_{m_2+2m_3}} \\ &\times \frac{(1+\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+4\lambda_3+2\nu+d)_{m_1+2m_2+4m_3}}{(1+\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+2\lambda_3+\nu+d)_{m_1+2m_2+3m_3}} \\ &\times \frac{1}{(1+\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+4\lambda_3+2\nu+d)_{m_1+2m_2+3m_3}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and all ingredients to write a theorem like [Theorem 2.1](#).

**4. K-Bessel function.** As an application, we derive, in the case  $r = 2$ , the expansion of the  $K$ -Bessel function in the previous basis (J-functions) of the Bessel system. Recall the one-variable situation (small letters refer to special functions of one variable); the  $k$ -Bessel function can be defined by

$$k_\nu(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} \exp\left(-y - \frac{x}{y}\right) y^{-\nu-1} dy. \tag{4.1}$$

If we put

$$j_\nu(x) = {}_0f_1\left(\begin{matrix} - \\ \nu+1 \end{matrix}; x\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!(\nu+1)_n} x^n, \tag{4.2}$$

we have the formula

$$k_\nu(x) = \Gamma(-\nu) j_\nu(-x) + \Gamma(\nu) x^{-\nu} j_{-\nu}(-x). \tag{4.3}$$

Recall also the Mellin transform of  $k_\nu(x)$ ,

$$M(k_\nu)(s) = \int_0^{+\infty} k_\nu(x) x^{s-1} dx = \Gamma(s) \Gamma(s-\nu). \tag{4.4}$$

Now, we write the two-variable situation in a Jordan algebra context. Take an  $n$ -dimensional Jordan algebra  $A$  of a rank 2, the generic case is  $A = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , endowed with the product

$$x \cdot y = (\xi\eta + \langle u, v \rangle, \xi v + \eta u) \tag{4.5}$$

if  $x = (\xi, u)$ ,  $y = (\eta, v)$ , and  $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_i v_i$ . The unit is obviously  $e = (1, 0)$ . Then we have a Cayley-Hamilton-like theorem  $x^2 - 2\xi x + (\xi^2 - \|u\|^2)e = 0$ , and we can put  $\text{tr}(x) = 2\xi$  and  $\det(x) = \xi^2 - \|u\|^2$ . We consider the following scalar product on  $A$ :

$$(x, y) = \text{tr}(x \cdot y) = 2\xi\eta + 2\langle u, v \rangle. \tag{4.6}$$

We can show that each  $x$  has a spectral decomposition  $x = x_1 \hat{e}_1 + x_2 \hat{e}_2$ , with  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\{\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2\}$  is a pair of primitive strongly orthogonal idempotents. More precisely,  $\hat{e}_1 = (1/2, u/2\|u\|)$  and  $\hat{e}_2 = (1/2, -u/2\|u\|)$ . Observe that  $\sigma_x = u/\|u\| \in S^{n-2}$ . Any element  $y$  can be decomposed as follows:  $y = k \cdot (\gamma_1 \hat{e}_1 + \gamma_2 \hat{e}_2)$  with  $k \in \text{SO}(n-1)$  acting on  $\hat{e}_1$ , for example, by  $k \cdot \hat{e}_1 = (1/2, (1/2)k \cdot \sigma_x)$ , where  $k \cdot \sigma_x$  is the standard action of  $\text{SO}(n-1)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . The scalar product takes the form

$$(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) + \frac{1}{2}(x_1 - x_2)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)\langle \sigma_x, k \cdot \sigma_x \rangle. \tag{4.7}$$

Now, the  $K$ -Bessel function can be defined by

$$K_\nu(x) = \int_\Omega e^{-\text{tr}(y^{-1}) - (x, y)} (\det y)^{\nu - n/2} dy, \tag{4.8}$$

where  $\Omega = \{x \in A / \text{tr}(x) > 0 \text{ and } \det x > 0\}$  is the cone of positivity of  $A$ . After a change of variables, we can show that

$$K_\nu(x) = (\det x)^{-\nu} K_{-\nu}(x). \tag{4.9}$$

So, following [1], where it is proved that  $K_\nu$  is a solution of a differential system similar to (1.1), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} K_\nu(x) = & a_\nu S_{(0,0)}(-t_1, t_2) + b_\nu S_{(0,-\nu)}(-t_1, t_2) \\ & + c_\nu S_{(-\nu-d/2,0)}(-t_1, t_2) + d_\nu S_{(\nu-d/2,-\nu)}(-t_1, t_2) \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

(here,  $d = n - 2$ ). According to (4.9), we have  $a_\nu = b_{-\nu}$  and  $c_\nu = d_{-\nu}$ . For suitable  $\nu$ , the following limit holds (see [2] for more information on  $\Gamma_\Omega$ , the gamma function of the cone  $\Omega$ ):

$$\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow 0 \\ x \in \Omega}} K_\nu(x) = \Gamma_\Omega(-\nu) = (2\pi)^{(n-2)/2} \Gamma(-\nu) \Gamma\left(-\nu - \frac{n-2}{2}\right), \tag{4.11}$$

so

$$a_\nu = b_{-\nu} = (2\pi)^{(n-2)/2} \Gamma(-\nu) \Gamma\left(-\nu - \frac{n-2}{2}\right) \tag{4.12}$$

according to the behaviour of the solutions  $S_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$ . To determine  $c_\nu$  (and then  $d_\nu$ ), we take  $x \neq 0$  on the boundary of  $\Omega$ . So if  $x = 2\xi \hat{e}_1$ , then the integral representation of  $K_\nu$  takes the explicit form

$$K_\nu(2\xi \hat{e}_1) = C \int_{SO(n-1)} \int_{y_1 > y_2 > 0} e^{-(1/y_1 + 1/y_2 + \xi(y_1 + y_2)) - \xi(y_1 - y_2) \langle \sigma_x, k \cdot \sigma_x \rangle} \times (y_1 y_2)^{\nu - n/2} (y_1 - y_2)^{n-2} dk dy_1 dy_2, \tag{4.13}$$

where  $C$  is a constant (see [2, Theorem VI.2.3, page 104] for the integration formula in polar coordinates in  $\Omega$ ). In the particular case of rank-2 Jordan algebras, we have  $C = 2^{2-n/2} \pi^{(n-1)/2} / \Gamma((n-1)/2)$ . Now, after integration over  $SO(n-1)$ , we obtain

$$K_\nu(2\xi \hat{e}_1) = C \int_{y_1 > y_2 > 0} e^{-(1/y_1 + 1/y_2 + \xi(y_1 + y_2))} (y_1 y_2)^{\nu - n/2} \times (y_1 - y_2)^{n-2} {}_0f_1\left(\frac{-}{2}; \frac{\xi^2 (y_1 - y_2)^2}{4}\right) dy_1 dy_2. \tag{4.14}$$

Then, the evaluation of the (one variable) Mellin transform of  $K_\nu(2\xi \hat{e}_1)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} M(K_\nu(2(\cdot) \hat{e}_1))(s) &= \int_0^\infty K_\nu(2\xi \hat{e}_1) \xi^{s-1} d\xi \\ &= C \Gamma(s) \int_{y_1 > y_2 > 0} e^{-(1/y_1 + 1/y_2)} (y_1 y_2)^{\nu - n/2} (y_1 - y_2)^{n-2} \\ &\quad \times (y_1 + y_2)^{-s} {}_2f_1\left(\frac{s}{2}, \frac{s+1}{2}; \left(\frac{y_1 - y_2}{y_1 + y_2}\right)^2\right) dy_1 dy_2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

This last integral can be computed after making the change  $y_1 = re^\theta$  and  $y_2 = re^{-\theta}$  with  $r, \theta > 0$ ; so

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(K_\nu)(s) &= 2^{n-1-s} C\Gamma(s) \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{2\cosh\theta/r} r^{2\nu-s-1} (\sinh\theta)^{n-2} (\cosh\theta)^{-s} \\
 &\quad \times {}_2F_1\left(\frac{s}{2}, \frac{s+1}{2}; \frac{n-1}{2}; (\tanh\theta)^2\right) dr d\theta \\
 &= 2^{n-1+2(\nu-s)} C\Gamma(s)\Gamma(s-2\nu) \int_0^\infty (\sinh\theta)^{n-2} (\cosh\theta)^{2(\nu-s)} {}_2F_1 \\
 &\quad \times\left(\frac{s}{2}, \frac{s+1}{2}; \frac{n-1}{2}; (\tanh\theta)^2\right) d\theta \\
 &= 2^{n-1+2(\nu-s)} C \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(s-2\nu)\Gamma(s-\nu+1-n/2)\Gamma((n-1)/2)}{\Gamma(s-\nu+1/2)} {}_2F_1 \\
 &\quad \times\left(\frac{s}{2}, \frac{s+1}{2}; s-\nu+\frac{1}{2}; 1\right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

and finally

$$M(K_\nu(2(\cdot)\hat{e}_1))(s) = (2\pi)^{(n-2)/2} \Gamma(-\nu) \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(s-\nu-(n-2)/2)}{2^s}. \tag{4.17}$$

So, we can write

$$K_\nu(\xi\hat{e}_1) = (2\pi)^{(n-2)/2} \Gamma(-\nu) k_{\nu+(n-2)/2}(\xi) \tag{4.18}$$

according to (4.4). Finally, using (4.3) and the expression of  $S_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$  in terms of  $j_\nu$  when  $x = 2\xi\hat{e}_1$ , we obtain

$$c_\nu = d_{-\nu} = (2\pi)^{(n-2)/2} \Gamma(-\nu) \Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{n-2}{2}\right). \tag{4.19}$$

**5. Conclusion.** The resolution of the recurrence systems was possible because each one contains at least one equation with two coefficients of the series. Unfortunately, in the higher rank, such a situation does not occur. But we conjecture that a recurrence on the rank exists. We expect also that a similar situation is possible for the systems satisfied by the multivariate hypergeometric functions  ${}_1F_1$  and  ${}_2F_1$ .

For the  $K$ -Bessel function in the case  $r = 3$ , there is four nonequivalent classes of the Euclidean Jordan algebra. So, we think that we have to perform case-by-case calculations, and the essential difficulty arises in the evaluation of

the integral over the automorphism group of the Jordan algebra-like formulas (4.13) and (4.14). This will be the subject of another paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Dib, *Fonctions de Bessel sur une algèbre de Jordan* [Bessel functions on a Jordan algebra], J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **69** (1990), no. 4, 403–448 (French).
- [2] J. Faraut and A. Korányi, *Analysis on Symmetric Cones*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, New York, 1994.
- [3] J. Faraut and G. Travaglini, *Bessel functions associated with representations of formally real Jordan algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **71** (1987), no. 1, 123–141.
- [4] C. S. Herz, *Bessel functions of matrix argument*, Ann. of Math. (2) **61** (1955), no. 3, 474–523.
- [5] A. T. James, *A generating function for averages over the orthogonal group*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **229** (1955), 367–375.
- [6] A. Korányi, *Transformation properties of the generalized Muirhead operators*, Colloq. Math. **60/61** (1990), no. 2, 665–669.
- [7] N. H. Mahmoud, *Bessel systems for Jordan algebras of rank 2 and 3*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **234** (1999), no. 2, 372–390.
- [8] R. J. Muirhead, *Systems of partial differential equations for hypergeometric functions of matrix argument*, Ann. Math. Statist. **41** (1970), no. 3, 991–1001.

Hacen Dib: Department of Mathematics, University of Tlemcen, BP 119, Tlemcen 13000, Algeria

*E-mail address:* [h\\_dib@mail.univ-tlemcen.dz](mailto:h_dib@mail.univ-tlemcen.dz)