

THE SPACE OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF TWO VARIABLES AS A METRIC SPACE

W. C. SISARCICK

Department of Mathematics
Marshall University
Huntington, West Virginia 25701

(Received October 17, 1977)

Section 1. Introduction.

Let Γ^2 denote the space of entire functions of two variables.

If $f(z,w) \in \Gamma^2$, $f(z,w) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n$, the series converging

absolutely for all (z,w) and uniformly in every

bicylinder centered at $(0,0)$, [2]. Here, a metric is defined on

Γ^2 and three classes of linear functionals on Γ^2 are characterized.

We use the following notation.

$$(1) \langle a_{m,n} \rangle_{m+n=k}^\infty \equiv \langle a_{k,0}, a_{k-1,1}, \dots, a_{0,k}, a_{k+1,0}, \dots \rangle.$$

$$(2) \sum_{m+n=0}^\infty a_{m,n} \equiv a_{0,0} + a_{1,0} + a_{0,1} + a_{2,0} + a_{1,1} + \dots$$

$$\equiv \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m+n=0}^N a_{m,n}.$$

Definition 1.1. The sequence $\langle a_{m,n} \rangle_{m+n=k}^\infty$ is said to have limit a as $m+n \rightarrow \infty$, written $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} a_{m,n} = a$, if and only if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $N = N(\epsilon) \geq 0$ such that $|a_{m,n} - a| < \epsilon$ if $m+n > N$.

Lemma 1.2. If $f(z,w) = \sum_{m,n=0}^\infty a_{m,n} z^m w^n \in \Gamma^2$, then for each (z,w) , the sequence $\langle a_{m,n} z^m w^n \rangle_{m+n=0}^\infty$ is such that $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n = 0$.

Proof. Given (z,w) , let $S_N = \sum_{j+k=0}^N |a_{j,k} z^j w^k|$. Since $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N$ exists, $0 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_{N-1} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (S_N - S_{N-1}) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j+k=N} |a_{j,k} z^j w^k|$.

Hence given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $M = M(\epsilon)$ such that if $N > M$, $|a_{N-j,j} z^{N-j} w^j| < \epsilon$ for each j , $0 \leq j \leq N$. Let $m+n = N$. Then $0 \leq n \leq N$ and $|a_{m,n} z^m w^n| < \epsilon$. Therefore given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $M = M(\epsilon)$ such that $m+n > M \Rightarrow |a_{m,n} z^m w^n| < \epsilon$. Hence

$$\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n = 0.$$

Lemma 1.3. A necessary and sufficient condition that $\sum_{m,n=0}^\infty a_{m,n} z^m w^n$

is an entire function is that for the sequence $\langle |a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} \rangle_{m+n=1}^{\infty}$, one has $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} |a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} = 0$.

Proof. Let $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n \in \Gamma^2$ and $T = \overline{\lim}_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} |a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n}$.

If $T > 0$, choose (z,w) such that $|z| \geq |w| > 1/T$. ($1/T = 0$ if $T = \infty$). Then choose p such that $|w| > p > 1/T$. Then

$|\frac{z}{p}| \leq |\frac{w}{p}| < \frac{1}{p} < T$. By definition of T , there exists a sequence

$\langle (m_k, n_k) \rangle_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\langle m_k + n_k \rangle_{k=1}^{\infty}$ increases monotonically to

∞ and $|a_{m_k, n_k}|^{\frac{1}{m_k + n_k}} > 1/p$ for all k . Hence $|a_{m_k, n_k} z^{m_k} w^{n_k}| > (\frac{z}{p})^{m_k} (\frac{w}{p})^{n_k} > 1$. This contradicts Lemma 1.2. Therefore $T = 0$.

Hence $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} |a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} = 0$.

Conversely, let $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n$ be a series such that for the sequence $\langle |a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} \rangle_{m+n=1}^{\infty}$, one has $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} |a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} = 0$. To

show this series is an entire function, it suffices to show

[2] the series converges for each (z,w) . Consider (z,w) fixed.

Choose p such that $|z| < p$ and $|w| < p$. Let N be such that $m+n$

$> N \Rightarrow |a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} < 1/p$. Then for $m+n > N$, $|a_{m,n} z^m w^n| <$

$$\left(\frac{|z|}{p}\right)^m \left(\frac{|w|}{p}\right)^n, \quad \sum_{m+n=N+1}^{\infty} |a_{m,n} z^m w^n| \leq \sum_{m+n=N+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|z|}{p}\right)^m \left(\frac{|w|}{p}\right)^n < \infty.$$

Therefore $\sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} |a_{m,n} z^m w^n| < \infty$.

Let $s_{p,q} = \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{n=0}^q a_{m,n} z^m w^n$. To show the series converges,

it suffices to show [1] that given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $N = N(\epsilon)$ such that $|s_{p,q} - s_{m,n}| < \epsilon$ if $p > m > N$ and $q > n > N$.

Since $\sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} |a_{m,n} z^m w^n| < \infty$, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $M = M(\epsilon)$ such that $N > \max\{M, 1\} \Rightarrow \sum_{j+k=N+1}^{\infty} a_{j,k} z^j w^k < \epsilon$. Choose such an N .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } N &= N(\epsilon). \text{ For } p > m > N \text{ and } q > n > N, |s_{p,q} - s_{m,n}| \\ &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^p \sum_{k=0}^q a_{j,k} z^j w^k - \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n a_{j,k} z^j w^k \right| \leq \sum_{j+k=m+n}^{\infty} \left| a_{j,k} z^j w^k \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j+k=N+1}^{\infty} \left| a_{j,k} z^j w^k \right| < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.4. Given $f(z,w) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n \in \Gamma^2$ and

$g(z,w) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} b_{m,n} z^m w^n \in \Gamma^2$, define $d(f,g) =$

$$\sup\{|a_{0,0} - b_{0,0}|, |a_{m,n} - b_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} : m+n \geq 1\}.$$

Theorem 1.5. The space (Γ^2, d) is a metric space.

Proof. Given f, g as in Definition 1.4, the set

$\{|a_{m,n} - b_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} : m+n \geq 1\}$ is a bounded set by Lemma 1.3, so d is

well defined. It is clear that $d(f,g) = 0$ if and only if $f = g$

and that $d(f,g) = d(g,f)$. Let $h(z,w) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} c_{m,n} z^m w^n \in \Gamma^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } d(f,h) &= \sup\{|a_{0,0} - c_{0,0}|, |a_{m,n} - c_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} : m+n \geq 1\} = \\ &\sup\{|(a_{0,0} - b_{0,0}) + (b_{0,0} - c_{0,0})|, |(a_{m,n} - b_{m,n}) + (b_{m,n} - c_{m,n})|^{1/m+n} : m+n \geq 1\} \\ &\leq \sup\{|a_{0,0} - b_{0,0}| + |b_{0,0} - c_{0,0}|, |a_{m,n} - b_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} + |b_{m,n} - c_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} : \\ &m+n \geq 1\} \leq \sup\{|a_{0,0} - b_{0,0}|, |a_{m,n} - b_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} : m+n \geq 1\} + \sup \end{aligned}$$

$\{|b_{0,0}^{-c_{0,0}}|, |b_{m,n}^{-c_{m,n}}|^{1/m+n} : m+n \geq 1\} = d(f,g) + d(g,h)$. Hence d is a metric on Γ^2 .

Section 2. The class of continuous linear functionals on Γ^2 .

Definition 2.1. A function F from Γ^2 to \mathcal{C} (complex plane) is a linear functional if and only if for all $f, g \in \Gamma^2, \alpha \in \mathcal{C}, F(f+g) = F(f)+F(g)$ and $F(\alpha f) = \alpha F(f)$.

Definition 2.2. A function F from Γ^2 to \mathcal{C} is said to be continuous at $f \in \Gamma^2$ if and only if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that if $g \in \Gamma^2$ and $d(f,g) < \delta$, then $|F(f)-F(g)| < \epsilon$.

Definition 2.3. A function F from Γ^2 to \mathcal{C} is said to be continuous if and only if it is continuous at each $f \in \Gamma^2$.

Lemma 2.4 The series $\sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} b_{m,n}$ converges for all sequences

$\langle a_{m,n} \rangle_{m+n=0}^{\infty}$ such that $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} |a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} = 0$ if and only if

$\langle |b_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} \rangle_{m+n=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence.

Proof. Let $\langle |b_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} \rangle_{m+n=1}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence and $\langle a_{m,n} \rangle_{m+n=0}^{\infty}$

be such that $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} |a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} = 0$. Choose $M > 0$ such that

$|b_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} \leq M$ if $m+n \geq 1$ and then $N \geq 0$ such that $m+n > N \Rightarrow$

$|a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} \leq \frac{1}{2M}$. Then if $m+n > N, |a_{m,n} b_{m,n}| \leq \frac{1}{(2M)^{m+n}} \cdot M^{m+n} = \frac{1}{2^{m+n}}$.

Therefore $\sum_{m+n=N+1}^{\infty} |a_{m,n} b_{m,n}| \leq \sum_{m+n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{m+n}} < \infty$.

Hence the series $\sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} b_{m,n}$ converges absolutely, hence it converges.

Conversely suppose for any sequence $\langle a_{m,n} \rangle_{m+n=0}^{\infty}$ such that $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} |a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} = 0$, the series $\sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} b_{m,n}$ converges. If

$\langle |b_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} \rangle_{m+n=1}^{\infty}$ is not bounded, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ there exists

an (m_k, n_k) such that $|b_{m_k, n_k}|^{\frac{1}{m_k+n_k}} > k$ and $\langle m_k+n_k \rangle_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is strictly increasing. Choose $a_{m,n} = 0$ if $(m,n) \neq (m_k, n_k)$,

$a_{m_k, n_k} = k^{\frac{1}{m_k+n_k}}$. Then $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} |a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} = \lim_{m_k+n_k \rightarrow \infty} |a_{m_k, n_k}|$

$\frac{1}{m_k+n_k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} = 0$. But $|a_{m_k, n_k} b_{m_k, n_k}| > 1$ for each k so

$\sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} b_{m,n}$ does not converge. Therefore $\langle |b_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} \rangle_{m+n=1}^{\infty}$

is bounded. The series $\sum_{m,n} a_{m,n} b_{m,n}$ does not converge since the only $\neq 0$ terms are > 1 and there are an infinite number of them.

We now characterize the class of continuous linear functionals on Γ^2 .

Theorem 2.5. Let F be a function from Γ^2 to the complex plane.

Then F is a continuous linear functional on Γ^2 if and only if there

is a unique sequence $\langle b_{m,n} \rangle_{m+n=0}^{\infty}$ such that $\langle |b_{0,0}|, |b_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} \rangle_{m+n=1}^{\infty}$

is bounded and such that for all $f(z,w) = \sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n \in \Gamma^2$,

$$F(f) = \sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} b_{m,n}.$$

Proof. Let $\langle |b_{0,0}|, |b_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} \rangle_{m+n=1}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence, $M > 0$ be such that $|b_{0,0}| < M, |b_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} < M, m+n \geq 1$ and

$f(z,w) = \sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n \in \Gamma^2$. Then $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} |a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} = 0$ so

$\sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} b_{m,n}$ converges by Lemma 2.4. Hence we may define

a function F from Γ^2 to the complex plane by $F(f) =$

$\sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} b_{m,n}$. It is clear that F is a linear functional.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $f(z,w) = \sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n \in \Gamma^2$ be given. We show there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that if $g \in \Gamma^2$ and $d(f,g) < \delta$, then $|F(f) - F(g)| < \epsilon$. Choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta M < 1$ and

$\delta M + \left(\frac{\delta M}{1-\delta M}\right)^2 < \epsilon$. Then if $g(z,w) = \sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} c_{m,n} z^m w^n \in \Gamma^2$ and

$d(f,g) < \epsilon, |F(f) - F(g)| = |F(f-g)| = \left| \sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} (a_{m,n} - c_{m,n}) b_{m,n} \right|$

$$\leq |a_{0,0} - c_{0,0}| M + \sum_{m+n=1}^{\infty} |a_{m,n} - c_{m,n}| M^{m+n}$$

$$\leq \delta M + \sum_{m+n=1}^{\infty} (\delta M)^{m+n}$$

$$= \delta M + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\delta M)^m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\delta M)^n$$

$$= \delta M + \left(\frac{\delta M}{1-\delta M}\right)^2 < \epsilon .$$

Conversely, let F be a continuous linear functional on Γ^2 .

Let $F(z^m w^n) = b_{m,n}$ for all $m+n \geq 0$. Given $f(z,w) = \sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n$,

let $f_N(z,w) = \sum_{m+n=0}^N a_{m,n} z^m w^n$. Then $d(f_N, f) = \sup\{|a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} : m+n$

$> N\} \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ so by the continuity of $F, F(f_N) \rightarrow F(f)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

But $F(f_N) = \sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} b_{m,n}$. Therefore $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m+n=0}^N a_{m,n} b_{m,n} = F(f)$.

Hence $\sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} b_{m,n}$ converges and $F(f) = \sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} b_{m,n}$. By

Lemma 2.4, the sequence $\langle |b_{0,0}|^{1/m+n} \rangle_{m+n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded. Suppose

$\langle C_{m,n} \rangle_{m+n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence such that for all

$f(z,w) = \sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n \in \Gamma^2$, $F(f) = \sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} C_{m,n}$, then for

$j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $F(z^j w^k) = C_{j,k}$. But $F(z^j w^k) = b_{j,k}$.

Hence $C_{jk} = b_{j,k}$ and the sequence is unique.

Section 3. The class of continuous scalar homomorphisms on Γ^2 .

Let $f, g \in \Gamma^2$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ (complex field). Define

$$(f+g)(z,w) = f(z,w) + g(z,w), (f \circ g)(z,w) = f(z,w)g(z,w), (\alpha f)$$

$$(z,w) = \alpha \circ f(z,w). \text{ Then } \Gamma^2 \text{ becomes a commutative algebra with}$$

a unit. In this section we characterize the continuous linear functionals on Γ^2 that preserve multiplication. That is the continuous scalar homomorphisms on Γ^2 .

Lemma 3.1. Given $\epsilon > 0$ and $(b,c) \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that if $f, g \in \Gamma^2$ and $d(f,g) < \delta$, then $|f(b,c) - g(b,c)| < \epsilon$.

Proof. Given $\epsilon > 0$ and $(b,c) \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$, let $R = \max\{|b|, |c|\}$

choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta R < 1$ and $\delta + \frac{\delta R}{1 - \delta R} < \epsilon$. Then if

$f(z,w) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n$ and $g(z,w) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} b_{m,n} z^m w^n$ are in Γ^2

and $d(f,g) < \delta$, $|f(b,c) - g(b,c)| = \left| \sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} (a_{m,n} - b_{m,n}) b^m c^n \right|$

$\leq |a_{0,0} - b_{0,0}| + \sum_{m+n=1}^{\infty} |a_{m,n} - b_{m,n}| R^{m+n} < \delta + \sum_{m+n=1}^{\infty} (\delta R)^{m+n} =$

$$\delta + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\delta R)^m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\delta R)^n = \delta + \left(\frac{\delta R}{1-\delta R}\right)^2 < \epsilon.$$

Theorem 3.2. Let F be a function from Γ^2 to \mathcal{C} , $F \not\equiv 0$.

Then F is a continuous scalar homomorphism on Γ^2 if and only if there exists a unique $(b,c) \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ such that for all $f(z,w) =$

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n \in \Gamma^2,$$

$$F(f) = f(b,c).$$

Proof. Let F be a $\not\equiv 0$ continuous scalar homomorphism on Γ^2 .

By Theorem 2.5, there is a unique sequence $\langle b_{m,n} \rangle_{m+n=0}^{\infty}$ such that

for all $f(z,w) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n \in \Gamma^2$, $F(f) = \sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} b_{m,n}$. For

each m and n , $b_{m,n} = F(z^m w^n) = F(z)^m F(w)^n = b_{1,0}^m b_{0,1}^n$. Therefore

$$F(f) = \sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} b_{1,0}^m b_{0,1}^n = f(b_{1,0}, b_{0,1}).$$

Conversely, given $(b,c) \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$, define a function F from Γ^2 to \mathcal{C} by $F(f) = f(b,c)$. Then F is clearly a $\not\equiv 0$ scalar homomorphism. Given $\epsilon > 0$, let $\delta > 0$ be such that if $f,g \in \Gamma^2$ and $d(f,g) < \delta$, then $|f(b,c) - g(b,c)| < \epsilon$. Then $|F(f) - F(g)| = |F(f-g)| = |(f-g)(b,c)| = |f(b,c) - g(b,c)| < \epsilon$. Hence F is continuous.

Section 4. The class of bounded linear functionals on Γ^2 .

Definition 4.1 . Let F be a linear functional on Γ^2 . Then F is said to be bounded if and only if there exists an $M \geq 0$ such

that for all $f \in \Gamma^2$, $|F(f)| \leq Md(f,0)$. Here, 0 denotes the function identically zero on $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$.

Lemma 4.2. Let F be a linear functional on Γ^2 . If F is bounded, F is continuous but not conversely.

Proof. Let F be a bounded linear functional on Γ^2 . Let $f_0 \in \Gamma^2$ $\epsilon > 0$ be given and let $M \geq 0$ be such that for all $f \in \Gamma^2$, $|F(f)| \leq Md(f,0)$. Choose $\delta = \epsilon/M+1$. Then if $g \in \Gamma^2$ and $d(f_0, g) < \delta$, $|F(f_0) - F(g)| = |F(f_0 - g)| \leq Md(f_0 - g, 0) \leq (m+1)d(f_0, g) < (M+1)\delta < \epsilon$. Therefore F is continuous at f_0 . Hence F is continuous.

For an example of a continuous linear functional that is not bounded, let $b_{m,n} = n$. Then $\langle |n|^{1/m+n} \rangle_{m+n=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence.

Define a function F from Γ^2 to \mathcal{C} by $F \left(\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n \right) = \sum_{m+n=1}^{\infty} na_{m,n}$.

By Theorem 2.5, F is a continuous linear functional on Γ^2 . If F

is bounded, there exists an $M \geq 0$ such that $\left| \sum_{m+n=1}^{\infty} na_{m,n} \right| \leq M$

$\sup \{ |a_{0,0}|, |a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} : m+n \geq 1 \}$ for all $\langle a_{m,n} \rangle_{m+n=0}^{\infty}$ such that

$|a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} \rightarrow 0$ as $m+n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $k > \max\{M, 2\}$. Let

$a_{0,k} = k$, $a_{m,n} = 0$ if $(m,n) \neq (0,k)$. Then $|a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} \rightarrow 0$ as

$m+n \rightarrow \infty$ since the sequence has only one non-zero term. But

$$\left| \sum_{m+n=1}^{\infty} na_{m,n} \right| = k^2, \quad M \sup\{|a_{0,0}|, |a_{m,n}|^{1/m,n} : m+n \geq 1\} =$$

$M \cdot k^{1/k} < k \cdot k^{1/k} < k \cdot k^{1/k} < k^2$, a contradiction. Hence F is not bounded.

Definition 4.3. Let B denote the class of bounded linear functionals on Γ^2 . For $F, G \in B$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $f \in \Gamma^2$, define $(F+G)(f) = F(f) + G(f)$, $(\alpha F)(f) = \alpha \cdot F(f)$, $\|F\| = \inf\{M \geq 0 \mid \text{for all } f \in \Gamma^2, |F(f)| \leq M d(f, 0)\}$.

Theorem 4.4. With respect to Definition 4.3, B is a normed linear space.

Proof. Let $F \in B$. Then $|F(f)| \leq \|F\| d(f, 0)$ for all $f \in \Gamma^2$.

If not, for some $f_0 \in \Gamma^2$, $|F(f_0)| > \|F\| d(f_0, 0)$. Then $d(f_0, 0) \neq 0$ so choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that $|F(f_0)| = \|F\| d(f_0, 0) + \epsilon d(f_0, 0)$. By Definition of $\|F\|$, there exists an $M \geq 0$ such that $|F(f)| \leq M d(f, 0)$ for all $f \in \Gamma^2$ and $\|F\| + \epsilon > M$. Then $d(f_0, 0)(\|F\| + \epsilon) = |F(f_0)| \leq M d(f_0, 0)$. Hence $\|F\| + \epsilon \leq M$, a contradiction.

Therefore $|F(f)| \leq \|F\| d(f, 0)$ for all $f \in \Gamma^2$ and $\|F\|$ is the smallest number to satisfy this inequality for all $f \in \Gamma^2$.

For $F, G \in B$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $F+G$ and αF are clearly linear functionals on Γ^2 . For $f \in \Gamma^2$, $|(F+G)(f)| = |F(f)+G(f)| \leq |F(f)| + |G(f)| \leq \|F\| d(f, 0) + \|G\| d(f, 0) = (\|F\| + \|G\|) d(f, 0)$. Hence $F+G \in B$ and $\|F+G\| \leq \|F\| + \|G\|$. Also $|(\alpha F)(f)| = |\alpha \cdot F(f)| = |\alpha| |F(f)| \leq |\alpha| \|F\| d(f, 0)$. Hence $\alpha F \in B$ and $\|\alpha F\| \leq |\alpha| \|F\|$. Suppose it is possible to have $\|\alpha F\| < |\alpha| \|F\|$. Choose

$\epsilon > 0$ such that $||\alpha F|| + \epsilon |\alpha| ||F|| = |\alpha| ||F||$. Then for all $f \in \Gamma^2$, $|\alpha| |F(f)| = |(\alpha F)(f)| \leq ||\alpha F|| d(f,0) = (1 - \epsilon) |\alpha| ||F|| d(f,0)$. Therefore $|F(f)| \leq (1 - \epsilon) ||F|| d(f,0)$, a contradiction. Hence $||\alpha F|| = |\alpha| ||F||$.

It is clear that $||\bullet||$ evaluated at the zero linear functional on Γ^2 is 0 and if $||F|| = 0$ then $|F(f)| = 0$ for all $f \in \Gamma^2$, hence $F \equiv 0$. Also the remaining properties required for B to be a normed linear space follow trivially. Hence B is a normed linear space with respect to Definition 4.3.

Theorem 4.5. Let F be a function from Γ^2 to \mathcal{C} . Then $F \in B$ if and only if there exists unique $(a,b,c) \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ such that for all $f(z,w) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n \in \Gamma^2$,

$$F(f) = a_{0,0}a + a_{1,0}b + a_{0,1}c.$$

Also, $||F|| = |a| + |b| + |c|$.

Proof. Let $F \in B$. Then F is continuous so there exist a unique

sequence $\langle b_{m,n} \rangle_{m+n=0}^{\infty}$ such that $F\left(\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n\right) = \sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} b_{m,n}$

and $\left|\sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} b_{m,n}\right| \leq ||F|| \sup\{|a_{0,0}|, |a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} : m+n \geq 1\}$ for

all sequences $\langle a_{m,n} \rangle_{m+n=0}^{\infty}$ such that $|a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} \rightarrow 0$ as $m+n \rightarrow \infty$.

Suppose $b_{k,j} \neq 0$ for some (k,j) with $k+j \geq 2$. Choose $a_{m,n} = 0$ if $(m,n) \neq (k,j)$ and choose $a_{k,j}$ such that $||F|| >$

$|a_{k,j}|^{1-\frac{1}{k+j}} \cdot |b_{k,j}|$. Then $|a_{m,n}|^{1/m+n} \rightarrow 0$ as $m+n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left| \sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} b_{m,n} \right| \equiv |a_{k,j} b_{k,j}| \leq \|F\| |a_{k,j}|^{\frac{1}{k+j}} \text{ Therefore}$$

$$|a_{k,j}|^{1-\frac{1}{k+j}} \cdot |b_{k,j}| \leq \|F\|, \text{ a contradiction. Hence } b_{k,j} = 0$$

$$\text{if } k+j \geq 2. \text{ Hence } F \left(\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n \right) = a_{0,0} b_{0,0} + a_{1,0} b_{1,0} +$$

$$a_{0,1} b_{0,1}. \text{ Also } |F \left(\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n \right)| \leq |a_{0,0}| |b_{0,0}| +$$

$$|a_{1,0}| |b_{1,0}| + |a_{0,1}| |b_{0,1}| \leq (|b_{0,0}| + |b_{1,0}| + |b_{0,1}|) d(f, 0).$$

Therefore $\|F\| \leq |b_{0,0}| + |b_{1,0}| + |b_{0,1}|$. To show equality

here, it suffices to show there exists an $f_0 \in \Gamma^2$ such that

$$|F(f_0)| = (|b_{0,0}| + |b_{1,0}| + |b_{0,1}|) d(f_0, 0). \text{ If } b_{0,0} =$$

$$|b_{0,0}| e^{i\theta_1}, b_{1,0} = |b_{1,0}| e^{i\theta_2}, b_{0,1} = |b_{0,1}| e^{i\theta_3}, \text{ choose}$$

$$f_0(z,w) = e^{-i\theta_1} + e^{-i\theta_2} z + e^{-i\theta_3} w. \text{ Then } |F(f_0)| =$$

$$|b_{0,0}| + |b_{1,0}| + |b_{0,1}| = (|b_{0,0}| + |b_{1,0}| + |b_{0,1}|) d(f_0, 0).$$

Conversely, given $(a,b,c) \in \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L}$, define a function F from Γ^2 to \mathcal{L} by $F(f) = a_{0,0} a + a_{1,0} b + a_{0,1} c, f(z,w) =$

$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n \in \Gamma^2$. By Theorem 2.5, F is a continuous linear

functional on Γ^2 . Since $|F(f)| \leq |a_{0,0}| |a| + |a_{1,0}| |b| +$

$|a_{0,1}| |c| \leq (|a| + |b| + |c|) d(f, 0), F \in B$.

Corollary 4.6. With respect to Definition 4.3, B is a Banach space.

Proof. Let $\langle F_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy sequence in B , F_n corresponding to (a_n, b_n, c_n) . Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $N = N(\epsilon)$ such that $m, n > N(\epsilon) \Rightarrow ||F_n - F_m|| < \epsilon$. That is $|a_n - a_m| + |b_n - b_m| + |c_n - c_m| < \epsilon$. Hence each of $\langle a_n \rangle, \langle b_n \rangle, \langle c_n \rangle$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $a_n \rightarrow a, b_n \rightarrow b, c_n \rightarrow c$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Define a function F from Γ^2 to \mathcal{C} by

$$F\left(\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n\right) = a_{0,0}a + a_{1,0}b + a_{0,1}c. \quad \text{By Theorem 4.5, } F \in B.$$

Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $N = N(\epsilon)$ such that $|a_m - a| + |b_m - b_n| + |c_m - c_n| < \epsilon/2$ if $m, n > N(\epsilon)$. Let $m \rightarrow \infty$ to get $|a - a_n| + |b - b_n| + |c - c_n| \leq \epsilon/2$ if $n > N(\epsilon)$. Hence given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $N = N(\epsilon)$ such that if $n > N(\epsilon)$, $|a - a_n| + |b - b_n| + |c - c_n| < \epsilon$. That is $||F_n - F|| < \epsilon$. Therefore B is a Banach Space.

If (a_1, b_1, c_1) and (a_2, b_2, c_2) are in $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$, it is clear that if addition and scalar multiplication are defined by $(a_1, b_1, c_1) + (a_2, b_2, c_2) = (a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2, c_1 + c_2)$, $\alpha(a_1, b_1, c_1) = (\alpha a_1, \alpha b_1, \alpha c_1)$, that $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ is a vector space over \mathcal{C} . Also if $||\bullet||$ is defined by $|| (a, b, c) || = |a| + |b| + |c|$, $||\bullet||$ is a norm on $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ making $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ into a Banach space. Define a function Ψ from B to $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ as follows: For $F \in B$, let (a, b, c) be the unique element of $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ such that $F(f) = a_{0,0}a + a_{1,0}b + a_{0,1}c$ for all $f(z, w) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n \in \Gamma^2$. Let $\Psi(F) = (a, b, c)$. The following theorem is straightforward to prove so the proof is omitted

Theorem 3.4.7. The spaces B and $\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}$ are isometrically isomorphic Banach spaces.

REFERENCES

1. Copson, E. T. Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable, Oxford Clarendon Press, 1935.
2. Funks, B. A. Theory of Analytic Functions of Several Complex Variables, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1963.

ABSTRACT. Three classes of linear functionals on the space of entire functions of two variables are characterized. Several results are proved.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. This work was supported by a 1976 Marshall University Summer Research Grant.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. *Space of entire functions, Metric space, continuous linear functional and continuous scalar homomorphism.*

AMS(MOS) SUBJECT CLASSIFICATIONS (1970). 30A66, 46E15.