

## J-RINGS OF CHARACTERISTIC TWO THAT ARE BOOLEAN

D. J. HANSEN and JIANG LUH

Department of Mathematics  
North Carolina State University  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27695-8205, U.S.A.

YOUPEI YE

East China Institute of Technology  
Nanjing, China

(Received July 27, 1993)

**ABSTRACT.** This paper is concerned with determining all integers  $n$ , with  $n \geq 2$ , such that if  $R$  is a ring having the property that  $x^n = x$  and  $2x = 0$  for each  $x \in R$ , then  $R$  is boolean. The solution to the above problem extends previous results obtained by Shiue and Chao in [5] and that of MacHale in [4].

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** J-ring, boolean ring.

**1992 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES.** 16A38.

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

A ring  $R$  is called a J-ring if there exists an integer  $n \geq 2$  such that  $x^n = x$  for each  $x \in R$ . It is well known that a J-ring is commutative, see [3].

Shiue and Chao showed in [5] that if  $R$  is a J-ring, where  $n = 2^q(m+1) + 2^m$ , with  $1 \leq q$  and  $1 \leq m$ , then it is the case that  $R$  is of characteristic two and, in addition,  $x^2 = x$  for each  $x \in R$ , that is,  $R$  is boolean. Recently, MacHale proved that if  $R$  is a ring of characteristic two and  $n$  is a nonnegative integer such that  $x^{2^{n+1}} = x$ , for each  $x \in R$ , then  $R$  is also boolean. In this paper, we will extend both of the above results by determining all integers  $n$ , with  $n \geq 2$ , such that if  $R$  is a ring having the property that  $x^n = x$  and  $2x = 0$ , for each  $x \in R$ , then  $x^2 = x$  for each  $x \in R$ . It should be noted that, in a related paper, Batbedat [2] used sheaf theory to obtain some structure theorems for a ring  $R$  satisfying  $a^{n+1} = a$  for each  $a \in R$ . His results were used to determine all values of  $n \leq 50$  for which  $R$  is boolean.

### 2. A PRELIMINARY RESULT.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $n$  denote an integer  $\geq 2$ . Then  $2^t - 1 \nmid n - 1$  for each integer  $t \geq 2$  if and only if for each ring  $R$  such that  $x^n = x$  and  $2x = 0$  for each  $x \in R$  implies that  $R$  is boolean.

**PROOF.** Suppose  $2^t - 1 \mid n - 1$  for some integer  $t \geq 2$ . Let  $R$  denote the Galois field  $GF(2^t)$ . If  $x \in R$ , with  $x \neq 0$ , then  $x^{2^t-1} = 1$  and thus  $x^{n-1} = 1$  since  $2^t - 1 \mid n - 1$ . Hence  $x^n = x$ . Since  $0^n = 0$ , we thus have that  $x^n = x$  for each  $x \in R$ . It is well known that  $GF(2^t)$  is of characteristic 2. Consequently,  $R = GF(2^t)$  is a ring such that  $x^n = x$  and  $2x = 0$  for each  $x \in R$ , however  $R$  is not boolean.

Next assume that  $2^t - 1 \nmid n - 1$  for each  $t \geq 2$ . Suppose to the contrary that there exists a nonboolean ring  $R$  such that  $x^n = x$  and  $2x = 0$  for each  $x \in R$ . Then there exists an  $a \in R$  such that  $a^2 \neq a$ . Consider  $\langle a \rangle$ , the subring of  $R$  generated by  $a$ . First, note that  $\langle a \rangle$  is finite and commutative. Also,  $\langle a \rangle$  is semi-simple since, for each  $x \in \langle a \rangle$ ,  $x^{n-1}$  is idempotent and the Jacobson radical of  $\langle a \rangle$  does not contain non-zero idempotent elements. Hence, by the Wedderburn-Artin theorem,  $\langle a \rangle$  is a direct sum of finitely many Galois fields of characteristic 2, say,  $\langle a \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \oplus GF(2^{t_i})$ . Clearly,  $2^{t_i} - 1 \mid n - 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and thus  $t_i = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  since  $2^t - 1 \nmid n - 1$  for each  $t \geq 2$ . Hence  $a^2 = a$  and this is a contradiction. Therefore if  $R$  is a ring of characteristic two such that  $x^n = x$  for each  $x \in R$ , then  $R$  is boolean.

3. A SPECIAL CLASS OF MATRICES.

Let  $k$  denote an integer  $\geq 2$ . For each such  $k$ , define the matrix  $M_k$  to be the matrix with  $k$  columns whose rows are of the form  $[k - t_1, k - t_2, \dots, k - t_k]$ , where each  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k$  is a non-negative integer such that  $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \dots \geq t_k$  and  $2^{t_1} + 2^{t_2} + \dots + 2^{t_k} = 2^k$ . Furthermore, if  $r = [k - t_1, k - t_2, \dots, k - t_k]$  and  $r' = [k - t'_1, k - t'_2, \dots, k - t'_k]$  are two rows in  $M_k$ , then  $r$  is above  $r'$  if and only if either  $k - t_1 < k - t'_1$  or  $k - t_i = k - t'_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $k - t_{m+1} < k - t'_{m+1}$ .

The following lemma and theorem will give an inductive method for constructing the above type of matrices.

LEMMA 2. Let  $k$  denote an integer  $\geq 2$ . Let each of  $t$  and  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k$  denote a nonnegative integer such that  $2^{t_1} + 2^{t_2} + \dots + 2^{t_k} = 2^t$ , where  $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \dots \geq t_k$ . Then  $t_{k-1} = t_k$ .

PROOF. Clearly  $t > t_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . From  $2^{t_1} + 2^{t_2} + \dots + 2^{t_k} = 2^t$ , we obtain  $2^{t_1-t_k} + 2^{t_2-t_k} + \dots + 2^{t_{k-1}-t_k} + 1 = 2^{t-t_k}$ . Since  $2^{t-t_k}$  is even, then at least one of  $t_i - t_k, 1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ , is zero, say,  $t_j - t_k$ . Then  $t_j = t_k$  which implies that  $t_{k-1} = t_k$  since  $t_j \geq t_{k-1} \geq t_k$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $k$  denote an integer  $\geq 2$ . If  $l$  is an integer, with  $2 \leq l \leq k$ , and if each of  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_l$  is a nonnegative integer such that  $2^{t_1} + 2^{t_2} + \dots + 2^{t_l} = 2^k$ , then  $t_i > 0$  for all  $i, 1 \leq i \leq l$ .

PROOF. The proof is by induction on  $k$ . Let  $S$  denote the set such that  $k \in S$  if and only if  $k \geq 2$  and  $2^{t_1} + 2^{t_2} + \dots + 2^{t_l} = 2^k$  implies that  $t_i > 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l$ , where  $2 \leq l \leq k$ . For  $k = 2$ , the only equation, since  $l = 2$ , is  $2^{t_1} + 2^{t_2} = 2^2$  and this implies that  $t_1 = t_2 = 1$ . Thus  $2 \in S$ . Let  $k \in S$ . Next, let  $2 \leq l' \leq k + 1$  and suppose  $t'_1 \geq t'_2 \geq \dots \geq t'_{l'} \geq 0$  such that  $2^{t'_1} + 2^{t'_2} + \dots + 2^{t'_{l'}} = 2^{k+1}$ . Now, suppose there exists a  $t'_i$  which is zero. Then either all of the  $t'_i$ 's are zero, and thus  $l'$  would be even in that case or there exists a  $j$  such that  $t'_j > 0$  with  $t'_{j+1} = 0$  and this would imply that there exists again an even number of  $t'_i$ 's equal to zero. Hence, in either case, we can group the  $2^0$ 's in pairs and obtain either  $(2^0 + 2^0) + (2^0 + 2^0) + \dots + (2^0 + 2^0) = 2^{k+1}$  or  $2^{t'_1} + 2^{t'_2} + \dots + 2^{t'_j} + (2^0 + 2^0) + \dots + (2^0 + 2^0) = 2^{k+1}$ . Since  $2^0 + 2^0 = 2$ , we have either  $2^1 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^1 = 2^{k+1}$  or  $2^{t'_1} + 2^{t'_2} + \dots + 2^{t'_j} + 2^1 + \dots + 2^1 = 2^{k+1}$  and this gives, on dividing both sides by 2, either  $2^0 + 2^0 + \dots + 2^0 = 2^k$  or  $2^{t'_1-1} + 2^{t'_2-1} + \dots + 2^{t'_j-1} + 2^0 + \dots + 2^0 = 2^k$ . Note that the number of terms on the left in either equation is now  $\leq k$ . Hence we have arrived at a contradiction since  $k \in S$  implies that all of the exponents in either equation must be positive. Therefore  $k + 1 \in S$  and this completes the induction argument.

COROLLARY 4.  $M_2 = [1, 1]$ .

PROOF. For  $k = 2$ , we consider  $2^{t_1} + 2^{t_2} = 2^2$ . From Lemma 2, we have that  $t_1 = t_2$ . Thus  $2^{t_1+1} = 2^2$  which implies that  $t_1 = 1$ . Since  $t_2 = t_1$ , we have that  $t_2 = 1$ . Hence  $M_2 = [k - t_1, k - t_2] = [2 - 1, 2 - 1] = [1, 1]$ .

**THEOREM 5.** Suppose  $M_k = [s_{ij}^{(k)}]$ . Then the rows of  $M_{k+1}$  are precisely the rows obtained from the rows of  $M_k$  by replacing one entry  $s_{ij}^{(k)}$  by the  $1 \times 2$  matrix  $[s_{ij}^{(k)} + 1, s_{ij}^{(k)} + 1]$ , and following this by a suitable rearrangement of the entries.

**PROOF.** First, we will show that a row obtained from the  $i^{th}$  row of  $M_k$  by replacing the entry  $s_{ij}^{(k)}$  by  $[s_{ij}^{(k)} + 1, s_{ij}^{(k)} + 1]$  is, followed by a suitable rearrangement of the entries, a row in the matrix  $M_{k+1}$ , that is,  $[s_{i1}^{(k)}, \dots, s_{i,j-1}^{(k)}, s_{ij}^{(k)} + 1, s_{ij}^{(k)} + 1, s_{i,j+1}^{(k)}, \dots, s_{ik}^{(k)}]$  followed by rearranging the numbers in ascending order will be a row in  $M_{k+1}$ . To see that this is the case, consider, from the definition of  $M_{k+1}$ , the sum  $\sum_{q=1}^{j-1} 2^{k+1-s_{iq}^{(k)}} + 2^{k+1-(s_{ij}^{(k)}+1)} + 2^{k+1-(s_{ij}^{(k)}+1)} + \sum_{q=j+1}^k 2^{k+1-s_{iq}^{(k)}} = \sum_{q=1}^{j-1} 2^{k+1-s_{iq}^{(k)}} + 2^{k+1-s_{ij}^{(k)}} + \sum_{q=j+1}^k 2^{k+1-s_{iq}^{(k)}} = \sum_{q=1}^k 2^{k+1-s_{iq}^{(k)}} = 2 \sum_{q=1}^k 2^{k-s_{iq}^{(k)}} = 2 \cdot 2^k = 2^{k+1}$ . Hence, from the definition of  $M_{k+1}$ , we have confirmed what we stated above.

Next, we need to show that each row of  $M_{k+1}$  is obtained from a certain row of  $M_k$  by the above described replacement. Let  $r_i = [s_{i1}^{(k+1)}, s_{i2}^{(k+1)}, \dots, s_{i,k+1}^{(k+1)}]$  be the  $i^{th}$  row of  $M_{k+1}$ . Then, from the definition of  $M_{k+1}$  and Lemma 3,  $\sum_{q=1}^{k+1} 2^{k+1-s_{iq}^{(k+1)}} = 2^{k+1}$  and  $1 \leq s_{i1}^{(k+1)} \leq s_{i2}^{(k+1)} \leq \dots \leq s_{ik}^{(k+1)} \leq s_{i,k+1}^{(k+1)} \leq k$ . By Lemma 2,  $k + 1 - s_{ik}^{(k+1)} = k + 1 - s_{i,k+1}^{(k+1)}$  or  $s_{ik}^{(k+1)} = s_{i,k+1}^{(k+1)}$ . Thus  $2^{k+1} = \sum_{q=1}^{k+1} 2^{k+1-s_{iq}^{(k+1)}} = \sum_{q=1}^{k-1} 2^{k+1-s_{iq}^{(k+1)}} + 2^{k+2-s_{ik}^{(k+1)}}$ . Since  $k + 1 - s_{iq}^{(k+1)} \geq 1$  for  $q = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , we thus have that  $2^k = \sum_{q=1}^{k-1} 2^{k-s_{iq}^{(k+1)}} + 2^{k+1-s_{ik}^{(k+1)}} = \sum_{q=1}^{k-1} 2^{k-s_{iq}^{(k+1)}} + 2^{k-(s_{ik}^{(k+1)}-1)}$ .

Hence, after a suitable rearrangement of the entries,  $[s_{i1}^{(k+1)}, \dots, s_{i,k-1}^{(k+1)}, s_{ik}^{(k+1)} - 1]$  will be a row in  $M_k$ , that is,  $[s_{i1}^{(k+1)}, \dots, s_{i,k-1}^{(k+1)}, s_{ik}^{(k+1)} - 1] = [s_{p\sigma(1)}^{(k)}, \dots, s_{p,\sigma(k-1)}^{(k)}, s_{p,\sigma(k)}^{(k)}]$  for some  $p$  and some permutation  $\sigma$  on the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ .

By noting that  $s_{ik}^{(k+1)} = s_{p,\sigma(k)}^{(k)} + 1$  and  $s_{i,k+1}^{(k+1)} = s_{i,k+1}^{(k+1)}$ , we can thus conclude that  $r_i$  is obtained from the  $p^{th}$ -row of  $M_k$  by replacing the entry  $s_{p,\sigma(k)}^{(k)}$  by the matrix  $[s_{p,\sigma(k)}^{(k)} + 1, s_{p,\sigma(k)}^{(k)} + 1]$  and followed by a suitable rearrangement of the entries.

As a result of Corollary 4 and Theorem 5, we can easily exhibit the matrices  $M_k$ . For example,  $M_2 = [1, 1], M_3 = [1, 2, 2], M_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $M_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**LEMMA 6.** Let each of  $m, m'$  and  $t$  denote a positive integer. Then  $2^m \equiv 2^{m'} \pmod{2^t - 1}$  if and only if  $m \equiv m' \pmod t$ .

**PROOF.** Assume  $m \geq m'$ . Suppose  $m \equiv m' \pmod t$ . Then,  $m = m' + kt$  for some integer  $k \geq 0$ . Thus  $2^m - 2^{m'} = 2^{m'+kt} - 2^{m'} = 2^{m'}(2^{kt} - 1)$ . Now  $2^t - 1 | 2^{kt} - 1$  and so  $2^m \equiv 2^{m'} \pmod{2^t - 1}$ . Conversely, suppose  $2^m \equiv 2^{m'} \pmod{2^t - 1}$ . Then  $2^t - 1 | 2^m - 2^{m'} = 2^{m'}(2^{m-m'} - 1)$ . Since  $\gcd(2^t - 1, 2^{m'}) = 1$ , we thus have that  $2^t - 1 | 2^{m-m'} - 1$ . Hence  $t | m - m'$  and therefore  $m \equiv m' \pmod t$ .

**THEOREM 7.** Let  $n = 2^{m_1} + 2^{m_2} + \dots + 2^{m_k}$ , where  $k \geq 2$ , and each  $m_i$  is a nonnegative integer. Also, let  $t$  denote an integer  $\geq 2$ . Then  $2^t - 1 | n - 1$  if and only if

$$t | \gcd(m_{\sigma(1)} + s_{i1}^{(k)}, m_{\sigma(2)} + s_{i2}^{(k)}, \dots, m_{\sigma(k)} + s_{ik}^{(k)})$$

for some  $i$  and some permutation  $\sigma$  on the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , where  $[s_{i1}^{(k)}, s_{i2}^{(k)}, \dots, s_{ik}^{(k)}]$  is the  $i^{th}$  row of  $M_k$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose there exists a row  $i$  in  $M_k$  and a permutation  $\sigma$  on the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that  $\gcd(m_{\sigma(1)} + s_{i1}^{(k)}, m_{\sigma(2)} + s_{i2}^{(k)}, \dots, m_{\sigma(k)} + s_{ik}^{(k)}) = d$  is divisible by the integer  $t, t \geq$

2. Let  $q$  denote a positive integer such that  $qt \geq k$ . Then  $m_{\sigma(j)} \equiv qt - s_{ij}^{(k)} \pmod t$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Hence, by Lemma 6, we have that  $n - 1 = 2^{m_{\sigma(1)}} + 2^{m_{\sigma(2)}} + \dots + 2^{m_{\sigma(k)}} - 1 \equiv 2^{qt-s_{i1}^{(k)}} + 2^{qt-s_{i2}^{(k)}} + \dots + 2^{qt-s_{ik}^{(k)}} - 1 \pmod{2^t - 1}$ . Now, from the definition of the matrices  $M_k$ , we have that  $2^{k-s_{i1}^{(k)}} + 2^{k-s_{i2}^{(k)}} + \dots + 2^{k-s_{ik}^{(k)}} = 2^k$ . Multiplying both sides by  $2^{qt-k}$  gives  $2^{qt-s_{i1}^{(k)}} + 2^{qt-s_{i2}^{(k)}} + \dots + 2^{qt-s_{ik}^{(k)}} = 2^{qt}$ . Hence  $n - 1 \equiv 2^{qt} - 1 \pmod{2^t - 1}$ . Since  $2^t - 1 \mid 2^{qt} - 1$ , we thus have that  $2^t - 1 \mid n - 1$ .

Next, assume  $2^t - 1 \mid n - 1$ , where  $t$  is an integer  $\geq 2$ . Also, suppose  $n = 2^{m_1} + 2^{m_2} + \dots + 2^{m_k}$ , where each  $m_i$  is a nonnegative integer. We want to show that  $t \mid \gcd(m_{\sigma(1)} + s_{i1}^{(k)}, \dots, m_{\sigma(k)} + s_{ik}^{(k)})$  for some permutation  $\sigma$  and some row  $i$  of  $M_k$ . We will proceed by induction on  $k$ .

Suppose  $k = 2$  and  $n = 2^{m_1} + 2^{m_2}$ . Let  $m_1 \equiv m'_1 \pmod t$  and  $m_2 \equiv m'_2 \pmod t$ , where  $0 \leq m'_1, m'_2 \leq t - 1$ . Then, by Lemma 6,  $n \equiv 2^{m'_1} + 2^{m'_2} \pmod{2^t - 1}$ . If  $m'_1 \neq m'_2$ , then  $2^{m'_1} + 2^{m'_2} - 1 \leq 2^{t-1} + 2^{t-2} - 1 < 2^{t-1} + 2^{t-1} - 1 = 2^t - 1$  and this is a contradiction since  $2^t - 1 \mid 2^{m'_1} + 2^{m'_2} - 1$ . Hence  $m'_1 = m'_2$  and  $0 \equiv n - 1 \equiv 2^{m'_1+1} - 1 \pmod{2^t - 1}$ . Thus  $2^t - 1 \mid 2^{m'_1+1} - 1$  which implies that  $t \mid m'_1 + 1$ . Since  $1 \leq m'_1 + 1 \leq t$ , we can conclude that  $m'_1 + 1 = t$ . Thus  $m'_1 = m'_2 = t - 1$  and consequently  $m_1 + 1 \equiv m_2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod t$ . Therefore  $t \mid \gcd(m_1 + 1, m_2 + 1) = \gcd(m_1 + s_{i1}^{(2)}, m_2 + s_{i2}^{(2)})$  from the definition of  $M_2$ .

Now suppose  $k > 2$ ,  $n = 2^{m_1} + 2^{m_2} + \dots + 2^{m_k}$ , and  $2^t - 1 \mid n - 1$ , where  $t \geq 2$ . Let  $m_i \equiv m'_i \pmod t$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , where  $0 \leq m'_i \leq t - 1$ . We claim that the numbers  $m'_i$  cannot be all distinct. For, suppose that they were all distinct. Then  $2^{m'_1} + 2^{m'_2} + \dots + 2^{m'_k} - 1 \leq 2^{t-1} + 2^{t-2} + \dots + 2^{t-k} - 1 < 2^t - 1$ . Now, by Lemma 6,  $m_i \equiv m'_i \pmod t$  implies that  $2^{m_i} \equiv 2^{m'_i} \pmod{2^t - 1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Thus  $2^t - 1 \mid 2^{m'_1} + 2^{m'_2} + \dots + 2^{m'_k} - 1$  and this contradicts the above statement that  $2^{m'_1} + 2^{m'_2} + \dots + 2^{m'_k} - 1 < 2^t - 1$ . Hence there exists an  $\ell$  such that  $m'_\ell = m'_{\ell+1}$ . For convenience, we will assume  $\ell = k - 1$ . Then  $2^{m'_1} + 2^{m'_2} + \dots + 2^{m'_k} - 1 = 2^{m'_1} + 2^{m'_2} + \dots + 2^{m'_{k-2}} + 2^{m'_{k-1}+1} - 1$ . Now, by the induction hypothesis there is a permutation  $\sigma$  on the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k - 1\}$  and a row  $i$  in  $M_{k-1}$  such that  $t \mid \gcd(m'_{\sigma(1)} + s_{i1}^{(k-1)}, \dots, m'_{\sigma(j)} + 1 + s_{ij}^{(k-1)}, \dots, m'_{\sigma(k-1)} + s_{i,k-1}^{(k-1)})$  where  $\sigma(j) = k - 1$ . Since  $m_{\sigma(1)} \equiv m'_{\sigma(1)} \pmod t, \dots, m_{\sigma(k-1)} \equiv m'_{\sigma(k-1)} \pmod t$ , we thus see that  $t \mid \gcd(m_{\sigma(1)} + s_{i1}^{(k-1)}, \dots, m_{\sigma(j)} + 1 + s_{ij}^{(k-1)}, \dots, m_{\sigma(k-1)} + s_{i,k-1}^{(k-1)})$ . Now recalling that  $m'_{k-1} = m'_k$  implies that  $m_{k-1} + 1 + s_{ij}^{(k-1)} \equiv m_k + 1 + s_{ij}^{(k-1)} \pmod t$ , we finally obtain that  $t \mid \gcd(m_{\sigma(1)} + s_{i1}^{(k-1)}, \dots, m_{k-1} + 1 + s_{ij}^{(k-1)}, m_k + 1 + s_{ij}^{(k-1)}, \dots, m_{\sigma(k-1)} + s_{i,k-1}^{(k-1)})$ . This completes the proof since  $\{m_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, m_{k-1}, m_k, \dots, m_{\sigma(k-1)}\}$  is a rearrangement of  $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k\}$  and  $\{s_{i1}^{(k-1)}, \dots, s_{i,j-1}^{(k-1)}, 1 + s_{ij}^{(k-1)}, 1 + s_{ij}^{(k-1)}, s_{i,j+1}^{(k-1)}, \dots, s_{i,k-1}^{(k-1)}\}$  is, on being rearranged in ascending order, a certain row of the matrix  $M_k$  which is obtained from the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $M_{k-1}$  by replacing the  $j^{\text{th}}$  entry by the  $1 \times 2$  matrix  $[1 + s_{ij}^{(k-1)}, 1 + s_{ij}^{(k-1)}]$ .

4. MAIN RESULT.

**THEOREM 8.** Let  $k$  denote an integer  $\geq 2$  and let  $n = 2^{m_1} + 2^{m_2} + \dots + 2^{m_k}$ , where each  $m_i$  is a nonnegative integer. Also, let  $C$  denote the set such that  $R \in C$  if and only if  $R$  is a ring of characteristic two and  $x^n = x$  for each  $x \in R$ . Then the following two statements are equivalent.

- 1) Each member in  $C$  is boolean.
- 2)  $\gcd(m_{\sigma(1)} + s_{i1}^{(k)}, m_{\sigma(2)} + s_{i2}^{(k)}, \dots, m_{\sigma(k)} + s_{ik}^{(k)}) = 1$  for each permutation  $\sigma$  on the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  and for each row  $[s_{i1}^{(k)}, s_{i2}^{(k)}, \dots, s_{ik}^{(k)}]$  in  $M_k$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose each member in  $C$  is boolean. Then, by Theorem 1, there does not exist an integer  $t \geq 2$  such that  $2^t - 1 \mid n - 1$ . Hence, by Theorem 7, if  $t \geq 2$ , then  $t \nmid \gcd(m_{\sigma(1)} + s_{i1}^{(k)}, \dots, m_{\sigma(k)} + s_{ik}^{(k)})$  for each  $\sigma$  and each  $i$ . Thus  $\gcd(m_{\sigma(1)} + s_{i1}^{(k)}, \dots, m_{\sigma(k)} + s_{ik}^{(k)}) = 1$  for each  $i$  and  $\sigma$ .

Conversely, if  $\gcd(m_{\sigma(1)} + s_{i1}^{(k)}, \dots, m_{\sigma(k)} + s_{ik}^{(k)}) = 1$  for each  $i$  and  $\sigma$ , then, by Theorem 7,

$2^t - 1 \nmid n - 1$  for each integer  $t \geq 2$ . Hence, by Theorem 1,  $R$  is boolean for each  $R \in C$ .

5. EXAMPLES ILLUSTRATING THEOREM 8.

LEMMA 9. Let  $R$  denote a ring and let  $x \in R$  such that  $x^n = x$  for some integer  $n \geq 2$ . If each of  $h$  and  $k$  is a positive integer such that  $h \equiv k \pmod{n - 1}$ , then  $x^h = x^k$ .

This result can be obtained easily by induction, see [1].

LEMMA 10. Let  $R$  denote a J-ring of characteristic two and suppose  $n$  is a positive integer  $\geq 2$ . The following two statements are equivalent.

- 1)  $x^n = x$  for each  $x \in R$ .
- 2)  $x^{2^n - 1} = x$  for each  $x \in R$ .

PROOF. Suppose (1) holds. Then (2) is immediate by Lemma 9. Next, suppose  $x^{2^n - 1} = x$  for each  $x \in R$ . Then  $x^{2^n} = x^2$  and thus  $(x + x^n)^2 = x^2 + x^{2^n} = 0$  since  $R$  is of characteristic two. Hence  $x^n = x$  since a J-ring does not contain non-zero nilpotent elements.

THEOREM 11. Let  $R$  denote a ring of characteristic two. Suppose each of  $s$  and  $t$  is a positive integer with  $s \neq t$  and  $\gcd(s, t) = 1$ . If  $x = x^{2^s} = x^{2^t}$  for each  $x \in R$ , then  $R$  is boolean.

PROOF. We may assume that  $s > 1$ . Then  $x = x^{2^s} = x x^{2^s - 1} = x^{2^t} x^{2^s - 1} = x^{2^t + 2^s - 1} = x^{2(2^t - 1 + 2^s - 1) - 1}$  for each  $x \in R$ . Hence, by Lemma 10,  $x = x^{2^t - 1 + 2^s - 1}$  for each  $x \in R$ . Now  $M_2 = [1, 1]$  and  $\gcd(t - 1 + 1, s - 1 + 1) = \gcd(t, s) = 1$ . Consequently, by Theorem 8, we have that  $R$  is boolean.

The following examples illustrate the use of some of the preceding theorems.

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $R$  denote a ring of characteristic two such that  $x^{595} = x$  for each  $x \in R$ . Since  $595 = 2(298) - 1$  and  $x^{595} = x$  for each  $x \in R$  is equivalent, by Lemma 10, to  $x^{298} = x$  for each  $x \in R$ , we can thus apply our results to  $x^{298} = x$ . Now  $298 = 2^1 + 2^8 + 2^5 + 2^3$ . Using the matrix  $M_4$  and applying Theorem 8, we obtain  $\gcd(1 + s_{11}^{(4)}, 8 + s_{12}^{(4)}, 5 + s_{13}^{(4)}, 3 + s_{14}^{(4)}) = \gcd(1 + 1, 8 + 2, 5 + 3, 3 + 3) = \gcd(2, 10, 8, 6) = 2 \neq 1$ . Hence  $R$  is not necessarily boolean.

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $m$  denote a nonnegative integer. Let  $R$  denote a ring of characteristic two and suppose  $x^n = x$  for each  $x \in R$ , where  $n = 2^m + 2^{m+1} + 2^{m+2}$ . Take  $M_3 = [1, 2, 2]$ . Now  $\gcd(m + 1, m + 1 + 2, m + 2 + 2) = 1$ ,  $\gcd(m + 2 + 1, m + 1 + 2, m + 2) = 1$ , and  $\gcd(m + 1 + 1, m + 2 + 2, m + 2) = \gcd(m + 2, m + 4)$ . Thus, by Theorem 8,  $R$  is boolean if  $\gcd(m + 2, m + 4) = 1$ , that is, if  $m$  is odd, and not necessarily boolean if  $m$  is even.

REFERENCES

1. AYOUB, R. and AYOUB, C. On commutativity of rings, Amer. Math. Monthly, **71**, (1964), 267-271.
2. BATBEDAT, A. Anneaux d'ordre  $n$ , Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., **16**, (1971), 1305-1311.
3. JACOBSON, N. Structure of rings, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., New York, **37**, (1956).
4. MACHALE, D. A remark on boolean rings, Mathematics Magazine, **63**, (1990), 248-249.
5. SHIUE, J. S. and CHAO, W.M. On boolean rings, Yokohama Math. J., **24**, (1976), 93-96.