

COMPARISONS BETWEEN DIFFERENT SPECTRA OF AN ELEMENT IN A BANACH ALGEBRA

LAURA BURLANDO

Dipartimento di Matematica dell'Università di Genova
Via L.B. Alberti 4
16132 Genova
ITALY

(Received June 26, 1990)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the relationships among the spectra of the cosets of an element of a Banach algebra in some quotient algebras. We also characterize the spectrum of any $\mathbf{a} \in M$ (where M is an ideal of a Banach algebra with identity and moreover has an identity) in the whole algebra in terms of the spectrum of \mathbf{a} in M .

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Banach algebras, spectra, ideals.

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 46H99.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let L be a Banach algebra (that is, a linear associative algebra over either the real field or complex field, endowed with a complete norm such that $\|\mathbf{ab}\| \leq \|\mathbf{a}\| \|\mathbf{b}\|$ for any $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in L$ and $\|\mathbf{e}\| = 1$ if L has an identity \mathbf{e}). We recall that the vector space $\tilde{L} = L \times K$ (where K denotes the scalar field) is a Banach algebra (with identity, whether L has an identity or not) with respect to the product defined by

$$(\mathbf{a}, \alpha)(\mathbf{b}, \beta) = (\mathbf{ab} + \beta\mathbf{a} + \alpha\mathbf{b}, \alpha\beta) \text{ for any } (\mathbf{a}, \alpha), (\mathbf{b}, \beta) \in \tilde{L}$$

and the norm defined by $\|(\mathbf{a}, \alpha)\| = \|\mathbf{a}\| + |\alpha|$ for any $(\mathbf{a}, \alpha) \in \tilde{L}$. The identity element of \tilde{L} is $(\mathbf{0}, 1)$ (where $\mathbf{0}$ denotes the null element of L). Henceforth we shall identify the closed two-sided ideal $\{(\mathbf{a}, 0) : \mathbf{a} \in L\}$ of \tilde{L} with L .

Now let L be a complex Banach algebra with identity \mathbf{e} . For any $\mathbf{a} \in L$, let $\sigma(\mathbf{a})$ denote the spectrum of \mathbf{a} with respect to L (where some ambiguity may arise, we shall use the symbol $\sigma^L(\mathbf{a})$ instead of $\sigma(\mathbf{a})$). Recently Seddighin ([2]) has proved that $\sigma^{\tilde{L}}((\mathbf{a}, \alpha)) \subset \sigma^L(\mathbf{a} + \alpha\mathbf{e}) \cup \{\alpha\}$ for any $\mathbf{a} \in L$ and for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Actually, in this paper we show how also the opposite inclusion can be proved, so that the equality $\sigma^{\tilde{L}}((\mathbf{a}, \alpha)) = \sigma^L(\mathbf{a} + \alpha\mathbf{e}) \cup \{\alpha\}$ holds (Corollary 6). We derive the equality above from the more general result (Proposition 5) mentioned in the second part of the abstract.

By an ideal of L we shall always mean a two-sided ideal. Let \mathbb{J}_L denote the set of all proper closed ideals of L . For any $\mathbf{a} \in L$ and for any $J \in \mathbb{J}_L$, we denote the spectrum of the coset of \mathbf{a} in the quotient algebra L/J by $\sigma_J(\mathbf{a})$. We remark that $J_1 \subset J_2$ implies $\sigma_{J_2}(\mathbf{a}) \subset \sigma_{J_1}(\mathbf{a})$. Moreover, we have $\sigma_{\{\mathbf{0}\}}(\mathbf{a}) = \sigma(\mathbf{a})$. We are also concerned here with the relationships among the spectra of

$\mathbf{a} \in L$ in different quotient algebras. In particular, we show that

$$\sigma_{(\cap_{1 \leq k \leq n} J_k)}(\mathbf{a}) = \cup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \sigma_{J_k}(\mathbf{a})$$

(Proposition 1 and following remarks) and $\sigma_{(\cup_{J \in C} J)^-}(\mathbf{a}) = \cap_{J \in C} \sigma_J(\mathbf{a})$ (where the symbol “ $-$ ” denotes closure) if C is a chain of \mathfrak{J}_L (Proposition 4).

2. RESULTS.

PROPOSITION 1. Let L be a complex Banach algebra with identity, let $J_1, J_2 \in \mathfrak{J}_L$ and let $\mathbf{a} \in L$. Then $\sigma_{J_1 \cap J_2}(\mathbf{a}) = \sigma_{J_1}(\mathbf{a}) \cup \sigma_{J_2}(\mathbf{a})$.

PROOF. Let e denote the identity of L . Since $J_1 \cap J_2 \subset J_k$ for any $k = 1, 2$, it follows that $\sigma_{J_1}(\mathbf{a}) \cup \sigma_{J_2}(\mathbf{a}) \subset \sigma_{J_1 \cap J_2}(\mathbf{a})$.

Now we prove that $\sigma_{J_1 \cap J_2}(\mathbf{a}) \subset \sigma_{J_1}(\mathbf{a}) \cup \sigma_{J_2}(\mathbf{a})$.

Let $\lambda \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{J_1}(\mathbf{a})) \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{J_2}(\mathbf{a}))$. Then for any $k = 1, 2$ there exist $\mathbf{b}_k \in L$ and $\mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{v}_k \in J_k$ such that $\mathbf{b}_k(\lambda e - \mathbf{a}) = e + \mathbf{u}_k$ and $(\lambda e - \mathbf{a})\mathbf{b}_k = e + \mathbf{v}_k$. Consequently,

$$(\mathbf{b}_1 - \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{b}_2)(\lambda e - \mathbf{a}) = e + \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_1(e + \mathbf{u}_2) = e - \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{u}_2$$

and

$$(\lambda e - \mathbf{a})(\mathbf{b}_1 - \mathbf{b}_2 \mathbf{v}_1) = e + \mathbf{v}_1 - (e + \mathbf{v}_2)\mathbf{v}_1 = e - \mathbf{v}_2 \mathbf{v}_1.$$

Since $\mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{v}_k \in J_k$ for any $k = 1, 2$, $\mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{u}_2$ and $\mathbf{v}_2 \mathbf{v}_1$ belong to $J_1 \cap J_2$. Hence $\lambda e - \mathbf{a}$ is both left and right invertible modulo $J_1 \cap J_2$, which implies that $\lambda e - \mathbf{a}$ is invertible modulo $J_1 \cap J_2$. Hence $\sigma_{J_1 \cap J_2}(\mathbf{a}) \subset \sigma_{J_1}(\mathbf{a}) \cup \sigma_{J_2}(\mathbf{a})$.

We remark that from Proposition 1 it follows that $\sigma_{(\cap_{1 \leq k \leq n} J_k)}(\mathbf{a}) = \cup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \sigma_{J_k}(\mathbf{a})$ for any $\mathbf{a} \in L$ if $J_1, \dots, J_n \in \mathfrak{J}_L$.

Now let S be an infinite subset of \mathfrak{J}_L . We remark that the inclusion $(\cup_{J \in S} \sigma_J(\mathbf{a}))^- \subset \sigma_{(\cap_{J \in S} J)}(\mathbf{a})$ holds. The following example shows how the opposite inclusion may not hold.

EXAMPLE 2. Let B denote the unit ball of the complex plane, and let L denote the Banach algebra of all complex-valued functions which are continuous on B^- and holomorphic in B . For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $J_n \in \mathfrak{J}_L$ be defined by $J_n = \{f \in L: f(q_n) = 0\}$ (where $\{q_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B$ has cluster points in B and is not dense in B). We remark that $\sigma_{J_n}(f) = \{f(q_n)\}$ for any $f \in L$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, we have $\cap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_n = \{f \in L: f(q_n) = 0 \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{0\}$, as $f^{-1}(0) \cap B$ is a discrete set for any $f \in L \setminus \{0\}$. Thus, if $\mathbf{a} \in L$ is defined by $\mathbf{a}(x) = x$ for any $x \in B^-$, it follows that $\sigma_{(\cap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_n)}(\mathbf{a}) = \sigma(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}(B^-) = B^- \subsetneq (\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})^- = (\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_{J_n}(\mathbf{a}))^-$.

COROLLARY 3. Let L be a complex Banach algebra with identity, let $M \in \mathfrak{J}_L$ and let $\mathbf{a} \in M$. Then $\sigma_{J \cap M}(\mathbf{a}) = \sigma_J(\mathbf{a}) \cup \{0\}$ for any $J \in \mathfrak{J}_L$.

PROOF. Since $\mathbf{a} \in M$, we have $\sigma_M(\mathbf{a}) = \{0\}$. Now the result follows immediately from Proposition 1.

It is not difficult to give an example of strict inclusion $\sigma_J(\mathbf{a}) \subsetneq \sigma_{J \cap M}(\mathbf{a})$. Let L denote the Banach algebra \mathbb{C}^2 endowed with pointwise product. Then, if we set

$$M = \{(0, y): y \in \mathbb{C}\}, \quad J = \{(x, 0): x \in \mathbb{C}\} \text{ and } \mathbf{a} = (0, 1),$$

we have that $\mathbf{a} \in M$, $J \cap M = \{0\}$ and $\sigma_J(\mathbf{a}) = \{1\} \subsetneq \{0, 1\} = \sigma_{J \cap M}(\mathbf{a})$.

We remark that the maximal ideals of a Banach algebra L with identity are closed. Hence, if C is a chain of proper closed ideals of L , we have that $(\cup_{J \in C} J)^- \in \mathfrak{J}_L$.

PROPOSITION 4. Let L be a complex Banach algebra with identity, and let C be a nonempty chain of proper closed ideals of L . Then

$$\sigma_{(\cup_{J \in C} J)^-}(\mathbf{a}) = \cap_{J \in C} \sigma_J(\mathbf{a})$$

for any $\mathbf{a} \in L$.

PROOF. Let e denote the identity of L , and let $\mathbf{a} \in L$. Since $M \subset (\cup_{J \in C} J)^-$ for any $M \in C$, it follows that $\sigma_{(\cup_{J \in C} J)^-}(\mathbf{a}) \subset \sigma_M(\mathbf{a})$ for any $M \in C$. Hence $\sigma_{(\cup_{J \in C} J)^-}(\mathbf{a}) \subset \cap_{J \in C} \sigma_J(\mathbf{a})$.

Now we prove the opposite inclusion. We prove that

$$C \setminus \sigma_{(\cup_{J \in C} J)^-}(\mathbf{a}) \subset C \setminus (\cap_{J \in C} \sigma_J(\mathbf{a})).$$

Let $\lambda \in C \setminus \sigma_{(\cup_{J \in C} J)^-}(\mathbf{a})$. Then there exist $\mathbf{b} \in L$ and $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in (\cup_{J \in C} J)$ such that $\mathbf{b}(\lambda e - \mathbf{a}) = e + \mathbf{x}_1$ and $(\lambda e - \mathbf{a})\mathbf{b} = e + \mathbf{x}_2$. Let $M \in C$ be such that there exist $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2 \in M$ such that $\|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{y}_j\| < 1$ for any $j = 1, 2$. Then

$$\|\mathbf{b}(\lambda e - \mathbf{a}) - \mathbf{y}_1 - e\| = \|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{y}_1\| < 1 \text{ and } \|(\lambda e - \mathbf{a})\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{y}_2 - e\| = \|\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{y}_2\| < 1.$$

Since every element of L whose distance from e is less than one is invertible, it follows that $\mathbf{b}(\lambda e - \mathbf{a}) - \mathbf{y}_1$ and $(\lambda e - \mathbf{a})\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{y}_2$ are invertible in L . Hence there exist $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in L$ such that

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{b}(\lambda e - \mathbf{a}) - \mathbf{c}\mathbf{y}_1 = (\lambda e - \mathbf{a})\mathbf{b}\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{y}_2\mathbf{d} = e.$$

Since $\mathbf{y}_j \in M$ for any $j = 1, 2$, it follows that $\lambda e - \mathbf{a}$ is both left invertible and right invertible modulo M . Hence $\lambda e - \mathbf{a}$ is invertible modulo M . Therefore,

$$\lambda \in C \setminus \sigma_M(\mathbf{a}) \subset C \setminus (\cap_{J \in C} \sigma_J(\mathbf{a})).$$

We have thus proved that $C \setminus \sigma_{(\cup_{J \in C} J)^-}(\mathbf{a}) \subset C \setminus (\cap_{J \in C} \sigma_J(\mathbf{a}))$. Hence

$$\sigma_{(\cup_{J \in C} J)^-}(\mathbf{a}) = \cap_{J \in C} \sigma_J(\mathbf{a}).$$

We remark that, if L is a complex Banach algebra with identity e and M is a closed subalgebra of L , also endowed with an identity f , the two identities may not coincide. Moreover, the two identities are necessarily different if $M \in \mathcal{J}_L$. Nevertheless, the inclusion $\sigma^L(\mathbf{a}) \subset \sigma^M(\mathbf{a}) \cup \{0\}$ holds for any $\mathbf{a} \in M$ in view of [1], (1.6.12). Since $\sigma^L(\mathbf{a} + \alpha e) = \sigma^L(\mathbf{a}) + \alpha$ and $\sigma^M(\mathbf{a} + \alpha f) = \sigma^M(\mathbf{a}) + \alpha$ for any $\alpha \in C$, also the inclusion $\sigma^L(\mathbf{a} + \alpha e) \subset \sigma^M(\mathbf{a} + \alpha f) \cup \{\alpha\}$ holds for any $\mathbf{a} \in M$ and for any $\alpha \in C$. Thus, in particular, the inclusion $\sigma^{\tilde{L}}((\mathbf{a}, \alpha)) \subset \sigma^L(\mathbf{a} + \alpha e) \cup \{\alpha\}$ for any $\mathbf{a} \in L$ and for any $\alpha \in C$ can be deduced.

PROPOSITION 5. Let L be a complex Banach algebra with identity e , and let M be a proper ideal of L , endowed with an identity f . Then $M \in \mathcal{J}_L$ (which means that M is closed) and $\sigma^L(\mathbf{a} + \alpha e) = \sigma^M(\mathbf{a} + \alpha f) \cup \{\alpha\}$ (where we set $\sigma^M(\mathbf{0}) = \emptyset$ if $M = \{\mathbf{0}\}$) for any $\mathbf{a} \in M$ and for any $\alpha \in C$.

PROOF. Let $\mathbf{a} \in M$. Since $\sigma^L(\mathbf{a} + \alpha e) = \sigma^L(\mathbf{a}) + \alpha$ and $\sigma^{M^-}(\mathbf{a} + \alpha f) = \sigma^{M^-}(\mathbf{a}) + \alpha$ for any $\alpha \in C$, it is sufficient to prove that M is closed and $\sigma^L(\mathbf{a}) = \sigma^M(\mathbf{a}) \cup \{0\}$.

Since f is the identity of M , it follows that $f^2 = f$. Since the case $M = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is trivial, we can suppose $M \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$, which implies $f \neq \mathbf{0}$. Moreover, since M is a proper ideal of L , we have that

$f \neq e$. Hence f is a proper idempotent of L . Then from [1], (1.6.15) it follows that fLf is a closed subalgebra of L , with identity f , and in addition $\sigma^L(\mathbf{a}) = \sigma^{fLf}(\mathbf{a}) \cup \{0\}$.

Since $M \in \mathbf{J}_L$ and $f \in M$ it follows that $fLf \subset M$. Moreover, since f is the identity of M , we have that $M = fMf \subset fLf$.

We have thus proved that $M = fLf$. Consequently, $M \in \mathbf{J}_L$ and $\sigma^L(\mathbf{a}) = \sigma^M(\mathbf{a}) \cup \{0\}$.

The algebras L and M and the element $\mathbf{a} \in M$ introduced in the remark after Corollary 3 provide an example of strict inclusion $\sigma^M(\mathbf{a}) \subsetneq \sigma^L(\mathbf{a})$.

Now let the hypotheses of Proposition 5 hold. For any complex-valued function h , holomorphic on an open neighborhood Δ of $\sigma^L(\mathbf{a})$, let $h^L(\mathbf{a}) \in L$ and $h^M(\mathbf{a}) \in M$ be defined by

$$h^L(\mathbf{a}) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right) \int_{+\partial D} h(\lambda)R^L(\lambda, \mathbf{a}) d\lambda \text{ and } h^M(\mathbf{a}) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right) \int_{+\partial D} h(\lambda)R^M(\lambda, \mathbf{a}) d\lambda,$$

where $R^L(\lambda, \mathbf{a})$ (respectively, $R^M(\lambda, \mathbf{a})$) denotes the inverse of $\lambda e - \mathbf{a}$ (respectively, $\lambda f - \mathbf{a}$) in L (respectively, M), $+\partial D$ denotes the positively oriented boundary of D and D is an open bounded subset of \mathbb{C} such that $\sigma^L(\mathbf{a}) \subset D \subset D^- \subset \Delta$, D has a finite number of components and ∂D consists of a finite number of simple closed rectifiable curves, no two of which intersect. We recall that the two integrals above are well defined and do not depend on the choice of D . From the spectral mapping theorem (see [3], VII, 5.5) and from Proposition 5 it follows that $\sigma^L(h^L(\mathbf{a})) = \sigma^M(h^M(\mathbf{a})) \cup \{h(0)\}$.

We remark that, actually, the statement above is only seemingly more general than the one of Proposition 5. In fact, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma^L(\mathbf{a})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda e - \mathbf{a})(R^M(\lambda, \mathbf{a}) - f/\lambda + e/\lambda) &= \lambda R^M(\lambda, \mathbf{a}) - f + e - \mathbf{a}R^M(\lambda, \mathbf{a}) + \mathbf{a}/\lambda - \mathbf{a}/\lambda \\ &= (\lambda f - \mathbf{a})R^M(\lambda, \mathbf{a}) - f + e = e, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $R^L(\lambda, \mathbf{a}) = R^M(\lambda, \mathbf{a}) - f/\lambda + e/\lambda$. Hence $h^L(\mathbf{a}) = h^M(\mathbf{a}) - h(0)f + h(0)e$.

Since any Banach algebra A is a closed proper ideal of \tilde{A} , the following result is a consequence of Proposition 5.

COROLLARY 6. Let L be a Banach algebra with identity e . Then

$$\sigma^{\tilde{L}}((\mathbf{a}, \alpha)) = \sigma^L(\mathbf{a} + \alpha e) \cup \{\alpha\} \quad \text{for any } \mathbf{a} \in L \quad \text{and for any } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Hence the first inclusion proved in [2], Theorem 2.1 can be replaced by an equality.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author wishes to thank Vladimir Rakočević, who suggested her a shorter proof of Proposition 5.

REFERENCES

1. RICKART, C.E., *General Theory of Banach algebras*, Van Nostrand, 1960.
2. SEDDIGHIN, M., Supersets for the spectrum of elements in extended Banach algebras, *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.* 12 (1989), 823-824.
3. TAYLOR, A.E. and LAY, D.C., *Introduction to Functional Analysis*, second edition, Wiley, 1980.