

Research Article

Solution to a Function Equation and Divergence Measures

Chuan-Lei Dong and Jin Liang

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Jin Liang, jinliang@sjtu.edu.cn

Received 1 January 2011; Accepted 11 February 2011

Academic Editor: Toka Diagana

Copyright © 2011 C.-L. Dong and J. Liang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We investigate the solution to the following function equation $f_1(x)g_1(y) + \dots + f_n(x)g_n(y) = G(x + y)$, which arises from the theory of divergence measures. Moreover, new results on divergence measures are given.

1. Introduction

As early as in 1952, Chernoff [1] used the α -divergence to evaluate classification errors. Since then, the study of various divergence measures has been attracting many researchers. So far, we have known that the Csiszár f -divergence is a unique class of divergences having information monotonicity, from which the dual α geometrical structure with the Fisher metric is derived, and the Bregman divergence is another class of divergences that gives a dually flat geometrical structure different from the α -structure in general. Actually, a divergence measure between two probability distributions or positive measures have been proved a useful tool for solving optimization problems in optimization, signal processing, machine learning, and statistical inference. For more information on the theory of divergence measures, please see, for example, [2–5] and references therein.

Motivated by these studies, we investigate in this paper the solution to the following function equation

$$f_1(x)g_1(y) + \dots + f_n(x)g_n(y) = G(x + y), \quad (1.1)$$

which arises from the discussion of the theory of divergence measures, and show that for $n > 1$, if $f_i : [a, b] \rightarrow R$, $g_i : [a, b] \rightarrow R$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $G : [2a, 2b] \rightarrow R$ satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)g_i(y) = G(x + y), \quad (1.2)$$

then G is the solution of a linear homogenous differential equation with constant coefficients. Moreover, new results on divergence measures are given.

Throughout this paper, we let R be the set of real numbers and $F \subset R^n$ are a convex set.

Basic notations: $R_+^n := \{x \in R^n : x_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$; $\phi : F \rightarrow R$ is strictly convex and twice differentiable; $\pi : R_+^n \rightarrow F$ is differentiable injective map; D_ϕ^π is the general vector Bregman divergence; $f : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is strictly convex twice-continuously differentiable function satisfying $f(1) = 0, f'(1) = 0$; D_f is the vector f -divergence.

If for every $p, q \in R_+^n$,

$$D_\phi^\pi [p : q] = D_f [p : q], \quad (1.3)$$

then we say the D_ϕ^π or D_f is in the intersection of f -divergence and general Bregman divergence.

For more information on some basic concepts of divergence measures, we refer the reader to, for example, [2–5] and references therein.

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that there are differentiable functions*

$$f_i : [a, b] \rightarrow R, \quad g_i : [a, b] \rightarrow R, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (2.1)$$

and $G : [2a, 2b] \rightarrow R$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)g_i(y) = G(x+y), \quad \text{for every } x, y \in [a, b]. \quad (2.2)$$

Then $G \in C^\infty[2a, 2b]$ and

$$a_n G^{(n)} + a_{n-1} G^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_1 G' + a_0 G = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

for some $a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0 \in R$.

Proof. Since f_i, g_i is differentiable functions, it is clear that

$$f_i, g_i \in L^2[a, b], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (2.4)$$

Let

$$M = \text{span}\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}. \quad (2.5)$$

Then M is a finite dimension space. So we can find differentiable functions

$$s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m \in M \quad (2.6)$$

as the orthonormal bases of M , where $m \leq n$. Observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)g_i(y) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}s_j(x)g_i(y) \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m s_j(x) \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}g_i(y) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m s_j(x)t_j(y), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t_j(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}g_i(y), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad (2.8)$$

we have

$$G(x+y) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)g_i(y) = \sum_{j=1}^m s_j(x)t_j(y), \quad \text{for every } x, y \in [a, b]. \quad (2.9)$$

Clearly,

$$t_j \in L^2[a, b], \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \quad (2.10)$$

Next we prove that

$$t_j \in M, \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \quad (2.11)$$

It is easy to see that we only need to prove the following fact:

$$\text{span}\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\} = M. \quad (2.12)$$

Actually, if this is not true, that is,

$$\text{span}\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\} \neq M, \quad (2.13)$$

then there exists $t \neq 0$ such that

$$t \in \text{span}\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}, \quad t \perp M. \quad (2.14)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^b G(x+y)t(x)dx &= \int_a^b \sum_{i=1}^m s_i(x)t(x)t_i(y)dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \int_a^b s_i(x)t(x)dx t_i(y) \\ &= 0, \quad \text{for every } y \in [a, b],\end{aligned}\tag{2.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^b G(y+x)t(y)dy &= \int_a^b \sum_{i=1}^m s_i(x)t(y)t_i(y)dy \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \int_a^b t_i(y)t(y)dy s_i(x), \quad \text{for every } x \in [a, b].\end{aligned}$$

Because

$$\int_a^b G(x+y)t(x)dx = 0, \quad \text{for every } y \in [a, b],\tag{2.16}$$

we get

$$\int_a^b G(y+x)t(y)dy = 0, \quad \text{for every } x \in [a, b],\tag{2.17}$$

that is,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \int_a^b t_i(y)t(y)dy s_i(x) = 0, \quad \text{for every } x \in [a, b].\tag{2.18}$$

Since s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m is linearly independent, we see that

$$\int_a^b t_i(y)t(y)dy = 0.\tag{2.19}$$

So

$$t \perp \text{span}\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}.\tag{2.20}$$

This is a contradiction. Hence (2.12) holds, and so does (2.11). Thus, there are $b_{ij} \in R$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) such that

$$t_i = b_{ij}s_j, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m.\tag{2.21}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} G(x+y) &= \sum_{i=1}^m s_i(x)t_i(y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m b_{ij}s_i(x)s_j(y), \quad \text{for every } x, y \in [a, b], \\ G(y+x) &= \sum_{i=1}^m s_i(y)t_i(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m b_{ij}s_i(y)s_j(x), \quad \text{for every } x, y \in [a, b]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

So we have

$$G(x+y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \frac{b_{ij} + b_{ji}}{2} s_i(x)s_j(y), \quad \text{for every } x, y \in [a, b]. \quad (2.23)$$

Define

$$c_{ij} := \frac{b_{ij} + b_{ji}}{2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (2.24)$$

Then

$$G(x+y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m c_{ij}s_i(x)s_j(y), \quad \text{for every } x, y \in [a, b]. \quad (2.25)$$

Let $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m)$, and

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & \cdots & c_{1m} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & \cdots & c_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c_{m1} & c_{m1} & \cdots & c_{mm} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.26)$$

Then

$$G(x+y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m c_{ij}s_i(x)s_j(y) = S(x)CS(y)^T, \quad \text{for every } x, y \in [a, b]. \quad (2.27)$$

Since C is a symmetric matrix, we have

$$C = Q\Lambda Q^T. \quad (2.28)$$

for an orthogonal matrix Q , and a diagonal matrix

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_m \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.29)$$

Write

$$W = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m) = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m)Q. \quad (2.30)$$

Then

$$G(x+y) = S(x)CS(y)^T = W(x)\Lambda W(y)^T, \quad \text{for every } x, y \in [a, b]. \quad (2.31)$$

So, for all $x, y \in [a, b]$,

$$G(x+y) = (r_1(x) \quad \dots \quad r_m(x)) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r_1(y) \\ \vdots \\ r_m(y) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.32)$$

Without loss the generalization, we can assume that

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m \neq 0. \quad (2.33)$$

Thus, for all $x, y \in [a, b]$,

$$\frac{\partial G(x+y)}{\partial x} = (r_1(x) \quad \dots \quad r'_m(x)) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r_1(y) \\ \vdots \\ r_m(y) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.34)$$

By the similar arguments as above, we can prove

$$\text{span}\{r_1, \dots, r_m, r'_1, \dots, r'_m\} = \text{span}\{r_1, \dots, r_m\}. \quad (2.35)$$

So there is a matrix A satisfying

$$(r'_1 \quad \dots \quad r'_m) = (r_1 \quad \dots \quad r_m)A. \quad (2.36)$$

Thus,

$$G'(x + y) = \frac{\partial G(x + y)}{\partial x} = R(x)A\Lambda R(y)^T. \tag{2.37}$$

By mathematical induction we obtain

$$G^{(i)}(x + y) = R(x)A^i\Lambda R(y)^T, \quad \forall i = 0, 1, \dots \tag{2.38}$$

So $G \in C^\infty[2a, 2b]$.

Let

$$b_0 + b_1\lambda + \dots + b_m\lambda^m \tag{2.39}$$

be the annihilation polynomial of A . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & b_0G(x + y) + b_1G'(x + y) + \dots + b_mG^{(m)}(x + y) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m b_i R(x)A^i\Lambda R(y)^T \\ &= R(x)\sum_{i=0}^m b_i A^i\Lambda R(y) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

Since $n \geq m$, we can find $a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0 \in R$ such that

$$a_nG^{(n)} + a_{n-1}G^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_1G' + a_0G = 0. \tag{2.41}$$

The proof is then complete. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let the f -divergence D_f be in the section of f -divergence and general Bregman divergence. Then $G(x) = f''(e^x)$ satisfies*

$$\sum_{i=0}^n a_i G^{(i)} = 0, \tag{2.42}$$

for some $a_n, \dots, a_0 \in R$.

Proof. If D_f, D_ϕ^π are in the intersection of f -divergence and general Bregman divergence, then we have

$$xf\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)n = \phi(\pi(X)) - \phi(\pi(Y)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \phi(\pi(Y))}{\partial x_i} (\pi_i(X) - \pi_i(Y)), \quad \forall x, y \in (0, +\infty), \tag{2.43}$$

where

$$X = (x, x, \dots, x) \in R^n, \quad Y = (y, y, \dots, y) \in R^n. \quad (2.44)$$

Let

$$\frac{\partial \phi(\pi(Y))}{\partial x_i} = s_i(y), \quad \pi_i(X) = t_i(x). \quad (2.45)$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial^2 x f(y/x)^n}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 [\phi(\pi(X)) - \phi(\pi(Y)) - \sum_{i=1}^n s_i(y)(t_i(x) - t_i(y))]}{\partial x \partial y}. \quad (2.46)$$

Hence

$$\frac{y}{x^2} f''\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n s'_i(y) t'_i(x). \quad (2.47)$$

Let

$$G(x) = f''(e^x), \quad f_i(x) = \frac{s'_i(e^x)}{e^x}, \quad g_i(x) = t_i(e^{-x})e^{-2x}. \quad (2.48)$$

Then

$$G(x+y) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)g_i(y). \quad (2.49)$$

Thus, a modification of Theorem 2.1 implies the conclusion. \square

Moreover, it is not so hard to deduce the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *Let a vector f -divergence is are the intersection of vector f -divergence and general Bregman divergence and π satisfy*

$$\pi(x) = (\pi_1(x_1), \dots, \pi_n(x_n)), \quad \forall x \in R_+^n, \quad (2.50)$$

where π_1, \dots, π_n is strictly monotone twice-continuously differentiable functions. Then the f divergence is α -divergence or vector α -divergence times a positive constant c .

Acknowledgments

This work was supported partially by the NSF of China and the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China.

References

- [1] H. Chernoff, "A measure of asymptotic efficiency for tests of a hypothesis based on the sum of observations," *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, vol. 23, pp. 493–507, 1952.
- [2] S. Amari, "Information geometry and its applications: convex function and dually flat manifold," in *Emerging Trends in Visual Computing*, F. Nielsen, Ed., vol. 5416 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 75–102, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2009.
- [3] L. M. Brègman, "The relaxation method of finding a common point of convex sets and its application to the solution of problems in convex programming," *Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*, vol. 7, pp. 200–217, 1967.
- [4] A. Cichocki, R. Zdunek, A. H. Phan, and S. Amari, *Non-Negative Matrix and Tensor Factorizations: Applications to Explanatory Multi-Way Data Analysis and Blind Source Separation*, Wiley, New York, NY, USA, 2009.
- [5] I. Csiszár, "Why least squares and maximum entropy? An axiomatic approach to inference for linear inverse problems," *The Annals of Statistics*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 2032–2066, 1991.