



*Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 24, No. 1, September 2014, pp.58-73*

*ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright ©ICSRS Publication, 2014*

*www.i-csrs.org*

*Available free online at <http://www.geman.in>*

## **$r-(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -Generalized Fuzzy Closed Sets in Smooth Bitopological Spaces**

**O.A. Tantawy<sup>1</sup>, S.A. El-Sheikh<sup>2</sup> and R.N. Majeed<sup>3,4</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science  
Zagazig University, Cairo, Egypt  
E-mail: drosamat@yahoo.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education  
Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt  
E-mail: elsheikh33@hotmail.com

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science  
Ain Shams University, Abbassia, Cairo, Egypt

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Education  
Ibn-Al-Haitham, Baghdad University, Baghdad, Iraq  
E-mail: rashanm6@gmail.com

(Received: 27-4-14 / Accepted: 5-6-14)

### **Abstract**

*In this paper a new class of fuzzy sets, namely  $r-(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -generalized fuzzy closed sets is introduced for smooth bitopological spaces. This class falls strictly in between the class of  $r-(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -fuzzy  $\theta$ -closed sets and the class of  $r-(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -generalized fuzzy closed sets. Furthermore, by using the class of  $r-(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -generalized fuzzy closed sets we establish a new fuzzy closure operator which is generate a smooth topology. Finally,  $(i, j)$  strongly- $\theta$ -fuzzy continuous,  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -generalized fuzzy continuous and  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -generalized fuzzy irresolute mappings are introduce, we show that  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -generalized fuzzy continuous properly fits in between  $(j, i)$  strongly- $\theta$ -fuzzy continuous and  $(i, j)$ -generalized fuzzy continuous.*

**Keywords:** *Smooth topology, fuzzy closure operator,  $\theta$ -generalized closed fuzzy set,  $\theta$ -generalized fuzzy continuous mapping,  $\theta$ -generalized fuzzy irresolute mapping, strongly  $\theta$ -fuzzy continuous mapping.*

## 1 Introduction

Šostak [19], introduced the fundamental concept of a ‘fuzzy topological structure’, as an extension of both crisp topology and Chang’s fuzzy topology [3], in the sense that not only the object were fuzzified, but also the axiomatics. Subsequently, Badard [1], introduced the concept of ‘smooth topological space’. Chattopadhyay et al. [4] and Chattopadhyay and Samanta [5] re-introduced the same concept, under name ‘gradation of openness’. Ramadan [18] and his colleagues introduced a similar definition, namely, smooth topological space for lattice  $L = [0, 1]$ . Following Ramadan, several authors have re-introduced and further studied smooth topological space (cf. [4, 5, 10, 20]). Thus, the terms ‘**fuzzy topology**’ in Šostak sense, ‘**gradation of openness**’ and ‘**smooth topology**’ are essentially referring to the same concept. In our paper, we adopt the term smooth topology. Lee et al. [15] introduced the concept of smooth bitopological space (smooth bts, for short) as a generalization of smooth topological space and Kandil’s fuzzy bitopological space [11].

The first step of generalizing closed sets was done by Levine [16]. He defined a set  $A$  to be generalized closed if its closure belongs to every open superset of  $A$ . Subsequently, Fukutake [9], generalized this notion to bitopological space and he defined a set  $A$  of a bitopological space  $X$  to be  $ij$ -generalized closed set if  $\tau_j\text{-cl}(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and  $U$  is  $\tau_i$ -open in  $X$ . Since then many concepts related to generalized closed sets were defined and investigated. Balasubramanian and Sundaram [2] gave the concept of generalized fuzzy closed sets in Chang’s fuzzy topology as an extension of generalized closed sets of Levine. Kim and Ko [14] defined generalized fuzzy closed sets in smooth topological spaces. Recently, we [21, 22] generalized this notion to smooth bts. Noiri in [17] and Dontchev and Maki in [6] gave another new generalization of Livine generalized closed set by utilizing the  $\theta$ -closure operator. The concept of  $\theta$ -generalized closed sets was applied to the digital line [7]. Khedr and Al-Saadi [12] generalized the notion of  $\theta$ -generalized sets to bitopological space. El-Shafei and Zakari [8] introduced the concept of  $\theta$ -generalized fuzzy closed sets in Chang’s fuzzy topology.

The aim of this paper is to continue the study of generalized fuzzy closed sets in smooth bts, this time via the  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\theta$ -fuzzy closure  $T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}$  defined in [13] and study its basic properties. Moreover, we define a new fuzzy closure operator by using this class of  $\theta$ -generalized fuzzy closed sets, which is induced a smooth topology. Finally, we introduce and study the concept of a new class of fuzzy mappings, namely  $(i, j)$  strongly- $\theta$ -fuzzy continuous,  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -generalized fuzzy continuous and  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -generalized fuzzy irresolute mappings and give the relations between them.

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, let  $X$  be a non-empty set,  $I = [0, 1]$ ,  $I_0 = (0, 1]$ . A fuzzy set  $\mu$  of  $X$  is a mapping  $\mu : X \rightarrow I$ , and  $I^X$  be the family of all fuzzy sets of  $X$ . For any  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I^X$ ,  $\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2 = \min\{\mu_1(x), \mu_2(x) : x \in X\}$ ,  $\mu_1 \vee \mu_2 = \max\{\mu_1(x), \mu_2(x) : x \in X\}$ . The complement of a fuzzy set  $\lambda$  is denoted by  $\bar{1} - \lambda$ . For  $\alpha \in I$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}(x) = \alpha \forall x \in X$ . By  $\bar{0}$  and  $\bar{1}$ , we denote constant maps on  $X$  with value 0 and 1, respectively. For each  $x \in X$  and  $t \in I_0$ , the fuzzy set  $x_t$  of  $X$  whose value  $t$  at  $x$  and 0 otherwise is called the fuzzy point in  $X$ . Let  $Pt(X)$  be a family of all fuzzy points in  $X$ .  $x_t \in \lambda$  if and only if  $\lambda(x) \geq t$  and  $x_t$  is said to be quasi-coincident (q-coincident, for short) with  $\lambda$ , denoted by  $x_t q \lambda$  if and only if  $\bar{1} - \lambda(x) < t$ . For  $\mu, \lambda \in I^X$ ,  $\mu$  is called q-coincident with  $\lambda$ , denoted by  $\mu q \lambda$ , if  $\mu(x) + \lambda(x) > 1$  for some  $x \in X$ , otherwise we write  $\mu \bar{q} \lambda$ . Also, for two fuzzy sets  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2 \in I^X$ ,  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$  if and only if  $\lambda_1 \bar{q} \bar{1} - \lambda_2$ . The indices are  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $i \neq j$ .

**Definition 2.1.** [1, 4, 18, 19] A smooth topology on  $X$  is a mapping  $\tau : I^X \rightarrow I$  which satisfies the following properties:

- (1)  $\tau(\bar{0}) = \tau(\bar{1}) = 1$ ,
- (2)  $\tau(\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2) \geq \tau(\mu_1) \wedge \tau(\mu_2)$ ,  $\forall \mu_1, \mu_2 \in I^X$ ,
- (3)  $\tau(\bigvee_{i \in J} \mu_i) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in J} \tau(\mu_i)$ , for any  $\{\mu_i : i \in J\} \subseteq I^X$ .

The pair  $(X, \tau)$  is called a smooth topological space. The value of  $\tau(\mu)$  is interpreted as the degree of openness of fuzzy set  $\mu$ , that is mean for  $r \in I_0$ , we say  $\mu$  is an  $r$ -open fuzzy set of  $X$  if  $\tau(\mu) \geq r$ , and  $\mu$  is an  $r$ -closed fuzzy set of  $X$  if  $\tau(\bar{1} - \mu) \geq r$ . Note, Šostak [19] used the term ‘**fuzzy topology**’ and Chattopadhyay [4], used the term ‘**gradation of openness**’ for a smooth topology  $\tau$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [5] A mapping  $C : I^X \times I_0 \rightarrow I^X$  is called a fuzzy closure operator if, for  $\lambda, \mu \in I^X$  and  $r, s \in I_0$ , the mapping  $C$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (C1)  $C(\bar{0}, r) = \bar{0}$ ,
- (C2)  $\lambda \leq C(\lambda, r)$ ,
- (C3)  $C(\lambda, r) \vee C(\mu, r) = C(\lambda \vee \mu, r)$ ,
- (C4)  $C(\lambda, r) \leq C(\lambda, s)$  if  $r \leq s$ ,
- (C5)  $C(C(\lambda, r), r) = C(\lambda, r)$ .

The fuzzy closure operator  $C$  generates a smooth topology  $\tau_C : I^X \rightarrow I$  defined by

$$\tau_C(\lambda) = \bigvee \{r \in I \mid C(\bar{1} - \lambda, r) = \bar{1} - \lambda\}.$$

**Theorem 2.1.** [5, 13] Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a smooth bts. For  $\lambda \in I^X$  and  $r \in I_0$ , a  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy closure of  $\lambda$  is a mapping  $C_{\tau_i} : I^X \times I_0 \longrightarrow I^X$ , defined as

$$C_{\tau_i}(\lambda, r) = \bigwedge \{ \mu \in I^X \mid \mu \geq \lambda \text{ and } \tau_i(\bar{1} - \mu) \geq r \}.$$

And, a  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy interior of  $\lambda$  is a mapping  $I_{\tau_i} : I^X \times I_0 \longrightarrow I^X$  defined as

$$I_{\tau_i}(\lambda, r) = \bigvee \{ \mu \in I^X \mid \mu \leq \lambda \text{ and } \tau_i(\mu) \geq r \}.$$

Then:

- (1)  $C_{\tau_i}$  (resp.,  $I_{\tau_i}$ ) is a fuzzy closure (resp., interior) operator.
- (2)  $\tau_{C_{\tau_i}} = \tau_{I_{\tau_i}} = \tau_i$ .
- (3)  $I_{\tau_i}(\bar{1} - \lambda, r) = \bar{1} - C_{\tau_i}(\lambda, r)$ ,  $\forall r \in I_0, \lambda \in I^X$ .

Recall next the definitions of open Q-nbd,  $\theta$ -cluster point and  $\theta$ -fuzzy closure operator in smooth bts  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [13] Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a smooth bts,  $\mu \in I^X$ ,  $x_t \in Pt(X)$  and  $r \in I_0$ .  $\mu$  is called an  $r$ -open  $Q_{\tau_i}$ -neighborhood of  $x_t$  if  $x_t q \mu$  with  $\tau_i(\mu) \geq r$ , we denote

$$Q_{\tau_i}(x_t, r) = \{ \mu \in I^X \mid x_t q \mu, \tau_i(\mu) \geq r \}.$$

**Definition 2.4.** [13] Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a smooth bts,  $\lambda \in I^X$  and  $r \in I_0$ . Then:

- (1) A fuzzy point  $x_t \in Pt(X)$  is called an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  $\theta$ -cluster point of  $\lambda$  if for every  $\mu \in Q_{\tau_i}(x_t, r)$ ,  $C_{\tau_j}(\mu, r) q \lambda$ .
- (2) An  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  $\theta$ -closure is a mapping  $T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i} : I^X \times I_0 \longrightarrow I^X$  defined as follows:

$$T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\lambda, r) = \bigvee \{ x_t \in Pt(X) \mid x_t \text{ is } r\text{-}(\tau_i, \tau_j)\theta\text{-cluster point of } \lambda \}.$$

- (3)  $\lambda$  is called an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  fuzzy  $\theta$ -closed iff  $\lambda = T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\lambda, r)$ . The complement of an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  fuzzy  $\theta$ -closed is called an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  fuzzy  $\theta$ -open.
- (4)  $\Theta_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\lambda, r) = \bigwedge \{ \mu \in I^X \mid \mu \geq \lambda, \mu = T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\mu, r) \}$ , which is a fuzzy closure operator.

**Theorem 2.2.** [13] Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a smooth bts,  $\lambda$  and  $\mu \in I^X$ ,  $x_t \in Pt(X)$  and  $r \in I_0$ . Then:

- (1)  $T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\lambda, r) = \bigwedge \{ \mu \in I^X \mid I_{\tau_j}(\mu, r) \geq \lambda, \tau_i(\bar{1} - \mu) \geq r \}$ , i.e.,  $T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\lambda, r)$  is an  $r$ - $\tau_i$ -closed fuzzy set.
- (2)  $x_t$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  $\theta$ -cluster point of  $\lambda$  iff  $x_t \in T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\lambda, r)$ .

- (3)  $\lambda \leq C_{\tau_i}(\lambda, r) \leq T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\lambda, r)$ .  
 (4) If  $\tau_j(\lambda) \geq r$ , then  $C_{\tau_i}(\lambda, r) = T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\lambda, r)$ .  
 (5)  $\Theta_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\lambda, r) = T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\Theta_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\lambda, r), r)$ , i.e.,  $\Theta_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\lambda, r)$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  fuzzy  $\theta$ -closed.  
 (6)  $T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\lambda, r) \leq \Theta_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\lambda, r)$ .

**Definition 2.5.** [22] Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a smooth bts,  $\lambda \in I^X$  and  $r \in I_0$ . A fuzzy set  $\lambda$  is called an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -generalized fuzzy closed ( $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -gfc set, for short), if  $C_{\tau_j}(\lambda, s) \leq \mu$ , whenever  $\lambda \leq \mu$  such that  $\tau_i(\mu) \geq s \ \forall 0 < s \leq r$ . The complement of  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -gfc set is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -generalized fuzzy open ( $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -gfo set, for short).

**Definition 2.6.** [22] A mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called  $(i, j)$ -generalized fuzzy continuous ( $(i, j)$ -GF-continuous, for short) if  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -gfc set in  $X$  for each  $\mu \in I^Y$ ,  $\sigma_j(\bar{1} - \mu) \geq r$ .

### 3 $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -Generalized Fuzzy Closed Sets

This section is devoted to introduce the concept of  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -generalized fuzzy closed sets in smooth bts  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , and study its fundamental basic properties.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a smooth bts,  $\lambda \in I^X$  and  $r \in I_0$ . A fuzzy set  $\lambda$  is called:

- (1) an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -generalized fuzzy closed ( $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set, for short) if  $T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, s) \leq \mu$  whenever  $\lambda \leq \mu$  such that  $\tau_i(\mu) \geq s \ \forall 0 < s \leq r$ .  
 (2) an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -generalized fuzzy open ( $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfo set, for short) if  $\bar{1} - \lambda$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set.

If  $\lambda$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set and an  $r$ - $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set, then it said to be pairwise  $r\theta$ -gfc set ( $P$ - $r\theta$ -gfc set, for short).

Some properties of  $T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}$  fuzzy closure are state in the next proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** [13] Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a smooth bts,  $\lambda, \mu \in I^X$  and  $r \in I_0$ . Then:

- (1)  $T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\bar{0}, r) = \bar{0}$ .  
 (2)  $T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\lambda, r) \vee T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\mu, r) = T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\lambda \vee \mu, r)$ .

$$(3) \quad T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\lambda, r) \leq T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\lambda, s), \text{ if } r \leq s.$$

$$(4) \quad T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\lambda, r), r) \geq T_{\tau_j}^{\tau_i}(\lambda, r).$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *The union of any two  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc sets in smooth bts  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set.*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc sets in  $X$  and  $r \in I_0$ . Let  $\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2 \leq \mu$  such that  $\tau_i(\mu) \geq s$  for  $0 < s \leq r$  this implies  $\lambda_1 \leq \mu$  and  $\lambda_2 \leq \mu$ . Since  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc sets, then from Proposition 3.1(2) and Definition 3.1(1),  $T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2, s) = T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda_1, s) \vee T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda_2, s) \leq \mu \vee \mu = \mu$ . Hence,  $\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set.  $\square$

The intersection of two  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc sets need not to be an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set as the following example show.

**Example 3.1.** *Let  $X = \{a, b\}$ . Define  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in I^X$  as follows:*

$$\lambda_1 = a_{0.3} \vee b_{0.5}, \quad \lambda_2 = a_{0.6} \vee b_{0.2}.$$

*We define smooth topologies  $\tau_1, \tau_2 : I^X \rightarrow I$  as follows:*

$$\tau_1(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_2(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a smooth bts. Consider  $\eta_1 = a_{0.1} \vee b_{0.6}$ ,  $\eta_2 = a_{0.4} \vee b_{0.3} \in I^X$ . It is easy to see that  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  are  $\frac{1}{4}$ - $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\theta$ -gfc sets, but  $\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 = a_{0.1} \vee b_{0.3}$  is not a  $\frac{1}{4}$ - $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set.*

The next results together with the example following them show that the class of  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc sets is properly placed between the classes of  $r$ - $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ fuzzy- $\theta$ -closed sets and  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -gfc sets.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a smooth bts,  $\lambda \in I^X$  and  $r \in I_0$ . If  $\lambda$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ fuzzy- $\theta$ -closed set, then  $\lambda$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set.*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda$  be an  $r$ - $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ fuzzy- $\theta$ -closed set and let  $\lambda \leq \mu$  such that  $\tau_i(\mu) \geq s$  for  $0 < s \leq r$ . In fact that  $\lambda$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ fuzzy- $\theta$ -closed set and by Proposition 3.1(3) we have,  $T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, s) \leq T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r) = \lambda$ , and since  $\lambda \leq \mu$ , then we get,  $T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, s) \leq \mu$ . Hence,  $\lambda$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set.  $\square$

The converse of Proposition 3.3 is not true. In fact of Example 3.1,  $\eta_1 = a_{0.1} \vee b_{0.6}$  is a  $\frac{1}{4}$ - $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set but it is not a  $\frac{1}{4}$ - $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ fuzzy  $\theta$ -closed set because,  $T_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2}(\eta_1, \frac{1}{4}) = \bar{1} \neq \eta_1$ .

The next Proposition gives the sufficient condition of Proposition 3.3.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a smooth bts,  $\lambda \in I^X$  and  $r \in I_0$ . If  $\lambda$  is both an  $r$ - $\tau_i$ -open fuzzy set and an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set, then  $\lambda$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ fuzzy  $\theta$ -closed set.*

*Proof.* Since  $\lambda$  is an  $r$ - $\tau_i$ -open fuzzy set, i.e.,  $\tau_i(\lambda) \geq r$ . Then,  $\tau_i(\lambda) \geq s$  for  $0 < s \leq r$ . Since  $\lambda \leq \lambda$  and  $\lambda$  is  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set. Then from Definition 3.1(1),  $T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, s) \leq \lambda$  for  $0 < s \leq r$ . On the other hand clearly,  $\lambda \leq T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, s)$ . Thus,  $T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, s) = \lambda$  for  $0 < s \leq r$ . Consequently,  $T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r) = \lambda$ . Hence,  $\lambda$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ fuzzy  $\theta$ -closed set.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a smooth bts,  $\lambda \in I^X$  and  $r \in I_0$ . If  $\lambda$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set, then  $\lambda$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -gfc set.*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set and let  $\lambda \leq \mu$  such that  $\tau_i(\mu) \geq s$  for  $0 < s \leq r$ . To show  $C_{\tau_j}(\lambda, s) \leq \mu$ . By Theorem 2.2(3),  $C_{\tau_j}(\lambda, s) \leq T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, s)$  and since  $\lambda$  is  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set we have,  $T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, s) \leq \mu$ . This implies,  $C_{\tau_j}(\lambda, s) \leq \mu$ . Hence,  $\lambda$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -gfc set.  $\square$

The converse of Proposition 3.5 is not true as the following example show.

**Example 3.2.** *Let  $X = \{a, b\}$ . Define  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in I^X$  as follows:*

$$\lambda_1 = a_{0.5} \vee b_{0.8}, \quad \lambda_2 = a_{0.7} \vee b_{0.5}.$$

*We define smooth topologies  $\tau_1, \tau_2 : I^X \rightarrow I$  as follows:*

$$\tau_1(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_2(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a smooth bts. Consider the fuzzy set  $\lambda = a_{0.3} \vee b_{0.5}$  is a  $\frac{1}{2}$ - $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -gfc set but it is not a  $\frac{1}{2}$ - $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set.*

Thus we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} r\text{-}(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{fuzzy } \theta\text{-closed} & \implies & r\text{-}(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}\theta\text{-gfc} \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ r\text{-}\tau_j\text{-fuzzy closed} & \implies & r\text{-}(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-gfc} \end{array}$$

## 4 $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}$ -Fuzzy Closure Operator

In this section we use the classes of  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc sets to establish a new type of fuzzy closure operator call it generalized  $\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}$ -fuzzy closure.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a smooth bts,  $\lambda \in I^X$  and  $r \in I_0$ . A generalized  $\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}$ -fuzzy closure is a map  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j} : I^X \times I_0 \rightarrow I^X$  defined by

$$\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r) = \bigwedge \{ \rho \in I^X \mid \rho \geq \lambda, \rho \text{ is } r\text{-}(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}\theta\text{-gfc set} \}.$$

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a smooth bts,  $\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in I^X$  and  $r \in I_0$ . Then:

- (1) If  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ , then  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda_1, r) \leq \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda_2, r)$ .
- (2) If  $\lambda$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set, then  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r) = \lambda$ .

*Proof.* To prove (1), suppose  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda_1, r) \not\leq \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda_2, r)$ . Then there exist  $x \in X$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda_2, r)(x) < t < \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda_1, r)(x). \quad (4.1)$$

Since  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda_2, r)(x) < t$ , there exists an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set  $\rho$  such that  $\lambda_2 \leq \rho$  and  $\rho(x) < t$ . Since  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ , then  $\lambda_1 \leq \rho$  which implies  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda_1, r)(x) \leq \rho(x) < t$ . This contradicts (4.1). The proof of (2), follows directly from the definition of  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r)$ .  $\square$

The converse of Theorem 4.1(2) is not true, we show that in the next example. The example is inspired by the one introduced in [[14], p.333].

**Example 4.1.** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$ . Define fuzzy topologies  $\tau_1 = \tau_2 : I^X \rightarrow I$  as follows:

$$\tau_1(\lambda) = \tau_2(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \\ 0.2 & \text{if } \lambda = a_{0.7}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The fuzzy set  $a_{0.7}$  is not a  $1$ - $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set, but  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2}(a_{0.7}, 1) = a_{0.7}$ . Because,  $a_{0.7} \vee b_s$  is a  $1$ - $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set for  $s \in I_0$ . Therefore,

$$\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2}(a_{0.7}, 1) = \bigwedge_{s \in I_0} (a_{0.7} \vee b_s) = a_{0.7} \vee \bigwedge_{s \in I_0} b_s = a_{0.7}.$$

Next we prove  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}$  is a fuzzy closure operator.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a smooth bts. Then a mapping  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j} : I^X \times I_0 \rightarrow I^X$  is a fuzzy closure operator such that  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r) \leq \Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r)$  for all  $\lambda \in I^X$  and  $r \in I_0$ .



*Proof.* To show  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}$  is a fuzzy closure operator, we need to satisfy conditions (C1)–(C5) in Definition 2.2.

(C1) Clearly  $\bar{0}$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -fuzzy  $\theta$ -closed set. Then, by Proposition 3.3,  $\bar{0}$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set. In view of Theorem 4.1(2), we get  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\bar{0}, r) = \bar{0}$ .

(C2) Follows immediately from the definition of  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r)$ .

(C3) Since  $\lambda \leq \lambda \vee \mu$  and  $\mu \leq \lambda \vee \mu$ , then from Theorem 4.1(1),

$$\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r) \leq \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda \vee \mu, r) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\mu, r) \leq \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda \vee \mu, r)$$

this implies,  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r) \vee \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\mu, r) \leq \mathcal{G}C_{12}(\lambda \vee \mu, r)$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{G}C_{12}(\lambda \vee \mu, r) \not\leq \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r) \vee \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\mu, r)$ . Consequently,  $x \in X$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  exist such that

$$\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r)(x) \vee \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\mu, r)(x) < t < \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda \vee \mu, r)(x). \quad (4.2)$$

Since  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r)(x) < t$  and  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\mu, r)(x) < t$ , there exist  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc sets  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  with  $\lambda \leq \rho_1$  and  $\mu \leq \rho_2$  such that

$$\rho_1(x) < t, \rho_2(x) < t.$$

From Proposition 3.2,  $\rho_1 \vee \rho_2$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc. Since  $\lambda \vee \mu \leq \rho_1 \vee \rho_2$ , then we have  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda \vee \mu, r)(x) \leq (\rho_1 \vee \rho_2)(x) < t$ . This contradicts (4.2). Hence,  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r) \vee \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\mu, r) = \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda \vee \mu, r)$ .

(C4) Let  $r \leq s$ ,  $r, s \in I_0$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r) \not\leq \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, s)$ . Consequently,  $x \in X$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  exist such that

$$\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, s)(x) < t < \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r)(x). \quad (4.3)$$

Since  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, s)(x) < t$ , there is an  $s$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set  $\rho$  with  $\lambda \leq \rho$  such that  $\rho(x) < t$ . This yields  $T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\rho, s_1) \leq \mu$ , whenever  $\rho \leq \mu$  and  $\tau_i(\mu) \geq s_1$ , for  $0 < s_1 \leq s$ . Since  $r \leq s$ , then  $T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\rho, r_1) \leq \mu$  whenever  $\rho \leq \mu$  and  $\tau_i(\mu) \geq r_1$ , for  $0 < r_1 \leq r \leq s_1 \leq s$ . This implies  $\rho$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc. From Definition 4.1, we have  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r)(x) \leq \rho(x) < t$ . This contradicts (4.3). Hence,  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r) \leq \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, s)$ .

(C5) Let  $\rho$  be any  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set containing  $\lambda$ . Then, from Definition 4.1, we have  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r) \leq \rho$ . From Theorem 4.1(1), we obtain  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r), r) \leq \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\rho, r) = \rho$ . This mean that  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r), r)$  is contained in every  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set containing  $\lambda$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r), r) \leq \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r)$ . However, from (C2),  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r) \leq \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r), r)$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r), r) = \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r)$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}$  is a fuzzy closure operator. Since every  $r$ - $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -fuzzy  $\theta$ -closed set is  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set, then  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r) \leq \Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\lambda, r)$ , for all  $\lambda \in I^X$  and  $r \in I_0$ .  $\square$

After we show  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}$  is a fuzzy closure operator. The next theorem show  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}$  generate a smooth topology,  $\tau_{\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}}$  on  $X$  which is finer than  $\tau_{\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}}$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a smooth bts. Define a mapping  $\tau_{\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}} : I^X \rightarrow I$  by*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}}(\lambda) = \bigvee \{r \in I \mid \mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\bar{1} - \lambda, r) = \bar{1} - \lambda\}.$$

*Then  $\tau_{\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}}$  is a smooth topology on  $X$ , for which  $\tau_{\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}}(\lambda) \leq \tau_{\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}}(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in I^X$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}$  is a fuzzy closure operator. Then by Definition 2.2,  $\tau_{\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}}$  is a smooth topology on  $X$ . By Proposition 3.3,  $\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\bar{1} - \lambda, r) = \bar{1} - \lambda$  which yields  $\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(\bar{1} - \lambda, r) = \bar{1} - \lambda$ . Thus,  $\tau_{\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}}(\lambda) \leq \tau_{\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}}(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in I^X$ .  $\square$

At the end of this section we state the following proposition which is description each  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set in smooth topological space  $(X, \tau_{\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}})$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a smooth bts.  $\lambda \in I^X$  and  $r \in I_0$ . If  $\lambda$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set, then  $\lambda$  is an  $r$ - $\tau_{\mathcal{G}\Theta_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}}$ -closed fuzzy set.*

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 4.1(2) and Theorem 4.3.  $\square$

## 5 $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-Continuous (Irresolute) and $(i, j)$ - $S$ - $\theta$ -Fuzzy Continuous Mappings

In this section we introduce the concepts of  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-continuous,  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-irresolute and  $(i, j)$ -strongly- $\theta$ -fuzzy continuous and investigate some of its properties. For a mapping  $f$  from  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  into  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  we shall denote the fuzzy continuous (respectively, open) mapping from  $(X, \tau_j)$  into  $(Y, \sigma_j)$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2\}$  by  $j$ -fuzzy continuous (respectively, open) mapping (where a mapping  $f$  is called  $j$ -fuzzy continuous (respectively, open), if  $\tau_j(f^{-1}(\mu)) \geq \sigma_j(\mu)$  for each  $\mu \in I^Y$  (respectively,  $\sigma_j(f(\lambda)) \geq r$  for each  $\tau_j(\lambda) \geq r$ )).

**Definition 5.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be smooth bts's. A mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called:*

- (1)  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -generalized fuzzy continuous ( $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-continuous, for short) if  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set in  $X$  for each  $\mu \in I^Y$ ,  $\sigma_j(\bar{1} - \mu) \geq r$ .
- (2)  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -generalized fuzzy irresolute ( $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-irresolute, for short) if  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set in  $X$  for each  $r$ - $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set  $\mu \in I^Y$ .

- (3)  $(i, j)$ -strongly- $\theta$ -fuzzy continuous ( $(i, j)$ -S- $\theta$ -fuzzy continuous, for short) if for each  $x_t \in Pt(X)$  and for each  $\mu \in Q_{\sigma_i}(f(x_t), r)$ , there exists  $\lambda \in Q_{\tau_i}(x_t, r)$  such that  $f(C_{\tau_j}(\lambda, r)) \leq \mu$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *If  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $(j, i)$ -S- $\theta$ -fuzzy continuous, then  $f$  is  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda \in I^Y$  such that  $\sigma_j(\bar{1} - \lambda) \geq r$ . Let  $f^{-1}(\lambda) \leq \mu$  such that  $\tau_i(\mu) \geq s$  for  $0 < s \leq r$ . To prove  $f^{-1}(\lambda)$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set in  $X$ , we need to prove  $T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(f^{-1}(\lambda), s) \leq \mu$ . Suppose there exists  $x_t \in Pt(X)$  such that  $x_t \not\leq \mu$ , this mean  $x_t \not q \bar{1} - \mu$ . In fact that  $f^{-1}(\lambda) \leq \mu$  which implies,  $\bar{1} - \mu \leq \bar{1} - f^{-1}(\lambda)$ . Therefore,  $x_t \not q \bar{1} - f^{-1}(\lambda)$ , consequently,  $f(x_t) \not q \bar{1} - \lambda$  such that  $\bar{1} - \lambda$  is an  $r$ - $\sigma_j$ -open fuzzy set in  $Y$ . This yields,  $\bar{1} - \lambda \in Q_{\sigma_j}(f(x_t), r)$ . From  $f$  is  $(j, i)$ -S- $\theta$ -fuzzy continuous, there exists  $\eta \in Q_{\tau_j}(x_t, r)$  such that  $f(C_{\tau_i}(\eta, r)) \leq \bar{1} - \lambda$ . By take the inverse image of the last inequality we get,  $C_{\tau_i}(\eta, r) \leq \bar{1} - f^{-1}(\lambda)$  which implies,  $f^{-1}(\lambda) \leq \bar{1} - C_{\tau_i}(\eta, r) = I_{\tau_i}(\bar{1} - \eta, r)$  such that  $\bar{1} - \eta$  is an  $r$ - $\tau_j$ -closed fuzzy set in  $X$ , and from Theorem 2.2(1), if  $x_t \in \bar{1} - \eta$  this implies,  $\bar{1} - \eta(x) \geq t$  implies to,  $\eta(x) + t \leq 1$ , consequently,  $x_t \not q \eta$  which is a contradiction. Thus,  $x_t \notin T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r)$ . Since  $s \leq r$  then from Proposition 3.1(3),  $x_t \notin T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(f^{-1}(\lambda), s)$ . Therefore,  $T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(f^{-1}(\lambda), s) \leq \mu$ . Hence,  $f$  is  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-continuous.  $\square$

The converse of Theorem 5.1 is not true as seen in the following example.

**Example 5.1.** *Let  $X = \{a, b\}$  and  $Y = \{p, q\}$ . Define  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in I^X$  and  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I^Y$  as follows:*

$$\lambda_1 = a_{\frac{1}{2}} \vee b_{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad \lambda_2 = a_{\frac{1}{3}} \vee b_{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \mu_1 = p_{\frac{1}{2}} \vee q_{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad \mu_2 = p_{\frac{1}{4}} \vee q_{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

*We define smooth topologies  $\tau_1, \tau_2 : I^X \longrightarrow I$  and  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 : I^Y \longrightarrow I$  as follows:*

$$\tau_1(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad \tau_2(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_1(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  defined by  $f(a) = q$ ,  $f(b) = p$ . Then  $f$  is  $(1, 2)$ - $\theta$ -GF-continuous but not  $(2, 1)$ - $S$ - $\theta$ -fuzzy continuous.

**Theorem 5.2.** *If  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-continuous, then  $f$  is  $(i, j)$ -GF-continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu \in I^Y$  such that  $\mu$  is an  $r$ - $\sigma_j$ -closed fuzzy set. Then, from  $f$  is  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-continuous,  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set in  $X$ . By Proposition 3.5, every  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -gfc set. Hence,  $f$  is  $(i, j)$ -GF-continuous.  $\square$

The next example show the converse of above theorem is not true in general.

**Example 5.2.** *Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $Y = \{p, q\}$ . Define  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in I^X$  and  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I^Y$  as follows:*

$$\lambda_1 = a_{0.5} \vee b_{0.2} \vee c_{0.9}, \quad \lambda_2 = a_{0.5} \vee b_{0.8} \vee c_{0.2}, \quad \mu_1 = p_{0.7} \vee q_{0.4}, \quad \mu_2 = p_{0.9} \vee q_{0.2}.$$

We define smooth topologies  $\tau_1, \tau_2 : I^X \longrightarrow I$  and  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 : I^Y \longrightarrow I$  as follows:

$$\tau_1(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad \tau_2(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_1(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  defined by  $f(a) = p$ ,  $f(b) = p$  and  $f(c) = q$ . Then  $f$  is  $(1, 2)$ -GF-continuous but not  $(1, 2)$ - $\theta$ -GF-continuous.

Now in order to discuss the relation between  $(i, j)$ - $S$ - $\theta$ -fuzzy continuous and  $j$ -fuzzy continuous mappings, we need to redefine the definition of  $j$ -fuzzy continuous mapping by using fuzzy points and the concept of Q-nbd in the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.3.** *A mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $j$ -fuzzy continuous iff for each  $x_t \in Pt(X)$  and for each  $\mu \in \sigma_j(f(x_t), r)$ , there exists  $\eta \in Q_{\tau_j}(x_t, r)$  such that  $f(\eta) \leq \mu$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f$  is  $j$ -fuzzy continuous. Let  $x_t \in Pt(X)$  and  $\mu \in \sigma_j(f(x_t), r)$ . Since  $f$  is  $j$ -fuzzy continuous then,  $\tau_j(f^{-1}(\mu)) \geq \sigma_j(\mu)$ . That implies,  $f^{-1}(\mu) \in Q_{\tau_j}(x_t, r)$  i.e.,  $x_t q f^{-1}(\mu)$ . Now let  $\eta = f^{-1}(\mu)$ . To obtain the required results, we must prove  $f(\eta) \leq \mu$  i.e.,  $f(\eta) \bar{q} \bar{1} - \mu$ .

Suppose  $f(\eta) q \bar{1} - \mu$ , implies  $f(f^{-1}(\mu)) q \bar{1} - \mu$ . Consequently,  $f(f^{-1}(\mu)) \leq \mu q \bar{1} - \mu$  which is a contradiction. Hence,  $f(\eta) \leq \mu$ .

Conversely, let  $\mu \in I^Y$  such that  $\mu$  is  $r$ - $\sigma_j$ -open fuzzy set. Then, from our assumption we have, for each  $x_t \in Pt(X)$  such that  $\mu \in Q_{\sigma_j}(f(x_t), r)$ , then there exists  $\eta \in Q_{\tau_j}(x_t, r)$  such that  $f(\eta) \leq \mu$ . By take the inverse image of the last inequality, we get  $\eta \leq f^{-1}(\mu)$  that implies  $f^{-1}(\mu) \in Q_{\tau_j}(x_t, r)$ . Thus,  $\tau_j(f^{-1}(\mu)) \geq r$ . Hence,  $f$  is  $j$ -fuzzy continuous.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.4.** *If  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $(j, i)$ - $S$ - $\theta$ -fuzzy continuous, then  $f$  is  $j$ -fuzzy continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $x_t \in Pt(X)$  and  $\mu \in \sigma_j(f(x_t), r)$ . Since  $f$  is  $(j, i)$ - $S$ - $\theta$ -fuzzy continuous then, there exists  $\lambda \in Q_{\tau_j}(x_t, r)$  such that  $f(C_{\tau_i}(\lambda, r)) \leq \mu$ . Since  $\lambda \leq C_{\tau_i}(\lambda, r)$  then,  $f(\lambda) \leq f(C_{\tau_i}(\lambda, r)) \leq \mu$ . In view of Theorem 5.3,  $f$  is  $j$ -fuzzy continuous.  $\square$

The converse of Theorem 5.4 is not true as the following example show.

**Example 5.3.** *Let  $X = \{a, b\}$  and  $Y = \{p, q\}$ . Define  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in I^X$  and  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I^Y$  as follows:*

$$\lambda_1 = a_{\frac{3}{4}} \vee b_{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \lambda_2 = a_{\frac{1}{2}} \vee b_{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad \mu_1 = p_{\frac{1}{4}} \vee q_{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \mu_2 = p_{\frac{1}{2}} \vee q_{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

*We define smooth topologies  $\tau_1, \tau_2 : I^X \longrightarrow I$  and  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 : I^Y \longrightarrow I$  as follows:*

$$\tau_1(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad \tau_2(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_1(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Consider the mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  defined by  $f(a) = p$ ,  $f(b) = q$ . Then  $f$  is 2-fuzzy continuous but not  $(2, 1)$ - $S$ - $\theta$ -fuzzy continuous.*

**Theorem 5.5.** [22] *If  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $j$ -fuzzy continuous, then  $f$  is  $(i, j)$ -GF-continuous.*

Thus we have the following implication and none of them is reversible.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (i, j)\text{-}\theta\text{-GF-continuous} & \implies & (i, j)\text{-GF-continuous} \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 (j, i)\text{-S-}\theta\text{-fuzzy continuous} & \implies & j\text{-fuzzy continuous}
 \end{array}$$

**Theorem 5.6.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  and  $g : (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \longrightarrow (Z, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . Then:*

- (1) *If  $g$  is  $j$ -fuzzy continuous and  $f$  is  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-continuous then  $g \circ f$  is  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-continuous.*
- (2) *If  $g$  is  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-irresolute and  $f$  is  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-irresolute then  $g \circ f$  is  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-irresolute.*
- (3) *If  $g$  is  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-continuous and  $f$  is  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-irresolute then  $g \circ f$  is  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-continuous.*

*Proof.* We prove (1) and the proof of (2) and (3) are similar to (1). Let  $\nu$  be an  $r$ - $\delta_j$ -closed fuzzy set of  $Z$ . Since  $g$  is  $j$ -fuzzy continuous, then  $g^{-1}(\nu)$  is  $r$ - $\sigma_j$ -closed fuzzy set of  $Y$ . Moreover,  $f$  is  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-continuous, then,  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(\nu) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(\nu))$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set of  $X$ . Hence,  $g \circ f$  is  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-continuous.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.7.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is bijective,  $i$ -fuzzy open and  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-continuous, then  $f$  is  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-irresolute.*

*Proof.* Let  $\nu$  be an  $r$ - $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set of  $Y$  and let  $f^{-1}(\nu) \leq \mu$  where  $\tau_i(\mu) \geq s$  for  $0 < s \leq r$ . Clearly  $\nu \leq f(\mu)$ . Since  $\sigma_i(f(\mu)) \geq s$  and  $\nu$  is an  $r$ - $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set in  $Y$ . Then,  $T_{\sigma_i}^{\sigma_j}(\nu, s) \leq f(\mu)$  and thus,  $f^{-1}(T_{\sigma_i}^{\sigma_j}(\nu, s)) \leq \mu$ . Since  $T_{\sigma_i}^{\sigma_j}(\nu, s)$  is an  $s$ - $\sigma_j$ -closed fuzzy set in  $Y$  and  $f$  is  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-continuous. Then,  $f^{-1}(T_{\sigma_i}^{\sigma_j}(\nu, s))$  is  $s$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set in  $X$ . Thus, from Definition 3.1(1),  $T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(f^{-1}(T_{\sigma_i}^{\sigma_j}(\nu, s)), s) \leq \mu$  this yields  $T_{\tau_i}^{\tau_j}(f^{-1}(\nu), s) \leq \mu$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is an  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -gfc set. Hence,  $f$  is  $(i, j)$ - $\theta$ -GF-irresolute.  $\square$

## References

- [1] R. Badard, Smooth axiomatics, *First IFSA Congress Palma de Mallorca*, July(1986).
- [2] G. Balasubramanian and P. Sundaram, On some generalizations of fuzzy continuous functions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 86(1997), 93-100.
- [3] C.L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 24(1968), 182-190.
- [4] K.C. Chattopadhyay, R.N. Hazar and S.K. Samanta, Gradation of openness: Fuzzy topology, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 94(1992), 237-242.
- [5] K.C. Chattopadhyay and S.K. Samanta, Fuzzy topology: Fuzzy closure operator, fuzzy compactness and fuzzy connectedness, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 54(1993), 207-212.
- [6] J. Dontchev and H. Maki, On  $\theta$ -generalized closed sets, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 22(1999), 239-249.
- [7] J. Dontchev and H. Maki, Groups of  $\theta$ -generalized homeomorphisms and the digital line, *Topology and its Applications*, 95(1999), 113-128.
- [8] M.E. El-Shafei and A. Zakari,  $\theta$ -Generalized closed sets in fuzzy topological spaces, *The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 31(2A) (2006), 197-206.
- [9] T. Fukutake, On generalized closed sets in bitopological spaces, *Bulletin of Fukuoka University of Education Part III*, 35(1986), 19-28.
- [10] R.N. Hazra, S.K. Samanta and K. Chattopadhyay, Fuzzy topology redefined, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 45(1992), 79-82.
- [11] A. Kandil, Biproximities and fuzzy bitopological spaces, *Simon Stevin*, 63(1989), 45-66.
- [12] F.H. Khedr and H.S. Al-Saadi, On pairwise  $\theta$ -generalized closed sets, *Journal of International Mathematical Virtual Institute*, 1(2011), 37-51.
- [13] Y.C. Kim, A.A. Ramadan and S.E. Abbas, Separation axioms in terms of  $\theta$ -closure and  $\delta$ -closure operators, *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 34(7) (2003), 1067-1083.
- [14] Y.C. Kim and J.M. Ko, Fuzzy G-closure operators, *Communications Korean Mathematical Society*, 18(2) (2003), 325-340.

- [15] E.P. Lee, Y.B. Im and H. Han, Semiopen sets on smooth bitopological spaces, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 3(2001), 493-511.
- [16] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, 19(1970), 89-96.
- [17] T. Noiri, Generalized  $\theta$ -closed sets of almost paracompact spaces, *Tour. of Math. and Comp. Sci. Math. Ser.*, 9(1996), 157-161.
- [18] A.A. Ramadan, Smooth topological spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 48(1992), 371-375.
- [19] A.P. Šostak, On a fuzzy topological structure, *Suppl. Rend. Circ. Matem. Palermo, Ser.II*, 11(1985), 89-103.
- [20] A.P. Šostak, Basic structures of fuzzy topology, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 78(1996), 662-701.
- [21] O.A. Tantawy, F. Abelhalim, S.A. El-Sheikh and R.N. Majeed, Two new approaches to generalized supra fuzzy closure operators on smooth bitopological spaces, *Wulfenia Journal*, 21(5) (2014), 221-241.
- [22] O.A. Tantawy, F. Abelhalim, S.A. El-Sheikh and R.N. Majeed,  $r$ - $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -generalized fuzzy closed sets in smooth bitopological spaces, *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, (in press).