



*Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 6, No. 2, October 2011, pp.33-44*  
*ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright ©ICSRS Publication, 2011*  
*www.i-csrs.org*  
*Available free online at <http://www.geman.in>*

# On Lacunary Strongly Convergent Difference Sequence Spaces Defined by a Sequence of $\varphi$ -Functions

Metin Başarır<sup>1</sup> and Selma Altundağ<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Sakarya University  
54187, Sakarya/TURKEY

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: basarir@sakarya.edu.tr

<sup>2</sup>E-mail: scaylan@sakarya.edu.tr

(Received: 10-12-10/ Accepted: 9-10-11)

## Abstract

*In this paper, we introduce the new sequence spaces with lacunary strong convergence using by a sequence of modulus functions and a sequence of  $\varphi$ -functions. We also study some connections between lacunary  $(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m)$  - statistically convergence and lacunary strong  $(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m)$  - convergence .*

**Keywords:** *Difference sequence, modulus function,  $\varphi$ - function, lacunary sequence, statistical convergence.*

## 1 Introduction

Let  $w$  be the set of all sequences of real or complex numbers and  $l_\infty$ ,  $c$  and  $c_0$  be, respectively, the Banach spaces of bounded, convergent and null sequences  $x = (x_k)$  with the usual norm  $\|x\| = \sup_k |x_k|$  .

By a lacunary  $\theta = (k_r)$ ;  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  where  $k_0 = 0$ , we shall mean an increasing sequence of non-negative integers with  $k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  . The intervals determined by  $\theta$  will be denoted by  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$  and  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$ . The ratio  $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$  will be denoted by  $q_r$ . In [1], the space of lacunary

strongly convergent sequences  $N_\theta$  was defined as follows:

$$N_\theta = \left\{ x = (x_i) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} |x_i - s| = 0 \text{ for some } s \right\}.$$

A modulus function  $f$  is a function from acting  $[0, \infty)$  to  $[0, \infty)$  such that

- (i)  $f(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$  for all  $x, y \geq 0$ ,
- (iii)  $f$  increasing,
- (iv)  $f$  is continuous from at the right zero.

Since  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq f(|x - y|)$ , it follows from condition (iv) that  $f$  is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ . Furthermore, we have  $f(nx) \leq nf(x)$  for all  $n \in N$ , from condition (ii) and so

$$f(x) = f\left(nx \frac{1}{n}\right) \leq nf\left(\frac{x}{n}\right).$$

Hence, for all  $n \in N$

$$\frac{1}{n}f(x) \leq f\left(\frac{x}{n}\right).$$

A modulus may be bounded or unbounded. For example,  $f(x) = x^p$ , for  $0 < p \leq 1$  is unbounded, but  $f(x) = \frac{x}{1+x}$  is bounded. Ruckle [9] and Maddox [10], used a modulus  $f$  to construct some sequence spaces.

Furthermore, modulus function has been discussed in [5], [11], [12], [13] and [14] and many others.

The difference sequence space  $X(\Delta)$  was first introduced by Kizmaz [2] as follows:

$$X(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in w : (\Delta x_k) \in X\}$$

for  $X = l_\infty, c$  and  $c$ ; where  $\Delta x_k = x_k - x_{k+1}$  for all  $k \in N$ .

The notion of difference sequence spaces was further generalized by Et and Colak [3] as follows:

$$X(\Delta^m) = \{x = (x_k) \in w : (\Delta^m x_k) \in X\}$$

for  $X = l_\infty, c$  and  $c$ ; where  $\Delta^m x_k = \Delta^{m-1} x_k - \Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1}$  and  $\Delta^0 x_k = x_k$  for all  $k \in N$ . Taking  $X = l_\infty(p), c(p)$  and  $c_0(p)$ , these sequence spaces has been generalized by Et and Başarır [4].

The generalized difference has the following binomial representation:

$$\Delta^m x_k = \sum_{v=0}^m (-1)^v \binom{m}{v} x_{k+v}$$

for all  $k \in N$ .

Subsequently, difference sequence spaces have been discussed by several authors [18], [14], [6] and [7].

By a  $\varphi$ -function we understood a continuous non-decreasing function  $\varphi(v)$  defined for  $v \geq 0$  and such that  $\varphi(0) = 0, \varphi(v) > 0$  for  $v > 0$  and  $\varphi(v) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $v \rightarrow \infty$ .

In [15], [16], [17] and [19]; some sequence spaces was studied using by  $\varphi$ -function.

Let  $\varphi = (\varphi_k)$  and  $\psi = (\psi_k)$  be sequences of  $\varphi$ -functions. A sequence of  $\varphi$ -functions  $\varphi$  is called non weaker than a sequence of  $\varphi$ -function  $\psi$  and we write  $\psi \prec \varphi$  (or  $\psi_k \prec \varphi_k$  for all  $k$ ) if there are constants  $c, b, n, l > 0$  such that  $c\psi_k(lv) \prec b\varphi_k(nv)$  (for all, large or small  $v$ , respectively).

Two sequences of  $\varphi$ -functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are called equivalent and we write  $\varphi \sim \psi$  (or  $\psi_k \prec \varphi_k$  for all  $k$ ) if there are positive constants  $b_1, b_2, c, k_1, k_2, l$  such that  $b_1\varphi_k(k_1v) \leq c\psi_k(lv) \leq b_2\varphi_k(k_2v)$  (for all, large or small  $v$ , respectively).

A sequence of  $\varphi$ -functions  $\varphi$  is said to satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition (for all, large or small  $v$ , respectively) if for some constant  $l > 1$  there is satisfied the inequality  $\varphi_k(2v) \leq l\varphi_k(v)$  for all  $k$ . For a  $\varphi$ -function satisfying the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, there is  $L > 0$  such that

$$\varphi_k(cv) \leq L\varphi_k(v) \tag{1}$$

for  $v$  large enough. Indeed, for every  $c > 0$  there is an integer  $s$  such that  $c \leq 2^s$  and

$$\varphi_k(cv) \leq \varphi_k(2^s v) \leq l^s \varphi_k(v) \tag{2}$$

for  $v$  large enough.

Let  $A = (a_{nk})$  be an infinite matrix such that;

- a)  $A$  is non-negative, i.e.  $a_{nk} \geq 0$  for  $n, k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,
- b) for an arbitrary positive integer  $n$  (or  $k$ ) there exists a positive integer  $k_0$  (or  $n_0$ ) such that  $a_{nk} \neq 0$  (or  $a_{n_0k} \neq 0$ ), respectively,
- c) there exists  $\lim_n a_{nk} = 0$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,
- d)  $\sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} < \infty$ ,
- e)  $\sup_n a_{nk} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

In the present paper, we introduce and study some properties of the following difference sequence space that is defined by using a sequence of  $\varphi$ -functions and a sequence of modulus functions.

## 2 Main Results

Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence,  $\varphi = (\varphi_k)$  and  $f = (f_n)$  be given a sequence of  $\varphi$ -functions and a sequence of modulus functions, respectively,  $m$

be a positive integer and  $u = (u_k)$  be any sequence such that  $u_k \neq 0$  for all  $k$ . Moreover, let a matrix  $A = (a_{nk})$  be given in the above. Then we define,

$$V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \right) = 0 \right\}$$

where  $\Delta_u^m x_k = u_k \Delta^m x_k = (u_k \Delta^{m-1} x_k - u_{k+1} \Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1})$  such that  $\Delta_u^m x_k = \sum_{n=0}^m (-1)^n \binom{m}{n} u_{k+n} x_{k+n}$ ,  $\Delta_u^0 x_k = (u_k x_k)$  and  $\Delta_u x_k = (u_k x_k - u_{k+1} x_{k+1})$ .

Throughout this paper, the sequence of modulus functions  $f = (f_n)$  satisfy the condition  $\lim_{v \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_n f_n(v) = 0$ .

If  $x \in V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$  then the sequence  $x$  is said to be lacunary strongly  $(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m)$ -convergent to zero with respect to a sequence of modulus  $f$ .

If we take  $\theta = (2^r)$  then we have

$$V^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_k \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=1}^k f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \right) = 0 \right\}.$$

When  $\varphi_k(x) = x$  for all  $x$  and  $k$ ,  $u_k = 1$  for all  $k$ , we obtain

$$V_{\theta}^0((A, \Delta^m), f_n) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} (|\Delta^m x_k|) \right) = 0 \right\}.$$

If  $f_n(x) = x$  for all  $x$  and  $n$ ,  $u_k = 1$  for all  $k$ , we write

$$V_{\theta}^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta^m) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta^m x_k|) \right) = 0 \right\}.$$

When  $A = I$  and  $u_k = 1$  for all  $k$ , we get the following sequence space,

$$V_{\theta}^0((I, \varphi_k, \Delta^m), f_n) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n (\varphi_n (|\Delta^m x_n|)) = 0 \right\}.$$

If we take  $A = I$ ,  $\varphi_k(x) = x$  for all  $x$  and  $k$  and  $u_k = 1$  for all  $k$  then we have

$$V_{\theta}^0((I, \Delta^m), f_n) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n (|\Delta^m x_n|) = 0 \right\}.$$

If we take  $A = I$ ,  $\varphi_k(x) = x$  for all  $x$  and  $k$  and  $u_k = 1$  for all  $k$ ,  $f_n(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x$  and  $n$

$$V_{\theta}^0((I, \Delta^m), f) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f(|\Delta^m x_n|) = 0 \right\}.$$

If we take  $A = I$ ,  $\varphi_k(x) = x$  for all  $x$  and  $k$ ,  $f_n(x) = x$  for all  $x$  and  $n$  and  $u_k = 1$  for all  $k$  then we have

$$V_{\theta}^0(I, \Delta^m) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} (|\Delta^m x_n|) = 0 \right\}.$$

If we define the matrix  $A = (a_{nk})$  as follows:

$$a_{nk} = \frac{1}{n} \text{ for } n \geq k \text{ and } a_{nk} = 0 \text{ for } n < k$$

then we have the sequence space,

$$V_{\theta}^0((C, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k(|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \right) = 0 \right\}.$$

Now we have,

**Theorem 2.1** *Let us suppose that  $\varphi = (\varphi_k)$  and  $\psi = (\psi_k)$  be two sequences of  $\varphi$ -functions and  $\psi = (\psi_k(v))$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for large  $v$ .*

- (i) *If  $\psi \prec \varphi$  then  $V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n) \subset V_{\theta}^0((A, \psi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ .*
- (ii) *If two sequences of  $\varphi$ -functions  $(\varphi_k(v))$  and  $(\psi_k(v))$  are equivalent for large  $v$  and they satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for large  $v$  then  $V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n) = V_{\theta}^0((A, \psi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ .*

**Proof.** (i) *Let  $x = (x_k) \in V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ . Then*

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k(|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \right) = 0.$$

*By assumption,  $\psi \prec \varphi$ , we have*

$$\psi_k(|x_k|) \leq b\varphi_k(c|x_k|) \tag{3}$$

*for  $b, c > 0$ , all  $k$ , and  $|x_k| > v_0$ . Let us denotes  $x = x' + x''$ , where for all  $m$ ,  $x' = (\Delta_u^m x'_k)$  and  $\Delta_u^m x'_k = \Delta_u^m x_k$  for  $|\Delta_u^m x_k| < v_0$  and  $\Delta_u^m x'_k = 0$  remaining*

values of  $k$ . It is easy to see that  $x' \in V_\theta^0((A, \psi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ . Furthermore, by the assumptions and the inequality (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \psi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k''|) \right) &\leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( b \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (c |\Delta_u^m x_k''|) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( bL \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \psi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k''|) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{K}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k''|) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the constants  $K$  and  $L$  are connected with properties of  $f$  and  $\varphi$  functions. We recall that a  $\varphi$ -function satisfying the  $\Delta_2$ -condition implies (1) and (2).

Finally, we obtain  $x'' = (x_k'') \in V_\theta^0((A, \psi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$  and in consequence  $x \in V_\theta^0((A, \psi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ .

(ii) The identity  $V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n) = V_\theta^0((A, \psi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$  is proved by using the same argument.

**Theorem 2.2** Let the sequence  $\varphi = (\varphi_k(v))$  of  $\varphi$ -functions satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for all  $k$  and for large  $v$  then  $V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$  is linear space.

**Proof.** Firstly we prove that if  $x = (x_k) \in V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$  and  $\alpha$  is an arbitrary number then  $\alpha x \in V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ . Let us remark that for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  we get

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m \alpha x_k|) \right) \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \right).$$

Moreover, if  $\alpha > 1$  then we may find a positive number  $s$  such that  $\alpha < 2^s$  and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m \alpha x_k|) \right) &\leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( d^s \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{K}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $d$  and  $K$  are constants connected with the properties of  $\varphi$  and  $f$  functions. We recall that a  $\varphi$ -function satisfying the  $\Delta_2$ -condition implies (1) and (2). Hence we obtain  $\alpha x \in V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ .

Secondly, let  $x, y \in V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  arbitrary numbers. We will show that  $\alpha x + \beta y \in V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m(\alpha x_k + \beta y_k)|) \right) &\leq \frac{K_1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \right) \\ &+ \frac{K_2}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m y_k|) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the constants  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are defined as above. In consequence,  $\alpha x + \beta y \in V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ .

Now, we give the following Proposition that is necessary for proof of the Theorem 2.4.

**Proposition 2.3** ([5]) *Let  $f$  be a modulus and let  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Then for each  $v \geq \delta$  we have  $f(v) \leq 2f(1)\delta^{-1}v$ .*

**Theorem 2.4** *Let  $\varphi = (\varphi_k)$  and  $f = (f_n)$  be given a sequence of  $\varphi$ -functions and a sequence of modulus functions, respectively and  $\sup_n f_n(1) < \infty$ . Then  $V_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m) \subset V_\theta^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $x \in V_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m)$  and put  $\sup_n f_n(1) = M$ . For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we choose  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $f_n(x) < \varepsilon$  for every  $x \in [0, \delta]$  and for all  $n$ . We can write

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \right) = S_1 + S_2$$

where

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \right)$$

and this sum is taken over

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \leq \delta$$

and

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \right)$$

and this sum is taken over

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k|) > \delta.$$

By the definition of the modulus  $f$  we have

$$S_1 \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n(\delta) < \frac{1}{h_r} (h_r \varepsilon) = \varepsilon$$

and moreover

$$S_2 \leq 2M \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k|)$$

by Proposition 2.3. Finally we have  $x \in V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.5** Let  $\varphi = (\varphi_k)$  and  $f = (f_n)$  be given a sequence of  $\varphi$ -functions and a sequence of modulus functions, respectively. If  $\liminf_{v \rightarrow \infty} \inf_n \frac{f_n(v)}{v} > 0$  then

$$V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n) = V_{\theta}^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m).$$

**Proof.** If  $\liminf_{v \rightarrow \infty} \inf_n \frac{f_n(v)}{v} > 0$  then there exists a number  $c > 0$  such that  $f_n(v) > cv$  for  $v > 0$  and  $n \in N$ . Let  $x \in V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ . Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \right) &\geq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} c \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \right) \\ &= \frac{c}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $x \in V_{\theta}^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m)$ . By using Theorem 2.4, the proof is completed.

**Theorem 2.6** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence and  $f = (f_n)$  be a sequence of modulus functions.

- (i) If  $\liminf q_r > 1$  then  $V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n) \subset V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ .
- (ii) If  $\limsup q_r < \infty$  then  $V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n) \subset V^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ .
- (iii) If  $1 < \liminf q_r \leq \limsup q_r < \infty$  then  $V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n) = V^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ .

**Proof.** This can be proved by using the same techniques in [11] and hence we omit the proof.

The next result follows from Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.6.

**Corollary 2.7** If  $\liminf_{v \rightarrow \infty} \inf_n \frac{f_n(v)}{v} > 0$  and  $1 < \liminf q_r \leq \limsup q_r < \infty$  then  $V_{\theta}^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m) = V^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ .



### 3 $S_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m)$ -Statistical Convergence

Let the matrix  $A = (a_{nk})$  be given as previously,  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence, the sequence of  $\varphi$ -functions  $\varphi = (\varphi_k)$  and a positive number  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. We write,

$$K_\theta^r((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), \varepsilon) = \left\{ n \in I_r : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k(|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \geq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

The sequence  $x$  is said to be lacunary  $(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m)$ - statistically convergent to a number zero if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \mu(K_\theta^r((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), \varepsilon)) = 0$$

where  $\mu(K_\theta^r((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), \varepsilon))$  denotes the number of element belonging to  $K_\theta^r((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), \varepsilon)$ . We denote by  $S_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m)$ , the set of sequences  $x = (x_k)$  which are lacunary  $(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m)$ -statistically convergent to a number zero. We write

$$S_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \mu(K_\theta^r((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), \varepsilon)) = 0 \right\}.$$

When we take  $\theta = (2^r)$ ,  $S_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m)$  reduces to  $S^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m)$ .

If we take  $A = I$  and  $\varphi_k(x) = x$  for all  $k$  and  $x$ , then  $S_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m)$  reduces to  $S_\theta^0(\Delta_u^m)$  defined by

$$S_\theta^0(\Delta_u^m) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \mu(\{k \in I_r : (|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0 \right\}.$$

Now we have,

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence,  $\varphi = (\varphi_k(v))$  and  $\psi = (\psi_k(v))$  are two sequences of  $\varphi$ -functions.*

(i) *If  $\psi \prec \varphi$  and  $\varphi_k$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for large  $v$  and for all  $k$  then  $S_\theta^0(A, \psi_k, \Delta_u^m) \subset S_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m)$ .*

(ii) *If  $\varphi \sim \psi$  and  $\varphi_k$  and  $\psi_k$  satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for large  $v$  and for all  $k$  then  $S_\theta^0(A, \psi_k, \Delta_u^m) = S_\theta^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m)$ .*

**Proof.** (i) *Let  $x \in S_\theta^0(A, \psi_k, \Delta_u^m)$ . By assumption we have  $\psi_k(|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \leq b\varphi_k(c|\Delta_u^m x_k|)$  and we have for all  $n$  and  $m$ ,*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \psi_k(|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \leq b \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k(c|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \leq K \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k(|\Delta_u^m x_k|)$$

for  $b, c > 0$ , where the constant  $K$  is connected with properties of  $\varphi$  functions. Thus the condition  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}\psi_k(|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \geq \varepsilon$  implies the condition  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}\varphi_k(|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \geq \varepsilon$  and in consequence we get

$$K_{\theta}^r((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), \varepsilon) \subset K_{\theta}^r((A, \psi_k, \Delta_u^m), \varepsilon)$$

and

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \mu(K_{\theta}^r((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), \varepsilon)) \leq \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \mu(K_{\theta}^r((A, \psi_k, \Delta_u^m), \varepsilon)).$$

This completes the proof.

(ii) The identity  $S_{\theta}^0(A, \psi_k, \Delta_u^m) = S_{\theta}^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m)$  is proved by using the same argument.

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $f = (f_n)$  be given a sequence of modulus functions. If  $\inf_n f_n(v) > 0$  then

$$V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n) \subset S_{\theta}^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m).$$

**Proof.** If  $\inf_n f_n(v) > 0$  then there exists a number  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $f_n(v) \geq \alpha$  for  $v > 0$  and  $n \in N$ . Let  $x \in V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k(|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \right) &\geq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k(|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\alpha}{h_r} \left| \left\{ n \in I_r : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k(|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that  $x \in S_{\theta}^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m)$ .

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $f = (f_n)$  be given a sequence of modulus functions. If  $\sup_v \sup_n f_n(v) < \infty$  then  $S_{\theta}^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m) \subset V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ .

**Proof.** We suppose  $T(v) = \sup_n f_n(v)$  and  $T = \sup_v T(v)$ . Let  $x \in S_{\theta}^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m)$ . Since  $f_n(v) \leq T$  for  $n \in N$  and  $v > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k(|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \right) &\geq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k(|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\alpha}{h_r} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k(|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \geq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \right) \\
& \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k|) < \varepsilon \\
& \leq \frac{T}{h_r} \left| \left\{ n \in I_r : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi_k (|\Delta_u^m x_k|) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| + T(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , it follows that  $x \in V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ .

**Corollary 3.4** *Let  $f = (f_n)$  be given a sequence of modulus functions. If  $\inf_n f_n(v) > 0$  ( $v > 0$ ) and  $\sup_v \sup_n f_n(v) < \infty$  then  $S_{\theta}^0(A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m) = V_{\theta}^0((A, \varphi_k, \Delta_u^m), f_n)$ .*

## References

- [1] A.R. Freedman, J.J. Sember and M. Raphael, Some Cesaro-type summability spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 37(3) (1978), 508-520.
- [2] H. Kızılmaz, On certain sequence spaces, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 24(1981), 169-176.
- [3] M. Et and R. Çolak, On some generalized difference sequence spaces, *Soochow Journal of Math.*, 21(4) (1995), 377-386.
- [4] M. Et and M. Başarır, On some new generalized difference sequence spaces, *Period. Math. Hung.*, 35(1997), 169-175.
- [5] S. Pehlivan and B. Fisher, Some sequence spaces defined by a modulus function, *Math. Slovaca*, 44(1994).
- [6] A.H.A. Bataineh, On a generalized difference sequence spaces defined by a modulus function and statistical convergence, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.*, 21(2) (2006), 261-272.
- [7] E. Malkowsky and S.D. Parashar, Matrix transformations in spaces of bounded and convergent difference sequences of order m, *Analysis*, 17 (1997), 87-97.
- [8] E. Kolk and A. Mölder, Inclusion theorems for some sets of sequences defined by  $\varphi$ - functions, *Math. Slovaca*, 54(3) (2004), 267-279.
- [9] W.H. Ruckle, FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded, *Canad. J. Math.*, 25(1973), 973-978.

- [10] I.J. Maddox, Sequence spaces defined by a modulus, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 100(1986), 161-166.
- [11] S. Pehlivan and B. Fisher, Lacunary strong convergence with respect to a sequence of modulus functions, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, 36(1) (1995), 71-78.
- [12] T. Bilgin, On statistical convergence, *An. Univ. Timisoara Ser. Math. Inform.*, 32(1) (1994), 3-7.
- [13] V. Karakaya and N. Şimşek, On lacunary invariant sequence spaces defined by a sequence of modulus functions, *App. Math. And Comp.*, 156(2004), 597-603.
- [14] E. Savaş, On some generalized sequence spaces defined by a modulus, *Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 30(5) (1999), 459-464.
- [15] M. Başarır and S. Altundağ, Some difference sequence spaces defined by a sequence of  $\varphi$ - functions, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, 57(2008), 149-160.
- [16] A. Waszak, Some remarks on strong convergence in modular spaces of sequences, *Fasc. Math.*, 35(2005), 151-162.
- [17] A. Waszak, On the strong convergence in some sequence spaces, *Fasc. Math.*, 73(2002), 125-137.
- [18] M. Et, Y. Altın and H. Altınok, On some generalized difference sequence spaces defined by a modulus function, *Filomat*, 17(2003), 23-33.
- [19] M. Başarır, On lacunary strong  $\sigma$ -convergence with respect to a sequence of  $\varphi$ -functions, *Fasc. Math.*, 43(2010), 19-32.