



Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 22, No. 2, June 2014, pp.46-58
ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright ©ICSRS Publication, 2014
www.i-csrs.org
Available free online at <http://www.geman.in>

Suzuki Type n -Tupled Fixed Point Theorems in Ordered Metric Spaces

K.P.R. Rao¹, K.V. Siva Parvathi² and V.C.C. Raju³

¹Department of Mathematics

Acharya Nagarjuna University

Nagarjuna Nagar-522 510, A.P., India

E-mail: kprrao2004@yahoo.com

²Department of Applied Mathematics

Krishna University-M.R. Appa Row P.G. Center

Nuzvid-521 201, Andhra Pradesh, India

E-mail: kvsp1979@yahoo.com

³Department of Mathematics

University of Botswana

Private Bag UB 00704, Gaborone, Botswana

E-mail: varanasi@mopipi.ub.bw

(Received: 14-2-14 / Accepted: 3-4-14)

Abstract

In this paper we prove a Suzuki type unique n -tupled common fixed point theorem in a partially ordered metric space.

Keywords: *Partial order, Metric space, n -tupled fixed point, W -compatible maps.*

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [13] introduced the notion of a coupled fixed point and proved some coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered complete metric spaces under certain conditions. Later Lakshmikantham and Ćirić [17] extended these results by defining the mixed g -monotone property to generalize the corresponding fixed point theorems contained in [13]. After that, Berinde and Borcut [16] introduced the concept of tripled fixed point and

proved some related theorems. In this continuation, Karapinar [4] introduced the quadruple fixed point and proved some results on the existence and uniqueness of quadruple fixed points.

Recently Imdad et al. [8] introduced the concept of n -tupled coincidence and n -tupled common fixed point theorems for nonlinear ϕ -contraction mappings. For more details see [9, 10].

In 2008, Suzuki [14, 15] introduced generalized versions of both Banach's and Edelstain's basic results. Many other works in this direction have been considered, for example refer [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 12] and the references therein.

Combining the concepts of n -tupled fixed point theorems and Suzuki type theorems, in this paper, we prove n -tupled coincidence and n -tupled common fixed point theorems of Suzuki-type in a partially ordered metric space.

Now we give some known definitions.

Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and we denote $X \times X \times X \cdots \times X$ (n times) by X^n . X^n is equipped with the following partial ordering: for $x, y \in X^n$ where $x = (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)$ and $y = (y^1, y^2, \dots, y^n)$, $x \preceq y \Leftrightarrow x^i \preceq y^i$ if i is odd and $x^i \succeq y^i$ if i is even.

Definition 1.1 ([8]) *Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set. Let $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Then the mapping F is said to have the mixed g -monotone property if F is g -non decreasing in its odd position arguments and g -non increasing in its even position arguments, that is, for all $x_1^i, x_2^i \in X$,*

$$gx_1^i \preceq gx_2^i \Rightarrow \begin{cases} F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x_1^i, \dots, x^n) \preceq F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x_2^i, \dots, x^n) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x_1^i, \dots, x^n) \succeq F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x_2^i, \dots, x^n) & \text{if } i \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.2 ([8]) *An element $(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n) \in X$ is called a n -tupled coincidence point of $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ if*

$$\begin{aligned} F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n) &= gx^1, \\ F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^n) &= gx^2, \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ F(x^n, x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{n-1}) &= gx^n. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.3 ([8]) *An element $(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n) \in X$ is called a n -tupled common fixed point of $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ if*

$$\begin{aligned} F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n) &= gx^1 = x^1, \\ F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^n) &= gx^2 = x^2, \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ F(x^n, x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{n-1}) &= gx^n = x^n. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.4 ([7]) *The mappings $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ are called W -compatible if $f(F(x, y)) = F(fx, fy)$ and $f(F(y, x)) = F(fy, fx)$ whenever $fx = F(x, y)$ and $fy = F(y, x)$.*

Lemma 1.5 ([11]) *Let X be a non-empty set and $g : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Then there exists a subset E of X such that $g(E) = g(X)$ and the mapping $g : E \rightarrow X$ is one-one.*

Now we prove our main results.

2 Main Results

Theorem 2.1 . *Let (X, \preceq, d) be a partially ordered metric space and $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings such that F has the mixed g -monotone property on X and satisfying the following :*

(2.1.1) $F(X^n) \subseteq g(X)$ and $g(X)$ is complete,

(2.1.2) If there exists a constant $\theta \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\eta(\theta) \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(gx^1, F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)), \\ d(gx^2, F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^n, x^1)), \\ \vdots \\ d(gx^n, F(x^n, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1})) \end{array} \right\} \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(gx^1, gy^1), \\ d(gx^2, gy^2), \\ \vdots \\ d(gx^n, gy^n) \end{array} \right\}$$

implies

$$d(F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n), F(y^1, y^2, \dots, y^n))$$

$$\leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(gx^1, gy^1), d(gx^2, gy^2), \dots, d(gx^n, gy^n), \\ d(gx^1, F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)), \dots, d(gx^n, F(x^n, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1})), \\ d(gy^1, F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)), \dots, d(gy^n, F(x^n, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1})) \end{array} \right\}$$

for all $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n, y^1, y^2, \dots, y^n \in X$ for which gx^i and gy^i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are comparable, where $\eta : [0, 1) \rightarrow (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ defined by $\eta(\theta) = \frac{1}{1+\theta}$ is a strictly

decreasing function,

(2.1.3) There exist elements $x_0^1, x_0^2, \dots, x_0^n \in X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} gx_0^i &\preceq F(x_0^i, x_0^{i+1}, \dots, x_0^n, x_0^1, x_0^2, \dots, x_0^{i-1}) \text{ if } i \text{ is odd and} \\ gx_0^i &\succeq F(x_0^i, x_0^{i+1}, \dots, x_0^n, x_0^1, x_0^2, \dots, x_0^{i-1}) \text{ if } i \text{ is even.} \end{aligned}$$

(2.1.4) (a) Suppose F and g are continuous

or

(b) X has the following properties :

(i) If a non-decreasing sequence $\{x_m\} \rightarrow x$, then $x_m \preceq x$, for all m ,

(ii) If a non-increasing sequence $\{y_m\} \rightarrow y$, then $y \preceq y_m$, for all m .

Then F and g have a n -tupled coincidence point in X .

Proof. Let $x_0^1, x_0^2, \dots, x_0^n \in X$ be satisfying (2.1.3).

In view of (2.1.1), we construct sequences $\{x_m^1\}, \{x_m^2\}, \dots, \{x_m^n\}$ in X as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} gx_m^1 &= F(x_{m-1}^1, x_{m-1}^2, \dots, x_{m-1}^n), \\ gx_m^2 &= F(x_{m-1}^2, x_{m-1}^3, \dots, x_{m-1}^n, x_{m-1}^1), \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ gx_m^n &= F(x_{m-1}^n, x_{m-1}^1, \dots, x_{m-1}^{n-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

for all $m \geq 1$.

We claim for all $m \geq 0$, that

$$gx_m^i \preceq gx_{m+1}^i \text{ if } i \text{ is odd and } gx_m^i \succeq gx_{m+1}^i \text{ if } i \text{ is even} \tag{2}$$

Relations (2.1.3) and (1) implies that (2) holds for $m = 0$.

Suppose (2) holds for $m = k > 0$.

For odd i , consider x_{k+1}^i and using mixed g -monotone property of F , we get

$$\begin{aligned} gx_{k+1}^i &= F(x_k^i, x_k^{i+1}, \dots, x_k^n, x_k^1, \dots, x_k^{i-1}) \\ &\preceq F(x_{k+1}^i, x_k^{i+1}, \dots, x_k^n, x_k^1, \dots, x_k^{i-1}) \\ &\preceq F(x_{k+1}^i, x_{k+1}^{i+1}, \dots, x_k^n, x_k^1, \dots, x_k^{i-1}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\preceq F(x_{k+1}^i, x_{k+1}^{i+1}, \dots, x_{k+1}^n, x_{k+1}^1, \dots, x_{k+1}^{i-1}) \\ &= gx_{k+2}^i \end{aligned}$$

For even i , consider

$$\begin{aligned} gx_{k+2}^i &= F(x_{k+1}^i, x_{k+1}^{i+1}, \dots, x_{k+1}^n, x_{k+1}^1, \dots, x_{k+1}^{i-1}) \\ &\preceq F(x_k^i, x_{k+1}^{i+1}, \dots, x_{k+1}^n, x_{k+1}^1, \dots, x_{k+1}^{i-1}) \\ &\preceq F(x_k^i, x_k^{i+1}, \dots, x_{k+1}^n, x_{k+1}^1, \dots, x_{k+1}^{i-1}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\preceq F(x_k^i, x_k^{i+1}, \dots, x_k^n, x_k^1, \dots, x_k^{i-1}) \\ &= gx_{k+1}^i. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by mathematical induction, (2) holds for all $m \geq 0$.

Suppose $gx_{m+1}^1 = gx_m^1$, $gx_{m+1}^2 = gx_m^2$, \dots , $gx_{m+1}^n = gx_m^n$ for some m .

Then $(x_m^1, x_m^2, \dots, x_m^n)$ is a n -tupled coincidence point of F and g .

Assume that $gx_{m+1}^1 \neq gx_m^1$ or $gx_{m+1}^2 \neq gx_m^2$, or \dots or $gx_{m+1}^n \neq gx_m^n$ for all m .

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\theta) \min \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx_0^1, F(x_0^1, x_0^2, \dots, x_0^n)), \\ \vdots \\ d(gx_0^n, F(x_0^n, x_0^1, \dots, x_0^{n-1})), \end{array} \right\} &\leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx_0^1, gx_1^1), \dots, \\ d(gx_0^n, gx_1^n) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx_0^1, gx_1^1), \dots, \\ d(gx_0^n, gx_1^n) \end{array} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

by (2.1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_1^1, gx_2^1) &= d(F(x_0^1, x_0^2, \dots, x_0^n), F(x_1^1, x_1^2, \dots, x_1^n)) \\ &\leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx_0^1, gx_1^1), \dots, d(gx_0^n, gx_1^n) \\ d(gx_0^1, gx_1^1), \dots, d(gx_0^n, gx_1^n) \\ d(gx_1^1, gx_1^1), \dots, d(gx_1^n, gx_1^n) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \theta \max \left\{ d(gx_0^1, gx_1^1), \dots, d(gx_0^n, gx_1^n) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_1^2, gx_2^2) &\leq \theta \max \left\{ d(gx_0^1, gx_1^1), \dots, d(gx_0^n, gx_1^n) \right\}. \\ &\vdots \\ d(gx_1^n, gx_2^n) &\leq \theta \max \left\{ d(gx_0^1, gx_1^1), \dots, d(gx_0^n, gx_1^n) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\max \left\{ d(gx_1^1, gx_2^1), \dots, d(gx_1^n, gx_2^n) \right\} \leq \theta \max \left\{ d(gx_0^1, gx_1^1), \dots, d(gx_0^n, gx_1^n) \right\}.$$

Continuing in this way, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx_m^1, gx_{m+1}^1), \dots, \\ d(gx_m^n, gx_{m+1}^n) \end{array} \right\} &\leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx_{m-1}^1, gx_m^1), \dots, \\ d(gx_{m-1}^n, gx_m^n) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \theta^2 \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx_{m-2}^1, gx_{m-1}^1), \dots, \\ d(gx_{m-2}^n, gx_{m-1}^n) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \theta^m \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx_0^1, gx_1^1), \dots, \\ d(gx_0^n, gx_1^n) \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

For $m > l$, consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_l^1, gx_m^1) &\leq d(gx_l^1, gx_{l+1}^1) + d(gx_{l+1}^1, gx_{l+2}^1) + \cdots + d(gx_{m-1}^1, gx_m^1) \\ &\leq (\theta^l + \theta^{l+1} + \cdots + \theta^{m-1}) \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(gx_0^1, gx_1^1), \cdots, \\ d(gx_0^n, gx_1^n) \end{array} \right\} \text{ from (3)} \\ &\leq \frac{\theta^l}{1-\theta} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(gx_0^1, gx_1^1), \cdots, \\ d(gx_0^n, gx_1^n) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{gx_m^1\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $g(X)$. Similarly we can show that $\{gx_m^2\}, \cdots, \{gx_m^n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in $g(X)$.

Since $g(X)$ is complete, there exist $p^1, p^2, \cdots, p^n, z^1, z^2, \cdots, z^n \in X$ such that

$$gx_m^1 \rightarrow p^1 = gz^1, gx_m^2 \rightarrow p^2 = gz^2, \cdots, gx_m^n \rightarrow p^n = gz^n. \quad (4)$$

Suppose (2.1.4)(a) holds, i.e F and g are continuous.

From Lemma 1.5, there exists a subset $E \subseteq X$ such that $g(E) = g(X)$ and the mapping $g : E \rightarrow X$ is one - one. Let us define $G : [g(E)]^n \rightarrow X$ by $G(gx^1, gx^2, \cdots, gx^n) = F(x^1, x^2, \cdots, x^n)$ for all $gx^1, gx^2, \cdots, gx^n \in g(E)$.

Since F and g are continuous, it follows that G is continuous.

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(z^1, z^2, \cdots, z^n) &= G(gz^1, gz^2, \cdots, gz^n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(gx_m^1, gx_m^2, \cdots, gx_m^n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_m^1, x_m^2, \cdots, x_m^n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_{m+1}^1 = gz^1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$gz^2 = F(z^2, \cdots, z^n, z^1), \cdots, gz^n = F(z^n, z^1, \cdots, z^{n-1}).$$

Thus (z^1, z^2, \cdots, z^n) is a n -tupled coincidence point of F and g .

Suppose (2.1.4)(b) holds.

Since $gx_{m+1}^1 \neq gx_m^1$ or $gx_{m+1}^2 \neq gx_m^2$ or \cdots or $gx_{m+1}^n \neq gx_m^n$ for all m and $gx_m^1 \rightarrow gz^1, gx_m^2 \rightarrow gz^2, \cdots, gx_m^n \rightarrow gz^n$ it follows that $\max\{d(gx_m^1, gz^1), d(gx_m^2, gz^2), \cdots, d(gx_m^n, gz^n)\} > 0$ for infinitely many m .

$$\text{Claim : } \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(gz^1, F(x^1, x^2, \cdots, x^n)), \cdots, \\ d(gz^n, F(x^n, x^1, \cdots, x^{n-1})) \end{array} \right\} \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(gz^1, gx^1), \cdots, \\ d(gz^n, gx^n) \end{array} \right\}$$

for all $x^1, x^2, \cdots, x^n \in X$ with $gz^i \preceq gx^i$ for i is odd and $gz^i \succeq gx^i$ for i is even and $\max\{d(gz^1, gx^1), \cdots, d(gz^n, gx^n)\} > 0$.

Let $x^1, x^2, \cdots, x^n \in X$ with $gz^i \preceq gx^i$ for i is odd and $gz^i \succeq gx^i$ for i is even and $\max\{d(gz^1, gx^1), \cdots, d(gz^n, gx^n)\} > 0$.

Since $gx_m^i \rightarrow gz^i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ there exists a positive integer m_0 such that for $m \geq m_0$ we have

$$\max \left\{ \frac{d(gx_m^1, gz^1), \dots, d(gx_m^n, gz^n)}{d(gx_m^n, gz^n)} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{6} \max \left\{ \frac{d(gz^1, gx^1), \dots, d(gz^n, gx^n)}{d(gz^n, gx^n)} \right\} \quad (5)$$

Now for $m \geq m_0$, consider

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\theta) \min \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx_m^1, F(x_m^1, x_m^2, \dots, x_m^n)), \\ \vdots \\ d(gx_m^n, F(x_m^n, x_m^1, \dots, x_m^{n-1})) \end{array} \right\} &\leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx_m^1, gx_{m+1}^1), \\ \vdots \\ d(gx_m^n, gx_{m+1}^n) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{d(gx_m^1, gz^1) + d(gz^1, gx_{m+1}^1), \dots, d(gx_m^n, gz^n) + d(gz^n, gx_{m+1}^n)}{d(gx_m^n, gz^n) + d(gz^n, gx_{m+1}^n)} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ d(gx_m^1, gz^1) + \dots + d(gx_m^n, gz^n) \right\} + \\ &\quad \max \left\{ d(gz^1, gx_{m+1}^1) + \dots + d(gz^n, gx_{m+1}^n) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{6} \max \left\{ d(gz^1, gx^1), \dots, d(gz^n, gx^n) \right\} \text{ from (5)} \\ &= \frac{2}{5} \left[\max \left\{ d(gz^1, gx^1), \dots, d(gz^n, gx^n) \right\} - \frac{1}{6} \max \left\{ d(gz^1, gx^1), \dots, d(gz^n, gx^n) \right\} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{5} \left[\max \left\{ d(gz^1, gx^1), \dots, d(gz^n, gx^n) \right\} - \max \left\{ d(gx_m^1, gz^1), \dots, d(gx_m^n, gz^n) \right\} \right] \text{ from (5)} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gz^1, gx^1) - d(gx_m^1, gz^1), \dots, \\ d(gz^n, gx^n) - d(gx_m^n, gz^n) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ d(gx_m^1, gx^1), \dots, d(gx_m^n, gx^n) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2), (4) and (2.1.4)(b), we have $gx_m^i \preceq gz^i$ if i is odd and $gz^i \preceq gx_m^i$ if i is even for all m . Hence for all m , we have

$$gx_m^i \preceq gz^i \preceq gx^i \quad \text{for } i \text{ is odd and } gx^i \preceq gz^i \preceq gx_m^i \quad \text{for } i \text{ is even.} \quad (6)$$

Hence by (2.1.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(F(x_m^1, x_m^2, \dots, x_m^n), F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)) \\ \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx_m^1, gx^1), \dots, d(gx_m^n, gx^n), \\ d(gx_m^1, gx_{m+1}^1), \dots, d(gx_m^n, gx_{m+1}^n), \\ d(gx^1, gx_{m+1}^1), \dots, d(gx^n, gx_{m+1}^n) \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$d(gz^1, F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)) \leq \theta \max \left\{ d(gz^1, gx^1), \dots, d(gz^n, gx^n) \right\}.$$

Analogously we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} d(gz^2, F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^n, x^1)) &\leq \theta \max \left\{ d(gz^1, gx^1), \dots, d(gz^n, gx^n) \right\}. \\ &\vdots \\ d(gz^n, F(x^n, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1})) &\leq \theta \max \left\{ d(gz^1, gx^1), \dots, d(gz^n, gx^n) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gz^1, F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)), \\ \dots, \\ d(gz^n, F(x^n, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1})) \end{array} \right\} \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gz^1, gx^1), \\ \dots, \\ d(gz^n, gx^n) \end{array} \right\} \quad (7)$$

Hence the claim.

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx^1, F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)) &\leq d(gx^1, gz^1) + d(gz^1, F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)) \\ &\leq d(gx^1, gz^1) + \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gz^1, gx^1), \\ \dots, \\ d(gz^n, gx^n) \end{array} \right\} \text{ from (7)} \\ &\leq (1 + \theta) \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx^i, gz^i), \\ \dots, \\ d(gz^n, gx^n) \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\eta(\theta) d(gx^1, F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx^1, gz^1), \\ \dots, \\ d(gz^n, gx^n) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Hence

$$\eta(\theta) \min \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx^1, F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)), \dots, \\ d(gx^n, F(x^n, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1})) \end{array} \right\} \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gz^1, gx^1), \\ \dots, \\ d(gz^n, gx^n) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Now from (2.1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &d(F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n), F(z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n)) \\ &\leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx^1, gz^1), \dots, d(gx^n, gz^n), \\ d(gx^1, F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)), \dots, d(gx^n, F(x^n, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1})), \\ d(gz^1, F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)), \dots, d(gz^n, F(x^n, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1})) \end{array} \right\} \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

Now from(8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &d(F(x_m^1, x_m^2, \dots, x_m^n), F(z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n)) \\ &\leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx_m^1, gz^1), \dots, d(gx_m^n, gz^n), \\ d(gx_m^1, gx_{m+1}^1), \dots, d(gx_m^n, gx_{m+1}^n), \\ d(gz^1, gx_{m+1}^1), \dots, d(gz^n, gx_{m+1}^n) \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$d(gz^1, F(z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n)) \leq 0 \text{ so that } gz^1 = F(z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n).$$

Analogously, we can show that $gz^2 = F(z^2, z^3, \dots, z^n, z^1), \dots,$
 $gz^n = F(z^n, z^1, \dots, z^{n-1}).$

Thus (z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n) is a n -tupled coincidence point of F and g .

Theorem 2.2 *In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, suppose that for any $(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n), (y^1, y^2, \dots, y^n) \in X^n$, there exists $(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n) \in X^n$ such that $(F(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n), F(u^2, u^3, \dots, u^n, u^1), \dots, F(u^n, u^1, \dots, u^{n-1}))$ is comparable with $(F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n), F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^n, x^1), \dots, F(x^n, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}))$ and*

$(F(y^1, y^2, \dots, y^n), F(y^2, y^3, \dots, y^n, y^1), \dots, F(y^n, y^1, \dots, y^{n-1}))$. Further more assume that F and g are W -compatible, then F and g have a unique n -tupled common fixed point.

Proof. From Theorem 2.1, the set of n -tupled coincidence points of F and g is non-empty.

Let (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n) and (y^1, y^2, \dots, y^n) be two n -tupled coincidence points of F and g , That is

$$\begin{aligned} F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n) &= gx^1, F(y^1, y^2, \dots, y^n) = gy^1, \\ F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^n, x^1) &= gx^2, F(y^2, y^3, \dots, y^n, y^1) = gy^2, \\ &\vdots \\ F(x^n, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}) &= gx^n, F(y^n, y^1, \dots, y^{n-1}) = gy^n. \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall show that

$$gx^1 = gy^1, gx^2 = gy^2, \dots, gx^n = gy^n. \quad (9)$$

By the assumption, there exists $(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n) \in X \times X$ such that $(F(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n), F(u^2, u^3, \dots, u^n, u^1), \dots, F(u^n, u^1, \dots, u^{n-1}))$ is comparable with $(F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n), F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^n, x^1), \dots, F(x^n, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}))$ and $(F(y^1, y^2, \dots, y^n), F(y^2, y^3, \dots, y^n, y^1), \dots, F(y^n, y^1, \dots, y^{n-1}))$.

Put $u_0^1 = u^1, u_0^2 = u^2, \dots, u_0^n = u^n$ and choose $u_1^1, u_1^2, \dots, u_1^n \in X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} gu_1^1 &= F(u_0^1, u_0^2, \dots, u_0^n) \\ gu_1^2 &= F(u_0^2, u_0^3, \dots, u_0^n, u_0^1) \\ &\vdots \\ gu_1^n &= F(u_0^n, u_0^1, \dots, u_0^{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

As in in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can define the sequences $\{u_m^1\}, \{u_m^2\}, \dots, \{u_m^n\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} gu_m^1 &= F(u_{m-1}^1, u_{m-1}^2, \dots, u_{m-1}^n) \\ gu_m^2 &= F(u_{m-1}^2, u_{m-1}^3, \dots, u_{m-1}^n, u_{m-1}^1) \\ &\vdots \\ gu_m^n &= F(u_{m-1}^n, u_{m-1}^1, \dots, u_{m-1}^{n-1}) \text{ for } m \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Further, set $x_0^1 = x^1, x_0^2 = x^2, \dots, x_0^n = x^n$ and $y_0^1 = y^1, y_0^2 = y^2, \dots, y_0^n = y^n$ in the same way, we define the sequences $\{gx_m^1\}, \{gx_m^2\}, \dots, \{gx_m^n\}$ and $\{gy_m^1\}, \{gy_m^2\}, \dots, \{gy_m^n\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} gx_m^1 &= F(x_{m-1}^1, x_{m-1}^2, \dots, x_{m-1}^n), gy_m^1 = F(y_{m-1}^1, y_{m-1}^2, \dots, y_{m-1}^n), \\ gx_m^2 &= F(x_{m-1}^2, x_{m-1}^3, \dots, x_{m-1}^n, x_{m-1}^1), gy_m^2 = F(y_{m-1}^2, y_{m-1}^3, \dots, y_{m-1}^n, y_{m-1}^1), \\ &\vdots \\ gx_m^n &= F(x_{m-1}^n, x_{m-1}^1, \dots, x_{m-1}^{n-1}), gy_m^n = F(y_{m-1}^n, y_{m-1}^1, \dots, y_{m-1}^{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality assume that

$$\begin{aligned} &(F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n), F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^n, x^1), \dots, F(x^n, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1})) \preceq \\ &(F(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n), F(u^2, u^3, \dots, u^n, u^1), \dots, F(u^n, u^1, \dots, u^{n-1})) \text{ and} \\ &(F(y^1, y^2, \dots, y^n), F(y^2, y^3, \dots, y^n, y^1), \dots, F(y^n, y^1, \dots, y^{n-1})) \preceq \\ &(F(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n), F(u^2, u^3, \dots, u^n, u^1), \dots, F(u^n, u^1, \dots, u^{n-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $gx^i \preceq gu_1^i$ for i is odd and $gx^i \succeq gu_1^i$ for i is even.

As in Theorem 2.1, we have $gu_m^i \preceq gu_{m+1}^i$ for i is odd and $gu_m^i \succeq gu_{m+1}^i$ for i is even for all m .

Hence $gx^i \preceq gu_m^i$ for i is odd and $gx^i \succeq gu_m^i$ for i is even for all m .

Since

$$\eta(\theta) \min \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx^1, F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)), \\ \vdots \\ d(gx^n, F(x^n, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1})) \end{array} \right\} = 0 \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx^1, gu_m^1), \\ \vdots \\ d(gx^n, gu_m^n) \end{array} \right\}.$$

We have by (2.1.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} &d(F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n), F(u_m^1, u_m^2, \dots, u_m^n)) \\ &\leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx^1, gu_m^1), \dots, d(gx^n, gu_m^n), \\ d(gx^1, F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)), \dots, d(gx^n, F(x^n, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1})) \\ d(gu_m^1, F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)), \dots, d(gu_m^n, F(x^n, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1})) \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx^1, gu_{m+1}^1) &\leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx^1, gu_m^1), \dots, d(gx^n, gu_m^n), \\ 0, \dots, 0 \\ d(gu_m^1, gx^1), \dots, d(gu_m^n, gx^n) \end{array} \right\} \quad (10) \\ &= \theta \max \{d(gx^1, gu_m^1), \dots, d(gx^n, gu_m^n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ we can we show that

$$d(gx^i, gu_{m+1}^i) \leq \theta \max \{d(gx^1, gu_m^1), \dots, d(gx^n, gu_m^n)\}.$$

Thus

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx^1, gu_{m+1}^1), \dots, \\ d(gx^n, gu_{m+1}^n) \end{array} \right\} \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx^1, gu_m^1), \dots, \\ d(gx^n, gu_m^n) \end{array} \right\}. \quad (11)$$

Let $r_m = \max \{d(gx^1, gu_m^1), \dots, d(gx^n, gu_m^n)\}$.

Then from (11), we have $r_{m+1} \leq \theta r_m$.

Hence $r_{m+1} \leq \theta r_m \leq \theta^2 r_{m-1} \leq \dots \leq \theta^m r_0 \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d(gx^i, gu_m^i) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (12)$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d(gy^i, gu_m^i) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (13)$$

Hence $gx^i = gy^i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Thus (9) is proved.

Since $gx^1 = F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n), gx^2 = F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^n, x^1), \dots,$

$gx^n = F(x^n, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1})$, by W -compatibility of F and g , we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(gx^1) &= g(F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)) = F(gx^1, gx^2, \dots, gx^n), \\ g(gx^2) &= g(F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^n, x^1)) = F(gx^2, gx^3, \dots, gx^n, gx^1), \\ &\vdots \\ g(gx^n) &= g(F(x^n, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1})) = F(gx^n, gx^1, \dots, gx^{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

Denote $gx^1 = z^1, gx^2 = z^2, \dots, gx^n = z^n$ Then

$$\begin{aligned} gz^1 &= F(z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n), \\ gz^2 &= F(z^2, z^3, \dots, z^n, z^1), \\ &\vdots \\ gz^n &= F(z^n, z^1, \dots, z^{n-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Thus (z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n) is a n -tupled coincidence point of F and g . Then from (9), we have $gx^1 = gz^1, gx^2 = gz^2, \dots, gx^n = gz^n$

so that

$$z^1 = gz^1, z^2 = gz^2, \dots, z^n = gz^n. \quad (15)$$

Now by (14) and (15), we conclude that (z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n) is a n -tupled common fixed point of F and g .

To prove the uniqueness of n -tupled common fixed point of F and g , assume that (s^1, s^2, \dots, s^n) is another n -tupled common fixed point of F and g .

Then from (9), we have $gz^1 = gs^1, gz^2 = gs^2, \dots, gz^n = gs^n$ which yields that $z^1 = s^1, z^2 = s^2, \dots, z^n = s^n$.

Hence (z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n) is the unique n -tupled common fixed point of F and g .

Now we illustrate Theorem 2.2 with an example when $n = 4$.

Example 2.3 Let $X = \mathcal{R}$ and $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in X$. Let us define \preceq by ordering \leq .

Define $F : X^4 \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$F(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) = \frac{x^1 - 2x^2 + 3x^3 - 4x^4}{64}, \quad gx = \frac{x}{4}.$$

Then for $(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4), (y^1, y^2, y^3, y^4)$ in X^4 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(F(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4), F(y^1, y^2, y^3, y^4)) &= \left| \frac{x^1 - 2x^2 + 3x^3 - 4x^4}{64} - \frac{y^1 - 2y^2 + 3y^3 - 4y^4}{64} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{16} \left[\left| \frac{x^1}{4} - \frac{y^1}{4} \right| + 2 \left| \frac{x^2}{4} - \frac{y^2}{4} \right| + \right. \\ &\quad \left. 3 \left| \frac{x^3}{4} - \frac{y^3}{4} \right| + 4 \left| \frac{x^4}{4} - \frac{y^4}{4} \right| \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{16} \left[d(gx^1, gy^1) + 2d(gx^2, gy^2) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. 3d(gx^3, gy^3) + 4d(gx^4, gy^4) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{5}{8} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(gx^1, gy^1), d(gx^2, gy^2), \\ d(gx^3, gy^3), d(gx^4, gy^4) \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.1.2) is satisfied with $\theta = \frac{5}{8}$ and $\eta(\theta) = \frac{8}{13}$. Clearly F and g are W -compatible. One can easily verify the remaining conditions of Theorem 2.2. Clearly $(0, 0, 0, 0)$ is a n -tupled unique common fixed point of F and g .

References

- [1] D. Dorić and R. Lazović, Some Suzuki-type fixed point theorems for generalized multivalued mappings and applications, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 1/40(2011), 8 pages.
- [2] D. Dorić, Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenović, Edelstein-Suzuki type fixed point results in metric and abstract metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 75(2012), 1927-1932.
- [3] D. Paesano and P. Vetro, Suzuki's type characterizations of completeness for partial metric spaces and fixed points for partially ordered metric spaces, *Topology Appl.*, 159(2012), 911-920.
- [4] E. Karapinar, Quadruple fixed point theorems for weak ϕ -contractions, *ISRN Math. Anal.*, Article ID 989423(2011), 15 pages.
- [5] E. Karapinar, Edelstein type fixed point theorems, *Ann. Funct. Anal.*, 2(1) (2011), 51-58.

- [6] I. Altun and A. Erduran, A Suzuki type fixed point theorem, *Internat. Math. Math. Sci.*, Article ID 736063(2011), 9 pages.
- [7] M. Abbas, M.A. Khan and S. Radenovic, Common coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces for W-compatible mappings, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 217(2010), 195-202.
- [8] M. Imdad, A.H. Soliman, B.S. Choudhary and P. Das, On n -tupled coincidence and common fixed point results in metric spaces, *J. Oper.*, Article ID 532867(2013), 8 pages.
- [9] M. Imdad, A. Sharma and K.P.R. Rao, n -tupled coincidence and common fixed point results for weakly contractive mappings in complete ordered metric spaces, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 5(4) (2013), 19-39.
- [10] M. Imdad, A. Sharma and K.P.R. Rao, Generalized n -tupled fixed point theorems for non linear contractions, *Afrika Matematika*, (In Press).
- [11] R.H. Haghi, Sh. Rezapour and N. Shahzad, Some fixed point generalizations are not real generalizations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 74(2011), 1799-1803.
- [12] S.L. Singh, H.K. Pathak and S.N. Mishra, On a Suzuki type general fixed point theorem with applications, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, Article ID 234717(2010), 15 pages.
- [13] T.G. Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications*, 65(7) (2006), 1379-1393.
- [14] T. Suzuki, A generalized Banach contraction principle that characterizes metric completeness, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 136(5) (2008), 1861-1869.
- [15] T. Suzuki, A new type of fixed point theorem in metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 71(2009), 5313-5317.
- [16] V. Berinde and M. Borcut, Tripled fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 74(15) (2011), 4889-4897.
- [17] V. Lakshmikantham and L. Ćirić, Coupled fixed point theorems for non-linear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications*, 70(12) (2009), 4341-4349.