



Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 5, No. 1, July 2011, pp. 15-26
ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright © ICSRS Publication, 2011
www.i-csrs.org
Available free online at <http://www.geman.in>

Certain Properties of Mixed Super Quasi Einstein Manifolds

Ram Nivas¹ and Anmita Bajpai²

¹Department of Mathematics & Astronomy
University of Lucknow, Lucknow-226007 (India)
E-mail: rnivas.lu@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics & Astronomy
University of Lucknow, Lucknow-226007 (India)
E-mail: anmitabajpai@yahoo.com

(Received: 20-5-11 /Accepted: 29-6-11)

Abstract

In this paper we have defined mixed super quasi –Einstein manifold $MS(QE)_n$ which is more generalized form of Einstein manifold, quasi –Einstein manifold, generalized quasi –Einstein manifold and super quasi –Einstein manifold. In this paper it has been shown that $MS(QE)_n (n > 3)$ is a $MS(QC)_n$ if it is conformally flat. Moreover, it is shown that $MS(QC)_n (n > 3)$ is a conformally flat $MS(QE)_n$ and an example of mixed super quasi Einstein manifold is also given. Properties of the curvature tensor in a conformally flat, projectively flat and conharmonically flat manifold have been discussed.

It has also been shown that a totally umbilical hypersurface of a conharmonically flat $MS(QE)_n (n > 3)$ is a manifold of mixed super quasi-constant curvature.

Keywords: *Quasi- Einstein manifolds, Mixed super quasi-Einstein manifolds, Projectively flat, Conharmonically flat, Totally umbilical.*

1 Preliminaries

A non-flat Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g)(n \geq 3)$ is called quasi Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor S of type (0,2) is not identically zero and satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad S(X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + bA(X)A(Y)$$

where a, b are scalars $b \neq 0$ and A is a non-zero 1-form such that

$$(1.2) \quad g(X, U) = A(X), \quad \forall X \text{ tangents to } M^n$$

and U is a unit vector field. In such case a, b are called the associated 1-forms and U the generator of the manifold. Such an n -dimensional manifold is denoted by $(QE)_n$.

The notion of mixed generalized quasi Einstein manifold was introduced by A.Bhattacharya, T.De and D.Debnath in their paper [1]. A non- flat Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g)(n \geq 3)$ is called mixed generalized quasi Einstein manifold if the Ricci tensor S of type (0,2) is not identically zero and satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad S(X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + bA(X)A(Y) + cB(X)B(Y) \\ + d[A(X)B(Y) + A(Y)B(X)]$$

where a, b, c, d are scalars, $b \neq 0, c \neq 0, d \neq 0$ and A, B are two non-zero 1-forms such that

$$(1.4) \quad g(X, U) = A(X), \quad g(X, V) = B(X), \quad \forall X \text{ tangents to } M^n$$

and

$$g(U, V) = 0$$

where U, V are unit vector fields. In such a case a, b, c, d are called associated scalars, A, B the associated 1-forms and U, V the generators of the manifold. Such n -dimensional manifold is denoted by $MG(QE)_n$.

As a further generalization of quasi-Einstein manifold we introduce the notion of mixed super quasi-Einstein manifold. A non flat Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g)(n \geq 3)$ will be called a mixed super quasi-Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor S of type (0,2) is not identically zero and satisfies the condition

$$(1.5) \quad S(X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + bA(X)A(Y) + cB(X)B(Y) \\ + d[A(X)B(Y) + A(Y)B(X)] + eD(X, Y)$$

where a, b, c, d, e are scalars and $b \neq 0, c \neq 0, d \neq 0, e \neq 0$. A, B are two non zero 1-forms such that (1.4) is satisfied, U, V mutually orthogonal unit vector fields and D is a symmetric (0,2) type of tensor field with zero trace and satisfies

$$(1.6) \quad D(X, U) = 0, \quad \forall X \text{ tangents to } M^n.$$

In such a case a, b, c, d, e are called associated scalars. A, B the associated 1-forms U, V the generators and D the associated tensor of the manifold. Such an n -dimensional manifold will be denoted by $MS(QE)_n$.

Chen and Yano [2] introduced the notion of a manifold of quasi constant curvature. According to them a non-flat Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g)(n > 2)$ is said to be of quasi -constant curvature if its curvature tensor R of type (0,4) satisfies the condition

$$(1.7) \quad R(X, Y, Z, W) = a[g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] \\ + b[g(Y, Z)A(X)A(W) - g(X, Z)A(Y)A(W)]$$

$$+g(X, W)A(Y)A(Z) - g(Y, W)A(X)A(Z)]$$

where a, b are scalars of which $b \neq 0$ and A is a non zero 1-form defined by (1.2) and U a unit vector field . In such a case a, b are called the associated scalars, A is called the associated 1-form and U the generator of the manifold . Such an n -dimensional manifold is denoted by the symbol $(QC)_n$.

The idea of mixed generalised quasi-constant curvature was introduced by Bhatt , De and Debnath in their paper [1].

Let us call a non-flat Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g)(n \geq 3)$ a manifold of mixed super quasi-constant curvature if its curvature tensor 'R of type (0, 4) satisfies

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} 'R(X, Y, Z, W) = & a[g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] \\ & + b[g(Y, Z)A(X)A(W) - g(X, Z)A(Y)A(W) \\ & + g(X, W)A(Y)A(Z) - g(Y, W)A(X)A(Z)] \\ & + c[g(Y, Z)B(X)B(W) - g(X, Z)B(Y)B(W) \\ & + g(X, W)B(Y)B(Z) - g(Y, W)B(X)B(Z)] \\ & + d[g(Y, Z)\{A(X)B(W) + A(W)B(X)\} \\ & - g(X, Z)\{A(Y)B(W) + A(W)B(Y)\} \\ & + g(X, W)\{A(Y)B(Z) + A(Z)B(Y)\} \\ & - g(Y, W)\{A(X)B(Z) + A(Z)B(X)\}] \\ & + e[g(Y, Z)D(X, W) - g(X, Z)D(Y, W) \\ & + g(X, W)D(Y, Z) - g(Y, W)D(X, Z)] \end{aligned}$$

where a, b, c, d, e are scalars such that $b \neq 0, c \neq 0, d \neq 0, e \neq 0$ and A, B are two non-zero 1-forms defined by(1.4), U, V being two unit vector fields such that $g(U, V) = 0$ and D is a symmetric tensor of type (0,2) defined as (1.6). Such an n -dimensional manifold shall be denoted by the symbol $MS(QC)_n$.

If in (1.8) $e = 0$ then the manifold becomes a manifold of mixed generalized quasi-constant curvature $MS(QC)_n$.

2 Some Results on Mixed Super Quasi Einstein manifold $MS(QE)_n$

We consider an $MS(QE)_n(n > 2)$ with associated scalars $a, b, c, d, e(b \neq 0, c \neq 0, d \neq 0, e \neq 0)$ associated 1-forms A, B generators U, V and associated symmetric (0,2) tensor field D .

Then equations (1.4),(1.5) and (1.6) will hold good. Since U, V are mutually orthogonal unit vector fields, we have

$$(2.1) \quad g(U, U) = 1, \quad g(V, V) = 1, \quad g(U, V) = 0.$$

Further

$$(2.2) \quad \text{trace}D=0 \quad \text{and} \quad D(X, U) = 0, \quad D(X, V) = 0 \quad \forall X \text{ tangents to } M^n.$$

By virtue of the equation (1.4), we can write the equation (2.1) in the form

$$(2.3) \quad A(U) = 1, \quad B(V) = 1, \quad A(V) = 0, \quad B(U) = 0$$

Now contracting (1.5) over X and Y we get

$$r = na + b + c, \quad \text{where } r \text{ denotes the scalar curvature.}$$

Again from (1.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} S(U, U) &= a + b, & S(V, V) &= a + c + eD(V, V) \\ S(U, V) &= d \end{aligned}$$

Let L and l be the symmetric endomorphisms of the tangent space at each point corresponding to the Ricci tensor S and the associated tensor D respectively. Then

$$g(LX, Y) = S(X, Y)$$

And

$$\forall X, Y \text{ tangents to } M^n.$$

$$(2.4) \quad g(lX, Y) = D(X, Y)$$

In an n -dimensional ($n > 2$) Riemannian manifold the quasi-conformal curvature tensor is defined as [5]

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} 'C(X, Y, Z, W) &= a_1 'R(X, Y, Z, W) \\ &+ b_1 [S(Y, Z)g(X, W) - S(X, Z)g(Y, W) \\ &\quad + g(Y, Z)S(X, W) - g(X, Z)S(Y, W)] \\ &- \frac{r}{n} [\frac{a_1}{n-1} + 2b_1] [g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(C(X, Y)Z, W) = 'C(X, Y, Z, W)$$

If $a_1 = 1$, $b_1 = \frac{-1}{n-2}$ then (2.5) takes the form of conformal curvature tensor, where

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} 'C(X, Y, Z, W) &= 'R(X, Y, Z, W) \\ &+ \frac{-1}{(n-2)} [S(Y, Z)g(X, W) - S(X, Z)g(Y, W) \\ &\quad + g(Y, Z)S(X, W) - g(X, Z)S(Y, W)] \\ &- \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)} [g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] \end{aligned}$$

The conharmonic curvature tensor in an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold ($n > 2$) is defined as

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 'H(X, Y, Z, W) &= 'R(X, Y, Z, W) \\ &- \frac{1}{(n-2)} [S(Y, Z)g(X, W) - S(X, Z)g(Y, W) \\ &\quad + g(Y, Z)S(X, W) - g(X, Z)S(Y, W)] \end{aligned}$$

The projective curvature tensor in an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold ($n > 2$) is defined as

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} 'P(X, Y, Z, W) &= 'R(X, Y, Z, W) \\ &- \frac{1}{(n-1)} [S(Y, Z)g(X, W) - S(X, Z)g(Y, W)] \end{aligned}$$

3 Conformally Flat $MS(QE)_n$ ($n > 3$)

In this section we consider a conformally flat $MS(QE)_n$ ($n > 3$) and it has been shown that such a $MS(QE)_n$ is a $MS(QC)_n$.

It is clear that [4] in a conformally flat Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g)(n > 3)$ the curvature tensor $\overset{\vee}{R}$ of type (0,4) has the following form :

$$(3.1) \quad \overset{\vee}{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = \frac{1}{(n-2)}[S(Y, Z)g(X, W) - S(X, Z)g(Y, W) \\ + g(Y, Z)S(X, W) - g(X, Z)S(Y, W)] \\ - \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)}[g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)]$$

where $\overset{\vee}{R}$ is defined earlier.

Now using (1.5) we can express (3.1) as follows

$$(3.2) \quad \overset{\vee}{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = a_1[g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] \\ + b_1[g(Y, Z)A(X)A(W) - g(X, Z)A(Y)A(W) \\ + g(X, W)A(Y)A(Z) - g(Y, W)A(X)A(Z)] \\ + c_1[g(Y, Z)B(X)B(W) - g(X, Z)B(Y)B(W) \\ + g(X, W)B(Y)B(Z) - g(Y, W)B(X)B(Z)] \\ + d_1[g(Y, Z)\{A(X)B(W) + A(W)B(X)\} \\ - g(X, Z)\{A(Y)B(W) + A(W)B(Y)\} \\ + g(X, W)\{A(Y)B(Z) + A(Z)B(Y)\} \\ - g(Y, W)\{A(X)B(Z) + A(Z)B(X)\}] \\ + e_1[g(Y, Z)D(X, W) - g(X, Z)D(Y, W) \\ + g(X, W)D(Y, Z) - g(Y, W)D(X, Z)]$$

where $a_1 = \frac{2a(n-1)-r}{(n-1)(n-2)}, \quad b_1 = \frac{b}{(n-2)}, \quad c_1 = \frac{c}{(n-2)},$

$$d_1 = \frac{d}{(n-2)}, \quad e_1 = \frac{e}{(n-2)}.$$

In view of (1.8) it follows from (3.2) that the manifold under consideration is a $MS(QC)_n (b_1 \neq 0, c_1 \neq 0, d_1 \neq 0, e_1 \neq 0)$. Therefore we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1: Every conformally flat $MS(QE)_n (n > 3)$ is a $MS(QC)_n$.

Also, we proved that every $MS(QC)_n (n \geq 3)$ is a $MS(QE)_n$. Contracting (1.8) over Y and Z we get

$$(3.3) \quad S(X, W) = [a(n-1) + b + c]g(X, W) + b(n-2)A(X)A(W) \\ + c(n-2)B(X)B(W) + d(n-2)[A(X)B(W) + A(W)B(X)] \\ + e(n-2)D(X, W)$$

In virtue of (1.5), it follows that a $MS(QC)_n (n \geq 3)$ is a $MS(QE)_n$. (Since $b \neq 0, c \neq 0, d \neq 0, e \neq 0$).

Again contracting (3.3) over X and W , we have

$$(3.4) \quad r = (n-1)(na + 2b + 2c)$$

In a Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g)(n > 3)$ the conformal curvature tensor 1C of type (0,4) has the following form :

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} {}^1C(X, Y, Z, W) = & {}^1R(X, Y, Z, W) \\ & - \frac{1}{(n-2)}[S(Y, Z)g(X, W) - S(X, Z)g(Y, W) \\ & \quad + g(Y, Z)S(X, W) - g(X, Z)S(Y, W)] \\ & + \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)}[g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.8), (3.3) and (3.4) it follows from (3.5) that

$${}^1C(X, Y, Z, W) = 0$$

i.e the manifold under consideration is conformally flat. Thus we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 2: *Every $MS(QC)_n(n \geq 3)$ is a $MS(QE)_n$ while every $MS(QC)_n(n > 3)$ is a conformally flat $MS(QE)_n$.*

Example: A manifold of mixed super quasi constant curvature is a mixed super quasi Einstein manifold.

4 Projectively Flat $MS(QE)_n(n > 2)$

Let R be the curvature tensor of type (1,3) of a projectively flat $MS(QE)_n(n > 2)$. Then from (2.8) we have

$$(4.1) \quad {}^1R(X, Y, Z, W) = \frac{1}{(n-1)}[g(X, W)S(Y, Z) - g(Y, W)S(X, Z)]$$

where 1R is defined earlier .

From (1.5) and (4.1) we have

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} {}^1R(X, Y, Z, W) = & a_2[g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] \\ & + b_2[g(X, W)A(Y)A(Z) - g(Y, W)A(X)A(Z)] \\ & + c_2[g(X, W)B(Y)B(Z) - g(Y, W)B(X)B(Z)] \\ & + d_2[g(X, W)\{A(Y)B(Z) + A(Z)B(Y)\} \\ & \quad - g(Y, W)\{A(X)B(Z) + A(Z)B(X)\}] \\ & + e_2[g(X, W)D(Y, Z) - g(Y, W)D(X, Z)] \end{aligned}$$

where $a_2 = \frac{a}{(n-1)}, \quad b_2 = \frac{b}{(n-1)}, \quad c_2 = \frac{c}{(n-1)}, \quad d_2 = \frac{d}{(n-1)}, \quad e_2 = \frac{e}{(n-1)}.$

Let U^\perp be the $(n-1)$ distribution orthogonal to U in a projectively flat $MS(QE)_n$. Then $g(X, U) = 0$ if $X \in U^\perp$.

Hence from (4.2) we have the following properties of R

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} R(X, Y, Z) = & a_2[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \\ & + c_2[B(Y)B(Z)X - B(X)B(Z)Y] \\ & + e_2[D(Y, Z)X - D(X, Z)Y] \end{aligned}$$

when $X, Y, Z \in U^\perp$ and $a_2 = \frac{a}{(n-1)}$, $c_2 = \frac{c}{(n-1)}$, $e_2 = \frac{e}{(n-1)}$. Also

$$(4.4) \quad R(X, U, U) = a_2 X, \quad \text{when } X \in U^\perp.$$

Therefore we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 3: A projectively flat $MS(QE)_n (n > 2)$ is a manifold of mixed super quasi constant curvature and the curvature tensor R of type (1,3) satisfies the properties given by (4.3) and (4.4).

5 Conharmonically Flat $MS(QE)_n (n > 3)$

Let R be the curvature tensor of type (1,3) of a Conharmonically flat $MS(QE)_n (n > 3)$. Then from (2.7) we have

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} R(X, Y, Z, W) = & \frac{1}{(n-2)}[S(Y, Z)g(X, W) - S(X, Z)g(Y, W) \\ & + g(Y, Z)S(X, W) - g(X, Z)S(Y, W)] \end{aligned}$$

where R is defined earlier.

From (1.5) and (5.1) we have

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} R(X, Y, Z, W) = & a_3[g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] \\ & + b_3[g(Y, Z)A(X)A(W) - g(X, Z)A(Y)A(W) \\ & + g(X, W)A(Y)A(Z) - g(Y, W)A(X)A(Z)] \\ & + c_3[g(Y, Z)B(X)B(W) - g(X, Z)B(Y)B(W) \\ & + g(X, W)B(Y)B(Z) - g(Y, W)B(X)B(Z)] \\ & + d_3[g(Y, Z)\{A(X)B(W) + A(W)B(X)\} \\ & - g(X, Z)\{A(Y)B(W) + A(W)B(Y)\} \\ & + g(X, W)\{A(Y)B(Z) + A(Z)B(Y)\} \\ & - g(Y, W)\{A(X)B(Z) + A(Z)B(X)\}] \\ & + e_3[g(Y, Z)D(X, W) - g(X, Z)D(Y, W) \\ & + g(X, W)D(Y, Z) - g(Y, W)D(X, Z)] \end{aligned}$$

where $a_3 = \frac{2a}{(n-2)}$, $b_3 = \frac{b}{(n-2)}$, $c_3 = \frac{c}{(n-2)}$, $d_3 = \frac{d}{(n-2)}$, $e_3 = \frac{e}{(n-2)}$.

Let U^\perp be the $(n-1)$ distribution orthogonal to U in a conharmonically flat $MS(QE)_n (n > 3)$.

Then $g(X, U) = 0$ if $X \in U^\perp$.

Hence from (5.2) we get the following properties of R

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} R(X, Y, Z) = & a_3[Xg(Y, Z) - Yg(X, Z)] \\ & + c_3[XB(Y)B(Z) - YB(X)B(Z) \\ & + g(Y, Z)B(X)V - g(X, Z)B(Y)V] \\ & + d_3[g(Y, Z)UB(X) - g(X, Z)UB(Y)] \\ & + e_3[XD(Y, Z) - YD(X, Z) \\ & + g(Y, Z)lX - g(X, Z)lY] \end{aligned}$$

when $X, Y, Z \in U^\perp$ and $a_3 = \frac{2a}{(n-2)}$, $c_3 = \frac{c}{(n-2)}$, $d_3 = \frac{d}{(n-2)}$, $e_3 = \frac{e}{(n-2)}$. Also

$$(5.4) \quad R(X, U, U) = a_3X + c_3B(X)V + d_3B(X)U + e_3lX, \quad \text{where } X \in U^\perp.$$

Therefore we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 4: A conharmonically flat $MS(QE)_n (n > 3)$ is a manifold of mixed super quasi constant curvature and the curvature tensor R of type (1,3) satisfies the property given by (5.3) and (5.4).

6 Totally Umbilical Hypersurfaces of a Conharmonically Flat

$MS(QE)_n (n > 3)$

In this section we consider a hypersurface (\bar{M}^{n-1}, \bar{g}) of a conharmonically flat $MS(QE)_n (n > 3)$ and denote its curvature tensor of the hypersurface by \bar{R} . Then we have the following theorem of Gauss [4].

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} g(R(X, Y, Z), W) = & \bar{g}(\bar{R}(X, Y, Z), W) - \bar{g}[h(X, W), h(Y, Z)] \\ & + \bar{g}[h(Y, W), h(X, Z)] \end{aligned}$$

where R is the curvature tensor of $MS(QE)_n$, \bar{g} is the metric tensor of the hypersurface and h is the second fundamental form of the hypersurface and X, Y, Z, W are vector fields tangent to the hypersurface.

$$\text{If} \quad h(X, Y) = \bar{g}(X, Y)\mu$$

where μ is mean curvature of \bar{M} , then hypersurface is said to be totally umbilical [7].

Let us suppose that hypersurface \bar{M} under consideration is totally umbilical then using (5.2) we can express (6.1) as follows

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{g}(\bar{R}(X, Y, Z), W) = & g(R(X, Y, Z), W) + \bar{g}[h(X, W), h(Y, Z)] \\ & - \bar{g}[h(Y, W), h(X, Z)] \\ = & (a_3 + |\mu|^2)[\bar{g}(Y, Z)\bar{g}(X, W) - \bar{g}(X, Z)\bar{g}(Y, W)] \\ & + b_3[\bar{g}(X, W)A(Y)A(Z) - \bar{g}(Y, W)A(X)A(Z)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +\bar{g}(Y,Z)A(X)A(W) - \bar{g}(X,Z)A(Y)A(W)] \\
 & +c_3[\bar{g}(X,W)B(Y)B(Z) - \bar{g}(Y,W)B(X)B(Z) \\
 & \quad +\bar{g}(Y,Z)B(X)B(W) - \bar{g}(X,Z)B(Y)B(W)] \\
 & +d_3[\bar{g}(X,W)\{A(Y)B(Z) + A(Z)B(Y)\} \\
 & \quad -\bar{g}(Y,W)\{A(X)B(Z) + A(Z)B(X)\} \\
 & \quad +\bar{g}(Y,Z)\{A(X)B(W) + A(W)B(X)\} \\
 & \quad -\bar{g}(X,Z)\{A(Y)B(W) + A(W)B(Y)\}] \\
 & +e_3[\bar{g}(X,W)D(Y,Z) - \bar{g}(Y,W)D(X,Z) \\
 & \quad +\bar{g}(Y,Z)D(X,W) - \bar{g}(X,Z)D(Y,W)]
 \end{aligned}$$

where $a_3 = \frac{2a}{n-2}$, $b_3 = \frac{b}{n-2}$, $c_3 = \frac{c}{n-2}$, $d_3 = \frac{d}{n-2}$, $e_3 = \frac{e}{n-2}$.

In virtue of (1.8) it follows from (6.2) that the hypersurface under consideration is mixed super quasi constant curvature. Hence we have the following theorem:

Theorem 5: *A totally umbilical hypersurface of a conharmonically flat $MS(QE)_n (n > 3)$ is a manifold of mixed super quasi constant curvature.*

7 Necessary Condition for the Validity of the Relation $R(X,Y).S=0$

In a $MS(QE)_n$ we can write

$$(7.1) \quad [R(X,Y).S](Z,W) = -S(R(X,Y)Z,W) - S(Z,R(X,Y)W)$$

Making use of the equation (1.5), we can write (1.7) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 [R(X,Y).S](Z,W) & = -[ag(R(X,Y)Z,W) + bA(R(X,Y)Z)A(W) \\
 & \quad +cB(R(X,Y)Z)B(W) + dA(R(X,Y)Z)B(W) \\
 & \quad +dA(W)B(R(X,Y)Z) + eD(R(X,Y)Z,W)] \\
 & -[ag(Z,R(X,Y)W) + bA(Z)A(R(X,Y)W) \\
 & \quad +cB(Z)B(R(X,Y)W) + dA(Z)B(R(X,Y)W) \\
 & \quad +dA(R(X,Y)W)B(Z) + eD(Z,R(X,Y)W)] \\
 & = -[bA(R(X,Y)Z)A(W) + cB(R(X,Y)Z)B(W) \\
 & \quad +dA(R(X,Y)Z)B(W) + dA(W)B(R(X,Y)Z) \\
 & \quad +eD(R(X,Y)Z,W)] \\
 & -[bA(Z)A(R(X,Y)W) + cB(Z)B(R(X,Y)W) \\
 & \quad +dA(Z)B(R(X,Y)W) + dA(R(X,Y)W)B(Z) \\
 & \quad +eD(Z,R(X,Y)W)]
 \end{aligned}$$

In general $R(X,Y).S=0$ does not hold good. The necessary condition for the validity of the relation $R(X,Y).S=0$ for any vector fields X and Y is

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & bA(R(X, Y)Z)A(W) + cB(R(X, Y)Z)B(W) \\ & + dA(R(X, Y)Z)B(W) + dA(W)B(R(X, Y)Z) \\ & + eD(R(X, Y)Z, W) + bA(Z)A(R(X, Y)W) \\ & + cB(Z)B(R(X, Y)W) + dA(Z)B(R(X, Y)W) \\ & + dA(R(X, Y)W)B(Z) + eD(Z, R(X, Y)W) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Putting $W = U$ in (7.2) we get

$$(7.3) \quad bA(R(X, Y)Z) + dB(R(X, Y)Z) - eA(R(X, Y)Z) = 0$$

Therefore we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 6: In a $MS(QE)_n$ the necessary condition for the validity of the relation $R(X, Y).S = 0$ is given by equation (7.3).

8 Necessary Condition for the Validity of the Relation $R(X, Y).D = 0$

$$(8.1) \quad \begin{aligned} [R(X, Y).D](Z, W) &= D(R(X, Y)Z, W) + D(R(X, Y)W, Z) \\ &= g(lR(X, Y)Z, W) + g(lR(X, Y)W, Z) \quad (\text{using (2.4)}) \end{aligned}$$

It is clear from equation (8.1) that in the $MS(QE)_n$ the relation $R(X, Y).D = 0$ does not hold good.

Therefore necessary condition for the validity of the relation $R(X, Y).D = 0$ for any vector fields X and Y is

$$(8.2) \quad g(lR(X, Y)Z, W) + g(lR(X, Y)W, Z) = 0$$

Putting $W = U$ in (8.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & g(lR(X, Y)Z, U) + g(lR(X, Y)U, Z) = 0 \\ \text{or,} & \quad g(R(X, Y)U, lZ) = 0 \quad (\text{using(1.6)}) \\ \text{or,} & \quad -g(R(X, Y)lZ, U) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

From above equation it follows that

$$(8.3) \quad A(R(X, Y)lZ) = 0 \quad (\text{using(1.2)})$$

Therefore we can state the following theorem:

Theorem7: In a $MS(QE)_n$ the necessary condition for the validity of the relation $R(X, Y).D = 0$ is given by equation (8.3).

9 Physical Interpretation

P.Chakraborti, M.Bandyopadhyay and M.Barua in [9] and S.Guha in [10] found that a perfect fluid space- time satisfying Einstein's equation without cosmological constant is a 4-dimensional semi-Riemannian quasi-Einstein manifold and a non-viscous fluid space time admitting heat flux satisfying Einstein's equation without cosmological constant is a 4-dimensional semi-Riemannian generalized quasi-Einstein manifold .

The importance of $MS(QE)_n$ is that such a four dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold is relevant to the study of general relativistic viscous fluid space- time admitting heat flux , where U is taken as the velocity vector field of the fluid , V is taken as the heat flux vector field and D as the anisotropic pressure tensor of the fluid.

The energy momentum tensor of type (0,2) in [8] representing the matter distribution of a viscous fluid space-time admitting heat flux is of the form

$$(9.1) \quad T(X, Y) = (\sigma + \rho)\{A(X)A(Y) + B(X)B(Y)\} + \rho g(X, Y) + [A(X)B(Y) + A(Y)B(X)] + D(X, Y)$$

where σ, ρ denote the density and isotropic pressure and D denotes the anisotropic pressure tensor of the fluid , U is the unit time like velocity vector field of the fluid such that $g(X, U) = A(X)$ and V is the heat flux vector field such that $g(X, V) = B(X), U$ and V being mutually orthogonal. Then

$$(9.2) \quad g(U, U) = -1, \quad g(V, V) = 1, \quad g(U, V) = 0$$

$$(9.3) \quad D(X, Y) = D(Y, X) \quad \text{trace } D = 0 \text{ and} \quad D(X, U) = 0 \quad \forall X .$$

Now, Einstein's equation without cosmological constant is of the form [11]

$$(9.4) \quad S(X, Y) - \frac{1}{2}rg(X, Y) = kT(X, Y)$$

where k is the gravitational constant.

Using equations (9.1) and (9.4) we get

$$S(X, Y) - \frac{1}{2}rg(X, Y) = k(\sigma + \rho)\{A(X)A(Y) + B(X)B(Y)\} + k\rho g(X, Y) + k[A(X)B(Y) + A(Y)B(X)] + kD(X, Y)$$

Therefore

$$(9.5) \quad S(X, Y) = (k\rho + \frac{1}{2}r)g(X, Y) + k(\sigma + \rho)\{A(X)A(Y) + B(X)B(Y)\} + k[A(X)B(Y) + A(Y)B(X)] + kD(X, Y)$$

Using (9.2), (9.3) and (9.5) we get

$$(9.6) \quad S(U, U) = \frac{k}{2}(\sigma + 3\rho)$$

$$(9.7) \quad S(V, V) = \frac{k}{2}(\sigma + \rho) + kD(V, V)$$

$$(9.8) \quad S(U, V) = -k$$

Solving equations (9.6), (9.7) and (9.8) we get

$$(9.9) \quad \sigma = \frac{S(U,U)}{S(U,V)} - 3 \frac{S(V,V)}{S(U,V)} - 3D(V,V)$$

And

$$(9.10) \quad \rho = -\frac{S(U,U)}{S(U,V)} + \frac{S(V,V)}{S(U,V)} + D(V,V)$$

Therefore we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 8: *In a viscous fluid space-time admitting heat flux with an anisotropic tensor field D and satisfying Einstein's equation without cosmological constant the energy density and the isotropic pressure are given by (9.9) and (9.10) respectively.*

References

- [1] A. Bhattacharya, T. De and D. Debnath, Mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold and some properties, *Analele Stiintifice Ale Universitatii "AL.I.CUZA" Din Iasi (S.N) Mathematica Tomul LIII*, f.1., (2007).
- [2] B. Chen and Yano, Hypersurfaces of a conformally flat space, *Tensor*, N.S., 26 (1972), 315-321.
- [3] B.O' Neill, *Semi Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press Inc., (1983).
- [4] K. Yano and M. Kon, *Structures on Manifold*, World Scientific, (1984).
- [5] K. Yano and S. Sawaki, Riemannian manifolds admitting a conformal transformation group, *J. Diff. Geom.*, 2 (1968), 161-184.
- [6] M.C. Chaki, On generalized quasi-Einstein manifolds, *Publications Mathematicae*, Debrecen, 58 (2001), 683-691.
- [7] M.C. Chaki and R.K. Maity, On quasi Einstein manifolds, *Publications Mathematicae*, Debrecen, 57 (2000), 297-306.
- [8] M. Novello and M.J. Reboucas, The stability of a rotating universe, *The Astrophysics Journal*, 225 (1978), 719-724.
- [9] P. Chakraborti, M. Bandyopadhyay and B. Barua, Some results of a generalized quasi Einstein manifold, *Tensor*, N.S., 67 (2006), 108-111.
- [10] S. Guha, On quasi-Einstein and generalized quasi-Einstein manifolds, *Facta Universitatis Series, Mechanics, Automatic Control and Robotics*, 3 (2003), 821-842.
- [11] T.J. Willmore, *Differential Geometry*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1958).