

A generalization of some classical quadrature formulas¹

Adrian Branga

Abstract

In this paper is obtained a quadrature formula with higher degree of exactness. This formula is a generalization of some classical quadrature rules.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A55, 65D30, 65D32

1 Introduction

Let $[\alpha, \beta]$ be an interval on the real axis.

For a positive integer n , a function $F \in C^n[\alpha, \beta]$ and a point $\delta \in [\alpha, \beta]$ let denote by $\Theta_n(F; \delta)(x)$ the corresponding Taylor polynomial, i.e.

$$\Theta_n(F; \delta)(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{F^{(i)}(\delta)}{i!} (x - \delta)^i.$$

Lemma 1. (see [1]) If $F \in C^{n+1}[\alpha, \beta]$ and $\delta \in [\alpha, \beta]$ then

$$F(x) - \Theta_n(F; \delta)(x) = \frac{F^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} (x - \delta)^{n+1}, \quad x \in [\alpha, \beta],$$

where ξ is a point between x and δ .

¹Received 16 November, 2009

Accepted for publication (in revised form) 17 December, 2009

In the sequel we consider a parameter $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ and we define the points $\delta_{1,\gamma} = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} - \gamma \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2}$, $\delta_2 = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}$, $\delta_{3,\gamma} = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} + \gamma \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2}$.

Further we assume that p is a given even integer. Using a remark from the paper [2] and an idea suggested by Al. Lupas in the paper [3] we consider the following quadrature formula which depend on parameters $\gamma \in (0, 1]$, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$(1) \quad \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} F(x) dx = \Lambda_p(F; \gamma, \rho) + \Omega_p(F; \gamma, \rho), \quad F \in C^{p+1}[\alpha, \beta],$$

where

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Lambda_p(F; \gamma, \rho) = \\ = \rho \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \Theta_{p+1}(F; \delta_2)(x) dx + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \rho) \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (\Theta_p(F; \delta_{1,\gamma})(x) + \Theta_p(F; \delta_{3,\gamma})(x)) dx \end{aligned}$$

and $\Omega_p(F; \gamma, \rho)$ being the remainder term.

2 Main result

Theorem 1. *The remainder term in the quadrature formula (1) has the following representation*

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_p(F; \gamma, \rho) = \\ = \rho \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (F(x) - \Theta_{p+1}(F; \delta_2)(x)) dx + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \rho) \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (F(x) - \Theta_p(F; \delta_{1,\gamma})(x)) dx + \\ + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \rho) \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (F(x) - \Theta_p(F; \delta_{3,\gamma})(x)) dx, \quad F \in C^{p+1}[\alpha, \beta]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is obtained directly from the relations (1), (2).

Further we consider the functions $\varepsilon_k(x) = x^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2. $\Omega_p(\varepsilon_k; \gamma, \rho) = 0$ for any $k \in \{0, \dots, p+1\}$, $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. For $k \in \{0, \dots, p\}$ using Lemma 1 we have $\varepsilon_k(x) - \Theta_{p+1}(\varepsilon_k; \delta_2)(x) = 0$, $\varepsilon_k(x) - \Theta_p(\varepsilon_k; \delta_{1,\gamma})(x) = 0$, $\varepsilon_k(x) - \Theta_p(\varepsilon_k; \delta_{3,\gamma})(x) = 0$, where $x \in [\alpha, \beta]$. Therefore from (3) it follows that $\Omega_p(\varepsilon_k; \gamma, \rho) = 0$ for any $k \in \{0, \dots, p\}$, $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$.

If $k = p+1$ using Lemma 1 we deduce $\varepsilon_{p+1}(x) - \Theta_{p+1}(\varepsilon_{p+1}; \delta_2)(x) = 0$, $\varepsilon_{p+1}(x) - \Theta_p(\varepsilon_{p+1}; \delta_{1,\gamma})(x) = (x - \delta_{1,\gamma})^{p+1}$, $\varepsilon_{p+1}(x) - \Theta_p(\varepsilon_{p+1}; \delta_{3,\gamma})(x) = (x - \delta_{3,\gamma})^{p+1}$, where $x \in [\alpha, \beta]$, and substituting in (3) we obtain $\Omega_p(\varepsilon_{p+1}; \gamma, \rho) = 0$ for any $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 3. $\Omega_p(\varepsilon_k; \gamma, \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma)) = 0$ for any $k \in \{p+2, p+3\}$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1]$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma) &= \\ &= \frac{\gamma(p+3)((1+\gamma)^{p+2} - (1-\gamma)^{p+2}) - (1+\gamma)^{p+3} - (1-\gamma)^{p+3}}{\gamma(p+3)((1+\gamma)^{p+2} - (1-\gamma)^{p+2}) - (1+\gamma)^{p+3} - (1-\gamma)^{p+3} + 2}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is similar with Lemma 2, taking into account Lemma 1 and Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. The degree of exactness in the quadrature formula (1) for $\rho := \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma)$ is at least $p+3$ for any $\gamma \in (0, 1]$, i.e. $\Omega_p(Q; \gamma, \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma)) = 0$, for all $Q : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ polynomials of degree at least $p+3$.

The proof follows directly from Lemma 2 and Lemma 3.

Theorem 3. The quadrature formula (1) for $\rho := \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma)$ has the following representation

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} F(x) dx &= \sum_{i=0}^p \sigma_{p,i}(\gamma, \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma)) F^{(i)}(\delta_{1,\gamma}) + \sum_{i=0}^{p/2} \tau_{p,2i}(\gamma, \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma)) F^{(2i)}(\delta_2) + \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^p \varphi_{p,i}(\gamma, \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma)) F^{(i)}(\delta_{3,\gamma}) + \Omega_p(F; \gamma, \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma)), \quad F \in C^{p+1}[\alpha, \beta], \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients $(\sigma_{p,i}(\gamma, \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma)))_{i \in \{0, \dots, p\}}$, $(\tau_{p,2i}(\gamma, \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma)))_{i \in \{0, \dots, p/2\}}$, $(\varphi_{p,i}(\gamma, \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma)))_{i \in \{0, \dots, p\}} \in \mathbb{R}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{p,i}(\gamma, \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma)) &= \frac{1}{2(i+1)!} (1 - \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma)) ((1 + \gamma)^{i+1} + \\ &+ (-1)^i (1 - \gamma)^{i+1}) \left(\frac{\beta - \alpha}{2} \right)^{i+1}, \quad i \in \{0, \dots, p\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\tau_{p,2i}(\gamma, \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma)) = \frac{2}{(2i+1)!} \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma) \left(\frac{\beta - \alpha}{2} \right)^{2i+1}, \quad i \in \{0, \dots, p/2\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{p,i}(\gamma, \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma)) &= \frac{(-1)^i}{2(i+1)!} (1 - \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma)) ((1 + \gamma)^{i+1} + \\ &+ (-1)^i (1 - \gamma)^{i+1}) \left(\frac{\beta - \alpha}{2} \right)^{i+1} = (-1)^i \sigma_{p,i}(\gamma, \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma)), \quad i \in \{0, \dots, p\}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is obtained from the relations (1), (2) considering $\rho := \bar{\rho}_p(\gamma)$. In the sequel we consider the particular case $p = 0$ and for some values of the parameter $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ we obtain some classical quadrature rules.

For $\gamma = 1$ one obtains the Simpson quadrature formula

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} F(x) dx &= \frac{\beta - \alpha}{6} \left(F(\alpha) + 4F\left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}\right) + F(\beta) \right) - \\ &- \frac{(\beta - \alpha)^5}{2880} F^{(4)}(\xi), \quad \xi \in [\alpha, \beta]. \end{aligned}$$

For $\gamma = \frac{2}{3}$ one obtains the Maclaurin quadrature formula

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} F(x) dx = \frac{3(\beta - \alpha)}{8} \left(F\left(\frac{5\alpha + \beta}{6}\right) + \frac{2}{3} F\left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}\right) + F\left(\frac{\alpha + 5\beta}{6}\right) \right) +$$

$$+\frac{7(\beta-\alpha)^5}{51840}F^{(4)}(\xi), \quad \xi \in [\alpha, \beta].$$

For $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ one obtains the "open" Newton-Cotes quadrature formula

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} F(x)dx = \frac{2(\beta-\alpha)}{3} \left(F\left(\frac{3\alpha+\beta}{4}\right) - \frac{1}{2}F\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\right) + F\left(\frac{\alpha+3\beta}{4}\right) \right) +$$

$$+\frac{7(\beta-\alpha)^5}{23040}F^{(4)}(\xi), \quad \xi \in [\alpha, \beta].$$

References

- [1] Gh. Coman, *Analiză numerică*, Editura Libris, Cluj, 1994.
- [2] R. DeVore, G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation*, Springer Verlag, 1993.
- [3] Al. Lupaş, *Metode numerice*, Editura Constant, Sibiu, 2001.

Adrian Branga

University "Lucian Blaga" of Sibiu

Department of Mathematics

Dr. I. Raşiu 5-7, Sibiu 550012, Romania

e-mail: adrian.branga@yahoo.com