

Farthest Points in Normed Linear Spaces ¹

S. Elumalai and R. Vijayaragavan

Abstract

In this paper we established a characterization of farthest points in a normed linear spaces. We also provide some application of farthest points in the space $C(Q)$ and $C_R(Q)$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A50, 41A52, 41A65.

Keywords: Farthest Point, Extremal Point, Radon measure.

1 Introduction

The concept of farthest points in normed linear spaces has been investigated by Franchetti and Singer [4]. They obtained some results on characterization and existence of farthest points in normed linear spaces in terms of bounded linear functionals. Section 2 gives some fundamental concepts of farthest points. A characterization of farthest points in normed linear spaces are provided in Section 3. Section 4 delineates some applications of farthest points. Some basic properties of farthest point operator are established in Section 5.

¹Received 19 August, 2006

Accepted for publication (in revised form) 10 September, 2006

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [4]. Let G be a bounded non-empty subset of a real normed linear space E and $x \in E$. An element $g_0 \in G$ is called a farthest point to x in G if

$$\|g_0 - x\| = \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\|, \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

The set of all farthest points to x from G is denoted by $F_G(x)$, for all $g \in G$.

Example 2.2. Let $E = \mathbb{R}^2$, the set $G = \{(x_1, x_2) : 0 \leq x_1 \leq 2, -1 \leq x_2 \leq 1\}$ and $x = (2, 2)$. Then $(0, -1) \in F_G(x)$. If $x = (1, 2)$, then $(0, -1)$ and $(2, -1)$ belong to $F_G(x)$.

Definition 2.3. Let A be a closed convex set of a topological linear space L . A non-empty subset $M \subseteq A$ is said to be an extremal subset of A , if a proper convex combination $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, of two points x and y of A lies in M only if both x and y are in M .

An extremal subset of A consisting of just one point is called an extremal point of A . The set of all extremal points of A is denoted by $\sigma(A)$.

Definition 2.4. Let E^* denote the conjugate space of E , that is, the space of all continuous linear functionals on E , endowed with the usual vector operations and with the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup_{\substack{x \in E \\ \|x\| \leq 1}} |f(x)|.$$

Let S_{E^*} represent an unit cell defined by

$$S_{E^*} = \{f \in E^* : \|f\| \leq 1\}.$$

Definition 2.5. Let Q be a compact space and $C(Q)$ be the space of all numerical continuous functions on Q , endowed with the usual vector operations and with the norm

$$\|x\| = \max_{q \in Q} |x(q)|.$$

We shall denote by $C_R(Q)$ the space of all continuous real-valued functions on Q , endowed with the usual vector operations and with the norm

$$\|x\| = \max_{q \in Q} |x(q)|.$$

The following results are required to prove the main result of this paper.

Lemma 2.6 [7]. *Let M be an extremal subset of a closed convex set A in a topological linear space L . Then*

$$\sigma(M) = \sigma(A) \cap M$$

Lemma 2.7 [7]. *Let E be a normed linear space and let F be a non-empty convex subset of the set $\{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$. Then the set*

$$M_F = \bigcap_{x \in F} \{f \in E^* : \|f\| = 1, f(x) = 1\}$$

is a non-empty extremal subset of the cell S_{E^} endowed with weak topology $\sigma(E^*, E)$.*

Corollary 2.8 [7]. *Let E be a normed linear space and $x \in E, x \neq 0$. Then the set*

$$M_x = \{f \in E^* : \|f\| = 1, f(x) = \|x\|\}$$

is a non-empty extremal subset of the cell S_{E^} endowed with $\sigma(E^*, E)$.*

A characterization of farthest points is presented in the next section.

3 Characterizations of Farthest Points

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a bounded subset of a normed linear space $E, x \in E$ and $g_0 \in G$. Then $g_0 \in F_G(x)$ if and only if there exists an $f_0 \in E^*$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad f_0 \in \sigma(S_{E^*})$$

$$(3.2) \quad f_0(g_0 - x) = \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\|$$

Proof. Let $g_0 \in F_G(x)$. Then by the theorem 3.1[4], there exists $f \in E^*$ such that $\|f\| = 1$,

$$f(g_0 - x) = \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\|. \text{ By Corollary 2.8, the set}$$

$$M = \{f \in E^* : \|f\| = 1, f(g_0 - x) = \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\|\}$$

is a non-empty extremal subset of the cell S_{E^*} endowed with $\sigma(E^*, E)$. So, by the Krein - Milman theorem, the set $\sigma(M)$ is non-empty and hence, by Lemma 2.6, there exists an $f_0 \in E^*$ such that (3.1) and (3.2) hold. Conversely, assume that (3.1) and (3.2) hold. Then, by (3.1) and (3.2)

$$\|g_0 - x\| \geq \|g - x\|, \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

Whence it follows that $g_0 \in F_G(x)$.

Corollary 3.2. *Let G be a bounded subset of a normed linear space E , $x \in E$ and $g_0 \in G$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) $g_0 \in F_G(x)$.

(b) *There exists an $f \in E^*$ such that f satisfies*

$$(3.3) \quad |f(g_0 - x)| = \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\|$$

$$(3.4) \quad |f(g_0 - x)| \geq |f(g - x)|, \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

(c) *There exists an $f \in E^*$ such that f satisfies (3.3) and*

$$(3.5) \quad \operatorname{Re}[f(g_0 - g)\overline{f(g_0 - x)}] \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let $g_0 \in F_G(x)$. Then by Theorem 3.1, we have (3.3) and

$$|f(g_0 - x)| \geq \|g - x\| \geq |f(g - x)|, \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

Which proves (3.4). Thus, (a) \Rightarrow (b).

To prove (b) \Rightarrow (c) assume that (b) holds.

Then, by (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} |f(g_0 - x)|^2 &\geq |f(g - x)|^2 = |f(g - g_0)|^2 + |f(g_0 - x)|^2 + \\ &\quad + 2 \operatorname{Re} f(g - g_0) \overline{f(g_0 - x)} \geq \\ &\geq |f(g_0 - x)|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} f(g - g_0) \overline{f(g_0 - x)} \end{aligned}$$

whence it follows that $\operatorname{Re}[f(g_0 - g) \overline{f(g_0 - x)}] \geq 0$.

(c) \Rightarrow (a) is trivial.

Let G be a non-empty bounded subset of a normed linear space E and for each $b > 0$, the b extension of G denoted by G_b and defined by

$$G_b = \{x \in E : d(x, G) = \sup_{g \in G} \|x - g\| \leq b\}, \quad b \neq 0.$$

Proposition 3.3. Let $G \subset E$, $x_0 \in E$ and $b \leq \sup_{g \in G} \|x_0 - g\|$. Then $\mathcal{F}(x_0, G) = \mathcal{F}(x_0, G_b)$.

Proof. For each $z \in E$ such that $\sup_{g \in G} \|z - g\| \geq b$,

$$(3.6) \quad \sup_{g \in G_b} \|z - g\| = \sup_{g \in G} \|z - g\| + b.$$

Let $z \in \mathcal{F}(G, x_0)$. Then

$$\sup_{g \in G} \|z - g\| = \sup_{g \in G} \|x_0 - g\| + \|z - x_0\| \geq b$$

By (3.6),

$$\sup_{g \in G_b} \|z - g\| = \sup_{g \in G} \|z - g\| + b$$

and

$$\sup_{g \in G_b} \|x_0 - g\| = \sup_{g \in G} \|x_0 - g\| + b$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{g \in G_b} \|z - g\| &= \sup_{g \in G} \|z - g\| + b \\
&= \|z - x_0\| + \sup_{g \in G} \|x_0 - g\| + b \\
&= \|z - x_0\| + \sup_{g \in G_b} \|x_0 - g\|
\end{aligned}$$

which implies $\mathcal{F}(G, x_0) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(G_b, x_0)$.

Let $z \in \mathcal{F}(G_b, x_0)$, $z \neq x_0$. Then

$$\sup_{g \in G_b} \|z - g\| = \|z - x_0\| + \sup_{g \in G_b} \|x_0 - g\| \geq b$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{g \in G} \|z - g\| &= \sup_{g \in G_b} \|z - g\| - b \\
&= \sup_{g \in G_b} \|x_0 - g\| + \|z - x_0\| - b \\
&= \|z - x_0\| + \sup_{g \in G} \|x_0 - g\|
\end{aligned}$$

which implies $\mathcal{F}(G_b, x_0) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(G, x_0)$.

Hence the result follows.

Some applications of farthest points are explored in the next section.

4 Applications of Farthest Points in the Spaces $C(Q)$ and $C_R(Q)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $E = C(Q)$ (Q compact), G be a bounded subset of E , $x \in E$ and $g_0 \in G$. Then $g_0 \in F_G(x)$ if and only if there exists a Radon measure μ (real or complex) on Q such that*

$$(4.1) \quad |\mu|(Q) = 1,$$

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|} \in C(Q),$$

$$(4.3) \quad g_0(q) - x(q) = \left[\text{sign} \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(q) \right] \sup_{g \in G} \max_{q \in Q} |g(q) - x(q)| \quad q \in S(\mu),$$

where (4.2) is meant in the sense that $\frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}$ can be made continuous on Q by changing its values on a set of $|\mu|$ - measure zero, in (4.3) is taken this continuous function $\frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}$ and $S(\mu)$ is the carrier of the measure μ .

Proof. By theorem 3.1 [4], we have $g_0 \in F_G(x)$ if and only if there exists a Radon measure μ on Q such that we have (4.1) and

$$(4.4) \quad \int_Q [g_0(q) - x(q)] d\mu(q) = \sup_{g \in G} \max_{q \in Q} |g(q) - x(q)|$$

We shall now show that these conditions are equivalent to (4.1)-(4.3). Assume first that we have (4.1) and (4.4). Then from (4.4), (4.1) and $x - g_0 \neq 0$ it follows that

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(q) = \frac{\overline{g_0(q) - x(q)}}{\sup_{g \in G} \max_{q \in Q} |g(q) - x(q)|} \quad |\mu| - \text{ a.e. on } Q$$

Indeed, assume the contrary, that is that there exists a set $A \subset Q$ with $|\mu|(A) > 0$, such that

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(q) \neq \frac{\overline{g_0(q) - x(q)}}{\sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\|} \quad |\mu| - \text{ a.e. on } A.$$

Then

$$\text{Re} \left(\frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(q) [g_0(q) - x(q)] \right) < \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\| \quad |\mu| - \text{ a.e. on } A,$$

since otherwise, by taking into account that we have

$$(4.7) \quad \left| \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(q) \right| = 1 \quad |\mu| - \text{ a.e on } Q,$$

there would exist a set $A_1 \subset A$ with $|\mu|(A_1) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\| &\leq \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(q)[g_0(q) - x(q)] \right) \\ &\leq \left| \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(q)[g_0(q) - x(q)] \right| \\ &\leq \|g_0 - x\| \\ &\leq \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\| \quad |\mu| - \text{a.e on } A_1, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(q)[g_0(q) - x(q)]$ is real and positive $|\mu| - \text{a.e on } A_1$, hence equal to $\|g_0 - x\| |\mu|$ a.e. on A_1 , and thus

$$\frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(q)[g_0(q) - x(q)] = \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\| \quad |\mu| - \text{a.e. on } A_1$$

So

$$\frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(q) = \frac{\sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\|}{g_0(q) - x(q)} = \frac{\overline{g_0(q) - x(q)}}{\sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\|} \quad |\mu| - \text{a.e on } A_1,$$

which contradicts the hypothesis. Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \int_Q [g_0(q) - x(q)] d\mu(q) &= \operatorname{Re} \int_Q [g_0(q) - x(q)] \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(q) d|\mu|(q) \\ &= \int_Q \operatorname{Re} \left([g_0(q) - x(q)] \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(q) \right) d|\mu|(q) \\ &< \int_Q \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\| d|\mu|(q) \\ &= \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\|, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (4.4). Hence we obtain (4.5).

By changing the values of $\frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}$ so as to have (4.5) every where on Q , we will have (4.2), whence, taking into account (4.7), there follow the relations

$$(4.8) \quad \left| \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(q) \right| = 1 \quad (q \in S(\mu)),$$

and thus, by (4.5) (every where on Q), we obtain (4.3).

Conversely, assume that we have (4.1) - (4.3). Then by (4.3), (4.2), (4.7) and (4.1), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_Q [g_0(q) - x(q)]d\mu(q) &= \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\| \int_Q \left[\text{sign} \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(q) \right] d\mu(q) \\
 &= \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\| \int_Q \left[\text{sign} \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(q) \right] \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(q) d|\mu|(q) \\
 &= \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\| \int_Q \left| \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(q) \right| d|\mu|(q) \\
 &= \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\| |\mu|(Q) \\
 &= \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

that is (4.4), which completes the proof.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $E = C_R(Q)$ (Q compact), G be a bounded subset of E , $x \in E$ and $g_0 \in G$. Then $g_0 \in F_G(x)$ if and only if there exist two disjoint sets $Y_{g_0}^+$ and $Y_{g_0}^-$ closed in Q , and a Radon measure μ on Q , with the following properties:*

$$(4.9) \quad |\mu|(Q) = 1,$$

μ is non-decreasing on $Y_{g_0}^+$, non-increasing on $Y_{g_0}^-$ and

$$(4.10) \quad S(\mu) \subset Y_{g_0}^+ \cup Y_{g_0}^- \quad (S(\mu) - \text{the carrier of } \mu),$$

$$(4.11) \quad g_0(q) - x(q) = \begin{cases} \sup_{g \in G} \max_{q \in Q} |g(q) - x(q)| & \text{for } q \in Y_{g_0}^+ \\ -\sup_{g \in G} \max_{q \in Q} |g(q) - x(q)| & \text{for } q \in Y_{g_0}^- . \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, we have $g_0 \in F_G(x)$ if and only if there exists a real Radon measure μ on Q such that we have (4.1) and (4.4). We shall show that these conditions are equivalent to (4.9) - (4.11).

Assume that we have (4.1) and (4.4). Now we define

$$(4.12) \quad Y_{g_0}^+ = \left\{ q \in Q : g_0(q) - x(q) = \sup_{g \in G} \max_{q \in Q} |g(q) - x(q)| \right\},$$

$$(4.13) \quad Y_{g_0}^- = \left\{ q \in Q : g_0(q) - x(q) = - \sup_{g \in G} \max_{q \in Q} |g(q) - x(q)| \right\}.$$

Then, $Y_{g_0}^+$ and $Y_{g_0}^-$ are disjoint and closed in Q and we have (4.11).

To prove (4.10), let μ be decreasing on $Y_{g_0}^+$. Then there would exist a set $A \subset Y_{g_0}^+$ with $|\mu|(A) > 0$, such that $\mu(A) < |\mu|(A)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A [g_0(q) - x(q)] d\mu(q) &= \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\| \mu(A) \\ &< |\mu|(A) \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\| \\ &= \int_A \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\| d|\mu|(q), \end{aligned}$$

Whence, taking into account (4.1),

$$\int_Q [g_0(q) - x(q)] d\mu(q) < \int_Q \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\| d|\mu|(q) = \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\|,$$

which contradicts (4.4). Hence μ is non-decreasing on $Y_{g_0}^+$.

Similarly it can be shown that μ is non-increasing on $Y_{g_0}^-$.

If there exists a $q_0 \in S(\mu)$ such that $q_0 \notin Y_{g_0}^+ \cup Y_{g_0}^-$, then

$$|g_0(q_0) - x(q_0)| < \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\|,$$

then, taking an open neighbourhood U of q_0 such that

$$|g_0(q) - x(q)| < \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\| \quad (q \in U),$$

We would have $|\mu|(U) > 0$ (since $q_0 \in S(\mu)$) and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U [g_0(q) - x(q)] d\mu(q) &\leq \int_U |g_0(q) - x(q)| d|\mu|(q) \\ &< \int_U \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\| d|\mu|(q) \end{aligned}$$

Whence, by (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q [g_0(q) - x(q)]d\mu(q) &< \int_Q \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\|d|\mu|(q) \\ &= \sup_{g \in G} \|g - x\|, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (4.4). Thus (4.1) and (4.4) imply (4.9) - (4.11).

Conversely, assume that there exist two disjoint closed sets $Y_{g_0}^+$ and $Y_{g_0}^-$ in Q and a real Radon measure μ on Q such that we have (4.9) - (4.11). Then, by (4.10), (4.11) and (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q [g_0(q) - x(q)]d\mu(q) &= \int_{S(\mu) \cap Y_{g_0}^+} \sup_{g \in G} \max_{q \in Q} |g(q) - x(q)|d\mu(q) \\ &\quad + \int_{S(\mu) \cap Y_{g_0}^-} \left(- \sup_{g \in G} \max_{q \in Q} |g(q) - x(q)| \right) d\mu(q) \\ &= \int_Q \sup_{g \in G} \max_{q \in Q} |g(q) - x(q)|d|\mu|(q) \\ &= \sup_{g \in G} \max_{q \in Q} |g(q) - x(q)|, \end{aligned}$$

which gives (4.4). Thus (4.9)-(4.11) imply (4.1) and (4.4).

5 Operator F_G and Farthest Approximations

Let E be a normed linear space, G be a nonempty bounded subset of E and $D(F_G)$ denote domain of F_G . Then define a mapping $F_G : D(F_G) \rightarrow G$ by $F_G(x) \in \mathcal{F}_G(x)$ ($x \in D(F_G)$). In general $D(F_G) \neq E$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let E be a normed linear space and G be a nonempty bounded subset of E . Then*

- (1) $\left| \|x - F_G(x)\| - \|y - F_G(y)\| \right| \leq \|x - y\|$
- (2) $\|x - y\| \leq \|x - F_G(x)\| + \|y - F_G(y)\|$

$$(3) \quad \|x - F_G(x)\| \geq \|x\|$$

(4) If G_1 is a nonempty subset of G , then $\|x - F_G(x)\| \geq \|x - F_{G_1}(x)\|$,
for all $x \in (D(F_G) \cap D(F_{G_1}))$

Proof. (1) By definition of farthest points, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - F_G(x)\| \geq \|x - F_G(y)\| &= \|x - y + y - F_G(y)\| \\ &\geq \|y - F_G(y)\| - \|x - y\| \\ \Rightarrow \|x - y\| &\geq \|y - F_G(y)\| - \|x - F_G(x)\| \end{aligned}$$

interchanging x and y , we have

$$\|x - y\| \geq \|x - F_G(x)\| - \|y - F_G(y)\|$$

hence

$$\left| \|x - F_G(x)\| - \|y - F_G(y)\| \right| \leq \|x - y\|$$

also

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad \|x - F_G(x)\| \geq \|x - F_G(y)\| &= \|x - y + y - F_G(y)\| \\ &\geq \|x - y\| - \|y - F_G(y)\| \\ \Rightarrow \|x - y\| &\leq \|x - F_G(x)\| + \|y - F_G(y)\| \end{aligned}$$

(3) By definition of farthest points, we have

$$\|x - F_G(x)\| \geq \|x - g\|, \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

In particular

$$\|x - F_G(x)\| \geq \|x\|, \quad \text{if } 0 \in G$$

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad \|x - F_G(x)\| &= \sup_{g \in G} \|x - g\| \\ &\geq \sup_{g \in G_1} \|x - g\|, \text{ since } G_1 \subset G \\ &= \|x - F_{G_1}(x)\|, \text{ for all } x \in (D(F_G) \cap D(F_{G_1})) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.2. *Let E be a normed linear space, G be a nonempty bounded subset of E and $g_0 \in G$. Then the set $F_G^{-1}(g_0)$ is closed and $x \in F_G^{-1}(g_0) \Rightarrow \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)g_0 \in F_G^{-1}(g_0)$ (α -scalar).*

Proof. Let $x_n \in F_G^{-1}(g_0)$ and $x \in E$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x.$$

Then, since norm is a continuous function and $g_0 \in F_G(x_n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - g_0\| &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - g\|, \quad \text{for all } g \in G \\ \Rightarrow \|\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n - g_0)\| &\geq \|\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n - g)\|, \quad \text{for all } g \in G \\ \Rightarrow \|x - g_0\| &\geq \|x - g\|, \quad \text{for all } g \in G \\ \Rightarrow g_0 \in F_G(x) &\Rightarrow x \in F_G^{-1}(g_0) \end{aligned}$$

which proves that $F_G^{-1}(g_0)$ is closed.

Now let $x \in F_G^{-1}(g_0)$ be arbitrary and α be an arbitrary scalar and let $y = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)g_0$.

If $\alpha = 0$, then $y = g_0 \in F_G^{-1}(g_0)$. If $\alpha \neq 0$ then for every $g \in G$, by taking into account that $x \in F_G^{-1}(g_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y - g\| &= \|\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)g_0 - g\| \\ &= \alpha \|x - (1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})g_0 - g\| \\ &\leq \alpha \|x - g_0\| \\ &= \|\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)g_0 - g_0\| \\ &= \|y - g_0\| \end{aligned}$$

whence it follows that $g_0 \in \mathcal{F}_G(y)$ if $y \in \mathcal{F}_G^{-1}(g_0)$.

References

- [1] G. C. Ahuja, T. D. Narang and T. Swaran, *On farthest points*, J.Indian Math. Soc. 39(1975), 293-297.

- [2] N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, *Linear Operators. Part-I. General Theory. Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol.7. Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [3] S. Elumalai, *A study of interpolation, approximation and strong approximation*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Madras, 1981.
- [4] C. Franchetti and I. Singer, *Deviation and farthest points in normed linear spaces*, Rev. Roum Math. Pures et appl, **XXIV**(1979), 373-381.
- [5] I. Singer, *Choquest spaces and Best Approximation*, Math. Ann. **148**(1962), 330-340.
- [6] I. Singer, *The theory of Best Approximation and functional Analysis*, CBMS Reg. Confer. Series in Applied Math. No.13, SIAM, Philedelphia, 1974.
- [7] I. Singer, *Best approximation in normed linear spaces by elements of linear subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1970.

Ramanujan Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics,
University of Madras,
Chennai - 600 005, India.

Vellore Institute of Technology (Deemed University),
Vellore - 632 014, India.