

## A new differential inequality I

Georgia Irina Oros and Adriana Cătaș

Dedicated to Professor dr. Gheorghe Micula on his 60<sup>th</sup> birthday

### Abstract

We find conditions on the complex-valued functions  $A$  and  $B$ , in the unit disc  $U$  such that the differential inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} [A(z)p^2(z) + B(z)p(z) + \alpha(zp'(z))^3 - \beta(zp'(z))^2 + \gamma(zp'(z)) + \delta] > 0$$

implies  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ , where  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta < \frac{\alpha n^3}{8} + \frac{\beta n^2}{4} + \frac{\gamma n}{2}$  and  $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$ .

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: 30C80

Keywords: differential subordination, dominant.

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

We let  $\mathcal{H}[U]$  denote the class of holomorphic functions in the unit disc

$$U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}.$$

For  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  we let

$$\mathcal{H}[a, n] = \{f \in \mathcal{H}[U], \quad f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \{f \in \mathcal{H}[U], \quad f(z) = z + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + a_{n+2} z^{n+2} + \dots, z \in U\}$$

with  $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$ .

In order to prove the new results we shall use the following lemma, which is a particular form of Theorem 2.3.i[1,p.35].

**Lemma A.** [1,p.35]. *Let  $\psi : \mathbb{C}^2 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a function which satisfies*

$$\operatorname{Re} \psi(\rho i, \sigma; z) \leq 0,$$

where  $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma \leq -\frac{n}{2}(1 + \rho^2)$   $z \in U$  and  $n \geq 1$ .

If  $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$  and

$$\operatorname{Re} \psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) > 0$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0.$$

## 2 Main results

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta < \frac{\alpha n^3}{8} + \frac{\beta n^2}{4} + \frac{\gamma n}{2}$  and let  $n$  be a positive integer. Suppose that the functions  $A, B : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \operatorname{Re} A(z) > -\frac{3\alpha n^3}{8} - \frac{\beta n^2}{2} - \frac{\gamma n}{2} \\ (ii) \quad & (\operatorname{Im} B(z))^2 \leq 4 \left( \frac{3\alpha n^3}{8} + \frac{\beta n^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma n}{2} + \operatorname{Re} A(z) \right) \\ & \cdot \left( \frac{\alpha n^3}{8} + \frac{\beta n^4}{4} + \frac{\gamma n}{2} - \delta \right) \end{aligned}$$

If  $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$  and

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} [A(z)p^2(z) + B(z)p(z) + \alpha(zp'(z))^3 - \\ & - \beta(zp'(z))^2 + \gamma(zp'(z)) + \delta] > 0 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0.$$

**Proof.** We let  $\psi : \mathbb{C}^2 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be defined by

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) = & A(z)p^2(z) + B(z)p(z) + \alpha(zp'(z))^3 - \\ & - \beta(zp'(z))^2 + \gamma(zp'(z)) + \delta] > 0 \end{aligned}$$

From (2) we have

$$(4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) > 0 \text{ for } z \in U.$$

For  $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $\sigma \leq -\frac{n}{2}(1 + \rho^2)$ , hence  $-\sigma^2 \leq -\frac{n^2}{4}(1 + \rho^2)^2$ ,  $\sigma^3 \leq -\frac{n^3}{8}(1 + \rho^2)^3$  and  $z \in U$ , by using (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \psi(\rho i, \sigma; z) &= \operatorname{Re} [A(z)(\rho i)^2 + B(z)\rho i + \\ &+ \alpha\sigma^3 - \beta\sigma^2 + \gamma\sigma + \delta] = -\rho^2 \operatorname{Re} A(z) - \rho \operatorname{Im} B(z) + \\ &+ \alpha\sigma^3 - \beta\sigma^2 + \gamma\sigma + \delta \leq \\ &\leq -\rho^2 \operatorname{Re} A(z) - \rho \operatorname{Im} B(z) - \frac{\alpha n^3}{8}(1 + \rho^2)^3 - \\ &-\frac{\beta n^2}{4}(1 + \rho^2)^2 - \frac{\gamma n}{2}(1 + \rho^2) + \delta = -\frac{\alpha n^3}{8}\rho^6 - \\ &-\left(\frac{3\alpha n^3}{8} + \frac{\beta n^2}{4}\right)\rho^4 - \left[\left(\frac{3\alpha n^3}{8} + \frac{\beta n^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma n}{2} + \operatorname{Re} A(z)\right)\rho^2 + \right. \\ &\left. + \rho \operatorname{Im} B(z) + \frac{\alpha n^3}{8} + \frac{\beta n^2}{4} + \frac{\gamma n}{2} - \delta\right] \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma A we have that  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ .

If  $\alpha = 0$ , then Theorem 1 can be rewritten as follows:

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta < \frac{\beta n^2}{4} + \frac{\gamma n}{2}$  and let  $n$  be a positive integer. Suppose that the functions  $A, B : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy*

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} (i) \quad &\operatorname{Re} A(z) > -\frac{\beta n^2}{2} - \frac{\gamma n}{2} \\ (ii) \quad &(\operatorname{Im} B(z))^2 \leq 4 \left( \frac{\beta n^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma n}{2} + \operatorname{Re} A(z) \right) \left( \frac{\beta n^2}{4} + \frac{\gamma n}{2} - \delta \right) \end{aligned}$$

If  $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$  and

$$(6) \quad \operatorname{Re} [A(z)p^2(z) + B(z)p(z) - \beta(zp'(z))^2 + \gamma(zp'(z)) + \delta] > 0$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0.$$

If  $\beta = 0$ , then Theorem 1 can be rewritten as follows:

**Corollary 2.**

Let  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta < \frac{\alpha n^3}{8} + \frac{\gamma n}{2}$  and let  $n$  be a positive integer. Suppose that the functions  $A, B : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \operatorname{Re} A(z) > -\frac{3\alpha n^3}{8} - \frac{\gamma n}{2} \\ (ii) \quad & (\operatorname{Im} B(z))^2 \leq 4 \left( \frac{3\alpha n^3}{8} + \frac{\gamma n}{2} + \operatorname{Re} A(z) \right) \left( \frac{\alpha n^3}{8} + \frac{\gamma n}{2} - \delta \right) \end{aligned}$$

If  $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$  and

$$(8) \quad \operatorname{Re} [A(z)p^2(z) + B(z)p(z) + \alpha(zp'(z))^3 + \gamma(zp'(z)) + \delta] > 0$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0.$$

## References

- [1] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations. Theory and Applications*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, Basel, 2000.

University of Oradea  
Department of Mathematics  
Str. Armatei Române, nr. 5  
3700 - Oradea, România.