

A SEMILINEAR WAVE EQUATION WITH NON-MONOTONE NONLINEARITY

JOSÉ F. CAICEDO, ALFONSO CASTRO, RODRIGO DUQUE, ARTURO SANJUAN

ABSTRACT. We prove the existence of weak solutions to a semilinear wave with non-monotone asymptotically linear nonlinearity when the forcing is dominated by a trigonometric polynomial.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega = (0, \pi) \times [0, 2\pi]$ and Ψ a trigonometric polynomial of the form

$$\Psi(x, t) = \sum_{i,j=1,N, i \neq j} a_{kj} \sin(kx) \cos(jt) + b_{kj} \sin(kx) \cos(jt), \quad (1.1)$$

where N is a positive integer. We study the existence of weak solutions to the *Dirichlet-periodic* problem

$$\begin{aligned} \square u + \tau u + h(u) &= f(x, t) := C\Psi(x, t) + g(x, t), \\ u(0, t) &= u(\pi, t) = 0, \\ u(x, t) &= u(x, t + 2\pi), \quad (x, t) \in [0, \pi] \times \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where \square denotes the D'Alembert operator $\partial_{tt} - \partial_{xx}$, $\tau > 0$ and $\tau \notin \sigma(\square) = \{k^2 - j^2 : k = 1, 2, \dots, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}$, $g \in L^2(\Omega)$, and

$$\iint_{\Omega} \Psi(x, t)g(x, t) dx dt = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

We assume that h is bounded and differentiable, that $h'(u) < -\tau$ for some $u \in \mathbb{R}$, and that

$$\lim_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} h'(u) = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

That is, $H(u) := \tau u + h(u)$ is non-monotone and asymptotically linear. We denote by $\|\cdot\|_2$ the norm in $L^2(\Omega)$. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *For each $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ satisfying (1.3), there exists $C_0(\|g\|_2)$ such that if $|C| > C_0(\|g\|_2)$ then (1.2) has a solution.*

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J25, 58J05.

Key words and phrases. Semilinear wave equation; weak solution; Nazarov-Turan lemma; non-monotone nonlinearity; characteristic lines.

©2023 This work is licensed under a CC BY 4.0 license.

Published March 27, 2023.

This result is in the spirit of determining the range of semilinear wave operators with non-monotone nonlinearities which goes back to the results in [9, 12] where the range of such operators was proven to be dense in $L^2(\Omega)$. The reader is referred to [6] for a review in the subject and to [4] for a recent result on (1.2) with Ψ replaced by functions that may be *flat on characteristics*. For earlier results on semilinear wave equations with monotone nonlinearities see [1, 10, 11].

A key piece in our arguments is the Nazarov-Turan lemma which we state next for the sake of completeness in the presentation. For a role of the Nazarov-Turan lemma in bifurcation at infinity, the reader is referred to [8].

Lemma 1.2 (Nazarov-Turan lemma). *If Φ is a trigonometric polynomial with*

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi(x, t) \sin(kx) \sin(kt) \, dx \, dt = \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x, t) \sin(kx) \sin(kt) \, dx \, dt = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

for any positive integer k then there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$,

$$m(\{(x, t) \in \Omega; |\Phi(x, t)| < \delta\}) < \delta^\alpha. \quad (1.6)$$

Moreover,

$$m(A_{r,\delta}) := m(\{x \in [0, \pi] : |\Phi(x, r+x)| < \delta\}) < \delta^\alpha, \quad (1.7)$$

uniformly for $r \in [0, 2\pi]$.

In the above lemma and in what follows m denotes the Lebesgue measure in one or two dimensions as given by the context.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathcal{N} denote the closure of the linear subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$ spanned by

$$\{\sin(kx) \cos(kt), \sin(kx) \sin(kt), k = 1, 2, \dots\}. \quad (2.1)$$

That is, \mathcal{N} is the kernel of the wave operator \square in (1.2). If $v \in \mathcal{N}$, then there exists a unique 2π -periodic function $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $p \in L^2([0, 2\pi])$, $\int_0^{2\pi} p(t) dt = 0$, and

$$v(x, t) = p(t+x) - p(t-x). \quad (2.2)$$

We let \mathbf{H}^1 denote the Sobolev space of functions $u : [0, \pi] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are 2π -periodic in their second variable, with u and its first order partial derivatives in $L^2(\Omega)$, and vanishing on $\{0, \pi\} \times \mathbb{R}$. The norm in \mathbf{H}^1 by $\|\cdot\|_{1,2}$. We also let $\mathbf{Y} = \mathcal{N}^\perp \cap \mathbf{H}^1$. We say that $u = y + v \in \mathbf{Y} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ is a weak solution to (1.2) if

$$\iint_{\Omega} \{(y_t \hat{y}_t - y_x \hat{y}_x) - (H(u) - f)(\hat{y} + \hat{v})\} \, dx \, dt = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

for all $\hat{y} + \hat{v} \in \mathbf{Y} \oplus \mathcal{N}$. We let $\Pi_{\mathcal{N}} : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and $\Pi_{\mathbf{Y}} : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\perp$ denote the corresponding orthogonal projections.

For each $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, the equation $\square u + \tau u = f$ has a unique weak solution $v + y$ which we denote as $(\square + \tau I)^{-1}(f)$. Moreover, there exists a real number κ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\square + \tau I)^{-1}(\Pi_{\mathbf{Y}}(f))\|_{1,2} + \|(\square + \tau I)^{-1}(\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}(f))\|_{C^{1/2}} &\leq \kappa \|f\|_2, \\ \|(\square + \tau I)^{-1}(\Pi_{\mathcal{N}}(f))\|_2 &\leq \kappa \|f\|_2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where $C^{1/2}$ denotes the Hölder space of continuous functions with exponent $1/2$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

By (1.4), there exists $K_1 \geq \frac{128|h'|_\infty}{\tau\pi}$ such that if $|s| \geq K_1$ then $|h'(s)| < \tau/128$. Let $\phi = (\square + \tau I)^{-1}(\Psi)$, $\alpha > 0$ be as in Lemma 1.2 applied to $\Phi = \phi$, and

$$\delta = \left(\frac{\tau^2 \pi^2}{(128(1 + \tau + |h'|_\infty))^2} \right)^{1/\alpha}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$C_0 = \frac{(K_1 + 2K_1^2 + \kappa(\sqrt{2}\pi[|h|_\infty + |h'|_\infty] + \|g\|_2 + 1))(\tau + 1)}{\sin(\delta)}. \quad (3.2)$$

Since $\delta^\alpha < 1$, we have

$$\delta^\alpha < \frac{\tau\pi}{128(1 + \tau + |h'|_\infty)}.$$

From [9] and [12], there exist sequences $\{\phi_n\}, \{u_n\} \subset L^2$ with $u_n = z_n + w_n \in \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\square w_n + \tau(z_n + w_n) + h(z_n + w_n) = C\Psi(x, t) + g(x, t) + \phi_n(x, t), \quad \|\phi_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $\|\phi_n\|_2 \leq 1$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $v_n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $y_n \in \mathbf{Y}$ be such that

$$\square y_n + \tau(v_n + y_n) = g(x, t) + \phi_n(x, t), \quad \|\phi_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Subtracting (3.3) from (3.4), we obtain

$$\square(w_n - y_n) + \tau(w_n - y_n + z_n - v_n) + h(z_n + w_n) = C\Psi(x, t) = C(\square + \tau I)(\psi). \quad (3.5)$$

Letting $W_n = w_n - y_n - C\psi$ and $V_n = z_n - v_n$,

$$\square W_n + \tau(W_n + V_n) + h(V_n + v_n + W_n + y_n + C\psi(x, t)) = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Equation (3.6), in turn, is equivalent to the equations

$$W_n = -(\square + \tau I)^{-1} \Pi_Y (h(V_n + v_n + W_n + y_n + C\psi)), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\tau V_n = -\Pi_N \left(h \left(V_n + v_n + W_n + y_n + \frac{C}{\tau + 1} \Psi(x, t) \right) \right). \quad (3.8)$$

Since h is assumed to be bounded, by the continuity of $(\square + \tau I)^{-1}: L^2 \rightarrow Y$ and Arzela-Ascoli's theorem we may assume that $\{W_n\}$ converges uniformly in Ω .

By (2.2), there exists $g_1, p_n, P_n \in L^2(0, 2\pi)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_N(g)(x, t) &= g_1(t + x) - g_1(t - x), \quad v_n(x, t) = p_n(x, t) - p_n(t - x), \\ V_n(x, t) &= P_n(x, t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

By (3.4), the sequence $\{\tau p_n\}$ converges to g_1 in $L^2([0, 2\pi])$. From (3.8) and [2],

$$2\pi\tau P_n(r) = -I_{1n}(r) + I_{2n}(r), \quad \text{a.e. in } [0, 2\pi], \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1n}(r) &= \int_0^\pi h((W_n + y_n)(x, r - x) + q_n(r) - q_n(r - 2x) + C\psi(x, t)) \, dx \\ I_{2n}(r) &= \int_0^\pi h((W_n + y_n)(x, r + x) + q_n(r + 2x) - q_n(r) + C\psi(x, t)) \, dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$q_n(s) = p_n(s) + P_n(s) \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.12)$$

Since h is bounded, from (3.10) and (3.11) we see that the sequence $\{P_n\}$ is bounded in L^∞ .

Let us show that the sequence $\{P_n\}$ converges en $L^2([0, 2\pi])$. Indeed, let us show that $\{P_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2([0, 2\pi])$. Let $Y_j(r, x) = (W_j + y_j)(x, r - x)$, $Q_j(r, x) = q_j(r) - q_j(r - 2x)$, $F_{mn}(s, r, x) = C\psi(x, r - x) + (Q_n + s(Y_n - Y_m))(r, x)$, and $G_{mn}(s, r, x) = C\psi(x, r - x) + (Y_m + s(Q_n - Q_m))(r, x)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{1n}(r) - I_{1m}(r)| &\leq \int_0^\pi \int_0^1 |h'(F_{mn}(s, r, x))| ds \cdot |(Y_n - Y_m)(r, x)| dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^\pi \int_0^1 |h'(G_{mn}(s, r, x))| ds \cdot |(Q_n - Q_m)(r, x)| dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Let $|C| \geq C_0$ with C_0 given by (3.2). If $x \notin A_{r,\delta}$ and $|(Q_n - Q_m)(r, x)| \geq 2K_1^2$, then $m[\{s \in [0, 1]; |Y_m(r, x) + C\phi(x, r - x) + s(Q_n - Q_m)(r, x)| \leq K_1\}] \leq 1/K_1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 h'(Y_m(r, x) + C\phi(x, r - x) + s(Q_n - Q_m)(r, x)) ds \right| &\leq \frac{|h'|_\infty}{K_1} + \frac{\pi\tau}{128} \\ &\leq \frac{\pi\tau}{64}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

On the other hand, if $|(Q_n - Q_m)(r, x)| \leq 2K_1^2$, then $|Y_m(r, x) + C\psi(x, r - x) + s(Q_n - Q_m)(r, x)| > K_1$. Thus $|h'(Y_m(r, x) + C\psi(x, t) + s(Q_n - Q_m)(r, x))| < \frac{\tau}{128}$. Hence

$$\left| \int_0^1 h'(Y_m(r, x) + C\psi(x, r - x) + s(Q_n - Q_m)(r, x)) ds \right| \leq \frac{\tau}{128} < \frac{\pi\tau}{64}. \quad (3.15)$$

From (3.13), (3.14), and (3.15),

$$\begin{aligned} &|I_{1n}(r) - I_{1m}(r)| \\ &\leq \int_0^\pi |h'|_\infty |(Y_n - Y_m)(r, x)| dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\tau\pi}{64} \int_{[0,\pi] \setminus A_{r,\delta}} |(Q_n - Q_m)(r, x)| dx \\ &\quad + \int_{A_{r,\delta}} |h'|_\infty |Q_n(r, x) - Q_m(r, x)| dx \\ &\leq \int_0^\pi |h'|_\infty |(Y_n - Y_m)(r, x)| dx + \frac{\tau\pi}{64} \left(\pi |(P_n - P_m + p_n - p_m)(r)| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{[0,\pi] \setminus A_{r,\delta}} |(p_n + P_n - p_m - P_m)(r - 2x)| dx \right) \\ &\quad + m(A_{r,\delta}) |h'|_\infty |(P_n - P_m + p_n - p_m)(r)| \\ &\quad + \int_{A_{r,\delta}} |h'|_\infty |(P_n - P_m + p_n - p_m)(r - 2x)| dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_{2n}(r) - I_{2m}(r)| &\leq \int_0^\pi |h'|_\infty |(Y_n - Y_m)(r, x)| dx \\
&\quad + \frac{\tau\pi}{64} \left(\pi |(P_n - P_m + p_n - p_m)(r)| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{[0, \pi] \setminus A_{r, \delta}} |(p_n + P_n - p_m - P_m)(r + 2x)| dx \right) \\
&\quad + m(A_{r, \delta}) |h'|_\infty |(P_n - P_m + p_n - p_m)(r)| \\
&\quad + \int_{A_{r, \delta}} |h'|_\infty |(P_n - P_m + p_n - p_m)(r + 2x)| dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Since

$$\int_{A_{r, \delta}} |P_n(r - 2x) - P_m(r - 2x)| dx \leq \delta^{\alpha/2} \|P_n - P_m\|_2,$$

by (3.10), (3.16) y (3.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2\pi\tau |P_n(r) - P_m(r)| &\leq 2 \int_0^\pi |h'|_\infty |(Y_n - Y_m)(r, x)| dx \\
&\quad + \frac{\tau\pi}{32} \left(\int_{[0, \pi] \setminus A_{r, \delta}} |(p_n + P_n - p_m - P_m)(r - 2x)| dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \pi (|P_n(r) - P_m(r)| + |(p_n - p_m)(r)|) \right) \\
&\quad + 2\delta^\alpha |h'|_\infty (|P_n - P_m + p_n - p_m|(r)) \\
&\quad + 2|h'|_\infty \delta^{\alpha/2} (\|P_n - P_m\|_2 + \|p_n - p_m\|_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

By the definition of δ ,

$$64\tau\pi - \tau\pi^2 - 128\delta^\alpha |h'|_\infty > 64\tau\pi - \tau\pi^2 - \tau\pi > 59\tau\pi.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{59\tau\pi}{32} |P_n(r) - P_m(r)| \\
&\leq 2 \int_0^\pi |h'|_\infty |(Y_n - Y_m)(r, x)| dx + \frac{5\tau\pi}{32} |(p_n - p_m)(r)| \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\pi\tau\sqrt{2\pi}}{64} + 2\delta^{\alpha/2} |h'|_\infty \right) (\|p_n - p_m\|_2 + \|P_n - P_m\|_2) \\
&\quad + \frac{5\tau\pi}{64} \|p_n - p_m\|_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{59\tau\pi}{32} \|P_n(r) - P_m(r)\|_2 \\
&\leq 2 \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi |h'|_\infty |(Y_n - Y_m)(r, x)| dx dr \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\pi\tau\sqrt{2\pi}}{64} + |h'|_\infty 2\delta^{\alpha/2} \right) \sqrt{2\pi} (\|p_n - p_m\|_2 + \|P_n - P_m\|_2) \\
&\quad + \frac{5\tau\pi}{64} \|p_n - p_m\|_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Since $\{p_n\}$ converges in $L^2([0, 2\pi])$, $\{Y_n\}$ converges uniformly and

$$\left(\frac{\tau\pi\sqrt{2\pi}}{64} + |h'|_\infty 2\delta^{\alpha/2}\right)\sqrt{2\pi} < \frac{\tau\pi}{4} < \frac{59\tau\pi}{32}. \quad (3.21)$$

Thus $\{P_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2([0, 2\pi])$, which proves the theorem.

Obituary. With great sadness, the last three authors report the passing of Professor José Francisco Caicedo on February 23, 2022. He was our friend, teacher, and mentor. The results in this paper were proven prior to his death. He was a leading force in the understanding the solvability of semilinear wave equations.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Brézis, L. Nirenberg; *Forced vibrations for a nonlinear wave equation*, Comm. on Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. XXXI No. 1 (1978), pp. 1-30.
- [2] A. Castro, B. Preskill; *Existence of solutions for a semilinear wave equation with non-monotone nonlinearity*, Continuous and Discrete Dynamical Systems, Series A, Vol. 28, No. 2, (2010), pp. 649-658.
- [3] J. Caicedo, A. Castro; *A semilinear wave equation with smooth data and no resonance having no continuous solution*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, Vol. 24, No. 3 (2009), pp. 653-658.
- [4] J. Caicedo, A. Castro, R. Duque; *A semilinear wave equation with non-monotone nonlinearity and forcing flat on characteristics*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Special Issue 01 (2021), pp. 91-99.
- [5] J. Caicedo, A. Castro, R. Duque; *Existence of solutions for a wave equation with non-monotone nonlinearity and a small parameter*, Milan J. Math., Vol. 79, (2011), 207-220.
- [6] J. Caicedo, A. Castro, R. Duque, A. Sanjuan; *Existence of L_p -solutions for a semilinear wave equation with non-monotone nonlinearity*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, Series S, Vol. 7, No. 6, (2014), 1193-1202.
- [7] J. Caicedo, A. Castro, R. Duque, A. Sanjuan; *The semilinear wave equation with non-monotone nonlinearity: a review*, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste Volume 49 (2017), 207-214
- [8] J. Caicedo, A. Castro, A. Sanjuan; *Bifurcation at infinity for a semilinear wave equation with non-monotone nonlinearity*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 37 (2017), no. 4, 1857-1865.
- [9] H. Hofer; *On the range of a wave operator with nonmonotone nonlinearity*, Math. Nachr. **106** (1982), pp. 327-340.
- [10] P. Rabinowitz; *Periodic solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic partial differential equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. Vol. 20, (1967), pp. 145-205.
- [11] P. H. Rabinowitz; *Large amplitude time periodic solutions of a semilinear wave equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37 (1984), no. 2, pp. 189-206.
- [12] M. Willem; *Density of the range of potential operator*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1981), No. 2, pp. 341-344.

JOSÉ F. CAICEDO

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA, BOGOTÁ, COLOMBIA

ALFONSO CASTRO

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVEY MUDD COLLEGE, CLAREMONT, CA 91711, USA

Email address: castro@hmc.edu

RODRIGO DUQUE

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA, PALMIRA, COLOMBIA

Email address: rduqueba@unal.edu.co

ARTURO SANJUAN

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DISTRITAL FRANCISCO JOSÉ DE CALDAS, BOGOTÁ, COLOMBIA

Email address: aasanjuanc@udistrital.edu.co