

## MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS FOR A WEIGHTED $p$ -LAPLACIAN PROBLEM

ROHIT KUMAR, ABHISHEK SARKAR

ABSTRACT. We prove the existence of at least three solutions for a weighted  $p$ -Laplacian operator involving Dirichlet boundary condition in a weighted Sobolev space. The main tool we use here is a three solution theorem in reflexive Banach spaces due to Bonanno and Ricceri.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we are interested in proving the existence of three solutions for a Dirichlet boundary value problem involving a weighted  $p$ -Laplacian operator. We consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + |u|^{p-2}u &= \lambda f(x, u) + \mu g(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded domain,  $p > 1$  and  $N \geq 1$ . The restriction between  $p$  and  $N$  will be specified as we proceed.

We assume that the weight  $a$  satisfies the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} a &\text{ is positive a.e. in } \Omega, \\ a^{-1/(p-1)} &\in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega), \\ a &\in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega); \quad a^{-s} \in L^1(\Omega) \text{ with some } s > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

We look for solutions in the weighted Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,p}(a; \Omega)$  associated with the weight  $a(x)$ , which is defined in Section 2.

The weighted operator was first introduced by Murthy-Stampacchia [9] for the second order linear pdes. Later it was generalized to higher order linear pdes and also quasilinear elliptic pdes. For the interested readers, we refer to the book by Drábek et al. [6] and the research article [8], where boundary value problems for weighted  $p$ -Laplacian operators have been studied independently. Our aim is to show the existence of at least three solutions to problem (1.1), by using a *three critical points theorem* introduced by Ricceri and also by Bonanno in their series of articles. First, we state the theorem proved by Ricceri [12].

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 35B38, 35J62, 35J92.

*Key words and phrases*. Weighted  $p$ -Laplacian; weighted Sobolev space; critical points.

©2022 This work is licensed under a CC BY 4.0 license.

Published August 25, 2022.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a separable and reflexive real Banach space;  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  an interval;  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous  $C^1$  functional whose derivative admits a continuous inverse on  $X^*$ ;  $J : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a  $C^1$  functional with compact derivative. Assume that*

$$\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} (\phi(u) + \lambda J(u)) = +\infty,$$

for all  $\lambda \in I$ , and that there exists  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in I} \inf_{u \in X} (\phi(u) + \lambda(J(u) + \rho)) < \inf_{u \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in I} (\phi(u) + \lambda(J(u) + \rho)).$$

Then, there exists a non-empty open set  $\Gamma \subset I$  and a positive real number  $r$  such that, for each  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ , the equation

$$\phi'(u) + \lambda J'(u) = 0,$$

has at least three solutions in  $X$  whose norms are less than  $r$ .

We note that the first result appeared in the literature due to Ricceri [11], having made assumptions that the space is reflexive and separable. Later, Bonanno [3] gave an equivalent condition to Ricceri's theorem. But Ricceri [10] then generalized his result only for reflexive Banach spaces (with some compensation). Here we state the equivalent theorem combining [3, 10].

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space;  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous  $C^1$  functional, bounded on each bounded subset of  $X$ , whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on  $X^*$ ;  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a  $C^1$  functional with compact Gâteaux derivative. Assume that*

- (i)  $\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} (\phi(u) + \lambda \Phi(u)) = +\infty$ ;
- (ii) there exist  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $u_0, u_1 \in X$  such that  $\phi(u_0) < r < \phi(u_1)$ ;
- (iii)

$$\inf_{u \in \phi^{-1}((-\infty, r])} \Phi(u) > \frac{(\phi(u_1) - r)\Phi(u_0) + (r - \phi(u_0))\Phi(u_1)}{\phi(u_1) - \phi(u_0)}.$$

Then, there exists a non-empty open set  $\Gamma \subset [0, \infty)$  and a positive real number  $\rho$  with the following property: for each  $\lambda \in \Gamma$  and every  $C^1$  functional  $J : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with compact Gâteaux derivative, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for each  $\mu \in [0, \delta]$ , the equation

$$\phi'(u) + \lambda \Phi'(u) + \mu J'(u) = 0$$

has at least three solutions in  $X$ , whose norms are less than  $\rho$ .

As an application of the aforementioned theorems we refer to [1, 5] for Dirichlet boundary value problems, and for Neumann boundary value problems we refer to [2, 4] and the references therein. We follow a similar path to [5].

The rest of this article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly discuss the weighted Sobolev spaces and state the main theorem. Section 3 deals with the proof of the main theorem and also some necessary lemmas.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND RESULT

We briefly discuss the weighted Sobolev spaces in a way the approach had been done in [6]. Given  $a$  satisfying (1.2), the weighted Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(a;\Omega)$  is defined to be the set of all real-valued measurable functions  $u$  for which

$$\|u\| := \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

Since  $a^{-1/(p-1)} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  (see (1.2)), it follows that  $W^{1,p}(a;\Omega)$  equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is uniformly convex Banach space; thus by Milman–Pettis theorem it is a reflexive Banach space. The assumption  $a \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  (see (1.2)) ensures

$$C_0^\infty(\Omega) \subset W^{1,p}(a;\Omega),$$

which allows us to consider the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , and denote it by  $W_0^{1,p}(a;\Omega)$ . Moreover, the continuous embedding holds

$$W_0^{1,p}(a;\Omega) \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,p_s}(\Omega), \quad \text{where } p_s := \frac{ps}{s+1}. \quad (2.2)$$

Note that,  $p > p_s$ . When  $p_s > N$ , from the classical Sobolev embedding theorem we have the compact embedding

$$W_0^{1,p}(a;\Omega) \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,p_s}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}), \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $0 < \alpha < 1 - (N/p_s)$ . Hereafter, it is always assumed that  $s > 0$  (in (1.2)) is chosen such that

$$p > p_s > N \quad \text{i.e., } s > N/(p - N).$$

**Remark 2.1.** It is worth mentioning that by recalling a version of Friedrichs type inequality associated with some weight (see [6, eq. no (1.28), p.27]) the norm

$$\|u\|_a := \left( \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{1/p},$$

on the space  $W_0^{1,p}(a;\Omega)$  is equivalent to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  defined in (2.1).

**Example 2.2.** A typical example of weight (1.2) can be considered as

$$a(x) := \frac{1}{\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)^l},$$

for  $l \geq 0$ ; where  $\text{dist}$  denotes the distance function from a point  $x$  in  $\Omega$  to the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .

From the above embedding (2.3), we have

$$k := \sup_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(a;\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\max_{\bar{\Omega}} |u(x)|}{\|u\|} < \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

**Remark 2.3.** Note that, we can talk about an upper bound for above  $k$ . Using the above embedding (2.2) and [13] it follows that

$$k \leq \frac{N^{-1/p_s}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[ \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{N}{2}\right) \right]^{1/N} \left( \frac{p_s - 1}{p_s - N} \right)^{1-1/p_s} |\Omega|^{1/N-1/p_s}.$$

**Definition 2.4.** A *weak solution* of problem (1.1) is such a  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(a; \Omega)$  which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2}uv dx \\ &= \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)v dx + \mu \int_{\Omega} g(x, u)v dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

for every  $v \in W_0^{1,p}(a; \Omega)$ .

Fix  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and choose  $r_1, r_2$  with  $0 < r_1 < r_2$  such that  $B(x_0, r_1) \subset B(x_0, r_2) \subset \subset \Omega$ , where  $B(x, r)$  denotes the ball in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  centered at  $x$  and of radius  $r$ . Let

$$\xi = \xi(p, r_1, r_2) := \frac{2kr_1}{(r_2^2 - r_1^2)} \|a\|_{L^1(A_{r_1}^{r_2})}^{1/p}, \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\eta = \eta(p, N, r_1, r_2) := \left( \frac{2^p k^p r_2^p}{(r_2^2 - r_1^2)^p} \|a\|_{L^1(A_{r_1}^{r_2})} + \frac{k^p d^p}{N} w_N r_2^N + k^p w_N r_1^N \right)^{1/p}, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $A_{r_1}^{r_2} := B(x_0, r_2) \setminus B(x_0, r_1)$ . We note that  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  both are finite since  $a \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$ . We also define

$$F(x, t) := \int_0^t f(x, s) ds, \quad G(x, t) := \int_0^t g(x, s) ds.$$

Our main result reads as follows.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Assume that there exist three positive constants  $c, d$  and  $\gamma$  with  $d^p \xi^p > c^p$  and functions  $h$  and  $w_\tau \in L^1(\Omega)$  such that*

- (H1)  $F(x, t) \geq 0$  for each  $(x, t) \in \{\bar{\Omega} \setminus B(x_0, r_1)\} \times [0, d]$ ;
- (H2)  $d^p \eta^p |\Omega| \sup_{(x,t) \in \Omega \times [-c,c]} F(x, t) < c^p \int_{\Omega} F(x, d) dx$ ;
- (H3)  $F(x, t) < h(x)(1 + |t|^\gamma)$ , for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  large;
- (H4)  $F(x, 0) = 0$  for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ ;
- (H5)  $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Carathéodory function such that for all  $\tau > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{|t| \leq \tau} |g(\cdot, t)| \leq w_\tau(x).$$

*Then, there exists an open interval  $\Lambda \subset [0, \infty)$  and a positive real number  $\rho$  with the following property: for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for each  $\mu \in [0, \delta]$ , problem (1.1) has at least three weak solutions in  $W_0^{1,p}(a; \Omega)$ , whose norms are less than  $\rho$ .*

### 3. PROOF OF MAIN RESULT

In this section, we prove the main result and necessary lemmas. We define the following functionals

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u) &:= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u|^p dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p, \\ \Phi(u) &:= - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx, \\ \Upsilon(u) &:= - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx. \end{aligned}$$

It is worth mentioning that since  $p_s > N$  and by the assumptions on  $f$  and  $g$ , the functionals  $\Phi$  and  $\Upsilon$  are well defined. Then for any  $u, v \in W_0^{1,p}(a; \Omega)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi'(u), v) &= \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2}uv dx, \\ (\Phi'(u), v) &= - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)v dx, \\ (\Upsilon'(u), v) &= - \int_{\Omega} g(x, u)v dx. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.5) it is clear that  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(a; \Omega)$  be a weak solution of (1.1) if for every  $v \in W_0^{1,p}(a; \Omega)$  the following identity holds

$$(\phi'(u), v) + \lambda(\Phi'(u), v) + \mu(\Upsilon'(u), v) = 0.$$

Thus, we can look for solutions (weak) of problem (1.1) applying Bonanno’s theorem for three solutions.

**Lemma 3.1** (Continuous Inverse). *It follows that  $(\phi')^{-1} : X^* \rightarrow X$  exists and it is continuous.*

*Proof.* For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$  then by applying the inequality from [7], it holds

$$\langle |x|^{p-2}x - |y|^{p-2}y, x - y \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2^p}|x - y|^p, \quad p \geq 2,$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the usual inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

Thus, noting that  $a(x) > 0$  a.e., we have

$$(\phi'(u) - \phi'(v), u - v) \geq c_p \|u - v\|^p, \quad \forall u, v \in W_0^{1,p}(a; \Omega),$$

for  $p \geq 2$ . Hence  $\phi'$  is uniformly monotone operator in  $W_0^{1,p}(a; \Omega)$ ,  $p \geq 2$ . Also, for the case  $1 < p < 2$ , we can proceed as in [8, Lemma 4] and get the desired uniform monotonicity. In addition, a simple computation suggests that  $\phi'$  is coercive. Indeed,

$$\frac{(\phi'(u), u)}{\|u\|} \geq \frac{\|u\|^p}{\|u\|} = \|u\|^{p-1}.$$

Also note that the map  $t \mapsto (\phi'(u + tv), w)$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$  for all  $u, v, w \in W_0^{1,p}(a; \Omega)$ , hence  $\phi'$  is hemicontinuous. Therefore, the conclusion follows immediately by applying [14, Theorem 26.A]. □

Next, we prove another lemma which is essential to prove Theorem 2.5.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume that there exist two positive constants  $c, d$  with  $d^p \xi^p > c^p$  such that*

- (H6)  $F(x, t) \geq 0$  for each  $(x, t) \in \{\Omega \setminus B(x_0, r_1)\} \times [0, d]$ ;
- (H7)  $d^p \eta^p |\Omega| \sup_{\bar{\Omega} \times [-c, c]} F(x, t) < c^p \int_{\Omega} F(x, d) dx$ .

*Then there exist  $r > 0$  and  $u^* \in W_0^{1,p}(a; \Omega)$ , such that*

$$\phi(u^*) = \frac{1}{p} \|u^*\|^p > r, \tag{3.1}$$

$$|\Omega| \max_{\bar{\Omega} \times [-c, c]} F(x, t) \leq \left( \frac{c}{k \|u^*\|} \right)^p \int_{\Omega} F(x, u^*) dx. \tag{3.2}$$

*Proof.* We define

$$u^*(x) = \begin{cases} d, & x \in B(x_0, r_1), \\ \frac{d}{(r_2^2 - r_1^2)}(r_2^2 - |x - x_0|^2), & x \in B(x_0, r_2) \setminus B(x_0, r_1), \\ 0, & x \in \Omega \setminus B(x_0, r_2). \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that  $u^* \in W_0^{1,p}(a; \Omega)$ . Note that,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^*\|^p &= \frac{2^p d^p}{(r_2^2 - r_1^2)^p} \int_{A_{r_1}^{r_2}} a(x) |x - x_0|^p dx \\ &\quad + \frac{w_N d^p}{(r_2^2 - r_1^2)^p} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} (r_2^2 - r^2)^p r^{N-1} dr + d^p w_N r_1^N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

From (2.6), (2.7) and (3.3), we deduce that

$$\frac{\xi^p d^p}{k^p} < \|u^*\|^p < \frac{\eta^p d^p}{k^p}. \quad (3.4)$$

Now by using that  $d^p \xi^p > c^p$  and (3.4), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{p} \|u^*\|^p > \frac{1}{p} \frac{\xi^p d^p}{k^p} > \frac{1}{p} \frac{c^p}{k^p}. \quad (3.5)$$

By choosing  $r := \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{c}{k}\right)^p$ , (3.1) follows from (3.5) immediately. Since  $0 \leq u^* \leq d$  for each  $x \in \Omega$ , the condition (H6) suggests

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus B(x_0, r_2)} F(x, u^*(x)) dx + \int_{B(x_0, r_2) \setminus B(x_0, r_1)} F(x, u^*(x)) dx \geq 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Now by using (H7), (3.6) and the definition of  $u^*$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega| \max_{(x,t) \in \Omega \times [-c,c]} F(x,t) &< \left(\frac{c}{\eta d}\right)^p \int_{B(x_0, r_1)} F(x, d) dx \\ &= \left(\frac{c}{\eta d}\right)^p \int_{B(x_0, r_1)} F(x, u^*) dx \\ &< \left(\frac{c}{k \|u^*\|}\right)^p \int_{B(x_0, r_1)} F(x, u^*) dx \\ &\leq \left(\frac{c}{k \|u^*\|}\right)^p \int_{\Omega} F(x, u^*) dx, \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.2) follows. The proof is complete.  $\square$

Now we give a proof of our main theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 2.5.* First we note down following observations which are of immediate consequences:

- (i)  $\Phi$  belongs to  $C^1$  and also  $\Phi'$  is compact;
- (ii)  $\phi$  is weakly lower semicontinuous (since it's a norm) and bounded on each bounded subset of  $W_0^{1,p}(a; \Omega)$ ;
- (iii)  $(\phi')^{-1}$  exists and is continuous too, thanks to Lemma 3.1;
- (iv) From the assumptions on  $g$ , it follows that  $\Upsilon$  is continuously Gâteaux differentiable on  $W_0^{1,p}(a; \Omega)$ , with compact derivative.

Thanks to (H3), for each  $\lambda \geq 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} (\phi(u) + \lambda \Phi(u)) = +\infty.$$

Putting  $r = \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{c}{k}\right)^p$ . Note that  $\max_{\bar{\Omega}} |u(x)| \leq k\|u\|$ , for every  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(a; \Omega)$ . Hence for each  $u$  such that

$$\phi(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p \leq r$$

and one has  $\max_{\bar{\Omega}} |u(x)| \leq k\|u\| = c$ . Thanks to Lemma 3.2, there exists  $u^* \in W_0^{1,p}(a; \Omega)$  such that

$$\phi(u^*) = \frac{1}{p} \|u^*\|^p > r > 0 = \phi(0).$$

Therefore using (2.4) and (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} - \inf_{u \in \phi^{-1}((-\infty, r])} \Phi(u) &= \sup_{u \in \phi^{-1}((-\infty, r])} (-\Phi(u)) \leq \sup_{\{u: \|u\|^p \leq pr\}} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx \\ &< \int_{\Omega} \sup_{|t| \leq c} F(x, t) dx < |\Omega| \max_{\bar{\Omega} \times [-c, c]} F(x, t) \\ &< \left(\frac{c}{k\|u^*\|}\right)^p \int_{\Omega} F(x, u^*) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{c}{k}\right)^p \frac{p}{\|u^*\|^p} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u^*) dx \\ &= r \frac{p}{\|u^*\|^p} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u^*) dx \\ &= \frac{r(-\Phi(u^*))}{\phi(u^*)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

From (3.7), one obtains

$$\sup_{u \in \phi^{-1}((-\infty, r])} (-\Phi(u)) < \frac{r(-\Phi(u^*))}{\phi(u^*)},$$

or

$$\inf_{u \in \phi^{-1}((-\infty, r])} \Phi(u) > \frac{r\Phi(u^*)}{\phi(u^*)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Now we choose  $u_0 = 0$  and  $u_1 = u^*$ , so that  $\Phi(u_0) = 0 = \phi(u_0)$  and from (3.8), we obtain

$$\inf_{u \in \phi^{-1}((-\infty, r])} \Phi(u) > \frac{(\phi(u_1) - r)\Phi(u_0) + (r - \phi(u_0))\Phi(u_1)}{\phi(u_1) - \phi(u_0)}.$$

Hence all the conditions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied and the existence of three nontrivial distinct solutions follows immediately.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** We note that the method is still applicable for other boundary conditions as well. For example, we can consider the same problem (1.1) with Neumann boundary condition, and look for the solution in the space  $W^{1,p}(a; \Omega)$ .

**Remark 3.4.** Remark 2.1 hints that we can also consider the following boundary value problem and discuss the existence of at least three solutions in  $W_0^{1,p}(a; \Omega)$  for the Dirichlet boundary value problem:

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) &= \lambda f(x, u) + \mu g(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded domain.

**Acknowledgments.** R. Kumar wants to thank the support of the CSIR fellowship, file no. 09/1125(0016)/2020-EMR-I for his Ph.D. work. A part of the research was conducted while A. Sarkar was at the University of West Bohemia, Pilsen, Czech Republic. A. Sarkar was supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic, project no. 18-03253S and also by the DST-INSPIRE Grant DST/INSPIRE/04/2018/002208.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. A. Afrouzi, S. Heidarkhani; *Three solutions for a Dirichlet boundary value problem involving the  $p$ -Laplacian*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **66** (2007) 2281–2288.
- [2] G. Anello, G. Cordaro; *Existence of solutions of the Neumann problem for a class of equations involving the  $p$ -Laplacian via a variational principle of Ricceri*, *Arch. Math.*, **79** (2002) 274–287.
- [3] G. Bonanno; *A minimax inequality and its applications to ordinary differential equations*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **270** (2002) 210–219.
- [4] G. Bonanno, P. Candito; *Three solutions to a Neumann problem for elliptic equations involving the  $p$ -Laplacian*, *Arch. Math.*, **80** (2003) 424–429.
- [5] G. Bonanno, R. Livrea; *Multiplicity theorems for the Dirichlet problem involving the  $p$ -Laplacian*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **54** (2003) 1–7.
- [6] P. Drábek, A. Kufner, F. Nicolosi; *Quasilinear Elliptic Equations with Degenerations and Singularities*, vol. 5 of de Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1997.
- [7] S. Kichenassamy, L. Veron; *Singular solutions of the  $p$ -Laplace equation*, *Math. Ann.*, **275** (1985) 599–615.
- [8] V. Le, K. Schmitt; *On boundary value problems for degenerate quasilinear elliptic equations and inequalities*, *J. Differential Equations* **144** (1998) 170–218.
- [9] V. Murthy, G. Stampacchia; *Boundary value problems for some degenerate-elliptic operators*, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **80** (1968) 1–122.
- [10] B. Ricceri; *A three critical points theorem revisited*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **70** (2009) 3084–3089.
- [11] B. Ricceri; *Existence of three solutions for a class of elliptic eigenvalue problem*, *Math. Comput. Model.*, **32** (2000) 1485–1494.
- [12] B. Ricceri; *On a three critical points theorem*, *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, **75** (2000) 220–226.
- [13] G. Talenti; *Some inequalities of Sobolev type on two-dimensional spheres*, in: W. Walter (Ed.), *General Inequalities*, **Vol. 5**, Internat. Ser. Numer. Math. 80 (1987) 401–408.
- [14] E. Zeidler; *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications, II/B: Nonlinear Monotone Operators*, Springer: New York, 1990.

ROHIT KUMAR

THE DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IIT JODHPUR, JODHPUR, RAJASTHAN 342030, INDIA  
*Email address:* kumar.174@iitj.ac.in

ABHISHEK SARKAR

THE DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IIT JODHPUR, JODHPUR, RAJASTHAN 342030, INDIA  
*Email address:* abhisheks@iitj.ac.in