

Strongly nonlinear degenerated elliptic unilateral problems via convergence of truncations *

Youssef Akdim, Elhoussine Azroul, & Abdelmoujib Benkirane

Abstract

We prove an existence theorem for a strongly nonlinear degenerated elliptic inequalities involving nonlinear operators of the form $Au+g(x, u, \nabla u)$. Here A is a Leray-Lions operator, $g(x, s, \xi)$ is a lower order term satisfying some natural growth with respect to $|\nabla u|$. There is no growth restrictions with respect to $|u|$, only a sign condition. Under the assumption that the second term belongs to $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*)$, we obtain the main result via strong convergence of truncations.

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^N and p a real number such that $1 < p < \infty$. Let $w = \{w_i(x), 0 \leq i \leq N\}$ be a vector of weight functions on Ω , i.e. each $w_i(x)$ is a measurable a.e. strictly positive function on Ω , satisfying some integrability conditions (see section 2). The aim of this paper, is to prove an existence theorem for unilateral degenerate problems associated to a nonlinear operators of the form $Au+g(x, u, \nabla u)$. Where A is a Leray-Lions operator from $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ into its dual $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*)$, defined by,

$$Au = -\operatorname{div}(a(x, u, \nabla u))$$

and where g is a nonlinear lower order term having natural growth with respect to $|\nabla u|$. With respect to $|u|$ we do not assume any growth restrictions, but we assume a sign condition. Bensoussan, Boccardo and Murat have proved in the second part of [2] the existence of at least one solution of the unilateral problem

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Au, v - u \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u, \nabla u)(v - u) dx &\geq \langle f, v - u \rangle \quad \text{for all } v \in K_{\psi} \\ u &\in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \quad u \geq \psi \text{ a.e.} \\ g(x, u, \nabla u) &\in L^1(\Omega) \quad g(x, u, \nabla u)u \in L^1(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

* *Mathematics Subject Classifications:* 35J15, 35J70, 35J85.

Key words: Weighted Sobolev spaces, Hardy inequality, variational inequality, strongly nonlinear degenerated elliptic operators, truncations.

©2002 Southwest Texas State University.

Published December 28, 2002.

where $f \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ and $K_\psi = \{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega), v \geq \psi \text{ a.e.}\}$. Here ψ is a measurable function on Ω such that $\psi^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. For that the authors obtain the existence results by proving that the positive part u_ε^+ (resp. u_ε^-) of u_ε strongly converges to u^+ (resp. u^-) in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, where u_ε is a solution of the approximate problem. In the present paper, we study the variational degenerated inequalities. More precisely, we prove the existence of a solution for the problem (3.3) (see section 3), by using another approach based on the strong convergence of the truncations $T_k(u_\varepsilon)$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$. Moreover, in this paper, we assume only the weak integrability condition $\sigma^{1-q'} \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$ (see (2.11) below) instead of the stronger $\sigma^{1-q'} \in L^1(\Omega)$ as in [1]. This can be done by approximating Ω by a sequence of compact sets Ω_ε . Note that, in the non weighted case the same result is proved in [3] where $f \in L^1(\Omega)$. Let us point out that other works in this direction can be found in [6, 1].

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains some preliminaries and basic assumptions. In section 3 we state and prove our main results.

2 Preliminaries and basic assumption

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 1$), let $1 < p < \infty$, and let $w = \{w_i(x), 0 \leq i \leq N\}$ be a vector of weight functions, i.e. every component $w_i(x)$ is a measurable function which is strictly positive a.e. in Ω . Further, we suppose in all our considerations that for $0 \leq i \leq N$,

$$w_i \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega) \quad (2.1)$$

$$w_i^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega) \quad (2.2)$$

We define the weighted space $L^p(\Omega, \gamma)$ where γ is a weight function on Ω by,

$$L^p(\Omega, \gamma) = \{u = u(x), u\gamma^{1/p} \in L^p(\Omega)\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{p,\gamma} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p \gamma(x) dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

We denote by $W^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ the space of all real-valued functions $u \in L^p(\Omega, w_0)$ such that the derivatives in the sense of distributions satisfies

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^p(\Omega, w_i) \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, N,$$

which is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p,w} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p w_0(x) dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i(x) dx \right)^{1/p}. \quad (2.3)$$

Since we shall deal with the Dirichlet problem, we shall use the space

$$X = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \quad (2.4)$$

defined as the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm (2.3). Note that, $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ and $(X, \|\cdot\|_{1,p,w})$ is a reflexive Banach space.

We recall that the dual space of weighted Sobolev spaces $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ is equivalent to $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*)$, where $w^* = \{w_i^* = w_i^{1-p'}, \forall i = 0, \dots, N\}$, where p' is the conjugate of p i.e. $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ (for more details we refer to [5]).

Definition 2.1 Let Y be a separable reflexive Banach space, the operator B from Y to its dual Y^* is called of the calculus of variations type, if B is bounded and is of the form

$$B(u) = B(u, u), \quad (2.5)$$

where $(u, v) \rightarrow B(u, v)$ is an operator from $Y \times Y$ into Y^* satisfying the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall u \in Y, v \rightarrow B(u, v) \text{ is bounded hemicontinuous from } Y \text{ into } Y^* \\ \text{and } (B(u, u) - B(u, v), u - v) \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

$$\forall v \in Y, u \rightarrow B(u, v) \text{ is bounded hemicontinuous from } Y \text{ into } Y^*, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } Y \text{ and if } (B(u_n, u_n) - B(u_n, u), u_n - u) \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{then, } B(u_n, v) \rightharpoonup B(u, v) \text{ weakly in } Y^*, \forall v \in Y, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } Y \text{ and if } B(u_n, v) \rightharpoonup \psi \text{ weakly in } Y^*, \\ \text{then, } (B(u_n, v), u_n) \rightarrow (\psi, u). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Definition 2.2 Let Y be a reflexive Banach space, a bounded mapping B from Y to Y^* is called pseudo-monotone if for any sequence $u_n \in Y$ with $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in Y and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Bu_n, u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$, one has

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Bu_n, u_n - v \rangle \geq \langle Bu, u - v \rangle \quad \text{for all } v \in Y.$$

We start by stating the following assumptions:

Assumption (H1) The expression

$$\| |u| \|_X = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i(x) dx \right)^{1/p}$$

is a norm on X and it is equivalent to the norm (2.3). There exist a weight function σ on Ω and a parameter q , such that

$$1 < q < p + p', \quad (2.10)$$

$$\sigma^{1-q'} \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega), \quad (2.11)$$

with $q' = \frac{q}{q-1}$. The Hardy inequality,

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^q \sigma dx \right)^{1/q} \leq c \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i(x) dx \right)^{1/p}, \quad (2.12)$$

holds for every $u \in X$ with a constant $c > 0$ independent of u . Moreover, the imbedding

$$X \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega, \sigma), \quad (2.13)$$

expressed by the inequality (2.12) is compact.

Note that $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is a uniformly convex (and thus reflexive) Banach space.

Remark 2.1 If we assume that $w_0(x) \equiv 1$ and in addition the integrability condition: There exists $\nu \in]\frac{N}{p}, \infty[\cap]\frac{1}{p-1}, \infty[$ such that

$$w_i^{-\nu} \in L^1(\Omega)$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, N$ (which is stronger than (2.2)). Then

$$\|u\|_X = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i(x) dx \right)^{1/p}$$

is a norm defined on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ and is equivalent to (2.3). Moreover

$$W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega),$$

for all $1 \leq q < p_1^*$ if $p\nu < N(\nu + 1)$ and for all $q \geq 1$ if $p\nu \geq N(\nu + 1)$, where $p_1 = \frac{p\nu}{\nu+1}$ and $p_1^* = \frac{Np_1}{N-p_1} = \frac{Np\nu}{N(\nu+1)-p\nu}$ is the Sobolev conjugate of p_1 (see [5]). Thus the hypotheses (H1) is verified for $\sigma \equiv 1$ and for all $1 < q < \min\{p_1^*, p+p'\}$ if $p\nu < N(\nu + 1)$ and for all $1 < q < p+p'$ if $p\nu \geq N(\nu + 1)$.

Let A be a nonlinear operator from $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ into its dual $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*)$ defined by,

$$Au = -\operatorname{div}(a(x, u, \nabla u)),$$

where $a : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a Carathéodory vector function satisfying the following assumptions:

Assumption (H2)

$$|a_i(x, s, \xi)| \leq \beta w_i^{1/p}(x) [k(x) + \sigma^{1/p'} |s|^{q/p'} + \sum_{j=1}^N w_j^{1/p'}(x) |\xi_j|^{p-1}] \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N, \quad (2.14)$$

$$[a(x, s, \xi) - a(x, s, \eta)](\xi - \eta) > 0, \quad \text{for all } \xi \neq \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (2.15)$$

$$a(x, s, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq \alpha \sum_{i=1}^N w_i |\xi_i|^p, \quad (2.16)$$

where $k(x)$ is a positive function in $L^{p'}(\Omega)$ and α, β are strictly positive constants.

Assumption (H3) Let $g(x, s, \xi)$ be a Carathéodory function satisfying the following assumptions:

$$g(x, s, \xi)s \geq 0 \quad (2.17)$$

$$|g(x, s, \xi)| \leq b(|s|) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N w_i |\xi_i|^p + c(x) \right), \quad (2.18)$$

where $b : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous increasing function and $c(x)$ is a positive function which lies in $L^1(\Omega)$. Now we recall some lemmas introduced in [1] which will be used later.

Lemma 2.1 (cf. [1]) Let $g \in L^r(\Omega, \gamma)$ and let $g_n \in L^r(\Omega, \gamma)$, with $\|g_n\|_{r, \gamma} \leq c$ ($1 < r < \infty$). If $g_n(x) \rightarrow g(x)$ a.e. in Ω , then $g_n \rightharpoonup g$ weakly in $L^r(\Omega, \gamma)$, where γ is a weight function on Ω .

Lemma 2.2 (cf. [1]) Assume that (H1) holds. Let $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be uniformly Lipschitzian, with $F(0) = 0$. Let $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$. Then $F(u) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$. Moreover, if the set D of discontinuity points of F' is finite, then

$$\frac{\partial(F \circ u)}{\partial x_i} = \begin{cases} F'(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} & \text{a.e. in } \{x \in \Omega : u(x) \notin D\} \\ 0 & \text{a.e. in } \{x \in \Omega : u(x) \in D\}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.3 (cf. [1]) Assume that (H1) holds. Let $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$, and let $T_k(u)$, $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$, is the usual truncation then $T_k(u) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$. Moreover, we have

$$T_k(u) \rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w).$$

Lemma 2.4 Assume that (H1) holds. Let (u_n) be a sequence of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$. Then, $T_k(u_n) \rightharpoonup T_k(u)$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$

Proof. Since $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ and by (2.13) we have for a subsequence $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^q(\Omega, \sigma)$ and a.e. in Ω . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_k(u_n)\|_X^p &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| T_k'(u_n) \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i = \|u_n\|_X^p. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(T_k(u_n))$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$, hence by using (2.13), $T_k(u_n) \rightharpoonup T_k(u)$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$.

Lemma 2.5 (cf. [1]) Assume that (H1) and (H2) are satisfied, and let (u_n) be a sequence of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} [a(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) - a(x, u_n, \nabla u)] \nabla(u_n - u) \, dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$.

3 Main result

Let ψ be a measurable function with values in \mathbb{R} such that

$$\psi^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \cap L^\infty(\Omega). \quad (3.1)$$

Set

$$K_\psi = \{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \cap L^\infty(\Omega) \quad v \geq \psi \text{ a.e.}\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Note that (3.1) implies $K_\psi \neq \emptyset$. Consider the nonlinear problem with Dirichlet boundary conditions,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Au, v - u \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u, \nabla u)(v - u) dx &\geq \langle f, v - u \rangle \text{ for all } v \in K_\psi \\ u &\in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \quad u \geq \psi \text{ a.e.} \\ g(x, u, \nabla u) &\in L^1(\Omega), \quad g(x, u, \nabla u)u \in L^1(\Omega) \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Then, the following result can be proved for a solution u of this problem.

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that the assumptions (H1)–(H3) and (3.1) hold and let $f \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*)$. Then there exists at least one solution of (3.3).*

Remark 3.1 1) Theorem 3.1 can be generalized in weighted case to an analogous statement in [2].

2) Note that in [1] the authors have assumed that $\sigma^{1-q'} \in L^1(\Omega)$ which is stronger than (2.11).

In the proof of theorem 3.1 we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *Assume that f lies in $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*)$. If u is a solution of (P), then, u is also a solution of the variational inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Au, T_k(v - u) \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u, \nabla u)T_k(v - u) dx &\geq \langle f, T_k(v - u) \rangle \quad \forall k > 0, \\ \text{for all } v &\in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \quad v \geq \psi \text{ a.e.} \\ u &\in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \quad u \geq \psi \text{ a.e.} \\ g(x, u, \nabla u) &\in L^1(\Omega). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Conversely, if u is a solution of (3.4) then u is a solution of (3.3).

The proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of [3, Remark 2.2] for the non weighted case.

Proof of theorem 3.1 Step (1) The approximate problem and a priori estimate. Let Ω_ε be a sequence of compact subsets of Ω such that Ω_ε increases to Ω as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We consider the sequence of approximate problems,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Au_\varepsilon, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)(v - u_\varepsilon) dx &\geq \langle f, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle \\ v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \quad v &\geq \psi \text{ a.e.} \\ u_\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \quad u_\varepsilon &\geq \psi \text{ a.e.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where,

$$g_\varepsilon(x, s, \xi) = \frac{g(x, s, \xi)}{1 + \varepsilon|g(x, s, \xi)|} \chi_{\Omega_\varepsilon}(x),$$

and where $\chi_{\Omega_\varepsilon}$ is the characteristic function of Ω_ε . Note that $g_\varepsilon(x, s, \xi)$ satisfies the following conditions,

$$g_\varepsilon(x, s, \xi)s \geq 0, \quad |g_\varepsilon(x, s, \xi)| \leq |g(x, s, \xi)| \quad \text{and} \quad |g_\varepsilon(x, s, \xi)| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$

We define the operator $G_\varepsilon : X \rightarrow X^*$ by,

$$\langle G_\varepsilon u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} g_\varepsilon(x, u, \nabla u)v dx.$$

Thanks to Hölder's inequality we have for all $u \in X$ and $v \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} g_\varepsilon(x, u, \nabla u)v dx \right| &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |g_\varepsilon(x, u, \nabla u)|^{q'} \sigma^{-\frac{q'}{q}} dx \right)^{1/q'} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^q \sigma dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \sigma^{1-q'} dx \right)^{1/q'} \|v\|_{q, \sigma} \leq c_\varepsilon \|v\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

The last inequality is due to (2.11) and (2.13).

Lemma 3.2 *The operator $B_\varepsilon = A + G_\varepsilon$ from X into its dual X^* is pseudo-monotone. Moreover, B_ε is coercive, in the sense that: There exists $v_0 \in K_\psi$ such that*

$$\frac{\langle B_\varepsilon v, v - v_0 \rangle}{\|v\|} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as} \quad \|v\| \rightarrow \infty, \quad v \in K_\psi.$$

The proof of this lemma will be presented below. In view of lemma 3.2, (3.5) has a solution by the classical result (cf. Theorem 8.1 and Theorem 8.2 chapter 2 [7]).

With $v = \psi^+$ as test function in (3.5), we deduce that $\int_{\Omega} g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)(u_\varepsilon - \psi^+) \geq 0$, then, $\langle Au_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon \rangle \leq \langle f, u_\varepsilon - \psi^+ \rangle + \langle Au_\varepsilon, \psi^+ \rangle$, i.e.,

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \nabla u_\varepsilon dx \leq \langle f, u_\varepsilon - \psi^+ \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \frac{\partial \psi^+}{\partial x_i} dx,$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} w_i \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \right|^p dx \\
&= \alpha \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^p \\
&\leq \|f\|_{X^*} (\|u_{\varepsilon}\| + \|\psi^+\|) + \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{\Omega} |a_i(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon})|^{p'} w_i^{1-p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \psi^+}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i dx \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq \|f\|_{X^*} (\|u_{\varepsilon}\| + \|\psi^+\|) + \\
&\quad + c \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{\Omega} (k^{p'} + |u_{\varepsilon}|^q \sigma + \sum_{j=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_j} \right|^p w_j) dx \right)^{1/p'} \|\psi^+\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.13) the last inequality becomes,

$$\alpha \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^p \leq c_1 \|u_{\varepsilon}\| + c_2 \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{\frac{q}{p'}} + c_3 \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^{p-1} + c_4,$$

where c_i are various positive constants. Then, thanks to (2.10) we can deduce that u_{ε} remains bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$, i.e.,

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}\| \leq \beta_0, \quad (3.7)$$

where β_0 is some positive constant. Extracting a subsequence (still denoted by u_{ε}) we get

$$u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly in } X \text{ and a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Note that $u \geq \psi$ a.e.

Step (2) Strong convergence of $T_k(u_{\varepsilon})$. Thanks to (3.7) and (2.13) we can extract a subsequence still denoted by u_{ε} such that

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{\varepsilon} &\rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w), \\
u_{\varepsilon} &\rightarrow u \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Let $k > 0$ by lemma 2.4 we have

$$T_k(u_{\varepsilon}) \rightharpoonup T_k(u) \quad \text{weakly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Our objective is to prove that

$$T_k(u_{\varepsilon}) \rightarrow T_k(u) \quad \text{strongly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Fix $k > \|\psi^+\|_{\infty}$, and use the notation $z_{\varepsilon} = T_k(u_{\varepsilon}) - T_k(u)$. We use, as a test function in (3.5),

$$v_{\varepsilon} = u_{\varepsilon} - \eta \varphi_{\lambda}(z_{\varepsilon}) \quad (3.11)$$

where $\varphi_{\lambda}(s) = s e^{\lambda s^2}$ and $\eta = e^{-4\lambda k^2}$. Then we can check that v_{ε} is admissible test function. So that

$$-\langle Au_{\varepsilon}, \eta \varphi_{\lambda} z_{\varepsilon} \rangle - \int_{\Omega} g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \eta \varphi_{\lambda}(z_{\varepsilon}) dx \geq -\langle f, \eta \varphi_{\lambda}(z_{\varepsilon}) \rangle$$

which implies that

$$\langle Au_\varepsilon, \varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon) \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon) dx \leq \langle f, \varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon) \rangle. \quad (3.12)$$

Since $\varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon)$ is bounded in X and converges a.e. in Ω to zero and using (2.13), we have $\varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon) \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in X as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$\eta_1(\varepsilon) = \langle f, \varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon) \rangle \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.13)$$

and since $g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon) \geq 0$ in the subset $\{x \in \Omega : |u_\varepsilon(x)| \geq k\}$ hence (3.12) and (3.13) yield

$$\langle Au_\varepsilon, \varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon) \rangle + \int_{\{|u_\varepsilon| \leq k\}} g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon) dx \leq \eta_1(\varepsilon). \quad (3.14)$$

We study each term in the left hand side of (3.14). We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Au_\varepsilon, \varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon) \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \nabla(T_k(u_\varepsilon) - T_k(u)) \varphi'_\lambda(z_\varepsilon) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} a(x, T_k(u_\varepsilon), \nabla T_k(u_\varepsilon)) \nabla(T_k(u_\varepsilon) - T_k(u)) \varphi'_\lambda(z_\varepsilon) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\{|u_\varepsilon| > k\}} a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \nabla T_k(u) \varphi'_\lambda(z_\varepsilon) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (a(x, T_k(u_\varepsilon), \nabla T_k(u_\varepsilon)) - a(x, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u))) \nabla(T_k(u_\varepsilon) \\ &\quad - T_k(u)) \varphi'_\lambda(z_\varepsilon) dx + \eta_2(\varepsilon), \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_2(\varepsilon) &= \int_{\Omega} a(x, T_k(u_\varepsilon), \nabla T_k(u)) \nabla(T_k(u_\varepsilon) - T_k(u)) \varphi'_\lambda(z_\varepsilon) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\{|u_\varepsilon| > k\}} a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \nabla T_k(u) \varphi'_\lambda(z_\varepsilon) dx, \end{aligned}$$

which converges to 0 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\{|u_\varepsilon| \leq k\}} g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon) dx \right| \\
& \leq \int_{\{|u_\varepsilon| \leq k\}} b(k) [c(x) + \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i] |\varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon)| dx \\
& \leq b(k) \int_{\{|u_\varepsilon| \leq k\}} c(x) |\varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon)| dx + \frac{b(k)}{\alpha} \int_{\{|u_\varepsilon| \leq k\}} a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \nabla u_\varepsilon |\varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon)| dx \\
& = \eta_3(\varepsilon) + \frac{b(k)}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} a(x, T_k(u_\varepsilon), \nabla T_k(u_\varepsilon)) \nabla T_k(u_\varepsilon) |\varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon)| dx \\
& = \frac{b(k)}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} (a(x, T_k(u_\varepsilon), \nabla T_k(u_\varepsilon)) - a(x, T_k(u_\varepsilon), \nabla T_k(u))) \nabla (T_k(u_\varepsilon) \\
& \quad - T_k(u)) |\varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon)| dx + \eta_4(\varepsilon)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$\eta_3(\varepsilon) = b(k) \int_{\{|u_\varepsilon| \leq k\}} c(x) |\varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon)| dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_4(\varepsilon) &= \eta_3(\varepsilon) + \frac{b(k)}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} a(x, T_k(u_\varepsilon), \nabla T_k(u)) \nabla (T_k(u_\varepsilon) - T_k(u)) |\varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon)| dx \\
&\quad + \frac{b(k)}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} a(x, T_k(u_\varepsilon), \nabla T_k(u_\varepsilon)) \nabla T_k(u) |\varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon)| dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that, when $\lambda \geq \left(\frac{b(k)}{2\alpha}\right)^2$ we have

$$\varphi'_\lambda(s) - \frac{b(k)}{\alpha} |\varphi(s)| \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Which combining with (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} (a(x, T_k(u_\varepsilon), \nabla T_k(u_\varepsilon)) - a(x, T_k(u_\varepsilon), \nabla T_k(u))) \nabla (T_k(u_\varepsilon) - T_k(u)) dx \\
& \leq \eta_5(\varepsilon) = 2(\eta_1(\varepsilon) - \eta_2(\varepsilon) + \eta_4(\varepsilon)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally lemma 2.5 implies (3.10) for any fixed $k \geq \|\psi\|_\infty$.

Step (3) Passage to the limit. In view of (3.10) we have for a subsequence,

$$\nabla u_\varepsilon \rightarrow \nabla u \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \tag{3.17}$$

which with (3.8) imply,

$$\begin{aligned}
a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) &\rightarrow a(x, u, \nabla u) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \\
g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) &\rightarrow g(x, u, \nabla u) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \\
g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon &\rightarrow g(x, u, \nabla u) u \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

On the other hand, thanks to (2.14) and (3.7) we have $a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)$ is bounded in $\prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(\Omega, w_i^*)$ then by lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \rightharpoonup a(x, u, \nabla u) \quad \text{weakly in } \prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(\Omega, w_i^*). \quad (3.19)$$

We shall prove that,

$$g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \rightarrow g(x, u, \nabla u) \quad \text{strongly in } L^1(\Omega). \quad (3.20)$$

By (3.18), to apply Vitali's theorem it suffices to prove that $g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)$ is uniformly equi-integrable. Indeed, thanks to (2.17), (3.6) and (3.7) we obtain,

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega} g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon \, dx \leq c_0, \quad (3.21)$$

where c_0 is some positive constant. For any measurable subset E of Ω and any $m > 0$ we have,

$$\int_E |g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)| \, dx = \int_{E \cap X_m^\varepsilon} |g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)| \, dx + \int_{E \cap Y_m^\varepsilon} |g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)| \, dx$$

where,

$$X_m^\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega, |u_\varepsilon(x)| \leq m\}, \quad Y_m^\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega, |u_\varepsilon(x)| > m\}.$$

From these expressions, (2.18), and (3.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_E |g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)| \, dx \\ &= \int_{E \cap X_m^\varepsilon} |g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla T_m(u_\varepsilon))| \, dx + \int_{E \cap Y_m^\varepsilon} |g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)| \, dx \\ &\leq \int_{E \cap X_m^\varepsilon} |g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla T_m(u_\varepsilon))| \, dx + \frac{1}{m} \int_{\Omega} g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon \, dx \\ &\leq b(m) \int_E \left(\sum_{i=1}^N w_i \left| \frac{\partial T_m(u_\varepsilon)}{\partial x_i} \right|^p + c(x) \right) + \frac{c_0}{m}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Since the sequence $(\nabla T_m(u_\varepsilon))$ strongly converges in $\prod_{i=1}^N L^p(\Omega, w_i)$, then (3.22) implies the equi-integrability of $g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)$.

Moreover, since $g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω , then by (3.18), (3.21) and Fatou's lemma, we have $g(x, u, \nabla u) u \in L^1(\Omega)$. On the other hand, for $v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, set $h = k + \|v\|_\infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial T_k(v - u_\varepsilon)}{\partial x_i} \right| w_i^{1/p} &= \chi_{\{|v - u_\varepsilon| \leq k\}} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \right| w_i^{1/p} \\ &\leq \chi_{\{|u_\varepsilon| \leq h\}} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \right| w_i^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right| w_i^{1/p} + \left| \frac{\partial T_h(u_\varepsilon)}{\partial x_i} \right| w_i^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

which implies, using Vitali's theorem with (3.10) and (3.17) that

$$\nabla T_k(v - u_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \nabla T_k(v - u) \quad \text{strongly in } \prod_{i=1}^N L^p(\Omega, w_i) \quad (3.23)$$

for any $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. Thanks to lemma 3.1 and from (3.19), (3.20) and (3.23) we can pass to the limit in

$$\langle Au_\varepsilon, T_k(v - u_\varepsilon) \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) T_k(v - u_\varepsilon) \geq \langle f, T_k(v - u_\varepsilon) \rangle$$

and we obtain,

$$\langle Au, T_k(v - u) \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u, \nabla u) T_k(v - u) \geq \langle f, T_k(v - u) \rangle \quad (3.24)$$

for any $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and for all $k > 0$.

Taking for any $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ and $v \geq \psi$ the test function $T_m(v)$ which belongs to $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ for $m \geq \|\psi^+\|_\infty$ and passing to the limit in (3.24) as $m \rightarrow \infty$, then u is a solution of (3.4). Using again lemma 3.1 we obtain the desired result, i.e., u is a solution of (3.3).

Proof of lemma 3.2 By proposition 2.6 chapter 2 [7], it is sufficient to show that B_ε is of the calculus of variations type in the sense of definition 2.1. Indeed put,

$$b_1(u, v, \tilde{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, u, \nabla v) \nabla \tilde{w} \, dx, \quad b_2(u, \tilde{w}) = \int_{\Omega} g_\varepsilon(x, u, \nabla u) \tilde{w} \, dx.$$

Then the mapping $\tilde{w} \mapsto b_1(u, v, \tilde{w}) + b_2(u, \tilde{w})$ is continuous in X . Then

$$b_1(u, v, \tilde{w}) + b_2(u, \tilde{w}) = b(u, v, \tilde{w}) = \langle B_\varepsilon(u, v), \tilde{w} \rangle, \quad B_\varepsilon(u, v) \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*)$$

and we have

$$B_\varepsilon(u, u) = B_\varepsilon u.$$

Using (2.14) and Hölder's inequality we can show that A is bounded as in [4], and thanks to (3.6) B_ε is bounded. Then, it is sufficient to check (2.6)-(2.9).

Next we show that (2.6) and (2.7) are true. By (2.15) we have,

$$(B_\varepsilon(u, u) - B_\varepsilon(u, v), u - v) = b_1(u, u, u - v) - b_1(u, v, u - v) \geq 0.$$

The operator $v \rightarrow B_\varepsilon(u, v)$ is bounded hemicontinuous. Indeed, we have

$$a_i(x, u, \nabla(v_1 + \lambda v_2)) \rightarrow a_i(x, u, \nabla v_1) \quad \text{strongly in } L^{p'}(\Omega, w_i^*) \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.25)$$

On the other hand, $(g_\varepsilon(x, u_1 + \lambda u_2, \nabla(u_1 + \lambda u_2)))_\lambda$ is bounded in $L^{q'}(\Omega, \sigma^{1-q'})$ and $g_\varepsilon(x, u_1 + \lambda u_2, \nabla(u_1 + \lambda u_2)) \rightarrow g_\varepsilon(x, u_1, \nabla u_1)$ a.e. in Ω , hence lemma 2.1 gives

$$g_\varepsilon(x, u_1 + \lambda u_2, \nabla(u_1 + \lambda u_2)) \rightharpoonup g_\varepsilon(x, u_1, \nabla u_1) \quad (3.26)$$

weakly in $L^{q'}(\Omega, \sigma^{1-q'})$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

Using (3.25) and (3.26) we can write

$$b(u, v_1 + \lambda v_2, \tilde{w}) \rightarrow b(u, v_1, \tilde{w}) \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall u, v_i, \tilde{w} \in X.$$

Similarly we can prove (2.7).

Proof of assertion (2.8). Assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in X and $(B(u_n, u_n) - B(u_n, u), u_n - u) \rightarrow 0$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} & (B(u_n, u_n) - B(u_n, u), u_n - u) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} (a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) - a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u)) \nabla(u_n - u) \, dx \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

then, by lemma 2.5, $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in X , which gives

$$b(u_n, v, \tilde{w}) \rightarrow b(u, v, \tilde{w}) \quad \forall \tilde{w} \in X,$$

i.e., $B_{\varepsilon}(u_n, v) \rightharpoonup B_{\varepsilon}(u, v)$ weakly in X^* . It remains to prove (2.9). Assume that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly in } X \quad (3.27)$$

and that

$$B(u_n, v) \rightharpoonup \psi \quad \text{weakly in } X^*. \quad (3.28)$$

Thanks to (2.13), (2.14) and (3.27) we obtain,

$$a_i(x, u_n, \nabla v) \rightarrow a_i(x, u, \nabla v) \quad \text{in } L^{p'}(\Omega, w_i^*) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then,

$$b_1(u_n, v, u_n) \rightarrow b_1(u, v, u). \quad (3.29)$$

On the other hand, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |b_2(u_n, u_n - u)| &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)|^{q'} \sigma^{-\frac{q'}{q}} \, dx \right)^{1/q'} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_n - u|^q \sigma \, dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \sigma^{-\frac{q'}{q}} \, dx \right)^{1/q'} \|u_n - u\|_{L^q(\Omega, \sigma)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$b_2(u_n, u_n - u) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.30)$$

but in view of (3.28) and (3.29) we obtain

$$b_2(u_n, u) = (B_{\varepsilon}(u_n, v), u) - b_1(u_n, v, u) \rightarrow (\psi, u) - b_1(u, v, u)$$

and from (3.30) we have $b_2(u_n, u_n) \rightarrow (\psi, u) - b_1(u, v, u)$. Then,

$$(B_{\varepsilon}(u_n, v), u_n) = b_1(u_n, v, u_n) + b_2(u_n, u_n) \rightarrow (\psi, u).$$

Now show that B_ε is coercive. Let $v_0 \in K_\psi$. From Hölder's inequality, the growth condition (2.14) and the compact imbedding (2.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Av, v_0 \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, v, \nabla v) \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial x_i} dx \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{\Omega} |a_i(x, v, \nabla v)|^{p'} w_i^{-\frac{p'}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq c_1 \|v_0\| \left(\int_{\Omega} k(x)^{p'} + |v|^q \sigma + \sum_{j=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right|^p w_j dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq c_2 (c_3 + \|v\|^{\frac{q}{p'}} + \|v\|^{p-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where c_i are various constants. Thanks to (2.16), we obtain

$$\frac{\langle Av, v \rangle}{\|v\|} - \frac{\langle Av, v_0 \rangle}{\|v\|} \geq \alpha \|v\|^{p-1} - \|v\|^{p-2} - \|v\|^{\frac{q}{p'}-1} - \frac{c}{\|v\|}.$$

In view of (2.10) we have $p-1 > \frac{q}{p'} - 1$. Then,

$$\frac{\langle Av, v - v_0 \rangle}{\|v\|} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } \|v\| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $\langle G_\varepsilon v, v \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle G_\varepsilon v, v_0 \rangle$ is bounded, we have

$$\frac{\langle B_\varepsilon v, v - v_0 \rangle}{\|v\|} \geq \frac{\langle Av, v - v_0 \rangle}{\|v\|} - \frac{\langle G_\varepsilon v, v_0 \rangle}{\|v\|} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } \|v\| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark 3.2 Assumption (2.10) appears to be necessary to prove the boundedness of $(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ and the coercivity of the operator B_ε . While Assumption (2.11) is necessary to prove the boundedness of G_ε in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$. Thus, when $g \equiv 0$, we don't need to assume (2.11).

References

- [1] Y. AKDIM, E. AZROUL and A. BENKIRANE, *Existence of solutions for quasi-linear degenerated elliptic equations*, Electronic J. Diff. Eqns., vol. **2001** N 71 (2001) 1-19.
- [2] A. BENSOUSSAN, L. BOCCARDO and F. MURAT, *On a non linear partial differential equation having natural growth terms and unbounded solution*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **5** N4 (1988), 347-364.
- [3] A. BENKIRANE and A. ELMAHI, *Strongly nonlinear elliptic unilateral problems having natural growth terms and L^1 data*, Rendiconti di Matematica, Serie VII vol. **18**, (1998), 289-303.

- [4] P. DRABEK, A. KUFNER and V. MUSTONEN, *Pseudo-monotonicity and degenerated or singular elliptic operators*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. Vol. **58** (1998), 213-221.
- [5] P. DRABEK, A. KUFNER and F. NICOLosi, *Non linear elliptic equations, singular and degenerate cases*, University of West Bohemia, (1996).
- [6] P. DRABEK and F. NICOLosi, *Existence of Bounded Solutions for Some Degenerated Quasilinear Elliptic Equations*, Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata (IV), Vol. CLXV (1993), pp. 217-238.
- [7] J. L. LIONS, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod, Paris (1969).

YOUSSEF AKDIM (e-mail: y.akdim1@caramail.com)
ELHOUSSINE AZROUL (e-mail: elazroul@caramail.com)
ABDELMOUJIB BENKIRANE (e-mail: abenkirane@fsdmfes.ac.m)
Département de Mathématiques et Informatique
Faculté des Sciences Dhar-Mahraz
B.P 1796 Atlas Fès, Maroc