On the concircular curvature tensor of a (κ, μ)-manifold

Mukut Mani Tripathi and Jeong-Sik Kim

Dedicated to the memory of Grigorios TSAGAS (1935-2003)

Abstract

We give a classification of (κ, μ)-manifolds, whose concircular curvature tensor Z and Ricci tensor S satisfy $Z(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C25, 53D10.
Key words: Contact metric manifold, (κ, μ)-manifold, Sasakian manifold, Einstein manifold, η-Einstein manifold, concircular curvature tensor, Ricci tensor.

1 Introduction

A transformation of an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold M, which transforms every geodesic circle of M into a geodesic circle, is called a *concircular transformation* ([9], [16]). A concircular transformation is always a conformal transformation ([9]). Here geodesic circle means a curve in M whose first curvature is constant and whose second curvature is identically zero. Thus, the geometry of concircular transformations, that is, the concircular geometry, is a generalization of inversive geometry in the sense that the change of metric is more general than that induced by a circle preserving diffeomorphism (see also [3]). An interesting invariant of a concircular transformation is the *concircular curvature tensor* Z. It is defined by ([16], [17])

$$Z = R - \frac{r}{n(n-1)} R_0,$$

where R is the curvature tensor, r is the scalar curvature and

$$R_0(X, Y) W = g(Y, W) X - g(X, W) Y, \qquad X, Y, W \in TM.$$

Riemannian manifolds with vanishing concircular curvature tensor are of constant curvature. Thus, the concircular curvature tensor is a measure of the failure of a Riemannian manifold to be of constant curvature.

Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, Vol.9, No.2, 2004, pp. 104-114.

It is well known that the tangent sphere bundle of a flat Riemannian manifold admits a contact metric structure satisfying $R(X,Y)\xi = 0$ [2]. On the other hand, on a manifold M equipped with a Sasakian structure (η, ξ, φ, g), it follows that (see equation (2.6))

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y = R_0(X,Y)\xi, \quad X, Y \in TM.$$

As a generalization of both $R(X,Y)\xi = 0$ and the Sasakian case; D. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos and B. J. Papantoniou [5] considered the (κ, μ)-*nullity condition* (see Section 2) on a contact metric manifold and gave several reasons for studying it. Thus, they introduced the class of contact metric manifolds M with contact metric structures (η, ξ, φ, g), which satisfies

$$R(X,Y)\xi = (\kappa I + \mu h) R_0(X,Y)\xi, \qquad X, Y \in TM,$$

where $(\kappa, \mu) \in \mathbf{R}^2$ and $2h$ is the Lie derivative of φ in the direction ξ. A contact metric manifold belonging to this class is called a (κ, μ)-*manifold*. Characteristic examples of non-Sasakian (κ, μ)-manifolds are the tangent sphere bundles of Riemannian manifolds of constant sectional curvature not equal to one and certain Lie groups [8].

In a previous paper [6], D. E. Blair and the authors started a study of concircular curvature tensor of contact metric manifolds. Main result of this paper [6] states that a $(2n+1)$-dimensional $N(\kappa)$-contact metric manifold M satisfies $Z(\xi, X) \cdot Z = 0$ if and only if M is locally isometric to the sphere $S^{2n+1}(1)$, M is locally isometric to the Example 2.1 (Example 3.1 of [6]) or M is 3-dimensional and flat. An $N(\kappa)$-contact metric manifold is a (κ, μ)-manifold with $\mu = 0$. Example 2.1 is an $N(\kappa)$-contact metric manifold with $\kappa = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$, $n > 1$. In this example it is $Z(\xi, .)$ that vanishes while Z itself is not zero. B. J. Papantoniou [12] and D. Perrone [13] included the studies of contact metric manifolds satisfying $R(X, \xi) \cdot S = 0$, where S is the Ricci tensor. Motivated by these studies, we continue the study of the paper [6] and classify (κ, μ)-manifolds with concircular curvature tensor Z satisfying $Z(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$. In fact, we prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1 *A Ricci flat (κ, μ)-manifold must be flat and 3-dimensional.*

Theorem 1.2 *A non-Sasakian Einstein (κ, μ)-manifold is flat and 3-dimensional.*

Theorem 1.3 *Let M^{2n+1} be a non-Sasakian η-Einstein (κ, μ)-manifold. Then the concircular curvature tensor Z satisfies $Z(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$ if and only if M^{2n+1} is flat and 3-dimensional.*

Theorem 1.4 *Let M^{2n+1} be a (κ, μ)-manifold. The concircular curvature tensor Z satisfies $Z(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$ if and only if we have one of the following:*
(a) *M^{2n+1} is flat and 3-dimensional.*
(b) *M^{2n+1} is locally isometric to the* Example 2.1.
(c) *M^{2n+1} is an Einstein-Sasakian manifold.*

The section 2 contains a brief introduction to contact metric manifolds and $\mathcal{D}$-homothetic deformation. In this section we also recall Example 3.1 of [6] as Example 2.1. Section 3 contains some basic results. In the section 4, we prove the above theorems.

2 Contact metric manifolds

A differentiable 1-form η on a $(2n+1)$-dimensional differentiable manifold M is called a *contact form* if $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0$ everywhere on M, and M equipped with a contact form is a *contact manifold*. Since rank of $d\eta$ is $2n$ on the Grassmann algebra $\bigwedge T_p^* M$ at each point $p \in M$, therefore there exists a unique global vector field ξ, called the *characteristic vector field*, such that

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \qquad \text{and} \qquad d\eta(\xi, \cdot) = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover, it is well-known that there exist a Riemannian metric g and a $(1,1)$-tensor field φ such that

$$\varphi\xi = 0, \quad \eta \circ \varphi = 0, \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi), \tag{2.2}$$

$$\varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad d\eta(X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y), \tag{2.3}$$

$$g(X, Y) = g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y) \tag{2.4}$$

for $X, Y \in TM$. The structure (η, ξ, φ, g) is called a *contact metric structure* and the manifold M endowed with such a structure is said to be a *contact metric manifold*.

The contact metric structure (η, ξ, φ, g) on M gives rise to a natural almost Hermitian structure on the product manifold $M \times \mathbf{R}$. If this structure is integrable, then M is said to be a *Sasakian manifold*. A Sasakian manifold is characterized by the condition

$$\nabla_X \varphi = R_0(\xi, X), \qquad X \in TM, \tag{2.5}$$

where ∇ is Levi-Civita connection. Also, a contact metric manifold M is Sasakian if and only if the curvature tensor R satisfies

$$R(X, Y)\xi = R_0(X, Y)\xi, \quad X, Y \in TM. \tag{2.6}$$

In a contact metric manifold M, the $(1,1)$-tensor field h is symmetric and satisfies

$$h\xi = 0, \;\; h\varphi + \varphi h = 0, \;\; \nabla\xi = -\varphi - \varphi h, \;\; \operatorname{trace}(h) = \operatorname{trace}(\varphi h) = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

The (κ, μ)-*nullity distribution* $N(\kappa, \mu)$ ([5],[12]) of a contact metric manifold M is defined by

$$N(\kappa, \mu) : p \to N_p(\kappa, \mu) = \{W \in T_pM \mid R(X, Y)W = (\kappa I + \mu h)R_0(X, Y)W\}$$

for all $X, Y \in TM$, where $(\kappa, \mu) \in \mathbf{R}^2$. A contact metric manifold M with $\xi \in N(\kappa, \mu)$ is called a (κ, μ)-*manifold*. In this case, we have $h^2 = (\kappa - 1)\varphi^2$. In fact, (κ, μ)-manifolds exist for all values of $\kappa \leq 1$ and all μ. The class of (κ, μ)-manifolds contains Sasakian manifolds for $\kappa = 1$ and $h = 0$. If $\mu = 0$, the (κ, μ)-nullity distribution $N(\kappa, \mu)$ is reduced to the κ-*nullity distribution* $N(\kappa)$ [15]. If $\xi \in N(\kappa)$, then we call a contact metric manifold M an $N(\kappa)$-*contact metric manifold* [15]. For more details we refer to [1] and [4].

We also recall the notion of a $\mathcal{D}$-*homothetic deformation*. For a given contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g), this is the structure defined by

$$\bar{\eta} = a\eta, \quad \bar{\xi} = \frac{1}{a}\xi, \quad \bar{\varphi} = \varphi, \quad \bar{g} = ag + a(a-1)\eta \otimes \eta,$$

where a is a positive constant. While such a change preserves the state of being contact metric, K-contact, Sasakian or strongly pseudo-convex CR, it destroys a condition like $R(X,Y)\xi = 0$ or $R(X,Y)\xi = \kappa(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y)$. However the form of the (κ,μ)-nullity condition is preserved under a $\mathcal{D}$-homothetic deformation with

$$\bar{\kappa} = \frac{\kappa + a^2 - 1}{a^2}, \quad \bar{\mu} = \frac{\mu + 2a - 2}{a}.$$

Given a non-Sasakian (κ,μ)-manifold M, E. Boeckx [8] introduced an invariant

$$I_M = \frac{1 - \frac{\mu}{2}}{\sqrt{1-\kappa}}$$

and showed that for two non-Sasakian (κ,μ)-manifolds $(M_i,\varphi_i,\xi_i,\eta_i,g_i)$, $i = 1,2$, we have $I_{M_1} = I_{M_2}$ if and only if up to a $\mathcal{D}$-homothetic deformation, the two manifolds are locally isometric as contact metric manifolds. Thus we know all non-Sasakian (κ,μ)-manifolds locally as soon as we have for every odd dimension $2n+1$ and for every possible value of the invariant I, one (κ,μ)-manifold (M,φ,ξ,η,g) with $I_M = I$. For $I > -1$ such examples may be found from the standard contact metric structure on the tangent sphere bundle of a manifold of constant curvature c where we have $I = \frac{1+c}{|1-c|}$. E. Boeckx also gives a Lie algebra construction for any odd dimension and value of $I \leq -1$.

In the following, we recall Example 3.1 of [6].

Example 2.1 [6] For $n > 1$, the Boeckx invariant for a $(2n+1)$-dimensional $\left(1-\frac{1}{n},0\right)$-manifold is $\sqrt{n} > -1$. Therefore, we consider the tangent sphere bundle of an $(n+1)$-dimensional manifold of constant curvature c so chosen that the resulting $\mathcal{D}$-homothetic deformation will be a $\left(1-\frac{1}{n},0\right)$-manifold. That is for $\kappa = c(2-c)$ and $\mu = -2c$ we solve

$$1 - \frac{1}{n} = \frac{\kappa + a^2 - 1}{a^2}, \quad 0 = \frac{\mu + 2a - 2}{a}$$

for a and c. The result is

$$c = \frac{(\sqrt{n} \pm 1)^2}{n-1}, \quad a = 1 + c$$

and taking c and a to be these values we obtain a $N\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)$-contact metric manifold.

The above example is used in Theorem 1.4.

3 Some basic results

From the definition of the concircular curvature tensor Z, in an almost contact metric manifold M^{2n+1} we have

$$Z = R - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)} R_0. \tag{3.8}$$

For a (κ,μ)-manifold, we have

$$R(X,Y)\xi = (\kappa I + \mu h) R_0(X,Y)\xi, \tag{3.9}$$

which is equivalent to

$$R(\xi, X) = R_0(\xi, (\kappa I + \mu h) X). \tag{3.10}$$

From (3.9), we get

$$R(\xi, X)\xi = \kappa(\eta(X)\xi - X) - \mu h X. \tag{3.11}$$

Now, we prove the following

Proposition 3.1 *In a (κ, μ)-manifold M^{2n+1}, the concircular curvature tensor Z satisfies*

$$Z(X,Y)\xi = \left(\left(\kappa - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)}\right) I + \mu h\right) R_0(X,Y)\xi, \tag{3.12}$$

$$Z(\xi, X) = \left(\kappa - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)}\right) R_0(\xi, X) + \mu R_0(\xi, hX). \tag{3.13}$$

Consequently, we have

$$Z(\xi, X)\xi = \left(\kappa - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)}\right)(\eta(X)\xi - X) - \mu h X. \tag{3.14}$$

$$\eta(Z(X,Y)\xi) = 0, \tag{3.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(Z(\xi, X)Y) &= \left(\kappa - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)}\right)(g(X,Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)) \\ &+ \mu g(hX, Y). \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Proof. From (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) the equations (3.12) and (3.13) follow easily. □

Next, we have the following

Proposition 3.2 *In a (κ, μ)-manifold M^{2n+1}, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} S(Z(\xi, X)Y, \xi) &= 2n\kappa\mu g(hX, Y) \\ &+ 2n\kappa\left(\kappa - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)}\right)(g(X,Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S(Z(\xi, X)\xi, Y) &= 2n\kappa\left(\kappa - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)}\right)\eta(X)\eta(Y) \\ &- \left(\kappa - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)}\right) S(X,Y) - \mu S(hX, Y). \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. For a (κ, μ)-manifold M^{2n+1}, it is well known that

$$S(X, \xi) = 2n\kappa\eta(X). \tag{3.19}$$

From (3.19) and (3.16) we get (3.17), while (3.18) follows from (3.14) and (3.19). □

Now, we prove a key Lemma for later use.

Lemma 3.3 *Let M^{2n+1} be a (κ,μ)-manifold satisfying $Z(\xi,X)\cdot S=0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}(3.20)\qquad 0 &= \left(\kappa-\frac{r}{2n\,(2n+1)}\right)(S\,(X,Y)-2n\kappa g\,(X,Y)) \\ &+ \mu\,(S\,(hX,Y)-2n\kappa g\,(hX,Y))\,.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. In an almost contact metric manifold, the condition $Z\,(\xi,X)\cdot S=0$ implies that

$$(3.21)\qquad S\,(Z\,(\xi,X)\,Y,\xi)+S\,(Y,Z\,(\xi,X)\,\xi)=0,$$

which in view of (3.17) and (3.18) gives (3.20). □

It is well known that in a non-Sasakian (κ,μ)-manifold M^{2n+1} the Ricci operator Q is given by [5]

$$\begin{aligned}(3.22)\qquad Q &= (2(n-1)-n\mu)I+(2(n-1)+\mu)h \\ &+ (2(1-n)+n(2\kappa+\mu))\eta\otimes\xi.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the Ricci tensor S and the scalar curvature r are given by

$$\begin{aligned}(3.23)\qquad S\,(X,Y) &= (2\,(n-1)-n\mu)\,g\,(X,Y)+(2\,(n-1)+\mu)\,g\,(hX,Y) \\ &+ (2\,(1-n)+n\,(2\kappa+\mu))\,\eta\,(X)\,\eta\,(Y)\,,\end{aligned}$$

$$(3.24)\qquad r=2n\,(2n-2+\kappa-n\mu)\,.$$

From (3.23), we also have

$$\begin{aligned}(3.25)\qquad S\,(hX,Y) &= (2\,(n-1)-n\mu)\,g\,(hX,Y) \\ &- (\kappa-1)\,(2\,(n-1)+\mu)\,g\,(X,Y) \\ &+ (\kappa-1)\,(2\,(n-1)+\mu)\,\eta\,(X)\,\eta\,(Y)\,,\end{aligned}$$

where $\eta\circ h=0$, $h^2=(\kappa-1)\,\varphi^2$ and (2.4) are used.

We also recall the following theorems for later use.

Theorem 3.4 (Olszak [11] or see [4] pp. 98-99) *A contact metric manifold of constant curvature is necessarily a Sasakian manifold of constant curvature +1 or is 3-dimensional and flat.*

Theorem 3.5 (Blair [2] or see [4] p. 101) *Let M^{2n+1} be a contact metric manifold satisfying $R(X,Y)\xi=0$. Then, M^{2n+1} is locally isometric to $E^{n+1}(0)\times S^n(4)$ for $n>1$ and flat for $n=1$.*

4 Proof of Theorems

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let M^{2n+1} be a Ricci flat (κ,μ)-manifold. Then from (3.19), we get

$$0=S\,(\xi,\xi)=2n\kappa,$$

which implies that $\kappa = 0$. Using $\kappa = 0$ in (3.24) and (3.25), we get

$$n\mu = 2(n-1) \tag{4.26}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = S(hX,Y) &= (2(n-1)+\mu)(g(X,Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)) \\ &+ (2(n-1) - n\mu)\, g(hX,Y) \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

respectively. The above equation implies that

$$\mu = -2(n-1). \tag{4.28}$$

Since n is positive, from (4.26) and (4.28) we get $n = 1$ and consequently $\mu = 0$. Thus, in view of Theorem 3.5 the proof is complete. □

Now we give a proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. To prove that a non-Sasakian Einstein (κ,μ)-manifold is 3-dimensional and flat, we proceed as follows. If $QX = aX$ and since we know Q, we have

$$\begin{aligned} aX &= (2(n-1) - n\mu)X + (2(n-1)+\mu)hX \\ &+ (2(1-n) + n(2\kappa+\mu))\eta(X)\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Setting $X = \xi$, we get $a = 2n\kappa$. Applying to eigenvectors of h, say $hX = \lambda X$, $h\varphi X = -\lambda\varphi X$, and comparing we see that the coefficient of hX must vanish. Thus, we get $\mu = -2(n-1)$ and then

$$2n\kappa = 2(n-1) + 2n(n-1) = 2(n^2-1). \tag{4.30}$$

Therefore $\kappa = \frac{n^2-1}{n} < 1$, so $n = 1$ is the only case. This gives $\mu = 0$ which with $n = 1$ gives $\kappa = 0$. □

Theorem 1.2 is a generalization of Theorem 5.2 of [15], which states that an Einstein $N(\kappa)$-contact metric manifold of dimension ≥ 5 is necessarily Sasakian.

Before proving Theorem 1.3, we give a brief introduction to η-Einstein (κ,μ)-manifold. A contact metric manifold M is said to be *η-Einstein* ([10] or see [4] p. 105) if the Ricci tensor S satisfies

$$S = ag + b\eta\otimes\eta, \tag{4.31}$$

where a and b are some smooth functions on the manifold. In particular if $b = 0$, then M becomes an *Einstein manifold*. In dimensions ≥ 5 it is known that for any η-Einstein K-contact manifold, a and b are constnts [14].

Example 4.1 A contact metric manifold, obtained by a $\mathcal{D}$-homothetic deformation of the contact metric structure on the tangent sphere bundle of a Riemannian manifold M^{n+1} of constant curvature $\frac{n^2\pm 2n+1}{n^2-1}$, is a non-Sasakian η-Einstein (κ,μ)-manifold.

From (3.23) and (4.31), we see that a non-Sasakian (κ,μ)-manifold M^{2n+1} is η-Einstein if and only if $\mu=-2\,(n-1)$. In this case Ricci tensor is given by

$$S=2\left(n^2-1\right)g-2\left(n^2-n\kappa-1\right)\eta\otimes\eta. \tag{4.32}$$

Putting $\mu=-2\,(n-1)$ in (3.24), we get

$$r=2n\left(\kappa+2\,(n-1)\,(n+1)\right). \tag{4.33}$$

A 3-dimensional contact metric manifold is η-Einstein if and only if it is an $N\,(\kappa)$-contact metric manifold [7]. More precisely, in a 3-dimensional $N\,(\kappa)$-contact metric manifold, it follows that

$$S=\left(\frac{r}{2}-\kappa\right)g+\left(3\kappa-\frac{r}{2}\right)\eta\otimes\eta. \tag{4.34}$$

Now, we provide a proof of Theorem 1.3 as follows:

Proof of Theorem 1.3. From (3.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} S\left(Z\left(\xi,X\right)Y,\xi\right) &= 4n\left(1-n\right)\kappa g\left(hX,Y\right) \\ &+ 2n\kappa\left(\kappa-\frac{r}{2n\left(2n+1\right)}\right)\left(g\left(X,Y\right)-\eta(X)\eta(Y)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

In view of (4.32) and (3.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} S\left(Z\left(\xi,X\right)\xi,Y\right) &= 4\left(n-1\right)\left(n^2-1\right)g\left(hX,Y\right) \\ &- 2\left(n^2-1\right)\left(\kappa-\frac{r}{2n\left(2n+1\right)}\right)\left(g\left(X,Y\right)-\eta(X)\eta(Y)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

If M satisfies $Z\left(\xi,X\right)\cdot S=0$, from (4.35), (4.36) and (3.21), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= S\left(Z\left(\xi,X\right)Y,\xi\right)+S\left(Z\left(\xi,X\right)\xi,Y\right) \\ &= 2\left(1+n\kappa-n^2\right)\left(\kappa-\frac{r}{2n\left(2n+1\right)}\right)\left(g\left(X,Y\right)-\eta(X)\eta(Y)\right) \\ &- 4\left(n-1\right)\left(1+n\kappa-n^2\right)g\left(hX,Y\right). \end{aligned}$$

Contracting the above equation and using trace$(h)=0$, we get

$$4n\left(1+n\kappa-n^2\right)\left(\kappa-\frac{r}{2n\left(2n+1\right)}\right)=0.$$

In view of (4.33), $\kappa-\frac{r}{2n(2n+1)}=0$ is equivalent to $\kappa=\frac{n^2-1}{n}$, which is equivalent to $1+n\kappa-n^2=0$. In this case M^{2n+1} reduces to an Einstein manifold. Therefore in view of Theorem 1.2, M^{2n+1} is flat and 3-dimensional. The converse is straightforward. □

Finally, we prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let M be a $(2n+1)$-dimensional (κ,μ)-manifold satisfying $Z\left(\xi,X\right)\cdot S=0$. We have the following four possible cases.

Case I. $\kappa = 0 = \mu$. From (3.9) we have $R(X,Y)\xi = 0$. Thus, in view of Theorem 3.5, M satisfies the statement **(a)**.

Case II. $\kappa \neq 0 = \mu$. Using $\mu = 0$ in (3.20), we have

$$\left(\kappa - \frac{r}{2n\,(2n+1)}\right)(S\,(X,Y) - 2n\kappa g\,(X,Y)) = 0. \tag{4.37}$$

Therefore, either $r = 2n\,(2n+1)\,\kappa$ or $S = 2n\kappa g$. In the second case M^{2n+1} reduces to an Einstein manifold. Therefore in view of Theorem 1.2, we have either the statement **(a)** or the statement **(c)**.

If $r = 2n\,(2n+1)\,\kappa$, we note from (3.24) that the scalar curvature of an $N(\kappa)$-contact metric manifold is $r = 2n\,(2n-2+\kappa)$. Comparing gives $\kappa = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ and hence M is locally isometric to the Example 2.1 for $n > 1$ and to the flat case if $n = 1$. This is the statement **(b)**. Conversely it is straightforward to check that when $\kappa = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$, $QX = 2(n-1)(X + hX)$ and in turn $Z(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$.

Case III. $\kappa = 0 \neq \mu$.

Case IIIa. $\kappa = 0 \neq \mu$ and $n = 1$. Using $\kappa = 0$ and $n = 1$ in (3.23), (3.20), (3.25) we get

$$S\,(X,Y) = -\mu\,(g\,(X,Y) - \eta\,(X)\,\eta\,(Y)) + \mu g\,(hX,Y)\,,$$

$$rS\,(X,Y) = 6\mu S\,(hX,Y)\,,$$

$$S\,(hX,Y) = -\mu g\,(hX,Y) + \mu\,(g\,(X,Y) - \eta\,(X)\,\eta\,(Y))$$

respectively. From the above three relations, we get $\left(\frac{r}{6\mu} + 1\right) S\,(X,Y) = 0$. Either $\frac{r}{6\mu} + 1 = 0$ or $S = 0$. If $\frac{r}{6\mu} + 1 = 0$, then $r = -6\mu$. Putting $\kappa = 0$ and $n = 1$ in (3.24), we get $r = -2\mu$. Thus $\frac{r}{6\mu} + 1 = 0$ is not possible. If $S = 0$, then in view of Theorem 1.1, we get $\mu = 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus, the Case IIIa is not possible.

Case IIIb. $\kappa = 0 \neq \mu$ and $n > 1$. Using $\kappa = 0$ in (3.23), (3.20), (3.25) we get

$$\begin{aligned} S\,(X,Y) &= (2\,(n-1) - n\mu)\,(g\,(X,Y) - \eta\,(X)\,\eta\,(Y)) \\ &\quad + (2\,(n-1) + \mu)\,g\,(hX,Y)\,, \end{aligned}$$

$$rS\,(X,Y) = 2n\,(2n+1)\,\mu S\,(hX,Y)\,,$$

$$\begin{aligned} S\,(hX,Y) &= (2\,(n-1) - n\mu)\,g\,(hX,Y) \\ &\quad + (2\,(n-1) + \mu)\,(g\,(X,Y) - \eta\,(X)\,\eta\,(Y)) \end{aligned}$$

respectively. From the above three equations, we get

$$S\,(X,Y) = a(g(X,Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y))$$

for some suitable a. Now, in view of Theorem 1.3, we see that the Case IIIb is also not possible.

Case IV. $\kappa \neq 0 \neq \mu$.

Case IVa. $\kappa \neq 0 \neq \mu$ and $n = 1$. Putting $n = 1$ in (3.23), (3.20), (3.25), we get

$$S\,(X,Y) = -\mu g\,(X,Y) + \mu g\,(hX,Y) + (2\kappa + \mu)\,\eta\,(X)\,\eta\,(Y)\,,$$

$$\left(\kappa - \frac{r}{6}\right) S(X,Y) = 2\kappa \left(\kappa - \frac{r}{6}\right) g(X,Y) + 2\kappa\mu g(hX,Y) - \mu S(hX,Y),$$

$$S(hX,Y) = -\mu g(hX,Y) - (\kappa - 1)\mu g(X,Y) + (\kappa - 1)\mu\eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

respectively. Eliminating $g(hX,Y)$ and $S(hX,Y)$ from the above three equations, we have

$$S(X,Y) = ag(X,Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for some suitable a and b. Thus, M is an η-Einstein manifold. Since in the η-Einstein case $\mu = -2(n-1)$, therefore for $n = 1$, we get $\mu = 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus the Case IVa is not possible.

Case IVb. $\kappa \neq 0 \neq \mu$ and $n > 1$. After eliminating $g(hX,Y)$ and $S(hX,Y)$ from (3.23), (3.20) and (3.25); we get $S(X,Y) = ag(X,Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y)$, for some suitable a and b. Hence, in view of Theorem 1.3, the Case IVb also does not exist. Thus the proof is complete. □

Acknowledgement. The authors are thankful to Professor D. E. Blair for his helpful comments in preparation of this paper. They are also thankful to the referee for some comments towards improvement of this paper.

References

[1] C. Baikoussis, D. E. Blair and T. Koufogiorgos, *A decomposition of the curvature tensor of a contact manifold satisfying* $R(X,Y)\xi = k(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y)$, Mathematics Technical Report, University of Ioannina, 1992.

[2] D. E. Blair, *Two remarks on contact metric structures*, Tôhoku Math. J. **29**(1977), 319-324.

[3] D. E. Blair, *Inversion theory and conformal mapping*, Student Mathematical Library 9, American Mathematical Society, 2000.

[4] D. E. Blair, *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*, Progress in Mathematics, 203. Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.

[5] D. E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos and B. J. Papantoniou, *Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition*, Israel J. Math. 91 (1995), 1-3, 189-214.

[6] D. E. Blair, J.-S. Kim and M. M. Tripathi, *On the concircular curvature tensor of a contact metric manifold*, preprint.

[7] D. E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos and R. Sharma, *A classification of 3-dimensional contact metric manifolds with* $Q\phi = \phi Q$, Kodai Math. J. 13 (1990), 3, 391-401.

[8] E. Boeckx, *A full classification of contact metric* (k,μ)*-spaces*, Illinois J. Math. 44 (2000), 212-219.

[9] W. Kuhnel, *Conformal transformations between Einstein spaces*, Conformal geometry (Bonn, 1985/1986), 105-146, Aspects Math., E12, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1988.

[10] M. Okumura, *Some remarks on space with a certain contact structure*, Tôhoku Math. J. 14 (1962), 135-145.

[11] Z. Olszak, *On contact metric manifolds*, Tôhoku Math. J. 31 (1979), 247-253.

[12] B. J. Papantoniou, *Contact Riemannian manifolds satisfying* $R(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$ *and* $\xi \in (k, \mu)$*-nullity distribution*, Yokohama Math. J. 40 (1993) 2, 149-161.

[13] D. Perrone, *Contact Riemannian manifolds satisfying* $R(X, \xi) \cdot R = 0$, Yokohama Math. J. 39 (1992), 2, 141-149.

[14] S. Tanno, *Isometric immersions of Sasakian manifolds in spheres*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 21 (1969), 448-458.

[15] S. Tanno, *Ricci curvatures of contact Riemannian manifolds*, Tôhoku Math. J. 40 (1988), 441-448.

[16] K. Yano, *Concircular geometry I. Concircular transformations*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo 16 (1940), 195-200.

[17] K. Yano and S. Bochner, *Curvature and Betti numbers*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 32, Princeton University Press, 1953.

Department of Mathematics and Astronomy
Lucknow University, Lucknow-226 007, India
e-mail address: mmt66@satyam.net.in

Department of Mathematics Education
Sunchon National University, Sunchon 540-742, South Korea
e-mail address: jskim315@hotmail.com