

FEKETE-SZEGÖ RESULTS FOR CERTAIN CLASS OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS USING Q -DERIVATIVE OPERATOR

A. O. MOSTAFA AND G. M. EL-HAWSH

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we introduce the class $S_{\lambda,b}^*(q,\phi)$ of univalent function $f(z)$ for which $1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{zD_q f(z)}{(1-\lambda)f(z)+\lambda zD_q f(z)} - 1 \right] \prec \phi(z)$ ($b \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, $0 < q < 1$). Sharp bounds for the Fekete-Szegö functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ are obtained..

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45.

Keywords: Analytic function, subordination, Fekete-Szegö problem, univalent function and q -derivative operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

Denote by \mathbb{A} the class of analytic univalent analytic functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (z \in \mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}). \quad (1.1)$$

A function $f(z) \in \mathbb{A}$ is said to be in the class $S^*(\alpha)$ of starlike functions of order α (see [14]) if :

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1).$$

For two functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, analytic in \mathbb{U} , the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ ($f(z) \prec g(z)$) in \mathbb{U} , if there exists a function $\omega(z)$, analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$, $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$) and if $g(z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then (see for details [4] and also [11]):

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \iff f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

Let $\phi(z)$ be an analytic function with positive real part on \mathbb{U} with $\phi(0) = 1$, $\phi'(0) > 0$ which maps \mathbb{U} onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and is symmetric with respect to the real axis.

For function $f(z) \in \mathbb{A}$, Ma and Minda [10] introduced the class $S^*(\phi)$ as follows:

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \phi(z).$$

For a function $f(z) \in \mathbb{A}$ given by (1.1) and $0 < q < 1$, the q -derivative of a function $f(z)$ is defined by ([6], [7], [15], [16] and [2]).

$$D_q f(z) = \frac{f(qz) - f(z)}{(q-1)z}, z \neq 0. \quad (1.2)$$

$D_q f(0) = f'(0)$ and $D_q^2 f(z) = D_q(D_q f(z))$. From (1.2), we deduce that

$$D_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1},$$

where

$$[k]_q = \frac{1 - q^k}{1 - q}.$$

As $q \rightarrow 1^-$, $[k]_q \rightarrow k$, so $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} D_q f(z) = f'(z)$.

Making use of the q -derivative D_q , we introduce the class $S_{\lambda,b}^*(q, \phi)$ as follows:

Definition 1. A function $f(z) \in \mathbb{A}$ is said to be in the class $S_{\lambda,b}^*(q, \phi)$, if and only if

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{zD_q f(z)}{(1-\lambda)f(z) + \lambda z D_q f(z)} - 1 \right] \prec \phi(z) \quad (0 \leq \lambda < 1, b \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, 0 < q < 1).$$

We note that:

- (i) $S_{0,b}^*(q, \phi) = S_b^*(q, \phi)$ (see [15]);
- (ii) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} S_{0,b}^*(q, \phi) = S_b^*(\phi)$ (see [13]);
- (iii) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} S_{0,b}^*\left(q, \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right) = S_b^*(A, B)$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$) (see [13]);
- (iv) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} S_{0,b}^*\left(q, \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = S^*(b)$ (see [12] and also [3]);
- (v) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} S_{0,b}^*\left(q, \frac{1+(1-2\rho)z}{1-z}\right) = S_b^*(\rho)$ ($0 \leq \rho < 1$) (see [5]);
- (vi) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} S_{0,1}^*(q, \phi) = S^*(\phi)$ (see [10]);
- (vii) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} S_{0,(1-\delta)e^{-i\rho} \cos \rho}^*\left(q, \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = S^*(\rho, \delta)$ ($|\rho| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \leq \delta < 1$) (see [9], [8]);
- (viii) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} S_{0,be^{-i\rho} \cos \rho}^*\left(q, \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = S^\rho(b)$ ($|\rho| < \frac{\pi}{2}$) (see [1]).

Also, we note that:

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad & \lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} S_{\lambda,b}^* \left(q, \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = S_{\lambda,b}^* (0 \leq \lambda < 1, b \in \mathbb{C}^*) \\
&= \left\{ f(z) \in \mathbb{A} : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{zf'(z)}{(1-\lambda)f(z)+\lambda zf'(z)} - 1 \right] \right\} > 0 \right\}; \\
(ii) \quad & \lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} S_{\lambda,b}^* (q, \phi) = S_{\lambda,b}^* (\phi) (0 \leq \lambda < 1, b \in \mathbb{C}^*) \\
&= \left\{ f(z) \in \mathbb{A} : 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{zf'(z)}{(1-\lambda)f(z)+\lambda zf'(z)} - 1 \right] \prec \phi(z) \right\}; \\
(iii) \quad & \lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} S_{0,b}^* \left(q, \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\sigma \right) = S_b^* (\sigma) (0 < \sigma \leq 1) \\
&= \left\{ f(z) \in \mathbb{A} : \left| \arg \left[1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right) \right] \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\sigma \right\}; \\
(iv) \quad & \lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} S_{\lambda, (1-\delta)e^{-i\rho} \cos \rho}^* \left(q, \frac{1+A_z}{1+B_z} \right) = S_\lambda^* (\delta, \rho; A, B) \\
&= \left\{ f(z) \in \mathbb{A} : e^{i\rho} \left[\frac{zf'(z)}{(1-\lambda)f(z)+\lambda zf'(z)} \right] \prec (1-\delta) \frac{1+A_z}{1+B_z} \cos \rho + \delta \cos \rho + i \sin \rho, \right. \\
&\quad \left. (|\rho| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \leq \delta < 1, 0 \leq \lambda < 1, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1) \right\}; \\
(v) \quad & \lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} S_{0, (1-\delta)e^{-i\rho} \cos \rho}^* (q, \phi) = S^* (\delta, \rho; \phi) (|\rho| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \leq \delta < 1) \\
&= \left\{ f(z) \in \mathbb{A} : e^{i\rho} \left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right] \prec (1-\delta) \phi(z) \cos \rho + \delta \cos \rho + i \sin \rho \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to prove our results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 [10]. If $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$ is a function with positive real part in \mathbb{U} and μ is a complex number, then

$$|c_2 - \mu c_1^2| \leq 2 \max \{1; |2\mu - 1|\}.$$

The result is sharp for the function

$$p(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \text{ and } p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

Lemma 2 [10]. If $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$ is an analytic function with a positive real part in \mathbb{U} , then

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -4v + 2, & \text{if } v \leq 0, \\ 2, & \text{if } 0 \leq v \leq 1, \\ 4v - 2, & \text{if } v \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

when $v < 0$ or $v > 1$, the equality holds if and only if $p(z)$ is $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ or one of its rotations. If $0 < v < 1$, then the equality holds if and only if $p(z)$ is $\frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}$ or one of its rotations. If $v = 0$, the equality holds if and only if

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{2} \right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{2} \right) \frac{1-z}{1+z} \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1),$$

or one of its rotations. If $v = 1$, the equality holds if and only if

$$\frac{1}{p(z)} = \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{2} \right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{2} \right) \frac{1-z}{1+z} \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1),$$

or one of its rotations. Also the above upper bound is sharp, and it can be improved as follows when $0 < v < 1$:

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| + v |c_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad \left(0 < v \leq \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

and

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| + (1-v) |c_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} < v < 1 \right).$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that $\phi(0) = 1, \phi'(0) > 0, 0 \leq \lambda < 1, b \in \mathbb{C}^*, 0 < q < 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Theorem 1. Let $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \dots$ with $B_1 \neq 0$. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_{\lambda,b}^*(q, \phi)$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|bB_1|}{q(1-\lambda)(1+q)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + [(1+\lambda q) - \mu(1+q)] \frac{bB_1}{q(1-\lambda)} \right| \right\}, B_1 \neq 0, \quad (2.1)$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. If $f(z) \in S_{\lambda,b}^*(q, \phi)$, then there is a Schwarz function ω , analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ in \mathbb{U} such that

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{zD_q f(z)}{(1-\lambda)f(z) + \lambda z D_q f(z)} - 1 \right] = \phi(\omega(z)). \quad (2.2)$$

Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + \omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (2.3)$$

Since $\omega(z)$ is a Schwarz function, we see that $\operatorname{Re} \{p(z)\} > 0$ and $p(0) = 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\omega(z)) &= \phi\left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}\right) \\ &= \phi\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[c_1 z + \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2}\right) z^2 + \left(c_3 - c_1 c_2 + \frac{c_1^3}{4}\right) z^3 + \dots\right]\right\} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1 B_1 z + \left[\frac{1}{2}B_1\left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4}c_1^2 B_2\right] z^2 + \dots .\end{aligned}\quad (2.4)$$

Now by substituting (2.4) in (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&1 + \frac{1}{b}\left[\frac{zD_q f(z)}{(1-\lambda)f(z) + \lambda z D_q f(z)} - 1\right] \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1 B_1 z + \left[\frac{1}{2}B_1\left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4}c_1^2 B_2\right] z^2 + \dots .\end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain

$$q(1-\lambda)a_2 = \frac{1}{2}bc_1B_1,$$

$$\begin{aligned}&q(1-\lambda)(q+1)a_3 + q(1-\lambda)(1+\lambda q)a_2^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}bB_1\left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4}bB_2c_1^2,\end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently,

$$a_2 = \frac{bc_1B_1}{2q(1-\lambda)},$$

$$a_3 = \frac{bB_1}{2q(1-\lambda)(q+1)} \left\{ c_2 - \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \frac{(1+\lambda q)bB_1}{q(1-\lambda)} \right] c_1^2 \right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{bB_1}{2q(1-\lambda)(q+1)} [c_2 - vc_1^2], \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$v = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \left[\frac{(1+\lambda q)}{q(1-\lambda)} - \frac{\mu(q+1)}{q(1-\lambda)} \right] bB_1 \right\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Our result now follows by using Lemma 1. The result is sharp for the functions

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{zD_q f(z)}{(1-\lambda)f(z) + \lambda z D_q f(z)} - 1 \right] = \phi(z^2)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{zD_q f(z)}{(1-\lambda)f(z) + \lambda z D_q f(z)} - 1 \right] = \phi(z).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

Remark 1.

(i) Putting $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 1, we get the result obtained by Seoudy and Aouf [15, Theorem 1];

(ii) Putting $q \rightarrow 1^-$, $b = (1-\delta)e^{-i\rho} \cos \rho$ ($|\rho| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$) and $\phi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 1, we get the result obtained by Keogh and Markes [8, Theorem 1];

(iii) Putting $q \rightarrow 1^-$, $b = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 1, we get the result obtained by Ma and Minda [10].

Also, we note that

Putting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1. Let $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \dots$ with $B_1 \neq 0$. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_{\lambda,b}^*(\phi)$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|bB_1|}{2(1-\lambda)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{(1+\lambda-2\mu)}{(1-\lambda)} bB_1 \right| \right\}, B_1 \neq 0.$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ and $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following result which improves the result of Ravichandran et al. [13, Theorem 4.1].

Corollary 2. Let $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \dots$ with $B_1 \neq 0$. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_b^*(\phi)$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|bB_1|}{2} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + (1-2\mu) bB_1 \right| \right\}, B_1 \neq 0.$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ and $\phi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3. Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_{\lambda,b}^*$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b|}{(1-\lambda)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| 1 + \frac{2(1+\lambda-2\mu)}{(1-\lambda)} b \right| \right\}.$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $q \rightarrow 1^-$, $b = (1-\delta)e^{-i\rho} \cos \rho$ ($|\rho| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$)

and $\phi(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$) in Theorem 1, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4. Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_\lambda^*(\delta, \rho; A, B)$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{(A-B)(1-\delta)\cos \rho}{2(1-\lambda)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| -B + \frac{(A-B)(1+\lambda)}{(1-\lambda)} - \frac{2\rho(A-B)(1-\delta)e^{-i\rho}\cos \rho}{(1-\lambda)} \right| \right\}.$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $q \rightarrow 1^-, b = (1 - \delta) e^{-i\rho} \cos \rho$ ($|\rho| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \leq \delta < 1$) and $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 5. Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S^*(\delta, \rho; \phi)$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{(1-\delta)B_1 \cos \rho}{2} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + (1-\delta) B_1 \cos \rho e^{-i\rho} - 2\rho B_1 (1-\delta) \cos \rho e^{-i\rho} \right| \right\}.$$

The result is sharp.

Theorem 2. Let $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \dots$ ($B_i > 0, i = 1, 2; b > 0$). If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_{\lambda,b}^*(q, \phi)$, then

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{q(B_2 - B_1)(1 - \lambda) + (1 + \lambda q)bB_1^2}{bB_1^2(1 + q)}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{q(B_2 + B_1)(1 - \lambda) + (1 + \lambda q)bB_1^2}{bB_1^2(1 + q)}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\sigma_3 = \frac{qB_2(1 - \lambda) + (1 + \lambda q)bB_1^2}{bB_1^2(1 + q)}. \quad (2.9)$$

If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_{\lambda,b}^*(q, \phi)$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{b}{q(1-\lambda)(1+q)} \left\{ B_2 + \frac{bB_1^2}{q(1-\lambda)} [(1+\lambda q) - \mu(1+q)] \right\} & \mu \leq \sigma_1, \\ \frac{bB_1}{q(1-\lambda)(1+q)} & \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2, \\ \frac{b}{q(1-\lambda)(1+q)} \left\{ -B_2 - \frac{bB_1^2}{q(1-\lambda)} [(1+\lambda q) - \mu(1+q)] \right\} & \mu \geq \sigma_2. \end{cases}$$

Further, If $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &+ \frac{q(1-\lambda)}{(1+q)bB_1^2} \left\{ B_1 - B_2 - \frac{bB_1^2}{q(1-\lambda)} [(1+\lambda q) - \mu(1+q)] \right\} |a_2|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{bB_1}{q(1-\lambda)(1+q)}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\sigma_3 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &+ \frac{q(1-\lambda)}{(1+q)bB_1^2} \left\{ B_1 + B_2 + \frac{bB_1^2}{q(1-\lambda)} [(1+\lambda q) - \mu(1+q)] \right\} |a_2|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{bB_1}{q(1-\lambda)(1+q)}. \end{aligned}$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. The results of Theorem 2 follows by applying Lemma 2 to (2.5). To show that the bounds are sharp, we define the functions $\chi_{\phi n}$ ($n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$), F_ϵ and ξ_ϵ ($0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$), respectively, by

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{z D_q \chi_{\phi n}(z)}{(1-\lambda) \chi_{\phi n}(z) + \lambda z D_q \chi_{\phi n}(z)} - 1 \right] = \phi(z^{n-1}),$$

$$\chi_{\phi n}(0) = 0 = \chi'_{\phi n}(0) - 1,$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{z D_q F_\epsilon(z)}{(1-\lambda) F_\epsilon(z) + \lambda z D_q F_\epsilon(z)} - 1 \right] = \phi \left(\frac{z(z+\varepsilon)}{1+\varepsilon z} \right),$$

$$F_\epsilon(0) = 0 = F'_\epsilon(0) - 1$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{z D_q \xi_\epsilon(z)}{(1-\lambda) \xi_\epsilon(z) + \lambda z D_q \xi_\epsilon(z)} - 1 \right] = \phi \left(-\frac{1+\varepsilon z}{z(z+\varepsilon)} \right),$$

$$\xi_\epsilon(0) = 0 = \xi'_\epsilon(0) - 1.$$

Clearly, the functions $\chi_{\phi n}$, F_ϵ and $\xi_\epsilon \in S_{\lambda,b}^*(q, \phi)$. If $\mu < \sigma_1$ or $\mu > \sigma_2$, then the equality holds if and only if $f(z)$ is $\chi_{\phi 2}$, or one of its rotations. When $\sigma_1 < \mu < \sigma_2$, the equality holds if and only if $f(z)$ is $\chi_{\phi 3}$, or one of its rotations. If $\mu = \sigma_1$, then the equality holds if and only if $f(z)$ is F_ϵ , or one of its rotations. If $\mu = \sigma_2$, then the equality holds if and only if $f(z)$ is ξ_ϵ , or one of its rotations. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. ■

Remark 2.

- (i) Putting $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 2, we get the result obtained by Seoudy and Aouf [15, Theorem 3];
- (ii) Putting $q \rightarrow 1^-$, $b = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 2, we get the result obtained by Ma and Minda [10].

Putting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 6. Let $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \dots$ ($B_i > 0, i = 1, 2; b > 0$). If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_{\lambda,b}^*(\phi)$, then

$$\sigma_4 = \frac{(B_2 - B_1)(1 - \lambda) + (1 + \lambda)bB_1^2}{2bB_1^2},$$

$$\sigma_5 = \frac{(B_2 + B_1)(1 - \lambda) + (1 + \lambda)bB_1^2}{2bB_1^2},$$

$$\sigma_6 = \frac{B_2(1 - \lambda) + (1 + \lambda)bB_1^2}{2bB_1^2}.$$

If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_{\lambda,b}^*(\phi)$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{b}{2(1-\lambda)} \left[B_2 + \frac{bB_1^2(1+\lambda-2\mu)}{(1-\lambda)} \right] & \mu \leq \sigma_4, \\ \frac{bB_1}{2(1-\lambda)} & \sigma_4 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_5, \\ \frac{b}{2(1-\lambda)} \left[-B_2 - \frac{bB_1^2(1+\lambda-2\mu)}{(1-\lambda)} \right] & \mu \geq \sigma_5. \end{cases}$$

Further, If $\sigma_4 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_6$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &+ \frac{(1-\lambda)}{2bB_1^2} \left[B_1 - B_2 - \frac{bB_1^2(1+\lambda-2\mu)}{(1-\lambda)} \right] |a_2|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{bB_1}{2(1-\lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\sigma_6 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_5$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &+ \frac{(1-\lambda)}{2bB_1^2} \left[B_1 + B_2 + \frac{bB_1^2(1+\lambda-2\mu)}{(1-\lambda)} \right] |a_2|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{bB_1}{2(1-\lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ and $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 7. Let $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \dots$ ($B_i > 0, i = 1, 2; b > 0$). If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_{\lambda,b}^*(\phi)$, then

$$\sigma_7 = \frac{B_2 - B_1 + bB_1^2}{2bB_1^2},$$

$$\sigma_8 = \frac{B_2 + B_1 + bB_1^2}{2bB_1^2},$$

$$\sigma_9 = \frac{B_2 + bB_1^2}{2bB_1^2}.$$

If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_{\lambda,b}^*(\phi)$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{b}{2} [B_2 + bB_1^2(1-2\mu)] & \mu \leq \sigma_7, \\ \frac{bB_1}{2} & \sigma_7 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_8, \\ \frac{b}{2} [-B_2 - bB_1^2(1-2\mu)] & \mu \geq \sigma_8. \end{cases}$$

Further, If $\sigma_7 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_9$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &+ \frac{1}{2bB_1^2} [B_1 - B_2 - bB_1^2(1-2\mu)] |a_2|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{bB_1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\sigma_9 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_8$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{1}{2bB_1^2} [B_1 + B_2 + bB_1^2(1 - 2\mu)] |a_2|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{bB_1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

The result is sharp.

Remark 3. Specializing the parameters λ , b , ϕ and q in the above results, we obtain results corresponding to different classes given in the introduction.

Acknowledgements. (i) The authors wish to thank Prof. Dr. M. K. Aouf for his kindly encouragement and help in the preparation of this paper.

(ii) The authors wish to thank the referees for the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. M. Al-Oboudi and M. M. Haidan, Spirallike function of complex order, *J. Natural Geometry*, 19(2000), 53-72.
- [2] M. H. Annaby and Z. S. Mansour, q-Fractional calculus and equations, Lecture Notes in Math., 2056, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2012.
- [3] M. K. Aouf, H. E. Darwish and A. A. Attiya, On a class of certain analytic functions of complex order, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 32(2001), no. 10, 1443-1452.
- [4] T. Bulboaca, Differential Subordinations and Superordinations, Recent Results, House of Science Book Publ. Cluj-Napoca, 2005.
- [5] B. A. Frasin, Family of analytic functions of complex order, *Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyhazi. (N.S.)*, 22(2006), no. 2, 179–191 (electronic).
- [6] F. H. Jackson, On q -definite integrals, *Quarterly J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 41(1910), 193-203.
- [7] F. H. Jackson, On q -functions and a certain difference operator, *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, 46(1908), 253-281.
- [8] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Markes, A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 20(1969), 8-12.
- [9] R. L. Libera, Univalent α -spiral functions, *Canad. J. Math.*, 19(1967), 449-456.
- [10] W. Ma and D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in: *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis*, Z. Li, F. Ren, L. Yang, S. Zhang (Eds.), Int. Press, (1994), 157-169.
- [11] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 225, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 2000.

- [12] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, Starlike function of complex order, *J. Natur. Sci. Math.*, 25(1985), no. 1, 1–12.
- [13] V. Ravichandran, Y. Polatoglu, M. Bolcal and A. Sen, Certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions of complex order, *Hacettepe J. Math. Stat.* 34(2005), 9-15.
- [14] M. S. Robertson, On the theory of univalent functions, *Ann. Math.*, 37(1936), 374-408.
- [15] T. M. Seoudy and M. K. Aouf, Coefficient estimates of new classes of q –starlike and q –convex functions of complex order, *J. Math. Ineq.*, 10(2016), no. 1, 135-145.
- [16] T. M. Seoudy and M. K. Aouf, Convolution properties for certain classes of analytic functions defined by q –derivative operator, Hindawi Publishing Corporation (2014), 1-7.

A. O. Mostafa
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
University of Mansoura,
Mansoura, Egypt
email: adelaeg254@yahoo.com

G. M. El-Hawsh
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
Fayoum University,
Mansoura 35516, Egypt
email: gma05@fayoum.edu.eg