

**A CLASS OF COMPLEX-VALUED HARMONIC FUNCTIONS
DEFINED BY EXTENDED MULTIPLIER DIZOK-SRIVASTAVA
OPERATOR**

R.M. EL-ASHWAH, E.E. ALI

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we define and investigate a family of complex-valued harmonic convex univalent functions defined by extended multiplier Dizok-Srivastava operator, we obtain the basic properties such as coefficient condition, distortion bounds, extreme points, inclusion result and integral operator.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45.

Keywords: Harmonic function, Multiplier, Dziok- Srivastava operator, extreme points.

1. INTRODUCTION

A continuous function $f = u + iv$ is a complex valued harmonic function in a simply connected complex domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ if both u and v are real harmonic in D . It was shown by Clunie and Sheil-Small [5] that such harmonic function can be represented by $f = h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are analytic in D . Also, a necessary and sufficient condition for f to be locally univalent and sense preserving in D is that $|h'(z)| > |g'(z)|$, (see also, [7, 8], [13] and [14]).

Denote by S_H the class of functions f that are harmonic univalent and sense-preserving in the open unit disc $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ for which $f(0) = h(0) = f'_z(0) - 1 = 0$. Then for $f = h + \bar{g} \in S_H$ we may express the analytic functions h and g as

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \quad |b_1| < 1. \quad (1.1)$$

Clunie and Shell-Small [5] investigated the class S_H as well as its geometric subclasses and obtained some coefficient bounds.

For complex parameters

$$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q \text{ and } \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s \quad (\beta_j \notin Z_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}; j = 1, 2, \dots, s),$$

we now define the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$ by (see, for example, [15, p. 30])

$${}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_k \dots (\alpha_q)_k}{(\beta_1)_k \dots (\beta_s)_k} \cdot \frac{z^k}{k!} \quad (1.2)$$

$$(q \leq s + 1; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}; z \in U),$$

where $(\theta)_\nu$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined, in terms of the Gamma function Γ , by

$$(\theta)_\nu = \frac{\Gamma(\theta + \nu)}{\Gamma(\theta)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (\nu = 0; \theta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), \\ \theta(\theta - 1) \dots (\theta + \nu - 1) & (\nu \in \mathbb{N}; \theta \in \mathbb{C}). \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Corresponding to the function

$$h(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) = z {}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z), \quad (1.4)$$

which is defined by the following Hadamard product (or convolution):

$$H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) f(z) = h(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) * \phi(z). \quad (1.5)$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k-1} \dots (\alpha_q)_{k-1}}{(\beta_1)_{k-1} \dots (\beta_s)_{k-1} (1)_{k-1}} a_k z^k, \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k z^k, \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$\Gamma_k(\alpha_1) = \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k-1} \dots (\alpha_q)_{k-1}}{(\beta_1)_{k-1} \dots (\beta_s)_{k-1} (1)_{k-1}}.$$

If, for convenience, we write

$$H_{q,s}(\alpha_1) = H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s). \quad (1.7)$$

We defined the extended multiplier Dizok-Srivastava operator $D_{\lambda,\ell}^{n,q,s}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\lambda,\ell}^{0,q,s} f(z) &= f(z) * H_{q,s}(\alpha_1), \\ D_{\lambda,\ell}^{1,q,s} f(z) &= \frac{\left(z^{\frac{\ell+1}{\lambda}-1} (f(z) * H_{q,s}(\alpha_1)) \right)'}{\frac{\ell+1}{\lambda} z^{\frac{\ell+1}{\lambda}-2}} \quad (\lambda > 0; \ell \geq 0), \\ D_{\lambda,\ell}^{2,q,s} f(z) &= D_{\lambda,\ell}(D_{\lambda,\ell}^{1,q,s} f(z)), \end{aligned}$$

and (in general)

$$D_{\lambda,\ell}^{n,q,s} f(z) = D_{\lambda,\ell}(D_{\lambda,\ell}^{n-1,q,s} f(z)) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.8)$$

Then from (1.6) and (1.8), we see that

$$D_{\lambda,\ell}^{n,q,s} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) a_k z^k \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0), \quad (1.9)$$

where

$$\Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) = \left[\frac{\ell + 1 + \lambda(k-1)}{\ell + 1} \right]^n \Gamma_k(\alpha_1). \quad (1.10)$$

By specializing the parameters $q, s, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \ell$ and λ , we obtain the following operators studied by various authors:

(i) For $q = 2, s = 1$ and $\alpha = \alpha_2 = \beta_1 = 1$, we have $D_{\lambda,\ell}^{n,2,1} f(z) = I^n(\lambda, \ell) f(z)$ (see Catas [3]);

(ii) $D_{\lambda,0}^{n,2,1} f(z) = D_{\lambda}^n f(z)$ (see Al-Oboudi [1]) and $D_{1,0}^{n,2,1} f(z) = D^n f(z)$ (see Salagean [12]);

(iii) $D_{0,0}^{0,q,s} f(z) = H_{q,s}(\alpha_1)$ (see Dziok and Srivastava [6]).

We modified the extended multiplier Dizok-Srivastava operator of the harmonic function $f = h + \bar{g}$ given by (1.1) as

$$D_{\lambda,\ell}^{n,q,s} f(z) = D_{\lambda,\ell}^{n,q,s} h(z) + (-1)^n \overline{D_{\lambda,\ell}^{n,q,s} g(z)}, \quad (1.11)$$

where

$$D_{\lambda,\ell}^{n,q,s} h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) a_k z^k,$$

and

$$D_{\lambda,\ell}^{n,q,s} g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) b_k z^k.$$

Also let $S_{\overline{H}}$ denote the subclass of S_H consisting of functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ such that the functions h and g are of the form

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| z^k \quad , \quad g(z) = (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k| z^k \quad |b_1| < 1. \quad (1.12)$$

We introduce here a new subclass $G_H^n((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$ of function of the form (1.1) using the extended multiplier Dizok-Srivastava operator of harmonic univalent functions .

Let $G_H^n((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$ denote the subfamily of convex harmonic functions $f \in S_H$ of the form $f = h + \bar{g}$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + (1 + e^{i\psi}) \frac{z^2(D_{\lambda, \ell}^{n, q, s} h(z))'' + (-1)^n 2z(D_{\lambda, \ell}^{n, q, s} g(z))' + (-1)^n z^2(D_{\lambda, \ell}^{n, q, s} g(z))''}{z(D_{\lambda, \ell}^{n, q, s} h(z))' - (-1)^n z(D_{\lambda, \ell}^{n, q, s} g(z))'} \right\} \geq \gamma. \quad (1.13)$$

$$(0 \leq \gamma < 1; \psi \in \mathbb{R})$$

Finally, consider the subclass $\overline{G}_H^n((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$ of $G_H^n((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$ for h and g of the form (1.12).

We note that:

(i) Putting $\lambda = \ell = n = 0$ then $\overline{G}_H^0((\alpha_1, 0, 0), \gamma) = G_H([\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$ (see Chandrashekar et al. [4]);

(ii) Putting $q = 2, s = 1, \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1 = 1$, and $\lambda = \ell = n = 0$ then $\overline{G}_H^0((1, 0, 0), \gamma) = HCV(k, \gamma)$ (see Kim et al. [19, $k = 1$]).

In this paper, we obtain a sufficient coefficient condition for functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ to be in the class $G_H^n((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$ and show that this coefficient condition also is necessary for functions belonging to the class $\overline{G}_H^n((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$. Also distortion bound, extreme points for functions in the class $\overline{G}_H^n((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$ and certain inclusion results and integral operator are obtained.

2. COEFFICIENT CONDITION FOR THE CLASS $G_H^n((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and ψ is real and $\Phi_{k, n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)$ is given by (1.10). We begin with a sufficient condition for functions in the class $G_H^n((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$.

Theorem 1. *Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ be such that h and g are given by (1.1). If*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{2k-1-\gamma}{1-\gamma} |a_k| + \frac{2k-1-\gamma}{1-\gamma} |b_k| \right) \Phi_{k, n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) \leq 2, \quad (2.1)$$

Then $f \in G_H^n((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$.

Proof. When the condition (2.1) holds for the coefficients of $f = h + \bar{g}$ it is shown that the inequality (1.13) is satisfied. Write the left side of inequality (1.13) as

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(D_{\lambda, \ell}^{n, q, s} h(z)) + (1 + e^{i\psi}) z^2(D_{\lambda, \ell}^{n, q, s} h(z))'' + (1 + 2e^{i\psi}) (-1)^n z(D_{\lambda, \ell}^{n, q, s} g(z))' + (1 + e^{i\psi}) (-1)^n z^2(D_{\lambda, \ell}^{n, q, s} g(z))''}{z(D_{\lambda, \ell}^{n, q, s} h(z))' - (-1)^n z(D_{\lambda, \ell}^{n, q, s} g(z))'} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{A(z)}{B(z)}.$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}(w) \geq \gamma$ if and only if $|1 - \gamma + w| > |1 + \gamma - w|$, it suffices to show that

$$|A(z) + (1 - \gamma)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \gamma)B(z)| \geq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Substituting for $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ the appropriate in (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |A(z) + (1 - \gamma)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \gamma)B(z)| \\ & \geq (2 - \gamma)|z| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(2k - \gamma)\Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)|a_k||z|^k \\ & \quad - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(2k + \gamma)\Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)|b_k||z|^k \\ & \quad - \gamma|z| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(2k - 2 - \gamma)\Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)|a_k||z|^k \\ & \quad - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(2k + 2 + \gamma)\Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)|b_k||z|^k \\ & \geq (2 - \gamma)|z| \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \frac{2k-1-\gamma}{1-\gamma} \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)|a_k| \\ - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \frac{2k+1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)|b_k| \end{array} \right\} \\ & \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

by inequality (2.1), which implies that $f \in G_H((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$.

Now we obtain the necessary and sufficient condition for the function $f = h + \bar{g}$ be such that h and g are given by (1.12) to be in $\overline{G_H^n}$.

Theorem 2. Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ be such that h and g are given by (1.12). Then $f \in \overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{2k-1-\gamma}{1-\gamma}|a_k| + \frac{2k-1-\gamma}{1-\gamma}|b_k| \right) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) \leq 2, \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Since $\overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma) \subset G_H^n((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$, we only need to prove the necessary part of the theorem. Assume that $f \in \overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$, then by virtue of (1.11) to (1.13), we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \gamma) + (1 + e^{i\psi}) \frac{z^2(D_{\lambda,\ell}^{n,q,s}h(z))'' + 2z(-1)^n(D_{\lambda,\ell}^{n,q,s}g(z))' + (-1)^n z^2(D_{\lambda,\ell}^{n,q,s}g(z))''}{z(D_{\lambda,\ell}^{n,q,s}h(z))' - (-1)^n z(D_{\lambda,\ell}^{n,q,s}g(z))'} \right\} \geq 0.$$

The above inequality is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z - \left(\frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k [k(1 + e^{i\psi}) - \gamma - e^{i\psi}] \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)|a_k|z^k}{+(-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k [k(1 + e^{i\psi}) + \gamma + e^{i\psi}] \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)|b_k|\bar{z}^k} \right)}{z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)|a_k|z^k + (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)|b_k|\bar{z}^k} \right\}$$

$$= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k [k(1+e^{i\psi}) - \gamma - e^{i\psi}] \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) |a_k| z^{k-1} - (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k [k(1+e^{i\psi}) + \gamma + e^{i\psi}] \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) |b_k| \bar{z}^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) |a_k| z^{k-1} + (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) |b_k| \bar{z}^{k-1}} \right\} \\ \geq 0.$$

This condition must hold for all values of $z \in U$ and for real ψ , so that on taking $z = r < 1$ and $\psi = 0$, the above inequality reduces to

$$\frac{(1-\gamma) - \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(2k-1-\gamma) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) |a_k| r^{k-1} \right]}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) |a_k| r^{k-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) |b_k| r^{k-1}} \geq 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$ through real values, we obtain the (2.3). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

3. DISTORTION BOUNDS

The following theorem gives the distortion bounds for the functions $\overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$, which yields a covering for this class .

Theorem 3. *Let $f \in \overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$. Then for $|b_1| < \frac{1-\gamma}{3+\gamma}$ we have*

$$|f(z)| \leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma)}{(3-\gamma)} - \frac{(3+\gamma)}{(3-\gamma)} |b_1| \right\} r^2 \quad |z| = r < 1,$$

and

$$|f(z)| \geq (1 - |b_1|)r - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma)}{(3-\gamma)} - \frac{(3+\gamma)}{(3-\gamma)} |b_1| \right\} r^2 \quad |z| = r < 1.$$

The results are sharp.

Proof. Let $f \in \overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$. Taking the absolute value of f , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(z)| &\leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (|a_k| + |b_k|)r^k \\
 &\leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (|a_k| + |b_k|)r^2 \\
 &\leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \frac{1 - \gamma}{(3 - \gamma)\Phi_{2,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{3 - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} |a_k| + \frac{3 - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} |b_k| \right) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) r^2 \\
 &\leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \frac{(1 - \gamma)}{(3 - \gamma)\Phi_{2,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{2k - 1 - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} |a_k| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{2k + 1 + \gamma}{1 - \gamma} |b_k| \right) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) r^2 \\
 &\leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \frac{(1 - \gamma)}{(3 - \gamma)\Phi_{2,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{3 + \gamma}{1 - \gamma} |b_1| \right) r^2 \\
 &\leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \frac{1}{2\Phi_{2,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} \left(\frac{(1 - \gamma)}{(3 - \gamma)} - \frac{(3 + \gamma)}{(3 - \gamma)} |b_1| \right) r^2
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(z)| &\geq (1 - |b_1|)r - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (|a_k| + |b_k|)r^k \\
 &\geq (1 - |b_1|)r - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (|a_k| + |b_k|)r^2 \\
 &\geq (1 - |b_1|)r - \frac{1 - \gamma}{(3 - \gamma)\Phi_{2,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{3 - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} |a_k| + \frac{3 - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} |b_k| \right) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) r^2 \\
 &\geq (1 - |b_1|)r - \frac{(1 - \gamma)}{(3 - \gamma)\Phi_{2,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{2k - 1 - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} |a_k| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{2k + 1 + \gamma}{1 - \gamma} |b_k| \right) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) r^2 \\
 &\geq (1 - |b_1|)r - \frac{(1 - \gamma)}{(3 - \gamma)\Phi_{2,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{3 + \gamma}{1 - \gamma} |b_1| \right) r^2 \\
 &\geq (1 - |b_1|)r - \frac{1}{2\Phi_{2,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} \left(\frac{(1 - \gamma)}{(3 - \gamma)} - \frac{(3 + \gamma)}{(3 - \gamma)} |b_1| \right) r^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.

Putting $q = 2, s = 1, \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1 = 1$, and $\lambda = \ell = n = 0$ we improve the results obtained by Kim et al. [9, with $k = 1$].

Corollary 1. Let $f \in \overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$, then for $|b_1| < \frac{6\Phi_{2,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) - 1 - (2\Phi_{2,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) - 1)\gamma}{3(2\Phi_{2,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) - 1) - (2\Phi_{2,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) + 1)\gamma}$ the set

$$\left\{ w : |w| < \frac{6\Phi_{2,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) - 1 - (2\Phi_{2,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) - 1)\gamma}{2(3 - \gamma)\Phi_{2,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} - \frac{3(2\Phi_{2,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) - 1) - (2\Phi_{2,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) + 1)\gamma}{2(3 - \gamma)\Phi_{2,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} |b_1| \right\}$$

is included in $f(U)$.

4. EXTREME POINTS AND INCLUSION RESULTS

We determine the extreme points of closed convex hulls of the class $\overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$, denoted by $clco\overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$.

Theorem 4. Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ be such that h and g are given by (1.12). Then $f \in clco\overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$ if and only if f can be expressed as

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [X_k h_k(z) + Y_k g_k(z)], \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(z) &= z, \\ h_k(z) &= z - \frac{(1 - \gamma)}{k(2k - 1 - \gamma)\Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} z^k \quad (k \geq 2), \\ g_k(z) &= z + (-1)^n \frac{(1 - \gamma)}{k(2k + 1 + \gamma)\Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} \bar{z}^k \quad (k \geq 2), \\ X_k &\geq 0, Y_k \geq 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [X_k + Y_k] = 1. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the extreme points of the class $\overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$ are $\{h_k\}$ and $\{g_k\}$ respectively.

Proof. First, we note that for f as in the theorem above, we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [X_k h_k(z) + Y_k g_k(z)] \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [X_k + Y_k] z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\gamma)}{k(2k-1-\gamma) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} X_k z^k \\
 &\quad + (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\gamma)}{k(2k+1+\gamma) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} Y_k \bar{z}^k \\
 &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^k + (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \bar{z}^k
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_k = \frac{(1-\gamma)}{k(2k-1-\gamma) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} X_k, \quad \text{and} \quad B_k = \frac{(1-\gamma)}{k(2k+1+\gamma) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} Y_k.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(2k-1-\gamma) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)}{(1-\gamma)} A_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(2k+1+\gamma) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)}{(1-\gamma)} B_k \\
 &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_k \\
 &= 1 - X_1 \leq 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $f(z) \in clco\overline{G}_H^n((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$.

Conversely, suppose that $f(z) \in clco\overline{G}_H^n((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$. Setting

$$X_k = \frac{k(2k-1-\gamma) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)}{(1-\gamma)} A_k \quad (k \geq 2)$$

and

$$Y_k = \frac{k(2k+1+\gamma) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)}{(1-\gamma)} B_k \quad (k \geq 1),$$

where $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [X_k + Y_k] = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(z) &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^k + (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \bar{z}^k, \quad A_k, B_k \geq 0 \\
 &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\gamma)}{k(2k-1-\gamma) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} X_k z^k \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\gamma)}{k(2k+1+\gamma) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)} Y_k \bar{z}^k \\
 &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (h_k(z) - z) X_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (g_k(z) - z) Y_k \\
 &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (X_k h_k(z) + Y_k g_k(z))
 \end{aligned}$$

as required. This complete the proof.

Now we show that $\overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$ is closed under convex combinations of its members.

Theorem 5. *The family $\overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$ is closed under convex combinations.*

Proof. For $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, suppose that $f_i \in \overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$, where

$$f_i(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{i,k} z^k + (-1)^n \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_{i,k} \bar{z}^k.$$

Then, by inequality (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(2k-1-\gamma) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)}{(1-\gamma)} a_{i,k} + \\
 &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(2k+1+\gamma) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)}{(1-\gamma)} b_{i,k} \\
 &\leq 1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

For $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i = 1; 0 \leq t_i \leq 1$, the convex linear combination of f_i may be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i f_i(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i a_{i,k} \right) z^k - (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i b_{i,k} \right) \bar{z}^k.$$

Using the inequality (4.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(2k-1-\gamma) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)}{(1-\gamma)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i a_{i,k} \right) \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(2k+1+\gamma) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)}{(1-\gamma)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i b_{i,k} \right) \\
 = & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i \left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(2k-1-\gamma) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)}{(1-\gamma)} a_{i,k} \right. \\
 & \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(2k+1+\gamma) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)}{(1-\gamma)} b_{i,k} \right) \\
 \leq & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i = 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i f_i(z) \in \overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$.

Theorem 6. For $0 \leq \delta \leq \gamma < 1$, let $f(z) \in \overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$ and $F(z) \in \overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \delta)$. Then

$$f(z) * F(z) \in \zeta_H^n((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma) \subset \zeta_H^n((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \delta).$$

Proof. Let $f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \bar{b}_k \bar{z}^k \in \overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$ and

$$F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^k + (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{B}_k \bar{z}^k \in \overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \delta). \quad (4.3)$$

Then

$$f(z) * F(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k A_k z^k + (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{b}_k \bar{B}_k \bar{z}^k.$$

We note that $|A_k| \leq 1$ and $|B_k| \leq 1$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(2k-1-\delta)\Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)}{(1-\delta)} |a_k| |A_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(2k+1+\delta)\Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)}{(1-\delta)} |b_k| |B_k| \\
 \leq & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(2k-1-\delta)\Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)}{(1-\delta)} |a_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(2k+1+\delta)\Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)}{(1-\delta)} |b_k| \\
 \leq & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(2k-1-\gamma)\Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)}{(1-\gamma)} |a_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(2k+1+\gamma)\Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell)}{(1-\gamma)} |b_k| \leq 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

using Theorem 2 since $f \in \overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$ and $0 \leq \delta \leq \gamma < 1$. This proves that $f(z) * F(z) \in \overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \delta)$.

5. INTEGRAL OPERATOR

Now, we examine a closure property of the class $\overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$ under the generalized Bernardi-Libera-Livingston integral operator $L_c(f)$ which is defined by (see [2], [10] and [11])

$$L_c(f) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt \quad (c > -1).$$

Theorem 7. *Let $f \in \overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$. Then $L_c(f(z)) \in \overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$*

Proof. From the representation of $L_c(f(z))$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_c(f) &= \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} [h(t) + \overline{g(t)}] dt. \\
 &= \frac{c+1}{z^c} \left(\int_0^z t^{c-1} \left(t - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k t^n \right) dt - (-1)^n \overline{\int_0^z t^{c-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k t^n \right) dt} \right) \\
 &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^k + (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \bar{z}^k
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_k = \frac{c+1}{c+n} a_k; \quad B_k = \frac{c+1}{c+n} b_k$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{2k-1-\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+n} |a_k| \right) + \frac{2k-1-\gamma}{1-\gamma} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+n} |b_k| \right) \right) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{2k-1-\gamma}{1-\gamma} |a_k| + \frac{2k-1-\gamma}{1-\gamma} |b_k| \right) \Phi_{k,n}(\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell) \\ & \leq 2(1-\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in \overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$, therefore by Theorem 2, $L_c(f(z)) \in \overline{G_H^n}((\alpha_1, \lambda, \ell), \gamma)$.

Remark 2. (i) By specializing the parameters $q, s, \alpha_1, \beta_1, n, \ell$ and λ , we can obtain new results for the subclass of analytic univalent functions mentioned in the introduction,

(ii) Putting $\lambda = \ell = n = 0$ in our results we obtain the results obtained by Chandrashekar et al. [4],

(iii) Putting $q = 2, s = 1, \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1 = 1$, and $\lambda = \ell = n = 0$ in our results we obtain the results obtained by Kim et al. [9, with $k = 1$].

REFERENCES

- [1] F. M. Al-Oboudi, *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator*, Internat. J. Math. Math Sci., 27(2004), 1429-1436.
- [2] S. D. Bernardi, *Convex and starlike univalent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 135(1969), 429-446.
- [3] A. Catas, *On certain classes of p -valent functions defined by multiplier transformations*, in Proceedings of the International Symposium on Geometric Function Theory and Applications: GFTA 2007 Proceedings (İstanbul, Turkey; 20-24 August 2007) (S. Owa and Y. Polatoglu, Editors), pp. 241-250, TC İstanbul Kültür University Publications, Vol. 91, TC İstanbul Kültür University, İstanbul, Turkey, 2008.
- [4] R. Chandrashekar, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, S. K. Lee and K. G. Subramanian, *A class of complex-valued functions defined by Dziok-Srivastava operator*, Cham. J. Math., no.2, 1(2009), 31-42.
- [5] J. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, *Harmonic univalent functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math., 9(1984), 3-25.
- [6] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, *Classes of analytic functions with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Applied Math. Comput. 103(1999), 1-13.
- [7] J. M. Jahangiri, *Coefficient bounds and univalent criteria for harmonic functions with negative coefficients*, Ann. Univ. Marie-Curie Skłodowska Sect. A, 52(1998), 57-66.

- [8] J. M. Jahangiri, *Harmonic functions starlike in the unit disc*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 235(1999), 470-477.
- [9] Y. C. Kim, J. M. Jahangiri and J. H. Choi, *Certain convex harmonic functions*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 8(2002), 459-465.
- [10] R. J. Libera, *Some classes of regular univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16(1965), 755-758.
- [11] A. E. Livingston, *On the radius of univalence of certain analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17(1966), 352-357.
- [12] G. S. Salagean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, in Complex Analysis-Fifth Romanian-Finish Seminar, Part-I, Bucharest, 1981, in Lecture notes in Math., Vol. 1013, Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 362-372.
- [13] H. Silverman, *Harmonic univalent function with negative coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 220(1998), 283-289.
- [14] H. Silverman and E. M. Silvia, *Subclasses of harmonic univalent functions*, New Zealand J. Math., 28(1999), 275-284.
- [15] H. M. Srivastava and P. W. Karlsson, *Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series*, Ellis Horwood Ltd., Chichester, Halsted Press (John Wiley & Sons, Inc.), New York, 1985.

R.M. El-Ashwah
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Damiette University
New Damiette, 34517, Egypt
email: *r_elashwah@yahoo.com*

E.E. Ali
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Faculty of Science,
Port-Said University
Port-Said 42521, Egypt
email: *ekram_008eg@yahoo.com*