

A CLASS OF MEROMORPHIC MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY A DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

A. EBADIAN, S.SHAMS, R. ASADI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define a class of meromorphically multivalent functions in $\mathbb{U}^* = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$ by using a differential operator. Important properties of this class like coefficient estimates, distortion theorem, radius of starlikeness and convexity, closure theorems, convolution properties are obtained. We also study δ -neighbourhoods and partial sums for this class.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45.

Keywords: meromorphic functions, multivalentvalent functions, differential operator, coefficient estimates, convolution, neighborhoods.

1. INTRODUCTION

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \quad (a_{p+k} \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}) \quad (1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the punctured unit disk

$$\mathbb{U}^* = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\} = \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}$$

A function $f \in \Sigma_p$ is meromorphically starlike of order ρ ($0 \leq \rho < p$) if

$$-\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \rho$$

A function $f \in \Sigma_p$ is meromorphically convex of order θ ($0 \leq \theta < p$) if

$$-\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \theta$$

If $f \in \Sigma_p$ is given by (1) and $g \in \Sigma_p$ is given by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{p+k} z^{p+k} \quad (b_{p+k} \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (2)$$

then the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} b_{p+k} z^{p+k} = (g * f)(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*; p \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (3)$$

For functions $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$; Aouf [1] defined the following differential operator:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\lambda,p}^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ S_{\lambda,p}^1 f(z) &= (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p} z f'(z) + \frac{2\lambda}{z^p} \\ &= \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p + \lambda k}{p} \right) a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \\ &= S_{\lambda,p} f(z) \quad (\lambda \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}) \\ S_{\lambda,p}^2 f(z) &= S_{\lambda,p}(S_{\lambda,p}^1 f(z)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\lambda,p}^n f(z) &= S_{\lambda,p}(S_{\lambda,p}^{n-1} f(z)) \\ &= (1 - \lambda) S_{\lambda,p}^{n-1} f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p} z (S_{\lambda,p}^{n-1} f(z))' + \frac{2\lambda}{z^p} \quad (\lambda \geq 0; n, p \in \mathbb{N}) \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily seen that

$$S_{\lambda,p}^n f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p + \lambda k}{p} \right)^n a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, p \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (4)$$

Also Orhan et al. [4] defined the differential operator $T_{\sigma\mu p}^n$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\sigma\mu p}^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ T_{\sigma\mu p}^1 f(z) &= T_{\sigma\mu p} f(z) = \sigma\mu \frac{[z^{p+1} f(z)]''}{z^{p-1}} + (\sigma - \mu) \frac{[z^{p+1} f(z)]'}{z^p} + (1 - \sigma + \mu) f(z) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and, in general

$$T_{\sigma\mu p}^n f(z) = T_{\sigma\mu p}(T_{\sigma\mu p}^{n-1} f(z)) \quad (6)$$

where $0 \leq \mu \leq \sigma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

If the function $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ is given by (1) then from (5) and (6) we obtain

$$T_{\sigma\mu p}^n f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Psi_k(\sigma, \mu, n, p) a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \quad (7)$$

where

$$\Psi_k(\sigma, \mu, n, p) = [1 + (k + 2p)(\sigma - \mu + (k + 2p + 1)\sigma\mu)]^n \quad (8)$$

Making use of the differential operators $S_{\lambda,p}^n f(z)$ and $T_{\sigma\mu p}^n f(z)$ defined as in (4) and (7) respectively, we defined the following differential operator for the functions $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$:

$$D_{\lambda,\sigma,\mu,\omega,p}^n f(z) = (1 - \omega)S_{\lambda,p}^n f(z) + \omega T_{\sigma\mu p}^n f(z) \quad (9)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \geq 0, 0 \leq \mu \leq \sigma, 0 \leq \omega \leq 1$.

Let $f(z)$ be given by (1), then by using (4), (7) and (9) it is easy to see that

$$D_{\lambda,\sigma,\mu,\omega,p}^n f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \quad (10)$$

where

$$\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) = (1 - \omega)\left(\frac{p + \lambda k}{p}\right) + \omega \Psi_k(\sigma, \mu, n, p) \quad (11)$$

$$\text{and } \Psi_k(\sigma, \mu, n, p) = [1 + (k + 2p)(\sigma - \mu + (k + 2p + 1)\sigma\mu)]^n \quad (12)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \geq 0, 0 \leq \mu \leq \sigma, 0 \leq \omega \leq 1$, or in terms of convolution as

$$D_{\lambda,\sigma,\mu,\omega,p}^n f(z) = (f * h)(z)$$

where

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) z^{p+k}$$

With the aid of the differential operator $D_{\lambda,\sigma,\mu,\omega,p}^n f(z)$ we define the following subclass of multivalent meromorphic functions.

Definition 1. A function $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if it satisfies the following inequality:

$$\left| \frac{z^{p+2}(D_{\lambda,\sigma,\mu,\omega,p}^n f(z))'' + z^{p+1}(D_{\lambda,\sigma,\mu,\omega,p}^n f(z))' - p^2}{\gamma z^{p+1}(D_{\lambda,\sigma,\mu,\omega,p}^n f(z))' + \alpha(1 + \gamma)p - p} \right| < \beta \quad (13)$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$.

Meromorphically multivalent functions have been extensively studied, for example, recently by Najafzadeh and Ebadian [3], Atshan and Kulkarni [2], Orhan et al. [4] and Auof [1].

2. COEFFICIENTS ESTIMATES

Theorem 1. *A function $f(z)$ defined by (1) is in the class $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)a_{p+k} \leq \beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma) \quad (14)$$

where $\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)$ is given by(11).

Proof. Assume that (14) holds. It is enough to show that

$$M = \left| z^{p+2} (D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p}^n f(z))^{\prime\prime} + z^{p+1} (D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p}^n f(z))' - p^2 \right| \\ - \beta \left| \gamma z^{p+1} (D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p}^n f(z))' + \alpha(1+\gamma)p - p \right| < 0$$

For $|z| = r < 1$ from (14) we obtain

$$M = \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)^2 \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} z^{2p+k} \right| \\ - \beta \left| p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma) - \gamma \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} z^{2p+k} \right| \\ \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)^2 \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} r^{2p+k} \\ - \beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma) + \beta \gamma \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} r^{2p+k} \\ < \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)a_{p+k} - \beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma) < 0$$

Hence $f \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Conversely Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then (13) holds true, so we have

$$= \left| \frac{z^{p+2} (D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p}^n f(z))'' + z^{p+1} (D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p}^n f(z))' - p^2}{\gamma z^{p+1} (D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p}^n f(z))' + \alpha(1+\gamma)p - p} \right| \\ = \left| \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)^2 \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} z^{2p+k}}{p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma) - \gamma \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} z^{2p+k}} \right| < \beta$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}(z) \leq |z|$ for all z , it follows that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)^2 \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} z^{2p+k}}{p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma) - \gamma \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} z^{2p+k}} \right\} < \beta$$

Now by letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$ through real axis, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma] \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} \leq \beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)$$

Hence the result follows.

Corollary 2. If $f(z)$ defined by (1) is in the class $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ then

$$a_{p+k} \leq \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma] \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}$$

This result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma] \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} z^{p+k} \quad (15)$$

where $\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)$ is given by (11).

3. DISTORTION THEOREM

Theorem 3. If $f(z)$ defined by (1) is in the class $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ then for $0 < |z| = r < 1$ we have

$$\frac{1}{r^p} - \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{p(p+\beta\gamma) \Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} r^p \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r^p} + \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{p(p+\beta\gamma) \Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} r^p \quad (16)$$

and

$$\frac{p}{r^{p+1}} - \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} r^{p-1} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} + \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} r^{p-1} \quad (17)$$

where

$$\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) = \left[(1-\omega) + \omega \left[1 + 2p(\sigma - \mu + (2p+1)\sigma\mu) \right]^n \right] \quad (18)$$

The bounds are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{p(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} z^p \quad (19)$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)a_{p+k} \\ &\leq \beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} \leq \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{p(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} \quad (20)$$

Thus for $0 < |z| = r < 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq \frac{1}{r^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} r^{p+k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r^p} + r^p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r^p} + \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{p(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} r^p \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\geq \frac{1}{r^p} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} r^{p+k} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{r^p} - r^p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{r^p} - \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{p(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} r^p \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

which together, yield (16). Furthermore, it follows from Theorem 1 that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k} \leq \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} \quad (23)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(z)| &\leq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k}r^{p+k-1} \\ &\leq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} + r^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k} \\ &\leq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} + \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} r^{p-1} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(z)| &\geq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k}r^{p+k-1} \\ &\geq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} - r^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k} \\ &\geq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} - \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} r^{p-1} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

which, together, yield (17). It can be easily seen that the function $f(z)$ defined by (19) is external for Theorem 3.

4. RADIUS OF STARLIKENESS AND CONVEXITY

Theorem 4. *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ then $f(z)$ is meromorphically p -valent starlike of order ρ ($0 \leq \rho < p$) in $0 < |z| < r(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p, \rho, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, where*

$$r(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p, \rho, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \inf_k \left[\frac{(p-\rho)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)}{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)(3p+k-\rho)} \right] \frac{1}{2p+k} \quad (26)$$

$$(k \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}; n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{(zf'(z)) + pf(z)}{f(z)} \right| \leq p - \rho \quad \text{for } 0 < |z| < r(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p, \rho, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{zf'(z) + pf(z)}{f(z)} \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2p+k)a_{p+k}z^{p+k}}{z^{-p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k}z^{p+k}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2p+k)a_{p+k}r^{2p+k}}{1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k}r^{2p+k}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\left| \frac{zf'(z) + pf(z)}{f(z)} \right| \leq p - \rho$ if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(3p+k-\rho)}{(p-\rho)} a_{p+k}r^{2p+k} \leq 1 \quad (27)$$

Theorem 1 ensures that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)} a_{p+k} \leq 1, \quad (28)$$

in view of (28) it follows that (27) will be true if

$$\frac{(3p+k-\rho)}{(p-\rho)} r^{2p+k} \leq \frac{(p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)} \quad (29)$$

or if

$$r \leq \left[\frac{(p-\rho)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)}{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)(3p+k-\rho)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2p+k}} \quad (30)$$

Setting $r(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p, \rho, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ in (30) the result follows. The result is sharp for the external function $f(z)$ given by (15).

Theorem 5. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ then $f(z)$ is meromorphically p -valent convex of order θ ($0 \leq \theta < p$) in $0 < |z| < r$ ($n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p, \theta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$), where

$$r(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p, \theta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \inf_k \left[\frac{p(p-\theta)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)(p+k+\beta\gamma)}{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)(3p+k-\theta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2p+k}} \quad (31)$$

$(k \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}; n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$

The result is sharp.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{(zf'(z))' + pf'(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq p - \theta$$

for $0 < |z| < r$ ($n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p, \theta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$).

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(zf'(z))' + pf'(z)}{f'(z)} \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)(2p+k)a_{p+k}z^{p+k-1}}{-pz^{-(p+1)} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k}z^{p+k-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)(2p+k)a_{p+k}r^{2p+k}}{p - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k}r^{2p+k}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\left| \frac{(zf'(z))' + pf'(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq p - \theta$ if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)(3p+k-\theta)}{p(p-\theta)} a_{p+k}r^{2p+k} \leq 1 \quad (32)$$

Theorem 1 ensures that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)} a_{p+k} \leq 1, \quad (33)$$

in view of (33) it follows that (32) will be true if

$$\frac{(p+k)(3p+k-\theta)}{p(p-\theta)}r^{2p+k} \leq \frac{(p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n,\lambda,\sigma,\mu,\omega,p)}{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)} \quad (34)$$

or if

$$r \leq \left[\frac{p(p-\theta)\Phi_k(n,\lambda,\sigma,\mu,\omega,p)(p+k+\beta\gamma)}{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)(3p+k-\theta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2p+k}} \quad (35)$$

Setting $r(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p, \theta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ in (35) the result follows. The result is sharp for the external function $f(z)$ given by (15).

5. CLOSURE THEOREMS

Theorem 6. *Let*

$$f_{p-1}(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} \quad (36)$$

and

$$f_{p+k}(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p+k)\Phi_k(n,\lambda,\sigma,\mu,\omega,p)(p+k+\beta\gamma)} z^{p+k} \quad (k \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}; n \in \mathbb{N}_0) \quad (37)$$

Then $f(z)$ is in the class $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if and only if it can be expressed of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} c_{p+k} f_{p+k}(z) \quad (38)$$

where

$$c_{p+k} \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} c_{p+k} = 1$$

Proof. Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} c_{p+k} f_{p+k}(z)$ where $c_{p+k} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} c_{p+k} = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} c_{p+k} f_{p+k}(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{p+k} \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p+k)\Phi_k(n,\lambda,\sigma,\mu,\omega,p)(p+k+\beta\gamma)} z^{p+k} \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{p+k} \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p+k)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)(p+k+\beta\gamma)} \times \frac{(p+k)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)(p+k+\beta\gamma)}{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{p+k} = 1 - c_{p-1} \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

which by Theorem 1 shows $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Conversely, suppose $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then by Corollary 2 we have

$$a_{p+k} \leq \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}$$

Setting

$$c_{p+k} = \frac{(p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)} a_{p+k}$$

and

$$c_{p-1} = 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{p+k},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \\ &= \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} c_{p+k} z^{p+k} \\ &= \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(f_{p+k}(z) - \frac{1}{z^p} \right) c_{p+k} \\ &= \frac{1}{z^p} \left(1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{p+k} \right) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{p+k} f_{p+k}(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{z^p} c_{p-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{p+k} f_{p+k}(z) \\ &= \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} c_{p+k} f_{p+k}(z) \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

Theorem 7. *The class $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is closed under convex linear combinations.*

Proof. Let each of the functions

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k,j} z^{p+k} \quad (a_{p+k,j} \geq 0; j = 1, 2) \quad (39)$$

be in the class $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. It is sufficient to show that the function $h(z)$ defined by

$$h(z) = (1-t)f_1(z) + tf_2(z) \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1) \quad (40)$$

is also in the class $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Since

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [(1-t)(a_{p+k,1} + ta_{p+k,2}] z^{p+k} \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1) \quad (41)$$

with the help of Theorem 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)[(1-t)a_{p+k,1} + ta_{p+k,2}] \\ &= (1-t) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)a_{p+k,1} \\ &+ t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)a_{p+k,2} \\ &\leq (1-t)\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma) + t\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma) = \beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $h(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Hence the result follows.

6. CONVOLUTION PROPERTIES

Theorem 8. *Let the functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) defined by (39) be in the class $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\phi, \beta, \gamma)$, where*

$$\phi = 1 - \frac{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)^2}{p(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} \quad (42)$$

and $\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)$ is given by (18). The result is sharp for the functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) given by

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}{p(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} z^p \quad (43)$$

Proof. Employing the technique used earlier by Schlid and Silverman, we will find the largest ϕ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\phi)} a_{p+k,1} a_{p+k,2} \leq 1 \quad (44)$$

for $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ ($j = 1, 2$). Since $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ ($j = 1, 2$), we readily see that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} a_{p+k,j} \leq 1 \quad (j = 1, 2) \quad (45)$$

By applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \sqrt{a_{p+k,1} a_{p+k,2}} \leq 1 \quad (46)$$

This implies that we need only to show that

$$\frac{a_{p+k,1} a_{p+k,2}}{(1-\phi)} \leq \frac{\sqrt{a_{p+k,1} a_{p+k,2}}}{(1-\alpha)} \quad (47)$$

or, equivalently, that

$$\sqrt{a_{p+k,1} a_{p+k,2}} \leq \frac{1-\phi}{(1-\alpha)} \quad (48)$$

Hence by the inequality (46) it is sufficient to prove the following inequality

$$\frac{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} \leq \frac{1-\phi}{1-\alpha} \quad (49)$$

which it implies that

$$\phi \leq 1 - \frac{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)^2}{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} \quad (50)$$

Now define the function $\Lambda(k)$ by

$$\Lambda(k) = 1 - \frac{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)^2}{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} \quad (51)$$

We note that $\Lambda(k)$ is an increasing function of k , therefore we conclude that

$$\phi \leq \Lambda(0) = 1 - \frac{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)^2}{p(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} \quad (52)$$

which completes the proof of Theorem.

Theorem 9. Let the functions $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ defined by (39) be in the classes $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha_1, \beta, \gamma)$, $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha_2, \beta, \gamma)$, respectively. then $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\eta, \beta, \gamma)$, where

$$\eta = 1 - \frac{p\beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha_1)(1 - \alpha_2)}{p(p + \beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} \quad (53)$$

and $\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)$ is given by (18). The result is sharp for the functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) given by

$$f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{p\beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha_1)}{p(p + \beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} z^p \quad (54)$$

and

$$f_2(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{p\beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha_2)}{p(p + \beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} z^p \quad (55)$$

Proof. Using similar arguments to those in the proof of Theorem 8 we get the result.

Theorem 10. If $f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k,1} z^{p+k} \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and

$f_2(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k,2} z^{p+k}$ ($0 \leq a_{p+k,2} \leq 1; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots; p \in \mathbb{N}$) then
 $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} a_{p+k,1} a_{p+k,2} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} a_{p+k,1} \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Then Theorem 1, implies that $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Corollary 11. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then the integral operator

$$\mathcal{F}_{c,p}(z) = \frac{c}{z^{p+c}} \int_0^z t^{c+p-1} f(t) dt, \quad c > 0 \quad (56)$$

is also in the class $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Proof. It is easy to check that

$$\mathcal{F}_{c,p}(z) = f(z) * \left(\frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c}{c+2p+k} z^{p+k} \right) \quad (57)$$

Since $0 < \frac{c}{c+2p+k} \leq 1$, then by Theorem 10 , the proof is trivial.

Theorem 12. Let the functions $f_j(z)(j = 1, 2)$ defined by (39) be in the class $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and

$$p(p + \beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) - 2p\beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha) \geq 0 \quad (58)$$

then the function $h(z)$ defined by

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_{p+k,1}^2 + a_{p+k,2}^2) z^{p+k} \quad (59)$$

belongs to the class $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, where $\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)$ is given by (18).

Proof. Since $f_1(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} a_{p+k,1} \leq 1 \quad (60)$$

and so

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \right]^2 a_{p+k,1}^2 \leq 1 \quad (61)$$

Similarly, since $f_2(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \right]^2 a_{p+k,2}^2 \leq 1 \quad (62)$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \right]^2 (a_{p+k,1}^2 + a_{p+k,2}^2) \leq 1 \quad (63)$$

In view of Theorem 1, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \right] (a_{p+k,1}^2 + a_{p+k,2}^2) \leq 1 \quad (64)$$

Thus the inequality (64) will be satisfied if, for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \right]^2 \quad (65)$$

or if

$$(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) - 2p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha) \geq 0 \quad (66)$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The left hand side of (66) is an increasing function of k , hence it is satisfied for all k if

$$p(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) - 2p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha) \geq 0 \quad (67)$$

which is true by our assumption. Hence the proof is complete.

Theorem 13. *Let the functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) defined by (39) be in the class $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ then the function $h(z)$ defined by (59) belongs to the class $\mathcal{H}_p(\tau, \beta, \gamma)$, where*

$$\tau = 1 - \frac{2p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)^2}{p(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} \quad (68)$$

and $\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, p)$ is given by (18). The result is sharp for the functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) defined by (43).

Proof. Noting that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left[(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) \right]^2}{\left[p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha) \right]^2} a_{p+k,j}^2 \quad (69)$$

$$\leq \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} a_{p+k,j} \right]^2 \leq 1 \quad (70)$$

for $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ ($j = 1, 2$), we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left[(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) \right]^2}{2\left[p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha) \right]^2} (a_{p+k,1}^2 + a_{p+k,2}^2) \leq 1 \quad (71)$$

Therefore we have to find the largest τ such that

$$\frac{1}{1-\tau} \leq \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{2p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)^2} \quad (72)$$

That is

$$\tau \leq 1 - \frac{2p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)^2}{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} \quad (73)$$

If we define $L(k)$ by

$$L(k) = 1 - \frac{2p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)^2}{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} \quad (74)$$

we see that $L(k)$ is an increasing function of k , thus we conclude that

$$\tau \leq L(0) = 1 - \frac{2p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)^2}{p(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} \quad (75)$$

which completes the proof of Theorem.

7. NEIGHBORHOODS AND PARTIAL SUMS

Definition 2. For $\delta > 0$ and a non-negative sequence $\mathcal{S} = \{s_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ where

$$s_k = \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \quad (76)$$

$(k \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \lambda \geq 0, 0 \leq \mu \leq \sigma)$

the δ -neighborhood of a function $f \in \Sigma_p$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_\delta(f) = \left\{ g \in \Sigma_p : g(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{p+k} z^{p+k} \text{ and } \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k |b_{p+k} - a_{p+k}| \leq \delta \right\} \quad (77)$$

Theorem 14. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ be given by (1). If f satisfies

$$\frac{f(z) + \epsilon z^{-p}}{1 + \epsilon} \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \quad (\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}, |\epsilon| < \delta, \delta > 0) \quad (78)$$

then

$$\mathcal{N}_\delta(f) \subset \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \quad (79)$$

Proof. It is not difficult to see that a function $f \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$\frac{z^{p+2}(D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \delta, p}^n f(z))'' + z^{p+1}(D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \delta, p}^n f(z))' - p^2}{\beta \gamma z^{p+1}(D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \delta, p}^n f(z))' + \beta \alpha(1 + \gamma)p - \beta p} \neq \nu \quad (\nu \in \mathbb{C}, |\nu| = 1) \quad (80)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \neq 0 \quad (81)$$

where

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{p+k} z^{p+k}$$

such that

$$c_{p+k} = \frac{(p+k)(p+k-\nu\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\nu\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}$$

which implies

$$|c_{p+k}| \leq \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}$$

Furthermore, under the hypotheses (78), using (81) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{z^{-p}} \left(\frac{f(z) + \epsilon z^{-p}}{1 + \epsilon} * h(z) \right) \neq 0 \quad (82)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon} \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z^{-p}} + \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon} \right| &\geq \frac{1}{|1 + \epsilon|} \left| \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \right| - \frac{|\epsilon|}{|1 + \epsilon|} \\ &> \frac{1}{1 + \delta} \left| \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \right| - \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \end{aligned}$$

For holding (82) we must have

$$\frac{1}{1 + \delta} \left| \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \right| - \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \geq 0$$

$$\text{Therefore } \left| \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \right| \geq \delta.$$

Now if we let

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{p+k} z^{p+k} \in \mathcal{N}_{\delta}(f)$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta - \left| \frac{(g * h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \right| &\leq \left| \frac{((f - g) * h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \right| \\
 &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_{p+k} - b_{p+k}) c_{p+k} z^{2p+k} \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{p+k} - b_{p+k}| |c_{p+k}| |z|^{2p+k} \\
 &< \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n,\lambda,\sigma,\mu,\omega,p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} |a_{p+k} - b_{p+k}| \leq \delta
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\frac{(g * h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \neq 0$ which implies $g(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and the proof of the theorem is completed.

Theorem 15. Let $f \in \Sigma_p$ be given by (1) and the partial sums $k_0(z)$ and $k_q(z)$ be defined by

$$k_0(z) = \frac{1}{z^p}$$

and

$$k_q(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \quad (q > 0)$$

also suppose that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta_{p+k} a_{p+k} \leq 1 \tag{83}$$

where

$$\theta_{p+k} = \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n,\lambda,\sigma,\mu,\omega,p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}$$

then, for $q > 0$, we have

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{k_q(z)} \right\} > 1 - \frac{1}{\theta_q} \tag{84}$$

and

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{k_q(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \frac{\theta_q}{1 + \theta_q} \tag{85}$$

Proof. Under the hypotheses we can see from (83) that

$$\theta_{p+k+1} > \theta_{p+k} > 1 \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

Therefore by using (83) again we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} a_{p+k} + \theta_q \sum_{k=q}^{\infty} a_{p+k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta_{p+k} a_{p+k} \leq 1 \quad (86)$$

Let

$$w(z) = \theta_q \left[\frac{f(z)}{k_q(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\theta_q} \right) \right] = 1 + \frac{\theta_q \sum_{k=q}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{2p+k}}{1 + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} a_{p+k} z^{2p+k}} \quad (87)$$

Applying (86) and (87) we find

$$\left| \frac{w(z) - 1}{w(z) + 1} \right| = \left| \frac{\theta_q \sum_{k=q}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{2p+k}}{2 + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} a_{p+k} z^{2p+k} + \theta_q \sum_{k=q}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{2p+k}} \right| \leq \frac{\theta_q \sum_{k=q}^{\infty} a_{p+k}}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} a_{p+k} - \theta_q \sum_{k=q}^{\infty} a_{p+k}} \leq 1 \quad (88)$$

which shows that $\Re w(z) > 0$. From (87) we immediately obtain (84).

Similarly letting

$$\varphi(z) = (1 + \theta_q) \left[\frac{k_q(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{\theta_q}{1 + \theta_q} \right]$$

we can prove (85). This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. K. Aouf, *A class of meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients*, Taiwanese J. Math., 12(2008) 2517-2533.
- [2] W. G. Atshan and S. R. Kulkarni, *On application of differential subordination for certain subclass of meromorphically p -valent functions with positive coefficients defined by linear operator*, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math, 10(2009), 11pp.

- [3] Sh. Najafzadeh and A. Ebadian, *Convex family of meromorphically multivalent functions on connected sets*, Math and Com. Mod, 57 (2013) 301-305.
- [4] H. Orhan, D. Raducanu, E. Deniz, *Subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions defined by a differential operator*, Comput. Math. Appl. 61(2011) 966-979.
- [5] A.Schlid and H.Silverman, *Convolution of univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Ann. Univ. Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A, 29 (1975), 99-107.

A. Ebadian

Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University,
Tehran, Iran
email: *ebadian.ali@gmail.com*

S.Shams

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
University of Urmia,
Urmia,Iran
email: *sa40shams@yahoo.com*

R. Asadi

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
University of Urmia,
Urmia,Iran
email: *reza810asady@gmail.com*