

CERTAIN CLASS OF HARMONIC STARLIKE FUNCTIONS WITH RESPECT TO SYMMETRIC POINTS DEFINED BY LINEAR OPERATOR

R. M. EL-ASHWAH, M. K. AOUF, A. SHAMANDY AND S. M. EL-DEEB

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduced a new class of complex-valued harmonic functions with respect to symmetric points by using linear operator. We obtain coefficient conditions, extreme points, distortion bounds, convex combinations for this family of harmonic univalent functions.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45.

Keywords: Harmonic, univalent, Hadamard product, symmetric points.

1. INTRODUCTION

Denote by H the family of functions

$$f = h + \bar{g} , \quad (1)$$

which are analytic univalent and sense-preserving in the unit disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. So that f is normalized by $f(0) = f_z(0) - 1 = 0$. Thus, for $f = h + \bar{g} \in H$, we may express the analytic functions h and g in the forms

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad |b_1| < 1 . \quad (2)$$

where h and g are analytic in D . We call h the analytic part and g the co-analytic part of f . A necessary and sufficient condition for f to be locally univalent and sense-preserving in H is that $|h'(z)| > |g'(z)|$ in H (see [4]).

Hence

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{b_k z^k}, \quad |b_1| < 1 . \quad (3)$$

We denote \overline{H} the subclass of H consists harmonic functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{b_k z^k}, |b_1| < 1. \quad (4)$$

Let the Hadamard product (or convolution) of two power series $\Phi(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \phi_k z^k$

and $\Psi(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi_k z^k$ be defined by

$$(\Phi * \Psi)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \phi_k \psi_k z^k = (\Psi * \Phi)(z).$$

For positive real values of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$ and β_1, \dots, β_s ($\beta_j \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$), we now define the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$ by (see, for example, [18, p.19])

$${}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_k \dots (\alpha_q)_k}{(\beta_1)_k \dots (\beta_s)_k} \cdot \frac{z^k}{k!}$$

$$(q \leq s+1; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; z \in U),$$

where $(\theta)_\nu$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined, in terms of the Gamma function Γ , by

$$(\theta)_\nu = \frac{\Gamma(\theta + \nu)}{\Gamma(\theta)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (\nu = 0; \theta \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), \\ \theta(\theta + 1) \dots (\theta + \nu - 1) & (\nu \in \mathbb{N}; \theta \in \mathbb{C}). \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Corresponding to the function $h_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z) = h(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} h_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z) &= z {}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) z^k, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\Gamma_k(\alpha_1) = \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k-1} \dots (\alpha_q)_{k-1}}{(\beta_1)_{k-1} \dots (\beta_s)_{k-1} (k-1)!}. \quad (7)$$

In [11] El-Ashwah and Aouf defined the operator $I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)$ as follows:

$$I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) b_k z^k, \quad (8)$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\ell \geq 0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$.

We note that when $\ell = 0$, the operator $I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,0}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) = D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)$ was studied by Selvaraj and Karthikeyan [17].

We also note that:

- (i) $I_{q,s,\lambda}^{0,\ell}f(z) = H_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)$ (see Dziok and Srivastava [9,10]);
- (ii) For $q = s + 1$, $\alpha_i = 1(i = 1, \dots, s + 1)$ and $\beta_j = 1(j = 1, \dots, s)$, we get the operator $I_{\lambda,\ell}^m$ (see Catas [5]);
- (iii) For $q = s + 1$, $\alpha_i = 1(i = 1, \dots, s + 1)$, $\beta_j = 1(j = 1, \dots, s)$, $\lambda = 1$ and $\ell = 0$, we obtain the Salagean operator D^m (see Salagean [16]);
- (iv) For $q = s + 1$, $\alpha_i = 1(i = 1, \dots, s + 1)$, $\beta_j = 1(j = 1, \dots, s)$ and $\lambda = 1$, we get the operator I_ℓ^m (see Cho and Srivastava [6] and Cho and Kim [7]).
- (v) For $q = s + 1$, $\alpha_i = 1(i = 1, \dots, s + 1)$, $\beta_j = 1(j = 1, \dots, s)$ and $\ell = 0$, we obtain the operator D_λ^m (see Al-Oboudi [3]).

By specializing the parameters $m, \lambda, \ell, q, s, \alpha_i(i = 1, \dots, q)$ and $\beta_j(j = 1, \dots, s)$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} \quad & I_{2,1,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(n+1, 1; 1)f(z) = I_\lambda^{m,\ell}(n)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \frac{(n+1)_{k-1}}{(1)_{k-1}} a_k z^k \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \frac{(n+1)_{k-1}}{(1)_{k-1}} \overline{b_k z^k}, \quad (n > -1); \\
 \text{(ii)} \quad & I_{2,1,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(a, 1; c)f(z) = I_\lambda^{m,\ell}(a; c)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \frac{(a)_{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1}} a_k z^k \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \frac{(a)_{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1}} \overline{b_k z^k}, \quad (a \in \mathbb{R}; c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-); \\
 \text{(iii)} \quad & I_{2,1,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(2, 1; n+1)f(z) = I_{\lambda,n}^{m,\ell}f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \frac{(2)_{k-1}}{(n+1)_{k-1}} a_k z^k \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \frac{(2)_{k-1}}{(n+1)_{k-1}} \overline{b_k z^k}, \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}; p \in \mathbb{N}; n > -1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Motivated by Jahangiri et al. [12,13] and Ahuja and Jahangiri [1], we define a new subclass $HS_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$ of H that are starlike with respect symmetric points.

Definition 1. For $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $z = re^{i\theta} \in U$, we let $HS_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$ a subclass of H of the form $f = h + \bar{g}$ given by (3) and satisfying the analytic criteria

$$Re \left\{ \frac{2z \left(I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) \right)'}{z' \left[I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) - I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(-z) \right]} \right\} > \gamma, \quad (9)$$

where $I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)$ is defined by (1.8) and $z' = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} (z = re^{i\theta})$.

We also let $\overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma) = HS_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma) \cap \overline{H}$.

The family $\overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma)$ is of special interest because for suitable choices of $q, s, [\alpha_1], [\beta_1]$ and m, ℓ, λ . We note that

(i) $\overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,0}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma) = \overline{HS}_s([\alpha_1],\gamma)$, which was studied by Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [14];

(ii) $\overline{HS}_{s^*}^{1,0,m}(2,1,[1,1],\gamma) = \overline{SH}_s(m,\alpha)$, which were studied by AL-Khal and Al-Kharsani [2].

Also, We note that

$$(i) \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(2,1,[1;1],\gamma) = \overline{HS}_{s^*}^m(\lambda,\ell,\gamma) \\ = \left\{ f(z) \in \overline{H} : Re \left(\frac{2z (I_{\lambda,\ell}^m f(z))'}{z' [I_{\lambda,\ell}^m f(z) - I_{\lambda,\ell}^m f(-z)]} \right) > \gamma \right\}; \quad (10)$$

$$(ii) \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{1,\ell,m}(2,1,[1;1],\gamma) = \overline{HS}_{s^*}^m(\ell,\gamma) \\ = \left\{ f(z) \in \overline{H} : Re \left(\frac{2z (I_{\ell}^m f(z))'}{z' [I_{\ell}^m f(z) - I_{\ell}^m f(-z)]} \right) > \gamma \right\}; \quad (11)$$

$$(iii) \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,0,m}(2,1,[1;1],\gamma) = \overline{HS}_{s^*}^m(\lambda,\gamma) \\ = \left\{ f(z) \in \overline{H} : Re \left(\frac{2z (D_{\lambda}^m f(z))'}{z' [D_{\lambda}^m f(z) - D_{\lambda}^m f(-z)]} \right) > \gamma \right\}; \quad (12)$$

$$(iv) \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(2,1,[n+1,1;1],\gamma) = \overline{HS}_{s^*}^m(\lambda,\ell,n,\gamma) \\ = \left\{ f(z) \in \overline{H} : Re \left(\frac{2z (I_{\lambda}^{m,\ell}(n)f(z))'}{z' [I_{\lambda}^{m,\ell}(n)f(z) - I_{\lambda}^{m,\ell}(n)f(-z)]} \right) > \gamma \right\}; \quad (13)$$

$$(v) \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(2,1,[a,1;c],\gamma) = \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{m,\lambda}(\ell,a,c,\gamma) \\ = \left\{ f(z) \in \overline{H} : Re \left(\frac{2z (I_{\lambda}^{m,\ell}(a;c)f(z))'}{z' [I_{\lambda}^{m,\ell}(a;c)f(z) - I_{\lambda}^{m,\ell}(a;c)f(-z)]} \right) > \gamma \right\}. \quad (14)$$

Remarks 1. (i) If the co-analytic part of $f = h + \bar{g}$ is zero, $q = s + 1$, $m = \ell = 0$, $\lambda = 1$, $\alpha_i = 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, q$) and $\beta_j = 1$ ($j = 1, \dots, s$) then $HS_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma)$

turns out to be the class $S_s^*(\gamma)$ of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points which was introduced by Sakaguchi [15];

(ii) If the co-analytic part of $f = h + \bar{g}$ is zero, $q = s + 1$, $m = \lambda = 1$, $\ell = 0$, $\alpha_i = 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, q$) and $\beta_j = 1$ ($j = 1, \dots, s$) then $HS_{s^*}^{\lambda, \ell, m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$ turns out to be the class $K_s(\alpha)$ of convex functions with respect to symmetric points which was introduced by Das and Singh [8].

In this paper, we have obtained the coefficient conditions for the classes $HS_{s^*}^{\lambda, \ell, m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$ and $\overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda, \ell, m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$. Further a representation theorem, inclusion properties and distortion bounds for the class $\overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda, \ell, m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$ are also established.

2. COEFFICIENT CHARACTERIZATION

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that $q, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $a_1 = 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma < 1$. We begin with a sufficient condition for functions in $HS_{s^*}^{\lambda, \ell, m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$.

Theorem 1. *Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ be given by (1.3). Furthermore, let*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[2k - \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1 - \gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k - 1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |a_k| \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[2k + \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1 - \gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k - 1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |b_k| \leq 1 \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)$ be defined by (1.7). Then f is sense-preserving, harmonic univalent in U and $f \in HS_{s^*}^{\lambda, \ell, m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$.

Proof. According the condition (9), we only need to show that if (15) holds, then

$$Re \left\{ \frac{2z \left(I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(z) \right)'}{z' \left[I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(z) - I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(-z) \right]} \right\} = Re \frac{A(z)}{B(z)} > \gamma,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(z) &= 2z \left(I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(z) \right)' = 2z' \left[z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k - 1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k z^k \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k - 1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) \bar{b}_k z^k \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 B(z) &= z' \left[I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(z) - I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(-z) \right] \\
 &= z' \left[2z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[1 - (-1)^k \right] \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k z^k \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[1 - (-1)^k \right] \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) \overline{b_k z^k} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\operatorname{Re}\{w(z)\} > \gamma$ if and only if $|1 - \gamma + w| > |1 + \gamma - w|$, it suffices to show that

$$|A(z) + (1 - \gamma)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \gamma)B(z)| > 0. \quad (16)$$

Substituting for $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ in (2.2) and by using (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| 2(2 - \gamma)z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[2k + (1 - \gamma)(1 - (-1)^k) \right] \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k z^k \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[2k - (1 - \gamma)(1 - (-1)^k) \right] \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) \overline{b_k z^k} \right| \\
 &\quad - \left| -2\gamma z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[2k - (1 + \gamma)(1 - (-1)^k) \right] \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k z^k \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[2k + (1 + \gamma)(1 - (-1)^k) \right] \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) \overline{b_k z^k} \right| \\
 &\geq 4(1 - \gamma)|z| - 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[2k - \gamma(1 - (-1)^k) \right] \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |a_k| |z|^k \\
 &\quad - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[2k + \gamma(1 - (-1)^k) \right] \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |b_k| |z|^k \\
 &= 4(1 - \gamma)|z| \left[1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[2k - \gamma(1 - (-1)^k) \right]}{2(1 - \gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |a_k| |z|^{k-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[2k + \gamma(1 - (-1)^k) \right]}{2(1 - \gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |b_k| |z|^{k-1} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq 4(1-\gamma) \left[1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[2k - \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1-\gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |a_k| \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[2k + \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1-\gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |b_k| \right] \geq 0.$$

This last expression is non-negative by (2.1).

The harmonic univalent functions

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{[2k - \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)] \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1)} X_k z^k \\ + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{[2k + \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)] \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1)} \bar{Y}_k \bar{z}^k, \quad (17)$$

where $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |X_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\bar{Y}_k| = 1$, show that the coefficient bound given by (2.1) is sharp.

The functions of the form (2.3) are in $HS_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma)$ because

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[2k - \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1-\gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |a_k| \\ + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[2k + \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1-\gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |b_k| = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |X_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\bar{Y}_k| = 1.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

In the following theorem, it is shown that the condition (2.1) is also necessary for functions f to be in the class $\overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma)$.

Theorem 2. Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ be given by (1.4). Then $f \in \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[2k - \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1-\gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |a_k| \\ + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[2k + \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1-\gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |b_k| \leq 1, \quad (18)$$

where $\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)$ be defined by (1.7).

Proof. Since $\overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma) \subset HS_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma)$, we only need to prove the "only if" part of the theorem. To this end, for functions $f(z)$ of the form (1.4), we notice that the condition

$$Re \left\{ \frac{2z \left(I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(z) \right)'}{z' \left[I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(z) - I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(-z) \right]} \right\} > \gamma$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & Re \left\{ \left[2(1-\gamma) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [2k - \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)] \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k z^{k-1} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{\bar{z}}{z} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [2k + \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)] \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) b_k \bar{z}^{k-1} \right] \middle/ \right. \\ & \left. \left[2 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 - (-1)^k) \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k z^{k-1} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{\bar{z}}{z} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - (-1)^k) \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) b_k \bar{z}^{k-1} \right] \right\} > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The above required condition (2.5) must hold for all values of z in U . Upon choosing the values of z on the positive real axis where $0 \leq z = r < 1$, we must have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ 2(1-\gamma) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [2k - \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)] \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k r^{k-1} \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [2k + \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)] \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) b_k r^{k-1} \right/ \\ & \left. 2 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 - (-1)^k) \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k r^{k-1} \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - (-1)^k) \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) b_k r^{k-1} \right\} > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

If the condition (2.4) does not hold, then the numerator in (2.6) is negative for r sufficiently close to 1. Hence there exists $z_0 = r_0$ in $(0, 1)$ for which the quotient in (2.6) is

negative. This contradicts the required condition for $f(z) \in \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda, \ell, m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$ and so the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

By specializing the parameters $\lambda, \ell, m, q, s, \alpha$'s and β 's, we obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 1. For $f = h + \bar{g} \in \overline{HS}_{s^*}^m(\lambda, \ell, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[2k - \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1 - \gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k - 1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m |a_k| \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[2k + \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1 - \gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k - 1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m |b_k| \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2. For $f = h + \bar{g} \in \overline{HS}_{s^*}^m(\ell, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[2k - \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1 - \gamma)} \left[\frac{k + \ell}{1 + \ell} \right]^m |a_k| \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[2k + \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1 - \gamma)} \left[\frac{k + \ell}{1 + \ell} \right]^m |b_k| \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3. For $f = h + \bar{g} \in \overline{HS}_{s^*}^m(\lambda, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[2k - \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1 - \gamma)} [1 + \lambda(k - 1)]^m |a_k| \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[2k + \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1 - \gamma)} [1 + \lambda(k - 1)]^m |b_k| \leq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Corollary 4. For $f = h + \bar{g} \in \overline{HS}_{s^*}^m(\lambda, \ell, n, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[2k - \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1 - \gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k - 1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \frac{(n + 1)_{k-1}}{(1)_{k-1}} |a_k| \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[2k + \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1 - \gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k - 1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \frac{(n + 1)_{k-1}}{(1)_{k-1}} |b_k| \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5. For $f = h + \bar{g} \in \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{m,\lambda}(\ell, a, c, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[2k - \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1 - \gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \frac{(a)_{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1}} |a_k| \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[2k + \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1 - \gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \frac{(a)_{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1}} |b_k| \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

3. EXTREME POINTS AND DISTORTION THEOREM

Our next theorem is on the extreme points of convex hulls of the class $\overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$ denoted by $\text{clco } \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$.

Theorem 3. A function $f_k \in \text{clco } \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$ if and only if $f_k(z)$ can be expressed in the form

$$f_k(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [X_k h_k(z) + Y_k g_k(z)], \quad (22)$$

where $h_1(z) = z$,

$$h_k(z) = z - \frac{2(1 - \gamma)}{[2k - \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)] \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1)} z^k \quad (k \geq 2),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(z) &= z + \frac{2(1 - \gamma)}{[2k + \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)] \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1)} \bar{z}^k \quad (k \geq 1), \\ X_k &\geq 0, Y_k \geq 0, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (X_k + Y_k) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the extreme points of the class $\overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$ are $\{h_k\}$ and $\{g_k\}$.

Proof. For functions $f_k(z)$ of the form (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(z) &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{\left[2k - \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k\right)\right] \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell}\right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1)} X_k z^k \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{\left[2k + \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k\right)\right] \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell}\right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1)} Y_k \bar{z}^k. \end{aligned}$$

Then by using Theorem 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[2k - \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k\right)\right]}{2(1-\gamma)} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell}\right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |a_k| \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[2k + \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k\right)\right]}{2(1-\gamma)} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell}\right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |b_k| \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[2k - \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k\right)\right]}{2(1-\gamma)} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell}\right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{\left[2k - \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k\right)\right] \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell}\right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1)} X_k \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[2k + \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k\right)\right]}{2(1-\gamma)} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell}\right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{2(1-\gamma)}{\left[2k + \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k\right)\right] \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell}\right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1)} Y_k \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_k = 1 - X_1 \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and so $f_k \in \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda, \ell, m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$.

Conversely, if $f_k \in \text{clco } \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda, \ell, m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$. Setting

$$X_k = \frac{\left[2k - \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k\right)\right]}{2(1-\gamma)} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell}\right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |a_k| \quad (k \geq 2),$$

and

$$Y_k = \frac{\left[2k + \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k\right)\right]}{2(1-\gamma)} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell}\right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |b_k| \quad (k \geq 1).$$

We obtain $f_k(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [X_k h_k(z) + Y_k g_k(z)]$ as required.

The following theorem gives the distortion theorem for functions in the class $\overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma)$ which yields a covering result for this class.

Theorem 4. *Let the functions $f(z)$ defined by (4) be in the class $\overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma)$. Then for $|z| = r < 1$, we have*

$$|f(z)| \leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \frac{1}{\left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda}{1+\ell}\right]^m \Gamma_2(\alpha_1)} \left\{ \frac{1-\gamma}{2} - \frac{1+\gamma}{2} |b_1| \right\} r^2,$$

and

$$|f(z)| \geq (1 - |b_1|)r - \frac{1}{\left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda}{1+\ell}\right]^m \Gamma_2(\alpha_1)} \left\{ \frac{1-\gamma}{2} - \frac{1+\gamma}{2} |b_1| \right\} r^2.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. We only prove the right-hand inequality. The proof for the left-hand inequality is similar and will be omitted. Let $f(z) \in \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma)$. Taking the absolute value of f we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (|a_k| + |b_k|)r^k \leq (1 + |b_1|)r + r^{j2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (|a_k| + |b_k|) \\ &\leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \frac{(1-\gamma)}{\left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda}{1+\ell}\right]^m \Gamma_2(\alpha_1)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell}\right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1)}{1-\gamma} (|a_k| + |b_k|)r^2 \\ &\leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \frac{(1-\gamma)r^2}{\left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda}{1+\ell}\right]^m \Gamma_2(\alpha_1)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\left[2k - \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k\right)\right]}{4(1-\gamma)} |a_k| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\left[2k + \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k\right)\right]}{4(1-\gamma)} |b_k| \right\} \cdot \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (1 + |b_1|)r + \frac{(1 - \gamma)r^2}{2 \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_2(\alpha_1)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\left[2k - \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k \right) \right]}{2(1 - \gamma)} |a_k| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\left[2k + \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k \right) \right]}{2(1 - \gamma)} |b_k| \right\} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) \\
&\leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \frac{(1 - \gamma)r^2}{2 \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_2(\alpha_1)} \left(1 - \frac{1 + \gamma}{1 - \gamma} |b_1| \right) \\
&= (1 + |b_1|)r + \frac{1}{\left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_2(\alpha_1)} \left[\frac{1 - \gamma}{2} - \frac{1 + \gamma}{2} |b_1| \right] r^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 4 is completed.

4. CONVOLUTION AND CONVEX COMBINATION

For our next theorem, we need to define the convolution of two harmonic functions. For harmonic functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \bar{z}^k, \quad |b_1| < 1 \quad (23)$$

and

$$G(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \bar{z}^k \quad (A_k \geq 0; B_k \geq 0) \quad (24)$$

we define the convolution of two harmonic functions f and G as

$$(f * G)(z) = f(z) * G(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k A_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k B_k \bar{z}^k. \quad (25)$$

Using this definition, we show that the class $\overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda, \ell, m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$ is closed under convolution.

Theorem 5. For $0 \leq \mu \leq \gamma < 1$, let $f \in \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda, \ell, m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$ and $G \in \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda, \ell, m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \mu)$. Then

$$f * G \in \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda, \ell, m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma) \subset \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda, \ell, m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \mu).$$

Proof. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (4.1) be in the class $\overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda, \ell, m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$ and let the function $G(z)$ defined by (4.2) be in the class $\overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda, \ell, m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \mu)$.

Then the convolution $f * G$ is given by (4.3). We wish to show that the coefficients of $f * G$ satisfy the required condition given in Theorem 2. For $G \in \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\mu)$ we note that $0 \leq A_k \leq 1$ and $0 \leq B_k \leq 1$. Now, for the convolution function $f * G$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[2k - \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k \right) \right] \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |a_k| A_k \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[2k + \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k \right) \right] \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |b_k| B_k \\ & \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[2k - \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k \right) \right] \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |a_k| \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[2k + \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k \right) \right] \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |b_k| \\ & \leq 2(1-\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

since $0 \leq \mu \leq \gamma < 1$ and $f \in \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma)$. Therefore $f * G \in \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma) \subset \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\mu)$, since the above inequality bounded by $2(1-\gamma)$ while $2(1-\gamma) \leq 2(1-\mu)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Now, we show that the class $\overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma)$ is closed under convex combinations of its members.

Theorem 6. *The class $\overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma)$ is closed under convex combination.*

Proof. For $i = 1, 2, \dots$, let $f_i \in \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma)$, where f_i is given by

$$f_i(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_{k_i}| z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_{k_i}| \bar{z}^k \quad (a_{k_i} \geq 0; b_{k_i} \geq 0; z \in U).$$

Then by using Theorem 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[2k - \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k \right) \right]}{2(1-\gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |a_{k_i}| \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[2k + \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k \right) \right]}{2(1-\gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |b_{k_i}| \leq 1. \quad (26) \end{aligned}$$

For $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i = 1, 0 \leq t_i \leq 1$, the convex combination of f_i may be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i f_i(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i |a_{k_i}| \right) z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i |b_{k_i}| \right) \bar{z}^k. \quad (27)$$

Then, by using (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[2k - \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1 - \gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k - 1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i |a_{k_i}| \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[2k + \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1 - \gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k - 1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i |b_{k_i}| \right) \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[2k - \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1 - \gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k - 1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |a_{k_i}| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[2k + \gamma(1 - (-1)^k)]}{2(1 - \gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k - 1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |b_{k_i}| \right] \\ \leq & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i = 1, \text{ this is the necessary and sufficient condition given by (2.4)} \end{aligned}$$

and so $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i f_i(z) \in \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda, \ell, m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

5. PROPERTIES OF CERTAIN INTEGRAL OPERATOR

Finally, we study properties of certain integral operator.

Theorem 7. Let the functions $f(z)$ defined by (4) be in the class $\overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda, \ell, m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$ and let c be a real number such that $c > -1$. Then the function $F(z)$ defined by

$$F(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt \quad (28)$$

belongs to the class $\overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda, \ell, m}(q, s, [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \gamma)$.

Proof. From the representation of $F(z)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(z) &= \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} \left\{ h(t) + \overline{g(t)} \right\} dt \\
 &= \frac{c+1}{z^c} \left(\int_0^z t^{c-1} \left(t - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k t^k \right) dt + \int_0^z t^{c-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k t^k \right) dt \right) \\
 &= \frac{c+1}{z^c} \left(\int_0^z t^c dt - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \int_0^z t^{c+k-1} dt + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \int_0^z t^{c+k-1} dt \right) \\
 &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \bar{z}^k,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $A_k = \frac{c+1}{c+k} a_k$, $B_k = \frac{c+1}{c+k} b_k$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[2k - \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k \right) \right]}{2(1-\gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) \frac{c+1}{c+k} |a_k| \\
 &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[2k + \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k \right) \right]}{2(1-\gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) \frac{c+1}{c+k} |b_k| \\
 &< \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[2k - \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k \right) \right]}{2(1-\gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |a_k| \\
 &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[2k + \gamma \left(1 - (-1)^k \right) \right]}{2(1-\gamma)} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) |b_k| \leq 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(z) \in \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma)$, therefore from Theorem 2, we have $F(z) \in \overline{HS}_{s^*}^{\lambda,\ell,m}(q,s,[\alpha_1,\beta_1],\gamma)$.

Remarks 2. Putting $m = 0$ in our results we obtain the results obtained by Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [14].

REFERENCES

- [1] O. P. Ahuja and J. M. Jahangiri, Sci. Math. Japonica., *Sakaguchi-type harmonic univalent functions*, 59(2004), no. 1, 163-168.

- [2] R. A. AL-Khal and H. A. Al-Kharsani, *Salagean-type harmonic univalent functions with respect to symmetric points*, Australian J. Math. Analysis Appl., 4(2007), no. 1, Art. 6, 1-13.
- [3] F. M. Al-Oboudi, *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 27(2004), 1429-1436.
- [4] Y. Avci and E. Zlotkiewicz, *On harmonic univalent mappings*, Ann. Univ. Mariae-Curie Skłodowska Sect. A, 44(1990), 1-7.
- [5] A. Catas, *On certain classes of p -valent functions defined by multiplier transformations*, in Proc. Book of the International Symposium on Geometric Function Theory and Applications, Istanbul, Turkey, (August 2007), 241-250.
- [6] N. E. Cho and H. M. Srivastava, *Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations*, Math. Comput. Modelling, 37(2003), no. (1-2), 39-49.
- [7] N. E. Cho and T. H. Kim, *Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 40(2003), no. 3, 399-410.
- [8] R. N. Das and P. Singh, *On subclasses of Schlicht mapping*, Indian J. Pure Appl., Math. 8(1977), 864-872.
- [9] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, *Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Appl. Math. Comput., 103 (1999), 1-13.
- [10] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, *Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., 14(2003), 7-18.
- [11] R. M. El-Ashwah and M. K. Aouf, *Differential subordination and superordination for certain subclasses of p -valent functions*, Math. Comput. Modelling, 51(2010), 349-360.
- [12] J. M. Jahangiri, *Harmonic functions starlike in the unit disc.*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 235(1999), 470-477.
- [13] J. M. Jahangiri, G. Murugusundaramoorthy and K. Vijaya, *Starlikeness of Rucheweyh type harmonic univalent functions*, J. Indian. Academy. Math., 26(2004), no. 1, 191-200.
- [14] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, K. Vijaya and M. K. Aouf, *A class of harmonic starlike functions with respect to other points defined by Dziok-Srivastava operator*, J. Math. Appl., (2008), no. 30, 113-124.
- [15] K. Sakaguchi, *On a certain univalent mapping*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 11(1959), 72-75.
- [16] G. S. Salagean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag 1013(1983), 362-372.

- [17] C. Selvaraj and K. R. Karthikeyan, *Differential subordinant and superordinations for certain subclasses of analytic functions*, Far East J. Math. Sci., 29(2008), no. 2, 419-430.
- [18] H. M. Srivastava and P. W. Karlsson, *Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series*, Halsted Press, Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester, John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane, Toronto, 1985.

R. M. El-Ashwah

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science at Damietta, Mansoura University
New Damietta 34517, Egypt
email: *r_elashwah@yahoo.com*

M. K. Aouf Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University
Mansoura 35516, Egypt
email: *mkaouf127@yahoo.com*

A. Shamandy

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University
Mansoura 35516, Egypt
email: *shamandy16@hotmail.com*

S. M. El-Deeb

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University
Mansoura 35516, Egypt
email: *shezaeldeeb@yahoo.com*