Acta Universitatis Apulensis

No. 29/2012
ISSN: 1582-5329

pp. 99-103

A SUBORDINATION RESULT FOR A CLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

B.A. Frasin

ABSTRACT.In this paper, we derive a subordination result for the class $\mathcal{A}^{\star}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3; \lambda)$ of analytic functions, where β_1 , β_2 and β_3 are complex numbers and $\lambda > 0$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

1.Introduction and definitions

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form :

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \tag{1}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. For two functions f(z) given by (1) and g(z) given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n \tag{2}$$

their Hadamard product (or convolution) is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n c_n z^n.$$
 (3)

Let $\mathcal{A}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3; \lambda)$ denote the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions f(z) satisfying

$$\left|\beta_1 z \left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)' + \beta_2 z^2 \left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)'' + \beta_3 z^3 \left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)'''\right| \le \lambda \qquad (z \in \Delta)$$
 (4)

for some complex numbers β_1 , β_2 and β_3 , and for some real $\lambda > 0$. The class $\mathcal{A}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3; \lambda)$ was considered by Uyanik et al. [6].

Uyanik et al. [6], proved that, if $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)(|\beta_1| + (n-2)|\beta_2| + (n-2)(n-3)|\beta_3|)|a_n| \le \lambda$$
 (5)

for some complex numbers β_1 , β_2 and β_3 , and for some real $\lambda > 0$, then $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3; \lambda)$.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{A}^*(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3; \lambda)$, the class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ whose coefficients satisfy the condition (5). We note that $\mathcal{A}^*(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3; \lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3; \lambda)$.

In this paper, we prove a subordination result for the class $\mathcal{A}^{\star}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3; \lambda)$.

Before we state and prove our main result we need the following definitions and lemma.

Definition 1. (Subordination Principle). Let g(z) be analytic and univalent in Δ . If f(z) is analytic in Δ , f(0) = g(0), and $f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta)$, then we see that the function f(z) is subordinate to g(z) in Δ , and we write $f(z) \prec g(z)$.

Definition 2. (Subordinating Factor Sequence). A sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of complex numbers is called a subordinating factor sequence if, whenever f(z) is analytic, univalent and convex in Δ , we have the subordination given by

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n a_n z^n \prec f(z) \qquad (z \in \Delta, \ a_1 = 1). \tag{6}$$

Lemma 1. ([7]). The sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a subordinating factor sequence if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}b_{n}z^{n}\right\} > 0 \qquad (z \in \Delta). \tag{7}$$

2. Main Theorem

Employing the techniques used by Singh [4], Srivastava and Attiya [5] and Attiya [2] (see also [1], [3]), we state and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let the function f(z) be defined by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{A}^*(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3; \lambda)$. Also let \mathcal{K} denote the familiar class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ which are also univalent and convex in Δ . Then

$$\frac{|\beta_1|}{2(\lambda+|\beta_1|)}(f*g)(z) \prec g(z) \qquad (z \in \Delta; g \in \mathcal{K}), \tag{8}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}(f(z)) > -\frac{\lambda + |\beta_1|}{|\beta_1|}, \qquad (\beta_1 \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, \ z \in \Delta). \tag{9}$$

The constant $\frac{|\beta_1|}{2(\lambda+|\beta_1|)}$ is the best estimate.

Proof . Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}^*(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3; \lambda)$ and let $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n \in \mathcal{K}$. Then

$$\frac{|\beta_1|}{2(\lambda+|\beta_1|)}(f*g)(z)$$

$$= \frac{|\beta_1|}{2(\lambda+|\beta_1|)}\left(z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}a_nc_nz^n\right).$$

Thus, by Definition 2, the assertion of our theorem will hold if the sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{|\beta_1|}{2(\lambda + |\beta_1|)} a_n \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

is a subordinating factor sequence, with $a_1=1.$ In view of Lemma 1 , this will be the case if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta_1|}{\lambda + |\beta_1|} a_n z^n\right\} > 0 \ (z \in \Delta). \tag{10}$$

Now, since

$$\sigma(n) = (n-1)(|\beta_1| + (n-2)|\beta_2| + (n-2)(n-3)|\beta_3|)$$

is an increasing function of $n(n \ge 2)$, we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \frac{|\beta_{1}|}{\lambda + |\beta_{1}|} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}\right\}$$

$$= \operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \frac{|\beta_{1}|}{\lambda + |\beta_{1}|} z + \frac{1}{\lambda + |\beta_{1}|} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{1}| a_{n} z^{n}\right\}$$

$$\geq 1 - \frac{2|\beta_{1}|}{\lambda + 2|\beta_{1}|} r - \frac{1}{\lambda + |\beta_{1}|} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-1)(|\beta_{1}| + (n-2)|\beta_{2}|$$

$$+ (n-2)(n-3)|\beta_{3}|) |a_{n}| r^{n}$$

$$\geq 1 - \frac{|\beta_{1}|}{\lambda + |\beta_{1}|} r - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + |\beta_{1}|} r$$

$$> 0 \quad (|z| = r < 1),$$

Thus (10) holds true in Δ . This proves the inequality (8). The inequality (9) follows by taking the convex function $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^n$ in (8). To prove the sharpness of the constant $\frac{|\beta_1|}{\lambda + 2|\beta_1|}$, we consider the function $f_0(z) \in \mathcal{A}^{\star}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3; \lambda)$ given by

$$f_0(z) = z - \frac{\lambda}{|\beta_1|} z^2.$$

Thus from (8), we have

$$\frac{|\beta_1|}{2(\lambda+|\beta_1|)}f_0(z)\prec\frac{z}{1-z}.$$

It can easily verified that

$$\min\left\{\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{|\beta_1|}{2(\lambda+|\beta_1|)}f_0(z)\right)\right\} = -\frac{1}{2} \qquad (z \in \Delta).$$

This shows that the constant $\frac{|\beta_1|}{2(\lambda+|\beta_1|)}$ is best possible.

Putting $\beta_1=\lambda=1$ and $\beta_2=\beta_3=0$ in Theorem 1, we immediately obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 1. Let the function f(z) be defined by (1) and satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) |a_n| \le 1. \tag{11}$$

Also let K denote the familiar class of functions $f(z) \in A$ which are also univalent and convex in Δ . Then

$$\frac{1}{4}(f*g)(z) \prec g(z) \qquad (z \in \Delta; g \in \mathcal{K}), \tag{12}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}(f(z)) > -2, \qquad (z \in \Delta).$$
 (13)

The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is the best estimate.

References

- [1] M. K. Aouf , A. Shamandy , A. O. Mostafa and S. M. Madian, Subordination properties for analytic functions defined by convolution, Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci. 46(4) (2009), 227-232.
- [2] A.A. Attiya, On some application of a subordination theorems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 311 (2005), 489-494.
- [3] B. A. Frasin, Subordination results for a class of analytic functions defined by a linear operator, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 7, no. 4, article 134, pp. 1–7, 2006.
- [4] S. Singh, A subordination theorems for spirallike functions, IJMMS, 24(7) (2000), 433-435.
- [5] H.M. Srivastava and A.A. Attiya, Some subordination results associated with certain subclasses of analytic functions, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 5(4) (2004), Article 82, 1-6.
- [6] N. Uyanik and S. Owa, New extensions for classes of analytic functions associated with close-to-convex and starlike of order α , Mathematical and Computer Modelling, Volume 54, Issues 1-2 (2011), 359-366.
- [7] H.S. Wilf, Subordinating factor sequence for convex maps of the unit circle, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1961), 689-693.

B.A. Frasin
Faculty of Science
Department of Mathematics
Al al-Bayt University
P.O. Box: 130095 Mafraq, Jordan
email:bafrasin@yahoo.com