

**SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR UNIVALENCE OF INTEGRAL  
OPERATOR DEFINED BY HADAMARD PRODUCT**

B.A. FRASIN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain new sufficient conditions for the univalence of general integral operator defined by

$$I_{\beta}(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_n)(z) = \left\{ \int_0^z \beta t^{\beta-1} \left( \frac{(f_1 * g_1)(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_1} \dots \left( \frac{(f_n * g_n)(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_n} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}.$$

Several corollaries and consequences of the main results are also considered.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C45.

1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions of the form :

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc  $\mathcal{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . Further, by  $\mathcal{S}$  we shall denote the class of all functions in  $\mathcal{A}$  which are univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$ . For two functions  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $g(z)$  given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \tag{1}$$

their Hadamard product (or convolution) is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n. \tag{2}$$

For a function  $g \in \mathcal{A}$  defined by (1), where  $b_n \geq 0$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), we define the family  $\mathcal{S}(g, \gamma)$  so that it consists of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying the condition

$$\left| \frac{z(f * g)'(z)}{(f * g)(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \gamma \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; 0 \leq \gamma < 1), \quad (3)$$

provided that  $(f * g)(z) \neq 0$ .

Also, for a function  $g \in \mathcal{A}$  defined by (1), where  $b_n \geq 0$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), we define the family  $\mathcal{B}(g, \mu)$  so that it consists of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying the condition

$$\left| \frac{z^2(f * g)'(z)}{[(f * g)(z)]^2} - 1 \right| < 1 - \mu \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; 0 \leq \mu < 1), \quad (4)$$

provided that  $(f * g)(z) \neq 0$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{B}(\frac{z}{1-z}, \mu) = \mathcal{B}(\mu)$ , where the class  $\mathcal{B}(\mu)$  of analytic and univalent functions was introduced and studied by Frasin and Darus [11](see also [10]).

Using the Hadamard product defined by (2), we introduce the following general integral operator:

**Definition 1.** Given  $f_i, g_i \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Re}(\beta) > 0$ . We let  $I_\beta(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_n) : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be the integral operator defined by

$$I_\beta(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_n)(z) = \left\{ \int_0^z \beta t^{\beta-1} \left( \frac{(f_1 * g_1)(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_1} \dots \left( \frac{(f_n * g_n)(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_n} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \quad (5)$$

where  $(f * g)(z)/z \neq 0$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Here and throughout in the sequel every many-valued function is taken with the principal branch.

**Remark 1.** Note that the integral operator  $I_\beta(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_n)(z)$  generalizes many operators introduced and studied by several authors, for example:

(1) For  $\beta = 1$ , we obtain the integral operator

$$I(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_n)(z) = \int_0^z \left( \frac{(f_1 * g_1)(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_1} \dots \left( \frac{(f_n * g_n)(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_n} dt \quad (6)$$

introduced and studied by Frasin [9].

(2) For  $g_1 = \dots = g_n = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\eta)}{\Gamma(n+1-\eta)} (1 + (n-1))\lambda \right)^m z^n$ , we obtain the following integral operator introduced and studied by Bulut [7]

$$I_{\beta}^{m,\eta}(f_1, \dots, f_n)(z) = \left\{ \int_0^z \beta t^{\beta-1} \left( \frac{D_{\lambda}^{m,\eta} f_1(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_1} \dots \left( \frac{D_{\lambda}^{m,\eta} f_n(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_n} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \quad (7)$$

where  $D_{\lambda}^{m,\eta} f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\eta)}{\Gamma(n+1-\eta)} (1 + (n-1))\lambda \right)^m a_n z^n$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  is the generalized Al-Oboudi operator [2].

(3) For  $g_1 = \dots = g_n = \frac{z}{1-z}$ , we obtain the integral operator

$$I_{\beta}(f_1, \dots, f_n)(z) = \left\{ \int_0^z \beta t^{\beta-1} \left( \frac{f_1(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_1} \dots \left( \frac{f_n(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_n} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \quad (8)$$

introduced and studied by Breaz and Breaz [3].

(4) For  $g_1 = \dots = g_n = \frac{z}{1-z}$  and  $\beta = 1$ , we obtain the integral operator

$$F_n(z) = \int_0^z \left( \frac{f_1(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_1} \dots \left( \frac{f_n(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_n} dt \quad (9)$$

introduced and studied by Breaz and Breaz [3].

(5) For  $g_1 = \dots = g_n = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$  and  $\beta = 1$ , we obtain the integral operator

$$F_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(z) = \int_0^z (f_1'(t))^{\alpha_1} \dots (f_n'(t))^{\alpha_n} dt \quad (10)$$

introduced and studied by Breaz *et al.* [5].

(6) For  $g_1 = \dots = g_n = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_{k+n-1}^k z^n$  and  $\beta = 1$ , we obtain the following integral operator introduced in [12]

$$I(f_1, \dots, f_n)(z) = \int_0^z \left( \frac{R^k f_1(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_1} \dots \left( \frac{R^k f_n(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_n} dt \quad (11)$$

where  $R^k f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_{k+n-1}^k a_n z^n$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  is Ruscheweyh differential operator [18].

(7) For  $g_1 = \dots = g_n = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^k z^n$  and  $\beta = 1$ , we obtain the following integral operator introduced and studied by Breaz *et al.* [4]

$$D^k F(z) = \int_0^z \left( \frac{D^k f_1(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_1} \dots \left( \frac{D^k f_n(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_n} dt \quad (12)$$

where  $D^k f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^k a_n z^n$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  is Sălăgean differential operator [19].

(8)  $g_1 = \dots = g_n = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n-1)\lambda]^k z^n$  and  $\beta = 1$ , we obtain the following integral operator introduced and studied by Bulut [6]

$$I_n(f_1, \dots, f_n)(z) = \int_0^z \left( \frac{D_{\lambda}^k f_1(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_1} \dots \left( \frac{D_{\lambda}^k f_n(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_n} dt \quad (13)$$

where  $D_{\lambda}^k f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n-1)\lambda]^k a_n z^n$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , is Al-Oboudi differential operator [2].

(9) For  $g_1 = \dots = g_n = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1}} z^n$  and  $\beta = 1$ , we obtain the integral operator introduced and studied by Selvaraj and Karthikeyan [20]

$$F_{\alpha}(a, c; z) = \int_0^z \left( \frac{L(a, c) f_1(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_1} \dots \left( \frac{L(a, c) f_n(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_n} dt \quad (14)$$

where  $L(a, c)f(z) := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1}} a_n z^n$  is the Carlson-Shaffer linear operator [8].

(10) For  $g_1 = \frac{z}{1-z}$  and  $\alpha_1 = \beta = 1$ , we obtain Alexander integral operator introduced in [1]

$$I(z) = \int_0^z \frac{f_1(t)}{t} dt \quad (15)$$

(11) For  $g_1 = \frac{z}{1-z}$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ , and  $\beta = 1$ , we obtain the integral operator

$$F_{\alpha}(z) = \int_0^z \left( \frac{f(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha} dt \quad (16)$$

studied in [13].

In order to derive our main results, we have to recall here the following univalence criteria.

**Lemma 1.** ([15]) Let  $\alpha$  be a complex number with  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies

$$\frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)}}{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)} \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1,$$

for all  $z \in \mathcal{U}$ , then the integral operator

$$F_\alpha(z) = \left\{ \alpha \int_0^z t^{\alpha-1} f'(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

is in the class  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Lemma 2.** ([16]) Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies

$$\frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)}}{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)} \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1,$$

for all  $z \in \mathcal{U}$ , then, for any complex number  $\beta$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)$ , the integral operator

$$F_\beta(z) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^z t^{\beta-1} f'(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$$

is in the class  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Lemma 3.** ([17]) Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|c| \leq 1$ ,  $c \neq -1$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies

$$\left| c|z|^{2\beta} + (1 - |z|^{2\beta}) \frac{zf''(z)}{\beta f'(z)} \right| \leq 1,$$

for all  $z \in \mathcal{U}$ , then the integral operator

$$F_\beta(z) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^z t^{\beta-1} f'(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$$

is in the class  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Also, we need the following general Schwarz Lemma

**Lemma 4.**([14]) *Let the function  $f$  be regular in the disk  $\mathcal{U}_R = \{z : |z| < R\}$ , with  $|f(z)| < M$  for fixed  $M$ . If  $f(z)$  has one zero with multiplicity order bigger than  $m$  for  $z = 0$ , then*

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{M}{R^m} |z|^m \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}_R).$$

The equality can hold only if

$$f(z) = e^{i\theta} (M/R^m) z^m$$

where  $\theta$  is constant.

In this paper, we obtain new sufficient conditions for the univalence of the general integral operator  $I_\beta(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_n)(z)$  defined by (2). Several corollaries and consequences of the main results are also considered.

## 2. UNIVALENCE CONDITIONS FOR $I_\beta(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_n)$

We first prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with*

$$\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| (1 - \gamma_i) > 0. \quad (17)$$

*If  $f_i \in \mathcal{S}(g_i, \gamma_i)$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma_i < 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then the integral operator  $I_\beta(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_n)$  defined by (5) is analytic and univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$ .*

*Proof.* Define

$$h(z) = \int_0^z \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{(f_i * g_i)(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_i} dt,$$

thus we have

$$h'(z) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{(f_i * g_i)(z)}{z} \right)^{\alpha_i}. \quad (18)$$

Differentiating both sides of (18) with respect to  $z$  logarithmically, we obtain

$$\frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left( \frac{z(f_i * g_i)'(z)}{(f_i * g_i)(z)} - 1 \right)$$

thus we have

$$\left| \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| \left| \frac{z(f_i * g_i)'(z)}{(f_i * g_i)(z)} - 1 \right|. \quad (19)$$

Since  $f_i \in \mathcal{S}(g_i, \gamma_i)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , from (3), (17) and (19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}(\beta)}}{\operatorname{Re}(\beta)} \left| \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right| &\leq \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}(\beta)}}{\operatorname{Re}(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| \left| \frac{z(f_i * g_i)'(z)}{(f_i * g_i)(z)} - 1 \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| (1 - \gamma_i) \\ &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 1 for the function  $h(z)$ , we prove that  $I_\beta(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Letting  $g_1 = \dots = g_n = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\eta)}{\Gamma(n+1-\eta)} (1 + (n-1)\lambda) \right)^m z^n$  and  $\gamma_i = 0$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , in Theorem 1, we have:

**Corollary 1.** ([7]) *Let  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with*

$$\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| > 0.$$

If

$$\left| \frac{z(D_\lambda^{m,\eta} f_i(z))'}{D_\lambda^{m,\eta} f_i(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

then the integral operator  $I_\beta^{m,\eta}(f_1, \dots, f_n)(z)$  defined by (7) is analytic and univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Making use of Lemma 2, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $M_i \geq 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with*

$$\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| ((2 - \mu_i)M_i + 1) > 0. \quad (20)$$

If  $f_i \in \mathcal{B}(g_i, \mu_i)$ ,  $0 \leq \mu_i < 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and

$$|(f_i * g_i)(z)| \leq M_i \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}, i = 1, \dots, n),$$

then for any complex number  $\beta$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)$ , the integral operator  $I_\beta(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_n)$  defined by (5) is analytic and univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

*Proof.* From the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$\left| \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| \left| \frac{z(f_i * g_i)'(z)}{(f_i * g_i)(z)} - 1 \right|$$

which readily shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)}}{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)} \left| \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right| &\leq \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)}}{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| \left( \left| \frac{z(f_i * g_i)'(z)}{(f_i * g_i)(z)} \right| + 1 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)}}{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| \left( \left| \frac{z^2(f_i * g_i)'(z)}{[(f_i * g_i)(z)]^2} \right| \left| \frac{(f_i * g_i)(z)}{z} \right| + 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|(f_i * g_i)(z)| \leq M_i$  ( $z \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), and  $f_i \in \mathcal{B}(g_i, \mu_i)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)}}{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)} \left| \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right| &\leq \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)}}{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| \left( \left| \frac{z^2(f_i * g_i)'(z)}{[(f_i * g_i)(z)]^2} - 1 \right| M_i + M_i + 1 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| ((2 - \mu_i)M_i + 1) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}), \end{aligned}$$

which, in the light of the hypothesis (20), yields

$$\frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)}}{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)} \left| \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right| \leq 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Applying Lemma 2 for the function  $h(z)$ , we prove that  $I_\beta(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Letting  $g_1 = \dots = g_n = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\eta)}{\Gamma(n+1-\eta)} (1 + (n-1)\lambda) \right)^m z^n$  and  $\mu_i = 0$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , in Theorem 2, we have:

**Corollary 2.** ([7]) Let  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $M_i \geq 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with

$$\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| (2M_i + 1) > 0.$$

If

$$\left| \frac{z^2(D_\lambda^{m,\eta} f_i(z))'}{(D_\lambda^{m,\eta} f_i(z))^2} - 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

and

$$|D_\lambda^{m,\eta} f_i(z)| \leq M_i \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}, i = 1, \dots, n),$$

then for any complex number  $\beta$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)$ , the integral operator  $I_\beta^{m,\eta}(f_1, \dots, f_n)(z)$  defined by (7) is analytic and univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Next, we prove



**Theorem 3.** Let  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with

$$\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| (1 - \gamma_i) > 0$$

and let  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  be such that

$$|c| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| (1 - \gamma_i).$$

If  $f_i \in \mathcal{S}(g_i, \gamma_i)$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma_i < 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then the integral operator  $I_\beta(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_n)$  defined by (5) is analytic and univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

*Proof.* From the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left[ \frac{z(f_i * g_i)'(z)}{(f_i * g_i)(z)} - 1 \right]. \quad (21)$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| c|z|^{2\beta} + (1 - |z|^{2\beta}) \frac{zh''(z)}{\beta h'(z)} \right| &= \left| c|z|^{2\beta} + \left( \frac{1 - |z|^{2\beta}}{\beta} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left[ \frac{z(f_i * g_i)'(z)}{(f_i * g_i)(z)} - 1 \right] \right| \\ &\leq |c| + \left| \frac{1 - |z|^{2\beta}}{\beta} \right| \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| \left| \frac{z(f_i * g_i)'(z)}{(f_i * g_i)(z)} - 1 \right| \\ &\leq |c| + \frac{1}{|\beta|} \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| (1 - \gamma_i) \\ &\leq |c| + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| (1 - \gamma_i) \\ &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by applying Lemma 3, we conclude that  $I_\beta(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Letting  $g_1 = \dots = g_n = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\eta)}{\Gamma(n+1-\eta)} (1 + (n-1)\lambda) \right)^m z^n$  and  $\gamma_i = 0$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , in Theorem 3, we have

**Corollary 3.** ([7]) Let  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with

$$\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| > 0$$

and let  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  be such that

$$|c| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|.$$

If

$$\left| \frac{z(D_\lambda^{m,\eta} f_i(z))'}{D_\lambda^{m,\eta} f_i(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

then the integral operator  $I_\beta^{m,\eta}(f_1, \dots, f_n)(z)$  defined by (7) is analytic and univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Finally, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $M_i \geq 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with

$$\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| ((2 - \mu_i)M_i + 1) > 0. \quad (22)$$

and let  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  be such that

$$|c| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| ((2 - \mu_i)M_i + 1).$$

If  $f_i \in \mathcal{B}(g_i, \mu_i)$ ,  $0 \leq \mu_i < 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and

$$|(f_i * g_i)(z)| \leq M_i \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}, i = 1, \dots, n),$$

then the integral operator  $I_\beta(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_n)$  defined by (5) is analytic and univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

*Proof.* From (21), it follows that

$$\frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left[ \frac{z(f_i * g_i)'(z)}{(f_i * g_i)(z)} - 1 \right].$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| c|z|^{2\beta} + (1 - |z|^{2\beta}) \frac{zh''(z)}{\beta h'(z)} \right| &= \left| c|z|^{2\beta} + \left( \frac{1 - |z|^{2\beta}}{\beta} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left[ \frac{z(f_i * g_i)'(z)}{(f_i * g_i)(z)} - 1 \right] \right| \\
 &\leq |c| + \left| \frac{1 - |z|^{2\beta}}{\beta} \right| \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| \left( \left| \frac{z(f_i * g_i)'(z)}{(f_i * g_i)(z)} \right| + 1 \right) \\
 &\leq |c| + \frac{1}{|\beta|} \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| \left( \left| \frac{z^2(f_i * g_i)'(z)}{[(f_i * g_i)(z)]^2} \right| \left| \frac{(f_i * g_i)(z)}{z} \right| + 1 \right) \\
 &\leq |c| + \frac{1}{|\beta|} \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| \left( \left| \frac{z^2(f_i * g_i)'(z)}{[(f_i * g_i)(z)]^2} - 1 \right| M_i + M_i + 1 \right) \\
 &\leq |c| + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| ((2 - \mu_i)M_i + 1) \leq 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 3 for the function  $h(z)$ , we prove that  $I_\beta(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Letting  $g_1 = \dots = g_n = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\eta)}{\Gamma(n+1-\eta)} (1 + (n-1)\lambda) \right)^m z^n$  and  $\mu_i = 0$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , in Theorem 4, we have:

**Corollary 4.**([7]) *Let  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $M_i \geq 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with*

$$\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| (2M_i + 1) > 0.$$

and let  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  be such that

$$|c| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| (2M_i + 1).$$

If

$$\left| \frac{z^2(D_\lambda^{m,\eta} f_i(z))'}{(D_\lambda^{m,\eta} f_i(z))^2} - 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

and

$$|D_\lambda^{m,\eta} f_i(z)| \leq M_i \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}, i = 1, \dots, n),$$

then the integral operator  $I_\beta^{m,\eta}(f_1, \dots, f_n)(z)$  defined by (7) is analytic and univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Remark 2.** Taking different choices of  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  as stated in Section 1, the above theorems lead to new sufficient conditions for univalence for the integral operators defined in Remark 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Alexander, *Functions which map the interior of the unit circle upon simple regions*, Anna. Math., Vol. 17, No. 1, (1915), 12-22.
- [2] F. M. Al-Oboudi, *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 27, (2004), 1429-1436.
- [3] D. Breaz and N. Breaz, *Two integral operators*, Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai, Mathematica, Cluj-Napoca, 3 (2002), 13-21.
- [4] D. Breaz, H. Güney and G. Sălăgean, *A new integral operator*, 7th Joint Conference on Mathematics and Computer Science, July 36, 2008, Cluj, Romania.
- [5] D. Breaz, S.Owa and N. Breaz, *A new integral univalent operator*, Acta Univ. Apul. 16 (2008), 11-16.
- [6] S. Bulut, *Some properties for an integral operator defined by Al-Oboudi differential operator*, JIPAM, Vol. 9 (2008), Issue 4, Atr. 115, 5 pp.
- [7] S. Bulut, *Univalence preserving integral operators defined by generalized Al-Oboudi differential operators*, An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constata, Vol. 17 (2009), 37-50.
- [8] B.C. Carlson, D.B. Shaffer, *Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 15 (4) (1984) 737-745.
- [9] B. A. Frasin, *General integral operator defined by Hadamard product*, Mat.Vesnik 62, 2 (2010), 127-136
- [10] B. A. Frasin, *A note on certain analytic and univalent functions*, Southeast Asian J. Math. 28(2004), 829-836.
- [11] B. A. Frasin and M.Darus, *On certain analytic univalent functions*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.25 (5), (2001), 305-310.
- [12] G.I. Oros, G. Oros and D. Breaz, *Sufficient conditions for univalence of an integral operator*, J. Ineq. Appl., Vol. 2008, Article ID 127645, 7 pages.
- [13] S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, and M. O. Reade, *Starlike integral operators*, Pacific J. Math., Vol. 79, No. 1(1978), 157-168.
- [14] Z. Nehari, *Conformal Mapping*, McGraw-Hill Book Comp., New York, 1952 (Dover. Publ. Inc., 1975)
- [15] N. Pascu, *On a univalence criterion, II*, Itinerant Seminar on Functional Equations, Approximation and Convexity (Cluj-Napoca, 1985), Preprint, Vol. 85, Universitatea "Babeş-Bolyai", Cluj-Napoca, 1985, 153-154.
- [16] N. Pascu, *An improvement of Backer's univalence criterion*, Proceedings of the Commemorative Session Simion Stoilow, Brasov, (1987), 43-48.
- [17] V. Pescar, *A new generalization of Ahlfor's and Becker's criterion of univalence*, Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc. (Second Series) 19 (1996), 53-54.
- [18] St. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer.Math. Soc., Vol.49, No.1,(1975), 109-115.

[19] G. Sălăgean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*. Lecture Notes in Math. (Springer-Verlag) 1013 (1983), 362-372.

[20] C. Selvaraj and K. R. Karthikeyan, *Sufficient conditions for univalence of a general integral operator*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 46 (2) (2009),367-372.

B.A. Frasin  
Faculty of Science  
Department of Mathematics  
Al al-Bayt University  
P.O. Box: 130095 Mafraq, Jordan  
email: *bafrasin@yahoo.com*