

ON SOME STRONG ZWEIER CONVERGENT SEQUENCE SPACES

YELDA F. KARABABA AND AYHAN ESI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we define three classes of new sequence spaces. We give some relations related to these sequence spaces. We also introduce the concept of S_Z^λ -statistically convergence and obtain some inclusion relations related to these new sequence spaces.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 40C05, 40J05, 40A45.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let l_∞, c and c_o be the linear spaces of bounded, convergent and null sequences with complex terms, respectively. Note that l_∞, c and c_o are Banach spaces with the sup-norm

$$\|x\|_\infty = \sup_k |x_k|.$$

A sequence space X with a linear topology is called a K-space if each of maps $p_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $p_i(x) = x_i$ is continuous for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. A K-space is called FK-space if X is a complete linear metric space and a BK-space is a normed FK-space.

Let Λ denote the set of all non-decreasing sequences $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$ of positive numbers tending to infinity and $\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n + 1$, $\lambda_1 = 1$. The generalized de Vallee-Pousin mean is defined by

$$t_n(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} x_k$$

where $I_n = [n - \lambda_n + 1, n]$. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be (V, λ) -summable to a number l [1] if $t_n(x) \rightarrow l$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$[V, \lambda]_o = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |x_k| = 0 \right\}$$

$$[V, \lambda] = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |x_k - l| = 0, \text{ for some } l \right\}$$

$$[V, \lambda]_\infty = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |x_k| < \infty \right\}.$$

The space $[V, \lambda]$ is a BK-space with the norm

$$\|x\|_{[V, \lambda]} = \sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |x_k|. \quad (1.1)$$

The space $[V, \lambda]_o$ is also BK-space with the same norm.

If $\lambda_n = n$, then (V, λ) -summability and strongly (V, λ) -summability reduce to $(C, 1)$ -summability and $[C, 1]$ -summability, respectively.

In [2], Şengönül introduced sequence spaces Z and Z_o as the set of all sequences such that Z-transforms of them are in the spaces c and c_o , respectively, i.e.,

$$Z = \{x = (x_k) : Zx \in c\} \text{ and } Z_o = \{x = (x_k) : Zx \in c_o\}$$

where $Z = (z_{nk})_{n,k=0}^\infty$ denotes by the matrix

$$z_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & k \leq n \leq k+1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (n, k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

This matrix is called Zweier matrix. The $Z = (z_{nk})_{n,k=0}^\infty$ matrix is well-known as a regular matrix [3].

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study the concept of λ -strong Zweier convergence and λ -statistical Zweier convergence.

2. λ -STRONG ZWEIER CONVERGENCE

We introduce the sequence spaces $[V_Z, \lambda]_o$, $[V_Z, \lambda]$ and $[V_Z, \lambda]_\infty$ as the set of all sequences such that Z-transforms are in $[V, \lambda]_o$, $[V, \lambda]$ and $[V, \lambda]_\infty$, respectively, that is

$$[V, \lambda]_Z^o = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left| \frac{1}{2} (x_k + x_{k-1}) \right| = 0 \right\},$$

$$[V, \lambda]_Z = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left| \frac{1}{2} (x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| = 0, \text{ for some } l \right\}$$

and

$$[V, \lambda]_Z^\infty = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left| \frac{1}{2} (x_k + x_{k-1}) \right| < \infty \right\}$$

Define the sequence $y = (y_k)$ which will be frequently used throughout the paper, as Z-transform of a sequence $x = (x_k)$, i.e.,

$$y_k = \frac{1}{2} (x_k + x_{k-1}) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (2.1)$$

Theorem 2.1. *The sequence spaces $[V_Z, \lambda]_o$, $[V_Z, \lambda]$ and $[V_Z, \lambda]_\infty$ are linear spaces over the complex field \mathbb{C} which are the BK-spaces with the norm*

$$\|x\|_{[V, \lambda]_Z^o} = \|x\|_{[V, \lambda]_Z} = \|x\|_{[V, \lambda]_Z^\infty} = \|Zx\|_{[V, \lambda]}.$$

Proof. The first part of the theorem is a routine verification and so we omit it. Since the sequence spaces $[V, \lambda]_o$ and $[V, \lambda]$ are BK-spaces with respect to the norm defined (1.1) and the matrix $Z = (z_{nk})_{n, k=0}^\infty$ is normal, i.e., $z_{nk} \neq 0$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $z_{nk} = 0$ for $k > n$ for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and also from Theorem 4.3.2 of Wilansky [4] gives the fact that $[V_Z, \lambda]_o$, $[V_Z, \lambda]$ and $[V_Z, \lambda]_\infty$ are the BK-spaces.

Theorem 2.2. *The sequence spaces $[V_Z, \lambda]_o$, $[V_Z, \lambda]$ and $[V_Z, \lambda]_\infty$ are linearly isomorphic to the sequence spaces $[V, \lambda]_o$, $[V, \lambda]$ and $[V, \lambda]_\infty$, respectively, i.e., $[V, \lambda]_o \cong [V_Z, \lambda]_o$, $[V, \lambda] \cong [V_Z, \lambda]$ and $[V, \lambda]_\infty \cong [V_Z, \lambda]_\infty$.*

Proof. We consider only the case $[V, \lambda]_o \cong [V_Z, \lambda]_o$. We should show the existence of a linear bijection between the spaces $[V, \lambda]_o$ and $[V_Z, \lambda]_o$. Consider the transformation Z define, with the notation (2.1), from $[V_Z, \lambda]_o$ to $[V, \lambda]_o$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Z : [V_Z, \lambda]_o &\rightarrow [V, \lambda]_o \\ x &\rightarrow Zx = y \end{aligned}$$

where the sequence $y = (y_k)$ is given by (1.1). The linearity of transformation Z is clear. Further, it is trivial that $x = 0$ whenever $Zx = 0$ and hence Z is injective. Let $y = (y_k) \in [V, \lambda]_o$ and the sequence $x = (x_k)$ by

$$x_k = 2 \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i-k} y_i \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{[V,\lambda]_Z^2} &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left| \frac{1}{2} (x_k + x_{k-1}) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left| \frac{1}{2} \left(2 \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i-k} y_i + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{(i-1)-k} y_i \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |y_k| \end{aligned}$$

which says us that $x = (x_k) \in [V_Z, \lambda]_o$. Additionally, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{[V_Z, \lambda]_o} &= \sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left| \frac{1}{2} (x_k + x_{k-1}) \right| \\ &= \sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left| \frac{1}{2} \left(2 \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i-k} y_i + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{(i-1)-k} y_i \right) \right| \\ &= \sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |y_k| = \|y\|_{[V, \lambda]_o}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $x = (x_k) \in [V_Z, \lambda]_o$ and consequently Z is surjective. Hence, Z is linear bijection which therefore says us that the sequence spaces $[V_Z, \lambda]_o$, $[V_Z, \lambda]$ and $[V_Z, \lambda]_\infty$ are linearly isomorphic to the sequence spaces $[V, \lambda]_o$, $[V, \lambda]$ and $[V, \lambda]_\infty$, respectively. This completes the proof.

There is a relation between the sequence space $[V, \lambda]$ and the sequence space $|\sigma_1|$ of strong Cesaro summable sequences defined by

$$|\sigma_1| = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k - l| = 0, \text{ for some } l \right\}.$$

Clearly, in the special case $\lambda_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $[V, \lambda] = |\sigma_1|$.

Also, we see that, there are strong connection between the sequence space $[V_Z, \lambda]$ and the sequence space $[w_Z, \lambda]$, which is defined by

$$[w_Z, \lambda] = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{1}{2} (x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| = 0, \text{ for some } l \right\}.$$

Clearly, in the special case $\lambda_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $[V_Z, \lambda] = [w_Z, \lambda]$.

3. λ -STATISTICAL ZWEIER CONVERGENCE

In this section we introduce the concept of S_Z^λ -statistical convergence and give some inclusion relations related to this sequence space.

The notion on statistical convergence was introduced by Fast [5] and studied by various authors (see [6], [7], [8], [9], [10 – 11]).

Definition 3.1.[7] *A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be λ -statistically convergent to the number l if for $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : |x_k - l| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case we write $S^\lambda - \lim x = l$ or $x_k \rightarrow l (S^\lambda)$ and $S^\lambda = \{x = (x_k) : \text{for some } l, S^\lambda - \lim x = l\}$.

Definition 3.2. *A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be S_Z^λ -statistically convergent to the number l if for $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left| \left\{ k \in I_n : \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0.$$

In this case we write $S_Z^\lambda - \lim x = l$ or $x_k \rightarrow l (S_Z^\lambda)$ and $S_Z^\lambda = \{x = (x_k) : \text{for some } l, S_Z^\lambda - \lim x = l\}$.

In the case $\lambda_n = n$ we shall write S_Z instead of S_Z^λ .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n) \in \Lambda$. $x_k \rightarrow l ([V_Z, \lambda])$ then $x_k \rightarrow l (S_Z^\lambda)$.*

Proof. Let $x = (x_k) \in [V_Z, \lambda]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| = \\ & \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_n \\ \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| \geq \varepsilon}} \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_n \\ \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| < \varepsilon}} \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_n \\ \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| \geq \varepsilon}} \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \varepsilon \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_n} \left| \left\{ k \in I_n : \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $x_k \rightarrow l(S_Z^\lambda)$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n) \in \Lambda$. If $x = (x_k) \in l_\infty$ and $x_k \rightarrow l(S_Z^\lambda)$, then $x_k \rightarrow l([V_Z, \lambda])$.*

Proof. Suppose that $x = (x_k) \in l_\infty$ and $x_k \rightarrow l(S_Z^\lambda)$. Since $\sup |\frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1})| < \infty$, there is a constant $A > 0$ such that $|\frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1})| < A$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore we have, for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| \\ = & \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_n \\ |\frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l| \geq \varepsilon}} \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_n \\ |\frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l| < \varepsilon}} \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| \\ \leq & \frac{A}{\lambda_n} \left| \left\{ k \in I_n : \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \varepsilon \\ = & \frac{A}{\lambda_n} \left| \left\{ k \in I_n : \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the desired result follows.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n) \in \Lambda$. Then $l_\infty \cap [V_Z, \lambda] = l_\infty \cap S_Z^\lambda$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.1. and Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n) \in \Lambda$. If $\lim_n \inf \frac{\lambda_n}{n} > 0$, then $x_k \rightarrow l(S_Z)$ implies $x_k \rightarrow l(S_Z^\lambda)$.*

Proof. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\left| \left\{ k \leq n : \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \supset \left| \left\{ k \in I_n : \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| & \geq \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \in I_n : \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ & \geq \frac{\lambda_n}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left| \left\{ k \in I_n : \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k-1}) - l \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using $\lim_n \inf \frac{\lambda_n}{n} > 0$, we get that $x_k \rightarrow l(S_Z^\lambda)$. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] L.Leindler, *Über die Vallee-Pousinche Summeirbarkeit Allgemeiner Orthogonalreihen*, Acta Math.Acad.Sci.Hung., 16 (1965), 375-387.
- [2] M.Şengönül, *On the Zweier space*, Demonstratio Mathematica, Vol. XL, No. 1 (2007), 181-196.
- [3] J.Boos, *Classical and Modern Methods in Summability*, Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [4] A.Wilansky, *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 85, Amsterdam-Newyork-Oxford, 1984.
- [5] H.Fast, *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq.Math. 2 (1951), 241-244.
- [6] I.S.Connor, *The statistical and strongly p -Cesaro convergence of sequences*, Analysis 8 (1988), 47-63.
- [7] M.Mursaleen, *λ -Statistical convergence*, Math.Slovaca, 50(1) (2000), 111-115.
- [8] T.Salat, *On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers*, Math.Slovaca, 30 (1980), 139-150.
- [9] D.Rath and B.C.Tripathy, *On statistically convergent and statistically Cauchy sequences*, Indian J.Pure Appl.Math.,25 (4), (1994), 381-386.
- [10] A.Esi, *Strongly generalized difference $[V^\lambda, \Delta^m, p]$ - summable sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli*, Nihonkai Math.J., 20 (2009), 99-108.
- [11] A.Esi and M.Acikgoz, *On some new sequence spaces via Orlicz function in a seminormed space*, Numerical Analysis and Applied Mathematics, International Conference 2009, Vol. 1, 178-184.

Yelda F.Karababa and Ayhan Esi
Department of Mathematics
University of Adiyaman
Adiyaman, 02040, Turkey
email: *aesi23@hotmail.com*.