

## COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR MAPS SATISFYING A GENERAL CONTRACTIVE CONDITION OF INTEGRAL TYPE

ISHAK ALTUN

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we prove a common fixed point theorem for maps satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type with compatibility conditions of type (I) and of type (II).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 54H25, 47H10.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

There are a lot of generalization of Banach contraction principle in the literature. One of the most interesting generalization of it is the Branciari's [7] fixed point result. Branciari was proved a fixed point theorem for a single mapping satisfying an analogue of Banach contraction principle for an integral type inequality. After then the authors in [1], [3], [4], [5], [10], [11], [17], [25], [27] and [29] proved some fixed point theorems involving more general contractive conditions. Also in [26], Suzuki shows that Meir-Keeler contractions of integral type are still Meir-Keeler contractions. In this paper, we establish a fixed point theorem for single valued maps satisfying a general contractive inequality of integral type with compatibility condition of type (I) and of type (II).

Jungck [12] initiated and provided a soothing machinery for obtaining common fixed points in metric spaces by using commuting mappings. Inspired by the above work, many authors developed much weaker conditions. Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric spaces.

**Definition 1.** Mappings  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  are said to be

- (a) compatible [13] if  $\lim d(STx_n, TSx_n) = 0$ ,
- (b) compatible of type (A) [15] if

$$\lim d(STx_n, TTx_n) = 0 \text{ and } \lim d(TSx_n, SSx_n) = 0,$$

(c) compatible of type (B) [21] if

$$\lim d(STx_n, TTx_n) \leq \frac{1}{2} [\lim d(STx_n, St) + \lim d(St, SSx_n)]$$

and

$$\lim d(TSx_n, SSx_n) \leq \frac{1}{2} [\lim d(TSx_n, Tt) + \lim d(Tt, TTx_n)],$$

(d) compatible of type (P) [22] if

$$\lim d(SSx_n, TTx_n) = 0,$$

whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim Sx_n = \lim Tx_n = t$  for some  $t \in X$ .

We can find some examples, propositions and lemmas about the above definitions in [13], [15], [21], [22].

**Lemma 1** ([13] resp. [15], [21], [22]). *Let  $S$  and  $T$  be a compatible (resp. compatible of type (A), compatible of type (B), compatible of type (P)) self mapping of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . If  $Sx = Tx$  for some  $x \in X$ , then  $STx = TSx$ .*

In 1996 Jungck [14] defines  $S$  and  $T$  to be weakly compatible if  $Sx = Tx$  implies  $STx = TSx$ . By Lemma 1, follows that every compatible (compatible of type (A), compatible of type (B), compatible of type (P)) pair of mapping is weakly compatible. There is an example in [23] shows that implication is not reversible. Many fixed point results have been obtained for weakly compatible mappings (see [8], [9], [16], [24] and [27])

Now we give the definitions of compatible of type (I) and of type (II) mappings, which were given in [20].

**Definition 2.** *Let  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  be mappings. The pair  $(S, T)$  is said to be compatible of type (I) if*

$$d(t, Tt) \leq \overline{\lim} d(t, STx_n),$$

whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim Sx_n = \lim Tx_n = t$  for some  $t \in X$ . The pair  $(S, T)$  is said to be compatible of type (II) if and only if  $(T, S)$  is compatible of type (I).

Again many fixed point results have been obtained for maps satisfying compatibility condition of type (I) and of type (II) (see [2], [6], [20], [28]).

Now we give some examples which shows that the concepts of weakly compatible maps and compatible maps of type (I) and of type (II) are independent from each other.

**Example 1.** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  be with the usual metric. Define  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  by

$$Sx = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } x \in [0, 2] \\ 2+x & \text{if } x \in (2, \infty) \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad Tx = \begin{cases} 2+x & \text{if } x \in [0, 2) \\ 4+x & \text{if } x \in [2, \infty) \end{cases}.$$

Note that 2 is a fixed point of  $S$ , then the pair  $(S, T)$  is compatible of type (II) but the mappings  $S$  and  $T$  are not weak compatible because  $S0 = 2 = T0$  but  $ST0 = 2 \neq 6 = TS0$ .

**Example 2 ([19]).** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  be with the usual metric. Define  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  by

$$Sx = 2x + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad Tx = x^2 + 1.$$

Then at  $x = 0$ ,  $Sx = Tx$ . Also  $STx = 3$  and  $TSx = 2$ , which shows that  $S$  and  $T$  are not weak compatible. Now suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim Sx_n = \lim Tx_n = t$  for some  $t \in X$ . By definition of  $S$  and  $T$ ,  $t = 1$ . For this value we have  $d(t, Tt) = 1 \leq 2 = \overline{\lim}d(t, STx_n)$ , which shows that the pair  $(S, T)$  is compatible mappings of type (I).

**Example 3 ([19]).** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  be with the usual metric. Define  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  by

$$Sx = \begin{cases} \cos x & \text{if } x \neq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad Tx = \begin{cases} e^x & \text{if } x \neq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Then it is clear that  $Sx = Tx$  if and only if  $x = 0$  and  $x = 1$ . Also at these points  $STx = TSx$ . It means that  $S$  and  $T$  are weakly compatible. Now suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim Sx_n = \lim Tx_n = t$  for some  $t \in X$ . By definition of  $S$  and  $T$ ,  $t = 1$ . For this value we have  $d(t, Tt) = 1$  and  $\overline{\lim}d(t, STx_n) = (1 - \cos x) < 1$ . Therefore the pair  $(S, T)$  is not compatible mappings of type (I).

**Proposition 1 ([20]).** Let  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  be such that the pair  $(S, T)$  is compatible of type (I) (resp. type (II)) and  $Sp = Tp$  for some  $p \in X$ . Then  $d(Sp, TSp) \leq d(Sp, STp)$  (resp.  $d(Tp, STSp) \leq d(Tp, TSp)$ ).

**Lemma 2 ([18]).** Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a right continuous function such that  $\psi(t) < t$  for every  $t > 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n(t) = 0$ , where  $\psi^n$  denotes the  $n$ -times repeated composition of  $\psi$  with itself.

## 2. MAIN RESULT

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  be self-maps defined on  $X$ . We consider the following:

(i)  $S(X) \subseteq B(X)$ ,  $T(X) \subseteq A(X)$ ,

(ii) for all  $x, y \in X$ , there exists a right continuous function  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\psi(0) = 0$  and  $\psi(s) < s$  for  $s > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^{d(Sx, Ty)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{M(x, y)} \varphi(t) dt \right),$$

where  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a Lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable, non-negative and such that

$$\int_0^\varepsilon \varphi(t) dt > 0 \text{ for each } \varepsilon > 0, \quad (1)$$

and

$$M(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \max\{2d(Ax, By), d(Sx, Ax), d(Ty, By), d(Sx, By), d(Ty, Ax)\},$$

(iii)  $A$  or  $B$  is continuous and the pairs  $(S, A)$  and  $(T, B)$  are compatible of type (I),

(iv)  $S$  or  $T$  is continuous and the pairs  $(S, A)$  and  $(T, B)$  are compatible of type (II).

Now we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  be self-maps defined on  $X$  satisfying the conditions (i), (ii) and any one of (iii) or (iv), then  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point.*

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be an arbitrary point of  $X$ . From (i) we can construct a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  as follows:

$$y_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n+1} \text{ and } y_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n+2}$$

for all  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Define  $d_n = d(y_n, y_{n+1})$ , then, by (ii),

$$\int_0^{d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})} \varphi(t) dt \right) \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) &= \frac{1}{2} \max\{2d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}), d(Sx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}), d(Tx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}), \\ &\quad d(Sx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}), d(Tx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n})\} \\ &= \max\left\{d_{2n}, \frac{d_{2n+1}}{2}, \frac{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+2})}{2}\right\} \\ &\leq \max\{d_{2n}, d_{2n+1}\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus from (2) we have

$$\int_0^{d_{2n+1}} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{\max\{d_{2n}, d_{2n+1}\}} \varphi(t) dt \right). \quad (3)$$

Now, if  $d_{2n+1} \geq d_{2n}$  for some  $n$ , then, from (3) we have

$$\int_0^{d_{2n+1}} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{d_{2n+1}} \varphi(t) dt \right) < \int_0^{d_{2n+1}} \varphi(t) dt$$

which is a contradiction. Thus  $d_{2n} > d_{2n+1}$  for all  $n$ , and so, from (3) we have

$$\int_0^{d_{2n+1}} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{d_{2n}} \varphi(t) dt \right).$$

Similarly,

$$\int_0^{d_{2n}} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{d_{2n-1}} \varphi(t) dt \right).$$

In general, we have for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\int_0^{d_n} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{d_{n-1}} \varphi(t) dt \right). \quad (4)$$

From (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{d_n} \varphi(t) dt &\leq \psi \left( \int_0^{d_{n-1}} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\ &\leq \psi^2 \left( \int_0^{d_{n-2}} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \psi^n \left( \int_0^{d_0} \varphi(t) dt \right), \end{aligned}$$

and, taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using Lemma 2, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{d_n} \varphi(t) dt \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n \left( \int_0^{d_0} \varphi(t) dt \right) = 0,$$

which, from (1), implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0. \quad (5)$$

We now show that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. For this it is sufficient to show that  $\{y_{2n}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that  $\{y_{2n}\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for each even integer  $2k$  there exist even integers  $2m(k) > 2n(k) > 2k$  such that

$$d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) \geq \varepsilon. \quad (6)$$

For every even integer  $2k$ , let  $2m(k)$  be the least positive integer exceeding  $2n(k)$  satisfying (6) such that

$$d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-2}) < \varepsilon. \quad (7)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \delta &:= \int_0^\varepsilon \varphi(t) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})} \varphi(t) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-2}) + d_{2m(k)-2} + d_{2m(k)-1}} \varphi(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (5), (6) and (7) it follows that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})} \varphi(t) dt = \delta. \quad (8)$$

Also, by the triangular inequality,

$$|d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1}) - d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})| \leq d_{2m(k)-1}$$

and

$$|d(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)-1}) - d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})| \leq d_{2m(k)-1} + d_{2n(k)}$$

and so

$$\int_0^{|d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1}) - d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})|} \varphi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{d_{2m(k)-1}} \varphi(t) dt,$$

and

$$\int_0^{|d(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)-1}) - d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})|} \varphi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{d_{2m(k)-1} + d_{2n(k)}} \varphi(t) dt.$$

Using (8), we get

$$\int_0^{d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1})} \varphi(t) dt \rightarrow \delta \quad (9)$$

and

$$\int_0^{d(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)-1})} \varphi(t) dt \rightarrow \delta \quad (10)$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) &\leq d_{2n(k)} + d(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)}) \\ &\leq d_{2n(k)} + d(Sx_{2n(k)}, Tx_{2m(k)-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\int_0^{d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})} \varphi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{d_{2n(k)} + d(Sx_{2n(k)}, Tx_{2m(k)-1})} \varphi(t) dt.$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  on both sides of the last inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{d(Sx_{2n(k)}, Tx_{2m(k)-1})} \varphi(t) dt \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi \left( \int_0^{M(x_{2n(k)}, x_{2m(k)-1})} \varphi(t) dt \right), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{2n(k)}, x_{2m(k)-1}) &= \frac{1}{2} \max\{2d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1}), d_{2n(k)}, d_{2m(k)-1}, \\ &\quad d(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)-1}), d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (5), (6), (7), (8), (9) and (10), yields the following contradiction from (11):

$$\delta \leq \psi(\delta) < \delta.$$

Thus  $\{y_{2n}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and so  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is complete it converges to a point  $z$  in  $X$ . Since  $\{Sx_{2n}\}, \{Bx_{2n+1}\}, \{Tx_{2n+1}\}$  and  $\{Ax_{2n+2}\}$  are subsequences of  $\{y_n\}$ , then  $Sx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+2} \rightarrow z$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now, suppose that the condition (iii) holds with  $B$  is continuous. Then, since the pair  $(T, B)$  is compatible of type (I) and  $B$  is continuous, we have

$$d(z, Bz) \leq \overline{\lim} d(z, TBx_{2n+1}), \quad BBx_{2n+1} \rightarrow Bz. \quad (12)$$

Now setting  $x = x_{2n}$  and  $y = Bx_{2n+1}$  in (ii), we obtain

$$\int_0^{d(Sx_{2n}, TBx_{2n+1})} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{M(x_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1})} \varphi(t) dt \right), \quad (13)$$

where

$$M(x_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}) = \frac{1}{2} \max\{2d(Ax_{2n}, BBx_{2n+1}), d(Sx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}), \\ d(TBx_{2n+1}, BBx_{2n+1}), d(Sx_{2n}, BBx_{2n+1}), d(TBx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n})\}.$$

We claim that  $\overline{\lim}d(z, TBx_{2n+1}) = 0$ , Suppose  $\overline{\lim}d(z, TBx_{2n+1}) > 0$ . Now, by letting the limit superior on both sides of (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\overline{\lim}d(z, TBx_{2n+1})} \varphi(t)dt &= \overline{\lim} \int_0^{d(Sx_{2n}, TBx_{2n+1})} \varphi(t)dt \\ &\leq \overline{\lim} \psi \left( \int_0^{M(x_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1})} \varphi(t)dt \right) \\ &\leq \psi \left( \int_0^{\max\{d(z, Bz), \frac{\overline{\lim}d(TBx_{2n+1}, Bz)}{2}, \frac{\overline{\lim}d(TBx_{2n+1}, z)}{2}\}} \varphi(t)dt \right) \\ &\leq \psi \left( \int_0^{\overline{\lim}d(TBx_{2n+1}, z)} \varphi(t)dt \right) \\ &< \int_0^{\overline{\lim}d(TBx_{2n+1}, z)} \varphi(t)dt, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus  $\overline{\lim}d(z, TBx_{2n+1}) = 0$  and so from (12)  $Bz = z$ . Again replacing  $x$  by  $x_{2n}$  and  $y$  by  $z$  in (ii), we have

$$\int_0^{d(Sx_{2n}, Tz)} \varphi(t)dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{M(x_{2n}, z)} \varphi(t)dt \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{2n}, z) &= \frac{1}{2} \max\{2d(Ax_{2n}, Bz), d(Sx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}), d(Tz, Bz), \\ &\quad d(Sx_{2n}, Bz), d(Tz, Ax_{2n})\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \max\{d(Ax_{2n}, z), d(Sx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}), d(Tz, z), d(Sx_{2n}, z), d(Tz, Ax_{2n})\} \end{aligned}$$

and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\int_0^{d(z, Tz)} \varphi(t)dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{\frac{d(z, Tz)}{2}} \varphi(t)dt \right) < \int_0^{\frac{d(z, Tz)}{2}} \varphi(t)dt$$



which is a contradiction if  $d(z, Tz) > 0$ . Thus  $d(z, Tz) = 0$ , i.e.  $Tz = z$ . Since  $T(X) \subseteq A(X)$ , there is a point  $u \in X$  such that  $Tz = Au = z$ . From (ii), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{d(Su, z)} \varphi(t) dt &= \int_0^{d(Su, Tz)} \varphi(t) dt \\ &\leq \psi \left( \int_0^{d(Su, z)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\ &< \int_0^{d(Su, z)} \varphi(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction if  $d(Su, z) > 0$ . Thus  $Su = z = Au$ . By Proposition 1, we have  $d(Su, AAu) \leq d(Su, SAu)$  and so  $d(z, Az) \leq d(z, Sz)$ . Again from (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{d(Sz, z)} \varphi(t) dt &= \int_0^{d(Sz, Tz)} \varphi(t) dt \\ &\leq \psi \left( \int_0^{\frac{d(Sz, z)}{2}} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\ &< \int_0^{\frac{d(Sz, z)}{2}} \varphi(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction if  $d(Sz, z) > 0$ . This shows that  $Sz = z = Az = Bz = Tz$  and  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ .

If we suppose that  $A$  is continuous instead of  $B$ , similarly we can show that  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ .

The other case (iv) can be disposed from a similar argument as above.

It is easy to see that the common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  is unique.

**Remark 1.** By Theorem 1, we have a different version of Theorem 2.1 of [5], since we use compatibility condition of type (I) and of type (II) for mappings.

If we use  $d(Ax, By)$  instead of  $M(x, y)$  in Theorem 1, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  be self-maps defined on  $X$  satisfying the conditions (i) and

(ii\*) for all  $x, y \in X$ , there exists a right continuous function  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\psi(0) = 0$  and  $\psi(s) < s$  for  $s > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^{d(Sx, Ty)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{d(Ax, By)} \varphi(t) dt \right),$$

where  $\varphi$  is as in Theorem 1. If (iii) or (iv) holds, then  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point.

If we choose  $\varphi(t) \equiv 1$  and  $\psi(s) = \alpha s, 0 < \alpha < 1$  in Corollary 1, we have Corollary 3.1 of [20].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aliouche, *A common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings in symmetric spaces satisfying a contractive condition of integral type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 322, 2, (2006), 796-802.
- [2] I. Altun and D. Turkoglu, *Some fixed point theorems for weakly compatible multivalued mappings satisfying an implicit relation*, Filomat, 22, 1, (2008), 13-23.
- [3] I. Altun and D. Turkoglu, *A fixed point theorem on general topological spaces with a  $\tau$ -distance*, Indian J. Math., 50, 1, (2008), 139-148.
- [4] I. Altun and D. Turkoglu, *Some fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation*, Taiwanese J. Math., 13, 4, (2009), 1291-1304.
- [5] I. Altun, D. Turkoglu and B.E. Rhoades, *Fixed points of weakly compatible maps satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., Volume 2007 (2007), Article ID 17301, 9 pages, doi:10.1155/2007/17301.
- [6] I. Altun, H.A. Hancer and D. Turkoglu, *Fixed point theorems on  $d$ -complete topological spaces*, Carpathian J. Math., 24, 1, (2008), 1-7.
- [7] A. Branciari, *A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 29, 9, (2002), 531-536.
- [8] Lj. B. Ćirić and J.S. Ume, *Some common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 314, 2, (2006), 488-499.
- [9] R. Chugh and S. Kumar, *Common fixed points for weakly compatible maps*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci., 111, 2, (2001), 241-247.
- [10] A. Djoudi and F. Merghadi, *Common fixed point theorems for maps under a contractive condition of integral type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 341, 2, (2008), 953-960.
- [11] A. Djoudi and A. Aliouche, *Common fixed point theorems of Gregus type for weakly compatible mappings satisfying contractive conditions of integral type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 329, 1, (2007), 31-45.
- [12] G. Jungck, *Commuting mappings and fixed points*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 83, 4, (1976), 261-263.
- [13] G. Jungck, *Compatible mappings and common fixed points*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 9, (1986), 771-779.
- [14] G. Jungck, *Common fixed points for non-continuous nonself mappings on a nonnumeric spaces*, Far East J. Math. Sci., 4, 2, (1996), 199-212.

- [15] G. Jungck, P. P. Murthy and Y. J. Cho, *Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points*, Math. Japonica, 36, (1993), 381-390.
- [16] G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, *Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 29, 3, (1998), 227-238.
- [17] S. Kumar, R. Chugh and R. Kumar, *Fixed point theorem for compatible mappings satisfying a contractive condition of integral type*, Soochow J. Math., 33, 2, (2007), 181-185.
- [18] J. Matkowski, *Fixed point theorems for mappings with a contractive iterate at a point*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 62, 2, (1977), 344-348.
- [19] H.K. Pathak, M.S. Khan and R. Tiwari, *A common fixed point theorem and its application to nonlinear integral equations*, Comput. Math. Appl., 53, 6, (2007), 961-971.
- [20] H.K. Pathak, S.N. Mishra and A.K. Kalinde, *Common fixed point theorems with applications to nonlinear integral equations*, Demonstratio Math., 32, 3, (1999), 547-564.
- [21] H. K. Pathak and M. S. Khan, *Compatible mappings of type (B) and common fixed point theorems of Gregus type*, Czech. Math. J., 45, 120, (1995), 685-698.
- [22] H. K. Pathak, Y. J. Cho, S. M. Kang and B. S. Lee, *Fixed point theorems for compatible mappings of type (P) and applications to dynamic programming*, Le Matematiche (Fasc.I), 50, (1995), 15-33.
- [23] V. Popa, *A general common fixed point theorem of Meir and Keeler type for noncontinuous weak compatible mappings*, Filomat, 18, (2004), 33-40.
- [24] V. Popa, *A general fixed point theorem for four weakly compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation*, Filomat, 19, (2005), 45-51.
- [25] B.E. Rhoades, *Two fixed-point theorems for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 63, (2003), 4007-4013.
- [26] T. Suzuki, *Meir-Keeler contractions of integral type are still Meir-Keeler contractions*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., Vol. 2007, Article ID 39281, 6 pages.
- [27] D. Turkoglu and I. Altun, *A common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings in symmetric spaces satisfying an implicit relation*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana, 13, 1, (2007), 195-205.
- [28] D. Turkoglu, I. Altun and Y.J. Cho, *Common fixed points of compatible mappings of type (I) and (II) in fuzzy metric spaces*, J. Fuzzy Math., 15, 2, (2007), 435-448.
- [29] P. Vijayaraju, B.E. Rhoades and R. Mohanraj, *A fixed point theorem for a pair of maps satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 15 (2005), 2359-2364.

Ishak Altun  
Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science and Arts,  
Kirikkale University, 7  
1450 Yahsihan, Kirikkale,  
Turkey  
email: *ialtun@kku.edu.tr, ishakaltun@yahoo.com*